UNITED NATIONS



SECURITY COUNCIL OFFICIAL RECORDS

THIRTY-NINTH YEAR

UNLIBRARY

JAN 60 1993

2537th MEETING: 10 MAY 1984

NEW YORK

CONTENTS

	Page
Provisional agenda (S/Agenda/2537)	1
Adoption of the agenda	. 1
The situation in Cyprus: Letter dated 30 April 1984 from the Permanent Representative of Cyprus to) 1
the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council (S/16514)	. 1

NOTE

Symbols of United Nations documents are composed of capital letters combined with figures. Mention of such a symbol indicates a reference to a United Nations document.

Documents of the Security Council (symbol S/...) are normally published in quarterly Supplements of the Official Records of the Security Council. The date of the document indicates the supplement in which it appears or in which information about it is given.

The resolutions of the Security Council, numbered in accordance with a system adopted in 1964, are published in yearly volumes of *Resolutions and Decisions of the Security Council*. The new system, which has been applied retroactively to resolutions adopted before 1 January 1965, became fully operative on that date.

2537th MEETING

Held in New York on Thursday, 10 May 1984, at 10.30 a.m.

President: Mr. Oleg A. TROYANOVSKY (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics).

Present: The representatives of the following States: China, Egypt, France, India, Malta, Netherlands, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Peru, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Upper Volta, Zimbabwe.

Provisional agenda (S/Agenda/2537)

- 1. Adoption of the agenda
- The situation in Cyprus:

Letter dated 30 April 1984 from the Permanent Representative of Cyprus to the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council (S/16514)

The meeting was called to order at 12 noon.

Adoption of the agenda

The agenda was adopted.

The situation in Cyprus:

Letter dated 30 April 1984 from the Permanent Representative of Cyprus to the United Nations addressed to the President of the Security Council (S/16514)

1. The PRESIDENT [interpretation from Russian]: In accordance with decisions taken at previous meetings on this item [2531st to 2536th meetings], I invite the representatives of Cyprus, Greece and Turkey to take places at the Council table; I invite the representatives of Afghanistan, Algeria, Antigua and Barbuda, Australia, Bangladesh, Bulgaria, Costa Rica, Cuba, Ecuador, Guyana, Hungary, Jamaica, Mongolia, Panama, Saint Lucia, Sri Lanka, the Syrian Arab Republic, Yugoslavia and Viet Nam to take the places reserved for them at the side of the Council chamber.

At the invitation of the President, Mr. Iacovou (Cyprus), Mr. Dountas (Greece) and Mr. Kirca (Turkey) took places at the Council table; Mr. Zarif (Afghanistan), Mr. Sahnoun (Algeria), Mr. Jacobs (Antigua and Barbuda), Mr. Woolcott (Australia), Mr. Wasiuddin (Bangladesh), Mr. Tsvetkov (Bulgaria), Mr. Zumbado Jiménez (Costa Rica), Mr. Roa Kourí (Cuba), Mr. Albornoz (Ecuador), Mr. Karran (Guyana), Mr. Rácz (Hungary), Mr. Carr (Jamaica), Mr. Erdene-

chuluun (Mongolia), Mrs. Noriega (Panama), Mr. St. Aimee (Saint Lucia), Mr. Wijewardane (Sri Lanka), Mr. Al-Atassi (Syrian Arab Republic), Mr. Golob (Yugoslavia) and Mr. Le Kim Chung (Viet Nam) took the places reserved for them at the side of the Council chamber.

2. The PRESIDENT [interpretation from Russian]: I should like to inform members of the Council that I have received a letter from the representative of the German Democratic Republic in which he requests to be invited to participate in the discussion of the item on the Council's agenda. In conformity with the usual practice, I propose, with the consent of the Council, to invite that representative to participate in the discussion without the right to vote, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Charter and rule 37 of the provisional rules of procedure.

At the invitation of the President, Mr. Ott (German Democratic Republic) took the place reserved for him at the side of the Council chamber.

