



General Assembly

Distr. GENERAL

A/51/300

20 August 1996

ENGLISH

ORIGINAL: ARABIC/ENGLISH/

FRENCH/RUSSIAN/

SPANISH

Fifty-first session

Item 71 (b) of the provisional agenda*

GENERAL AND COMPLETE DISARMAMENT: TRANSPARENCY IN ARMAMENTS

United Nations Register of Conventional Arms

Report of the Secretary-General

CONTENTS

		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM GOVERNMENTS	3
	A. Composite table of replies of Governments	5
	B. Replies received from Governments	9
III.	INDEX OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION PROVIDED BY GOVERNMENTS FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 1995	64
Annex	. Views received from Governments	68

* A/51/150.

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. On 1 January 1992, the Secretary-General established the United Nations Register of Conventional Arms in accordance with General Assembly resolution 46/36 L of 9 December 1991, on transparency in armaments. In that resolution, the General Assembly called upon all Member States to provide annually for the Register data on imports and exports of conventional arms in the seven categories covered under the Register. It also invited Member States, pending the expansion of the Register, to provide to the Secretary-General, with their annual report on imports and exports of arms, available background information regarding their military holdings, procurement through national production and relevant policies, and requested the Secretary-General to record that material and to make it available for consultation by Member States at their request.
- At its forty-seventh session, the General Assembly, by its resolution 47/52 L of 12 December 1992 on transparency in armaments, called upon all Member States to provide the requested data and information to the Secretary-General by 30 April annually, beginning in 1993, and encouraged Member States to inform the Secretary-General of their national arms import and export policies, legislation and administrative procedures, both as regards authorization of arms transfers and prevention of illicit transfers, in conformity with paragraph 18 of its resolution 46/36 L. Subsequent resolutions of the General Assembly reiterated this request. 1/ In addition, resolution 49/75 C of 15 December 1994 on transparency in armaments took note of the report of the Secretary-General on the continuing operation of the Register and its further development (A/49/316). By the same resolution, the General Assembly decided to keep the scope of and participation in the Register under review, and to that end requested Member States to provide the Secretary-General with their views on the continuing operation of the Register and its further development and on transparency measures related to weapons of mass destruction. $\underline{2}$ / It also requested the Secretary-General, with the assistance of a group of governmental experts to be convened in 1997, on the basis of equitable geographical representation, to prepare a report on the continuing operation of the Register and its further development, taking into account work of the Conference on Disarmament, the views expressed by Member States and the 1994 report of the Secretary-General on the continuing operation of the Register and its further development, with a view to a decision at its fifty-second session. General Assembly resolution 50/70 D reiterates latter requests.
- 3. The present report, which is also being prepared in accordance with resolution 50/70 D of 12 December 1995, is the fourth consolidated report issued by the Secretary-General since the establishment of the Register (A/48/344 and Add.1-3, A/49/352 and Add.1-4, and A/50/547 and Add.1-4 and Corr.1, respectively). It contains data and information provided by 85 Governments on

^{1/} Resolutions 48/75 E and 49/75 C.

 $[\]underline{2}/$ The following Governments provided their views: Australia, France (on behalf of the European Union), Jamaica, Japan, Philippines, Slovenia, United Kingdom (A/50/276 and Add.1).

imports and exports of conventional arms covered under the Register - battle tanks, armoured combat vehicles, large-calibre artillery systems, attack helicopters, combat aircraft, warships, missiles and missile launchers - for the calendar year 1995. The replies as received are contained in section II below. Any additional replies received from Governments will be issued as addenda to the present report.

- 4. Section III of the present report contains an index of the background information submitted by Governments in accordance with paragraphs 10 and 18 of General Assembly resolution 46/36 L and paragraph 5 of resolution 47/52 L. The background information is available at the United Nations Centre for Disarmament Affairs for consultation.
- 5. In accordance with resolution 50/70 D, operative paragraph 3 (a), the Secretary-General has also received further views on the continuing operation and further development of the United Nations Register of Conventional Arms from Israel, Italy (on behalf of the European Union and of the countries of Central and Eastern Europe associated with it (Bulgaria, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Czech Republic, Romania, Slovakia) as well as of the associated countries Cyprus and Malta), and the Syrian Arab Republic. Those replies are contained in the annex to the present report.

II. INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM GOVERNMENTS

- 6. Information received from Governments is presented in this section of the report as follows: (a) a composite table listing all the replies received by the Secretary-General, and (b) individual replies of Governments. Where appropriate, the relevant parts of notes verbales are also reproduced.
- 7. The composite table is provided for ease of reference. As regards the information contained in the table, it should be noted that a "yes" denotes a submission of data regarding imports and/or exports in relation to the seven categories of arms covered by the Register, during the reporting period. For the purpose of uniform tabulation, responses by Governments that contained either "nil", "none", "0", a dash (-), or which otherwise indicated that no exports and/or imports in the categories covered by the Register had taken place are reflected in the table as "nil" reports. A blank space under data on imports and/or exports in the composite table indicates that no information was provided. In some cases, however, an explanation can be found in the note verbale of the country in question, as indicated above.
- 8. In reviewing the technical requirements for reporting, the group of governmental experts convened in 1994 suggested attaching explanatory notes to the standardized forms for reporting international transfers. The model explanatory notes are contained in appendix III to the report of the Secretary-General on the continuing operation of the Register and its further development (A/49/316). The information thus provided is reflected in the individual replies of Governments, as appropriate.
- 9. In an effort to further condense the information and thus reduce the length of the document (resolution 34/50 of 23 November 1979), only those parts of the

standardized forms which contain specific data and notes verbales of Governments providing relevant information are reproduced in part B of this section. Replies in standardized forms or in notes verbales containing "nil" or blank reports, as described in paragraph 7 above, are listed in the composite table only.

A. Composite table of replies of Governments

State	Data on exports	Data on imports	Explanation submitted in note verbale	Background information
Albania	nil			no
Andorra	nil	nil	yes	no
Argentina	yes	yes		yes
Armenia	nil	nil		yes
Austria	nil	yes		yes
Bahamas	nil	nil		no
Barbados	nil	nil		no
Belgium	yes	nil		yes
Bhutan	nil	nil		no
Brazil	nil	yes		yes
Bulgaria	nil	nil		yes
Burkina Faso	nil	nil		no
Canada	yes	nil		yes
Central African Republic		nil		no
Chile	nil	yes		no
China	yes	yes		no
Cuba	nil	nil		no
Cyprus	nil	yes		no
Czech Republic	yes	nil		yes
Denmark	nil	nil		yes
Dominica	nil	nil		no
Estonia	nil	nil		no
Ethiopia	nil	nil	yes	no
Fiji	nil	nil		no

State	Data on exports	Data on imports	Explanation submitted in note verbale	Background information
Finland	yes	yes		yes
France	yes	nil		yes
Gabon	nil	nil		no
Germany	yes	nil		yes
Greece		yes		yes
Hungary	nil	yes		no
Iceland	nil	nil		no
India		yes		no
Indonesia		yes		no
Ireland	nil	nil		yes
Israel	yes	yes		no
Italy	yes	yes		yes
Jamaica	nil	nil		no
Japan	nil	yes		yes
Jordan	nil	nil		no
Kazakstan	yes	yes		no
Kyrgyzstan	nil	nil	yes	no
Latvia	nil	yes		no
Liechtenstein	nil	nil		no
Lithuania	nil	yes		no
Luxembourg	nil	nil		no
Madagascar	nil	nil		no
Malaysia		yes		no
Maldives	nil	nil		no
Malta	nil	nil		no
Mauritius	nil	nil		no

State	Data on exports	Data on imports	Explanation submitted in note verbale	Background information
Mexico	nil	yes		yes
Monaco	nil	nil		no
Mongolia	nil	nil		no
Namibia	nil	nil		no
Nepal	nil	nil	yes	no
Netherlands	yes	yes		yes
New Zealand	nil	nil		yes
Norway	nil	yes		no
Papua New Guinea	nil	nil	yes	no
Peru	nil	yes		no
Philippines	nil	yes		no
Poland	yes	yes		yes
Portugal	nil	yes		yes
Republic of Korea	yes	yes		yes
Republic of Moldova	nil	yes		no
Romania	yes	nil		no
Saint Kitts and Nevis	nil	nil		no
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	nil	nil		no
Samoa	nil	nil		no
Singapore	nil	yes		no
Slovakia	yes	yes		no
Slovenia	nil	nil		no
South Africa	yes	nil		yes
Spain	nil	yes		yes
Sweden	nil	yes		yes
Switzerland	yes	nil		yes