- 3. The PRESIDENT [interpretation from Russian]: The Security Council will now resume its consideration of the item on its agenda. The first speaker is the representative of Panama. I invite her to take a place at the Council table and to make her statement.
- Mrs. NORIEGA (Panama) [interpretation from Spanish]: I thank the members of the Security Council for having permitted me to speak. I wish first to say how pleased my delegation is to see you, Sir, as President of the Council for the month of May; we congratulate you on your assumption of that office. It gives me personal pleasure to be making my first statement before this body at a time when it is presided over by such a worthy representative, whose acknowledged prestige, valuable experience, tact and ability promise even-handedness and success in the Council's deliberations and in its fulfilment of its vital responsibilities. At the same time, I take this opportunity to convey to the representative of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Mr. Vladimir Kravets, our satisfaction at the competence and efficiency with which he guided the Council's work in April.
- 5. From the outset, my Government has closely followed the development of the events which have now impelled the President of the Republic of Cyprus to make his urgent appeal for the Security Council, as the primary guarantor of international peace and security,

to consider and resolve, as a matter of urgency, the serious situation in that small Republic.

- 6. It would be redundant for us to repeat or to endorse facts and actions which have already been set before the Council and other relevant representative bodies of the community of nations. But we note with alarm and concern that while there has been recourse to the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations for settling disputes between countries peacefully and for redressing violations of clear norms of international law, the necessary political will of the countries involved to achieve positive, tangible results has been lacking.
- 7. We believe that the *de facto* situation in Cyprus, brought about by occupation by foreign troops and gradually exacerbated by a unilateral attempt to split the territory and community of Cyprus in two, places in jeopardy the fundamental right of a small country devoid of military might to sovereignty, territorial integrity, internal unity and its own identity within the community of nations.
- As a small country vulnerable to incursions from stronger countries, a friend of the people and Government of Cyprus, a member of the group of non-aligned countries, of which the sister Republic of Cyprus is a founding member, and as a country which signed and respects the Charter of the United Nations, we wish to reaffirm the solidarity of the Government of Panama with the Republic of Cyprus and its Government in the just claim they are now reiterating before the Council. That support confirms the position taken at the thirtyseventh session of the General Assembly on 5 October 1982, at the 18th meeting, by Mr. Jorge E. Illueca—then Vice-President of Panama and now President of our country and of the General Assembly itself—a position which was reiterated in the Assembly on 12 May 1983, at the 119th meeting, by Mr. Carlos Ozores Typaldos, then representative of Panama to the United Nations and now Vice-President of our country. We support Security Council resolutions 365 (1974), 367 (1975) and 541 (1983), and the Declarations of the Seventh Conference of Heads of State or Government of Non-Aligned Countries, held at New Delhi in March 1983, which expressed support for the people and Government of the Republic of Cyprus and respect for the independence, unity and non-aligned status of that country.
- 9. My delegation expresses its deep appreciation of and admiration for the praiseworthy efforts made by the Secretary-General in the difficult missions entrusted to him. We also reiterate our confidence in the ability of the supreme organs of the United Nations to resolve this conflict, and especially of this Council, whose decisions—both those I have mentioned and the one it will be taking shortly—should be observed immediately by the parties to the conflict. This will be the most effective way of promoting and preserving peaceful coexistence among countries.

- 10. The right to survival claimed today by the Republic of Cyprus is a right which we all share and which we too can claim for ourselves at some time. The grave situation in Cyprus is a challenge, in the final analysis, to the integrity and effectiveness of the Charter of the United Nations. We must not forget that the United Nations is all and each of our countries.
- 11. In conclusion, I should like to quote the thoughts of John Donne as expressed in one of his best-known works: "if a clod be washed away by the sea, Europe is the less, as well as if a promontory were . . . and therefore never send to know for whom the bell tolls; it tolls for thee."
- 12. The PRESIDENT [interpretation from Russian]: The next speaker is the representative of the German Democratic Republic. I invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.
- 13. Mr. OTT (German Democratic Republic): It gives me great pleasure to extend to you, Oleg Aleksandrovich Troyanovsky, most cordial congratulations on your assumption of the presidency of the Security Council for the month of May. Your great political and diplomatic skills, your long-standing and persistent activity for the strengthening of peace and international security and your devotion to the principles of the Charter of the United Nations are the guarantee that you, as the representative of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, with which my country is linked by fraternal bonds, will successfully guide the responsible work of this body during this month. The delegation of the German Democratic Republic would also like to congratulate the representative of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Vladimir Alexeyevich Kravets, who with great diplomatic skill directed the complicated work of this Council during the previous month.
- 14. The delegation of the German Democratic Republic also thanks the members of the Council for giving us the opportunity to explain here our country's point of view on the question of Cyprus. We support the convocation of the Council at the request of the Government of the Republic of Cyprus in connection with the serious situation existing on the island as a justified measure to counter any further aggravation of the tensions. Indeed, the worsening of the crisis in Cyprus constitutes an extremely serious threat to peace and security in the region and to world peace in general.
- 15. The delegation of the German Democratic Republic appeals urgently to the Security Council to fulfil its responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security and to initiate measures to prevent a further aggravation of the situation and form a starting point for the resumption of efforts aimed at achieving a negotiated solution of the question of Cyprus.
- 16. The Government of the German Democratic Republic and its delegation to the United Nations have on various occasions time and again made it clear that