State	Data on exports	Data on imports	Explanation submitted in note verbale	Background information
Tajikistan	nil	nil		no
Trinidad and Tobago	nil	nil		no
Turkey	nil	yes		yes
Turkmenistan	nil	nil		no
Ukraine	yes	nil		no
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	yes	yes		yes
United States of America	yes	yes	yes	yes
Vanuatu	nil	nil		no
Viet Nam	nil	yes		no

B. Replies received from Governments

ANDORRA 3/

[Original: English]

[10 June 1996]

For information purposes, the Permanent Mission of the Principality of Andorra to the United Nations wishes to report that, during 1995, 10 automatic rifles Heckler & Koch, model 33, caliber 223 Remington, were acquired for the National Police Service.

³/ Andorra also provided a "nil" report for 1994 in its submission for 1995. With the submission of Andorra, the total number of replies received from Governments for calendar year 1994 has increased to 95.

ARGENTINA

AUSTRIA

Background information

Armoured combat vehicles:

Austria holds a number of 51 heavy armoured combat vehicles "K" with an 105 mm gun which are usually permanently stored at the producing factory. During the refitting procedure of some of the older "K's" at this factory, those permanently stored at the factory have been reactivated for a certain time in the year of 1995. Following the refitting procedure they will consequently be deactivated again later this year.

Austria bought altogether 3 pieces of Germany-made Tank Destroyer JAGUAR I from the Federal Republic of Germany.

Large calibre artillery systems:

Austria bought altogether 117 pieces of US-made M109 A2/A3 self-propelled howitzers from the United Kingdom in 1993/94. A number of some 34 pieces were distributed in 1995. One older artillery piece of United States-made M2 which was reported in the 1994 data exchange has been decommissioned and subsequently destroyed.

BELGIUM

BRAZIL

CANADA

CHILE

CHINA

EXPORTS

Reporting country: **China**Original language: Chinese Calendar year: 1995

Background information: no Date of submission: 26 August 1996

A	В	С	D	E
Category (I-VII)	Final importer State(s)	Number of items	State of origin (if not exporter)	Intermediate location (if any)
I.Battle tanks	Pakistan	51		
II.Armoured combat vehicles	Pakistan	20		
VI.Warships	Thailand	1		
VII.Missiles and missile launchers	Iran (Islamic Republic of)	18		

REM	REMARKS			
Description of item	Comments on the transfer			
Tank repair vehicle				

IMPORTS

Reporting country: **China**Original language: Chinese Calendar year: 1995

Background information: no Date of submission: 26 August 1996

A	В	C	D	E
Category (I-VII)	Exporter State(s)	Number of items	State of origin (if not exporter)	Intermediate location (if any)
VI.Warships	Russian Federation	1		

REMARKS			
Description of item	Comments on the transfer		

CYPRUS

CZECH REPUBLIC

ETHIOPIA

[Original: English]

[17 April 1996]

It is to be remembered that Ethiopia used to spend billions of dollars on arms during the past regime. Defence used to account for more than 50 per cent of the national budget. However, the picture has changed drastically since peace has prevailed and the country is actively engaged in economic reconstruction. Defence now accounts for 7 per cent of the national budget and this has been the case for the last five years.

Moreover, no arms have been imported after the downfall of the past regime. The arms Ethiopia possesses at the moment are only those which were purchased by the former Government. The bulk of them are now in fact junk and hence hardly of any use. Ethiopia keeps the rest only for defence purposes.

FINLAND

FRANCE

GERMANY

Reporting country: Germany (cont'd)

<u>Notes</u>

(a) <u>General comments</u>

As in the past few years, German arms transfers to other countries in 1995 comprised few commercial exports of newly produced material; arms transfers were instead largely a consequence of the integration, in 1990, of the former German Democratic Republic (GDR) armed forces and their equipment into the armed forces of united Germany, and of subsequent substantial reductions in the German armed forces' equipment, as mandated by the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (CFE).