the German Democratic Republic stands resolutely in favour of preserving the independence, sovereignty, territorial integrity and non-aligned status of the Republic of Cyprus. We decisively oppose all actions which undermine those principles and are directed at the division of Cyprus. Such separatist acts undertaken over the past few months in that part of the territory of Cyprus which is under foreign military occupation exacerbate the situation on the island and make it harder to achieve a peaceful settlement of the problems through negotiations.

- 17. The General Assembly and Security Council have repeatedly made clear demands and put forth proposals for the achievement of a solution of the question of Cyprus. Last year, in resolution 541 (1983), the Security Council called upon all States to respect the sovereignty, independence, territorial integrity and non-alignment of the Republic of Cyprus and not to recognize any Cypriot State other than the Republic, and it called upon all States and the two communities in Cyprus to refrain from any action which might exacerbate the situation. How is it then possible that the demands contained in that resolution and other decisions on the question of Cyprus adopted by the United Nations, as well as the efforts of the Secretary-General, can simply be ignored?
- 18. Even the crocodile tears shed in well-known imperialist quarters over the deplorable developments in Cyprus cannot veil the fact that the answer to this question has to be found within the wider context of the imperialist policy of aggression and domination. Those in imperialist quarters, above all in the United States of America, which declares the whole region of the Mediterranean and the Middle East to be a so-called zone of vital interest, are in no way interested in settling the question of Cyprus through negotiations, respecting the non-alignment of Cyprus and, as demanded by Spyros Kyprianou, the President of Cyprus, demilitarizing the island.
- 19. The concentration of huge naval forces, the establishment of more and more new military bases, the establishment of so-called rapid deployment forces, the persistent stirring up of tensions and the destabilization of Governments and States, as well as the stationing of new American nuclear missiles in Western Europe—which, by the way, could also become operational against the Mediterranean and the Middle East region—are part and parcel of the imperialist policy of threat and war.
- 20. Only recently a devastating defeat was inflicted in the Middle East region upon those imperialist factions and the establishment of an imperialist military bridgehead in Lebanon was prevented. The question is rightfully asked whether the old intention of transforming the island of Cyprus into an "unsinkable aircraft carrier" is now to be turned into a reality using all available means. Are the imperialist military bases on Cyprus to be preserved and new ones set up by leaving the question of Cyprus permanently open?

21. It is now time finally to comply with the Cypriot people's wish for peace, security and independence. There is only one way to do this, namely by way of negotiations. The Foreign Minister of the German Democratic Republic signed on behalf of our country the communiqué of the meeting of the Committee of the Ministers for Foreign Affairs of the States Parties to the Warsaw Treaty held last month at Budapest, in which the following reference is made to the solution of international conflicts:

"The participants in the meeting stressed the immutability of the principled course of their States at eliminating the existing centres of tension and armed conflicts all over the world and at preventing the emergence of new ones, and at settling all the international disputes by peaceful means, through talks. They reiterated their solidarity with the peoples fighting for freedom, independence and social progress, for economic development and against the policy of imperialist aggression, colonialism and racism." [See S/16504, annex.]

- 22. Regarding the solution of the question of Cyprus, this means reversing the unilateral division of Cyprus and carrying on constructive negotiations on the basis of relevant United Nations decisions. The German Democratic Republic will in the future also support all decisions and measures to this end. The just struggle of the people of Cyprus against imperialist oppression and interference from outside will continue to have the support of the German Democratic Republic.
- 23. The PRESIDENT [interpretation from Russian]: The Minister for Foreign Affairs of Cyprus, Mr. George Iacovou, has asked to speak. I welcome him and invite him to make his statement.
- 24. Mr. IACOVOU (Cyprus): As this is the first time that I have spoken in this debate, Sir, I should like to extend to you my warmest congratulations on your assumption of the high office of President of the Security Council for the month of May. It is a source of pleasure for us that the presidency of this body is occupied by the representative of the USSR, a friendly country with which we enjoy very close and friendly relations. Your vast diplomatic experience, your talent and your charm already augur well for the successful deliberations of the Council. I would also like to express my appreciation to your predecessor, Mr. Kravets of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, for the excellent manner in which he guided the work of the Council in the month of April.
- 25. Even while the Council is considering the grave situation in Cyprus resulting from the continuous violations of its mandatory resolution 541 (1983) and studying ways to reverse them, the Turkish side is warning this body that it will continue with further illegalities in order to consolidate the secessionist act of 15 November 1983. On 3 May 1984, the day the Council was convened [2531st meeting], we read statements by

the Turkish Cypriot leadership to the effect that "in the search for a Cyprus solution the return of Varosha to the Greek Cypriots is impossible".

- 26. A few days earlier it was publicly declared that the illegal régime in the occupied area was planning to open part of the new town of Famagusta to settlement by persons other than its inhabitants, thus, in the context of recent developments, adding insult to injury. Even now, today, while the Council is meeting, threats of the settlement of Varosha continue unabated. There are reports in today's Turkish Cypriot newspapers that with the incitement of the Turkish leadership, the occupation of houses in the new city of Varosha has already begun.
- 27. Equally serious, indeed potentially dangerous, is Turkey's and the Turkish Cypriot leadership's intention to change the status, function or deployment of the United Nations Peace-keeping Force in Cyprus (UNFICYP). This was quite evident from their refusal to concur in the renewal of the mandate of UNFICYP last December.
- 28. Determined to have a free military hand, Turkey and the Turkish Cypriot leadership, in mid-December 1983, refused to concur with resolution 544 (1983), which extended UNFICYP's mandate. Even after the Council adopted that resolution, Mr. Denktas declared his rejection of that mandatory resolution and made it known that at a later stage he would interfere with UNFICYP's modus operandi and deployment in Cyprus. On 17 March 1984 Mr. Denktas again threatened to prevent the extension of UNFICYP's mandate if the Council or the General Assembly passed another resolution in favour, as he said, of the Greek Cypriots. As recently as 20 April Mr. Denktas was making menacing noises about the extension of UNFICYP's mandate if my Government were to approach the Security Council again.
- 29. The presence and unhindered functioning of UNFICYP are essential to the maintenance of peace and security. Threats against UNFICYP are threats against the quest for a peaceful solution of the Cyprus problem. The withdrawal of UNFICYP is likely to lead to incidents along the cease-fire line, possibly ending in a major conflagration. The threats against UNFICYP thus constitute a potential threat to international peace and security in the area.
- 30. Today, almost 10 years after the Turkish invasion and the occupation of 37 per cent of the territory of the Republic of Cyprus by Turkish troops, the situation is more critical than ever. The Turkish occupation forces are still on our soil, in violation of the Charter and the resolutions of the United Nations. Not one of the 200,000 Greek Cypriot refugees has been allowed to return to his home and lands. The fate of missing persons remains unascertained. Over this period Turkey and its organs in the occupied area have systematically pursued their plans to consolidate the division and the

results of the invasion by importing tens of thousands of settlers from Turkey, to whom they have illegally distributed the properties of the forcibly expelled refugees by issuing so-called title deeds to these properties, by abolishing the Cyprus pound and replacing it by the Turkish lira, by establishing a so-called central bank and by other faits accomplis.