Thus, as listed in the return, all German transfers of weapons systems to other countries, with the exception of one frigate exported to Turkey, consisted of used material. Such transfers in 1995 were substantially lower than in previous years, since the largest part of CFE-mandated reductions had taken place earlier, as had also been indicated in the report for 1994.

In accordance with Germany's continuously restrictive arms export policy, which places emphasis on cooperation with Allies and specifically prohibits arms transfers to crisis areas, almost all transfers took place to North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and European Union (EU) countries.

(b) <u>Comments on individual categories</u>

In addition to the items listed in Category II, Germany transferred, in 1995, 40 armoured combat vehicles of the type BTR-70 to the United Nations, for use by UNPROFOR.

Concerning category VII items, ammunition for MLRS (Multiple-Launch Rocket System) was produced in 1995 within a multinational co-production programme by France, Germany, Italy and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. The purchase, in 1995, of 480 pieces of such ammunition will be declared by Italy as import, in accordance with national law. Other purchases within this co-production programme will be declared by the end-user as procurement from national production.

(c) <u>Definitions</u>

Data provided include transfers by the Federal Armed Forces as well as by German manufacturing industry.

Figures given for transfers to other countries do not include items that have been demilitarized nor items transferred for destruction/disposal, especially scrapping, or as museum exhibits. Following the definitions of the resolution and supplementary United Nations instructions, only complete weapons systems were included.

GREECE

HUNGARY

INDIA

INDONESIA

ISRAEL

ITALY

JAPAN

KAZAKSTAN

KYRGYZSTAN

The Ministry of Defence of the Kyrgyz Republic states that, although aeroplanes and helicopters were exported in 1995, none of them falls within the category of "combat aircraft" or "attack helicopters" since they relate to the category of training and combat-training aviation equipment.

The types of combat equipment referred to in the annex have not been imported during the period in which the Armed Forces of the Kyrgyz Republic have been in existence. Accordingly, Kyrgyzstan did not export or import shipments of conventional weapons in 1995.

LATVIA

LITHUANIA

MALAYSIA

MEXICO

NEPAL

[Original: English]

[15 May 1996]

The Permanent Mission of the Kingdom of Nepal to the United Nations has the honour to inform the United Nations Secretary-General that the following reply has been received from the Ministry of Defence of His Majesty's Government of Nepal regarding resolution 50/70 D entitled "Transparency in armaments":

- 1) There is not any research organization or specialist in Nepal regarding disarmament issues.
- 2) The arms which are mentioned in the "Transparency in armaments" appendix are not transferred in Nepal.
- 3) Under "Measures to curb the illicit transfer and like of conventional arms", Nepal has not purchased or transferred any such kind of arms.

NETHERLANDS

NORWAY

PAPUA NEW GUINEA

[Original: English]

[30 October 1995]

The Permanent Mission of Papua New Guinea to the United Nations would like to inform the Secretary-General that Papua New Guinea does not have in its inventory any of the following weapons systems:

Battle tanks
Armoured combat vehicles
Large calibre artillery systems
Combat aircraft
Attack helicopters
Warships
Missiles and missile launchers

The Permanent Mission of Papua New Guinea to the United Nations wishes to further reiterate that the Papua New Guinea Defence Force is relatively lightly armed compared to other regional countries with large and sophisticated weapons systems. Furthermore, Papua New Guinea does not manufacture, let alone export such lethal weapons.

The Permanent Mission of Papua New Guinea to the United Nations therefore would like to advise the Secretary-General that Papua New Guinea is submitting a NIL RETURN for the years 1993, 1994 and 1995.

PERU

A/51/300 English Page 42

PHILIPPINES

POLAND

PORTUGAL

IMPORTS

Reporting country: Portugal

Original language: English Calendar year: 1995

Background information provided: yes Date of submission: 18 April 1996

A	В	С	D	E
Category (I-VII)	Exporter State(s)	Number of items	State of origin (if not exporter)	Intermediate location (if any)
I.Battle tanks	United States of America*	12	U.S.A.	