- 31. Turkey is solely responsible for what is happening in the occupied part of Cyprus, in fact and in law. Turkey continues to exercise actual and exclusive authority over this area. The occupied area is saturated with Turkish troops; there is one soldier for every four members of the population, including women and children. If one takes into account also the settlers from Turkey, then the proportion is one Turk from Turkey to every two members of the Turkish Cypriot community. It is thus impossible for any independent action to be taken by the Turkish Cypriots. The Turkish Cypriot leadership in fact expresses the will and policy of Turkey. That leadership is no more than a political fig-leaf covering the body of aggression. A persuasive statement made by Mr. Denktas himself speaks for itself: "Whether I believe it or not, whether I consider it right or not, I do whatever Turkey says." That is taken from the 5 October 1982 edition of Yeniduzen.
- The European Commission of Human Rights, an international body of distinguished European jurists, after considering the case of Cyprus v. Turkey on violations of the human rights of Cypriots by Turkey, held that sole control over the Turkish occupying forces is vested in the Government of Turkey and that its presence in the occupied area engages Turkey's international responsibility in respect of all persons or property over which they exercise control. That appears in the report of the Commission in the case of Cyprus v. Turkey, 10 July 1976, page 32; in the decision of 10 July 1978 on the admissibility of Application No. 8007/77; and in the report on Application No. 8007/ 77, paragraphs 21 and 22. That same report found Turkey guilty of crimes and of flagrantly violating the human rights and fundamental freedoms of the people of Cyprus.
- 33. Turkey and the Turkish Cypriot leadership are now in blatant breach of Council resolution 541 (1983). They have ignored paragraph 2, calling for the withdrawal of the declaration of the purported secession; they have ignored paragraph 3, calling for urgent and effective implementation of the Council's earlier resolutions 365 (1974) and 367 (1975); they have acted contrary to paragraphs 4 and 5 by frustrating the Secretary-General's mission of good offices and effectively declining to co-operate; and they have breached paragraph 6 by violating the sovereignty and territorial integrity of the Republic of Cyprus. Turkey has ignored paragraph 7 by formally recognizing, by its "ambassadorial exchange", the so-called Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus. Both Turkey and the Turkish Cypriot leadership have trampled on paragraph 8 by repeatedly taking new and yet further actions leading to

the exacerbation and deterioration of the situation in Cyprus.

- 34. Turkey's recent conduct in establishing a puppet State and formally recognizing its so-called Government reveals the threadbare character of the justification given by Turkey for its 1974 invasion of the Republic of Cyprus. Its claims no longer have a shred of plausibility. Indeed, Turkey is in material breach not only of the 1960 treaties specific to Cyprus but also of the Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning Friendly Relations and Co-operation among States in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations-annexed to General Assembly resolution 2625 (XXV)—and the principles embodied in the Helsinki Final Act, to which it is a signatory. In addition, under article 3 (e) of the 1974 Definition of Aggression—in the annex to General Assembly resolution 3314 (XXIX)—it is guilty of aggression against the Republic of Cyprus by virtue of the use of its armed forces within the territory of the Republic in contravention of the peremptory norms of international law and the conditions provided for by the Treaty of Guarantee.
- 35. Turkey is in breach of Article 2, paragraph 4, of the Charter of the United Nations by virtue of its use of force against the territorial integrity of the Republic of Cyprus and in a manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations, and in particular by its lack of respect for and non-observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, language or religion, as required by Article 55 of the Charter.
- 36. Ankara's plans against Cyprus are becoming more and more manifest every day. Its deeds speak louder than its words. In order, allegedly, to provide security to the Turkish Cypriots, Turkey invaded Cyprus, violated its independence, sovereignty and territorial integrity, occupied almost 37 per cent of its territory and in the process eliminated a high percentage of its population, at the same time uprooting from their homes and lands in the occupied area almost twice as many Greek Cypriots as the total Turkish Cypriot population of the whole of Cyprus. Of course, the Greek Cypriots, who represent 80 per cent of the total population of Cyprus, have, according to this reasoning, no rights whatsoever to be respected by Turkey and its military forces.
- 37. On the same reasoning, the few intercommunal clashes of the 1960s, which were instigated by Turkey, are intentionally magnified and exploited in order to justify the imposed artificial separation of our people. Echoes of the provocative invective that was used in the past to arouse in Turkish Cypriots feelings of hatred and enmity towards their Greek Cypriot compatriots have been heard in this Chamber, and unfounded allegations about the usurpation of the rights of Turkish Cypriots have been repeated. Closer investigation is more likely to prove that the Turkish Cypriot population has been the victim of its leadership. However, it is

not my intention to go into a long presentation of the many well-known facts. A sample of such facts must suffice.