REMARKS		
Description of item	Comments on the transfer	
M60	CFE/ Cascading	

^{*} Are located in Germersheim, Germany.

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

IMPORTS

Reporting country: Republic of Korea (cont'd)

Original language: English Calendar year:1995*

Background information provided: yes Date of submission: 10 May 1996

A	В	C	D	E
Category (I-VII)	Exporter State(s)	Number of items	State of origin (if not exporter)	Intermediate location (if any)
III.Large calibre artillery systems	United States of America	30		
IV.Combat aircraft	United States of America	8		
VII.Missile and missile launchers	United States of America	31		
		1		
		88 ³		

REM	ARKS
Description of item	Comments on the transfer
M-109 (self- propelled artillery) ¹	
F-16	Technical transfer
Harpoon Missile	
MK-48 Torpedo Vertical Launching System ²	
AMRAAM	

All of them were produced through the assembly of imported parts.

² It is just one of the pieces of equipment on board warships.

The Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile (AMRAAM) is on board F-16 fighters.

^{*} Based on the date of arrival to the Republic of Korea.

REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

ROMANIA

SINGAPORE

SLOVAKIA

A/51/300 English Page 54

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

[Original: English]

[30 April 1996]

Each year, the United States provides background information regarding relevant United States policies, legislation and administrative procedures. The 1996 United States submission includes updates to this material.

It is possible that there will be discrepancies in the numbers of transferred arms as reported by exporting and importing States, due to differences in recorded dates of transfer and in what each country determines to constitute a reportable transfer.

The United States considers that its transfers of military equipment occur at the time ownership title for the equipment is transferred between the United States and another country. The 1996 submission therefore includes equipment whose title was transferred during the 1995 calendar year.

III. INDEX OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION PROVIDED BY GOVERNMENTS FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 1995

State	Title	Language
Argentina	Información sobre existencia de material bélico (diciembre 1995).	Spanish
	Inventario de las existencias de material bélico.	
Armenia	Defence expenditure in 1995.	English
	Technical equipment of the Army.	
Austria	Military holdings for 1995.	English
	Procurement in 1995 through national production.	
Belgium	Dotations globales de chars de bataille, de véhicules blindés de combat, de pièces d'artillerie, d'avions de combat, d'helicoptères d'attaque, de navires de guerre et de missiles ou systèmes de missile (valables le 31 Decembre 1995).	French
Brazil	Military holdings and procurement from the domestic industry, as of December 1995.	English
Bulgaria	Ministry of Defence. Available armaments as of 01/01/1996.	English
Canada	Equipment holdings - Canadian Armed Forces as of 1 April 1996.	English
	Procurement in 1995 through national production.	
Czech Republic	Military holdings as of 1 January 1996.	English
	Procurement in 1995 through national production.	
	Decree of the Ministry of Industry and Trade of 12 April 1994.	
Denmark	Procurement in 1995 through national production.	English
	Military holdings ultimo 1995.	

State	Title	Language
Finland	Annual exchange of military information on defence planning 1996-2000.	English
France	Informations générales disponibles sur les dotations militaires, les achats liés à la production nationale et la politique en matière de dotation.	French
Germany	Military holdings as of 31 December 1995.	English
	Procurement from national production in 1995.	
	"Yearly Information Exchange on Defence Planning" in Germany, 1996.	German
Greece	Military holdings and procurement from national production in 1995.	English
Ireland	Military holdings for the year 1995.	English
Italy	Military holdings as of 31 December 1995.	English
	Procurement through national production in 1995.	
Japan	Military holdings as of 31 March 1995.	English
	Procurement through national production in 1995.	
	Japan's policies on the control of arms exports and imports.	
Mexico	Información general sobre existencias de material bélico.	Spanish
	Año de informe: 1996.	
	Información general sobre adquisición de material de producción nacional.	
	Año civil: 1996.	
	Ley Federal de Armas de Fuego y Explosivos diciembre 1995.	
Netherlands	Military holdings for 1995.	English
	Procurement in 1995 through national production.	

State	Title	Language
New Zealand	1996 Background information note.	English
	Procurement through national production for the calendar year to 30 April 1995.	
	Military holdings for the calendar year to 30 April 1995.	
	Definition of "transfers".	
	Annual Report to Parliament of the New Zealand Defence Force for the year ended 30 June 1995.	
	Annual Report to Parliament of the New Zealand Ministry of Defence for the year ended 30 June 1995.	
Poland	Military holdings as of 1 January 1996.	English
	Procurement through national production in 1995.	
Portugal	Procurement in 1995 through national production.	English
	Portuguese arms exports relevant policies.	
	Military holdings as of 31 December 1995.	
Republic of Korea	Policies of the Republic of Korea on arms imports and exports.	English
South Africa	Technical description of armoured combat vehicles: RG-12; APC Mk-2; Mamba 4x4; NYALA.	English
	Export values.	
	Import values.	
	Conventional arms control policy.	
	The guide to the terms of reference of conventional arms control in South Africa.	

State	Title	Language
Spain	Existencias de armas convencionales al 31 de diciembre de 1995.	Spanish
	Adquisición de material de producción nacional en el año 1995.	
	Políticas pertinentes y procedimientos españoles sobre transacciones de material de defensa.	
Sweden	Annual exchange of military information pursuant to the Vienna Document 1994; valid as of 1 January 1996.	English
Switzerland	Military holdings - reporting year 1996	English
Turkey	The quantities of arms vehicles and equipment produced nationally.	English
	The quantity of arms vehicles and equipment.	
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	United Kingdom defence: equipment procurement, import and export policies.	English
Northern Tretand	Military holdings as of 31 December 1995.	
	Procurement from national production in 1995.	
	Statement on the defence estimates, 1996.	
United States of America	Military holdings and procurement through national production in 1995.	English
	Address by President Clinton to the United Nations on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the creation of the United Nations (22 October 1995).	

Annex

VIEWS RECEIVED FROM GOVERNMENTS

ISRAEL

[Original: English]

[14 May 1996]

The Permanent Mission of Israel to the United Nations has the honour to present the policy of the Government of Israel.

Israel was among the first countries to support resolution 46/36 L, which established the Register. It was also among the first to send its reports in accordance with this resolution, and has continued to do so annually, including for calendar year 1995.

In Israel's view, the Register is certainly an important part of a long process which aims towards the implementation of global confidence-building measures. While it is not an arms control instrument, the Register is clearly intended to increase confidence among nations, a worthwhile goal in itself.

However, transparency in armaments cannot achieve its goals unless all countries fulfil their international commitment by providing information and data as required by the Register. This is a necessary prerequisite to evaluating its success, and should be taken into account in any review of its further development.

While there continues to be a lack of full participation in the Register, interest exists in its further development, including on transparency measures related to weapons of mass destruction. It is Israel's view, however, that the Register still has to stand up to the test of time, including full participation, and that the existing categories should be consolidated before further major changes are considered.

This factor is particularly critical in the Middle East, where Israel is the only country which consistently submits a report on a regular, annual basis. While it fulfils its international commitment by reporting its weapons imports and exports, other States in the region – some of which remain in a state of war with Israel – avoid their own responsibility vis-à-vis the Register.

Israel believes that additional countries from the Middle East must join the Register. Until such time as the full participation of the regional States in the Register is achieved, it would be premature to enter into discussions on expanding the categories of the Register beyond those agreed upon by the United Nations.

Transparency in the region must be based on implemented confidence-building measures, mutual openness, and a step-by-step approach. Proceeding too fast is

likely to impede the fundamental goals of the Register, and increase security concerns instead of building confidence.

ITALY*

[Original: English]

[28 May 1996]

- 1. With reference to operative paragraph 3 (a) of resolution 50/70 D, the European Union would like to provide its views to the Secretary-General on the continuing operation of the United Nations Register of Conventional Arms, its further development and on transparency measures related to weapons of mass destruction.
- 2. The indefinite extension of the NPT, and the parallel adoption of the "Principles and Objectives for Nuclear Non-proliferation and Disarmament" by the 1995 NPT Conference constitute a significant strengthening of the non-proliferation regime. In the field of the outlawing of chemical and biological weapons there has been made progress as well.

It is time to have a better balance in attention given to weapons of mass destruction and conventional arms control. The uncontrolled spread and the accumulations of conventional arms beyond the need for self-defence have a destabilizing effect in many parts of the world.

- 3. The increased international attention for, and importance of, transparency of transfers and possession of conventional arms are justified by the fact that these arms are at present only in some parts of the world subject to legal regimes and the fact that are causing serious human suffering in international and internal conflicts.
- 4. The EU is convinced that transparency in armaments is an important factor in creating a climate of confidence between States, particularly at regional level.
- 5. In the opinion of the EU the United Nations Register of Conventional Arms is an important mechanism because it provides data on international arms transfers of those categories of conventional arms which can be used particularly for offensive purposes and therefore are potentially destabilizing.

The functioning of the Register so far is encouraging. In the last three years of its existence about 90 States, including all members of the EU, submitted national declarations to the Register. These declarations covered the bulk of the international arms trade.

^{*} On behalf of the European Union and of the countries of Central and Eastern Europe associated with it (Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary,

Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia), as well as of the associated countries Cyprus and Malta.

- 6. In order to enhance the effectiveness of the Register as a global confidence-building measure, every effort should be made to secure the widest possible participation. The EU encourages all Member States to submit their national data to the Register in the coming years. In this respect the EU wants to emphasize that even "nil reports" are an important contribution to the success of the United Nations Register of Conventional Arms.
- 7. The EU believes that the inclusion of data on military holdings and procurement through national production will render the Register more complete and useful. Therefore the EU invites Member States to submit such data.
- 8. In order to ensure that it responds to evolving security needs, it is important that the operation of the Register be reviewed in the future. The EU welcomes the review of the continuing operation of the Register and its further development by the Secretary-General with the assistance of a Group of Governmental Experts in 1997, in accordance with operative paragraph 3 (b) of resolution 50/70 D. The EU expresses the hope that all States will cooperate in the success of this review.
- 9. In conformity with the request embodied in the resolutions over the years concerning transparency in armaments, the Conference on Disarmament has devoted two sessions to discussing possible ways and means of enhancing transparency in armaments in its Ad Hoc Group on Transparency in Armaments. Although the Conference on Disarmament did not yet reach agreement on specific measures or recommendations for enhancing transparency in armaments, a better understanding of these issues appears to have developed. It is for this reason that the EU attaches considerable importance to the resumption of the work in the Ad Hoc Group on Transparency in Armaments as soon as possible.
- 10. The member States of the EU would like to emphasize that transparency measures related to weapons of mass destruction are of a different nature than transparency measures related to conventional weapons. To enhance confidence and stability, transparency measures with regard to weapons of mass destruction should be an element in the arms control or disarmament process.
- 11. The objective of the EU is full implementation of the bans on chemical weapons as well as on biological and toxin weapons. Transparency measures can be a step towards that goal. In this respect, reference can be made to the obligations contained in the chemical weapons Convention to declare stocks of chemical weapons and chemical weapon production facilities immediately after entry into force. In the framework of the Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention (BTWC) the EU supports the work underway in the Ad Hoc Group on Strengthening the Convention. The EU believes the addition of a legally binding verification protocol will increase transparency and strengthen confidence in compliance with the Convention, thus building on the confidence-building measures already agreed.
- 12. The EU reaffirms its commitment, in conformity with article VI of the NPT, to pursue negotiations in good faith on efficient measures relating to nuclear

disarmament and on a treaty on general and complete disarmament, under strict and effective international control. The agreement on a universal and effectively verifiable comprehensive nuclear-test-ban treaty by the summer of 1996 would be a concrete step in the implementation of the decision on principles and objectives for nuclear non-proliferation and disarmament adopted on 11 May by the 1995 NPT Review and Extension Conference.

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

[Original: Arabic]

[9 July 1996]

The Syrian Arab Republic affirms its full support for the universal trend towards the building of an international community free from the use or threat of force and governed by the principles of justice, equality and peace. While affirming its readiness to participate in any bona fide international effort to achieve that end, the Syrian Arab Republic considers that the United Nations Register of Conventional Arms does not take into account the fact that Israel possesses the most dangerous weapons of mass destruction and has the capacity to manufacture various advanced weapons and stockpile them locally. Thus, transparency with respect to Israeli armaments seems to be no more than the visible tip of the iceberg.