- 38. Mr. Emin Dirvana, the first ambassador of Turkey to Cyprus—a legal ambassador to the Republic of Cyprus—in an interview given to the Turkish newspaper *Milliyet* on 15 December 1964 revealed Turkish tactics when he spoke clearly about
 - "the irresponsibility of the extremist terrorist Turkish Cypriot leadership in Cyprus, which disregards the true interests of the Turkish community and concentrates on promoting division and friction between the two communities".
- 39. Let Mr. Dirvana now speak about the first bloody incidents in Cyprus—before independence. The following statement by him was also published in *Milliyet*:
 - "I was informed that on 7 June a bomb had been planted in the Turkish Press Office in Nicosia by persons who, as was established later, had nothing to do with the Greek Cypriots. The Turks of Nicosia were then incited 'to be overwhelmed by holy indignation' and perpetrated acts similar to those committed on 6 and 7 September in Istanbul."
- 40. Mr. Fazil Küçük, the Turkish Cypriot Vice-President of Cyprus, wrote the following in his newspaper *Halkin Sesi*, on 29 February 1964, describing the events of 1963:
 - "The Greeks are in a state of distress in the full sense of the word. Starting from Nicosia, in all clashes the Greeks suffered great losses, but they did not give the casualty figures. The Turkish fighters have pledged to fight on until the realization of partition. The attitude of the Turkish minority has been admittedly one of provoking division and instigating armed conflict with the aim of partition."
- 41. The recent revelation in the memoirs of Mr. Tahsin, the Chairman of the Turkish Cypriot Teachers' Union, was really shocking. In the Turkish Cypriot paper Soz, on 21-22 December 1982, he accuses the Turkish Cypriot leadership of being responsible for placing bombs in Turkish mosques and shrines in 1962. Mr. Tahsin said: "There is no one who does not know by now that the bombs placed in the mosques in 1962 were not placed by Greek Cypriots." He further stated that the purpose of the act was to incite the Turkish Cypriots against the Greek Cypriots. Mr. Tahsin accused the TMT, an illegal Turkish organization, of these acts. Mr. Denktas, in an interview in The Times on 20 January 1978, admitted that he himself had formed the TMT.
- 42. Attempts have been made from time to time to present the Cyprus problem merely as a conflict between the two communities in Cyprus. The Turkish side always very carefully steers away from touching

upon the substance of this most serious international problem of aggression and continuing occupation, while at the same time it tries to conceal its expansionist aims regarding Cyprus and the annexation of part or all of Cyprus to Turkey at some opportune time.

- 43. In a recent long interview in the Turkish paper Tercuman of 30 June 1983, Mr. Esenbel, former Secretary-General of the Turkish Foreign Ministry, former Foreign Minister and former Turkish Ambassador to Washington, who also played a role in the Zurich-London Agreements of 1959, revealed Turkey's real intention towards Cyprus, admitting that behind its partitionist designs on Cyprus were its own strategic considerations. Mr. Esenbel explained how Turkey became a party to the Cyprus problem through enormous and patient work. He further stated that Turkey accepted only the proposals that were leaving the door open to partition and rejected all proposals, including a proposal by the then Governor of Cyprus, Sir Hugh Foot, when they realized that "the road leading to partition was a bit closed". They accepted Macmillan's plan only when they were given assurances that the right of separate self-determination could be used, and thus the road to partition could also be used. Mr. Esenbel went even further and revealed that the then Turkish Prime Minister Menderes gave them instructions in Zurich to find a formula for Cyprus which would ensure the possibility of Turkey's security, because "it is becoming obvious that at this stage we will not be able to achieve partition". Referring to the present day, Mr. Esenbel stated that "according to the de facto situation it is clear on the territory itself, and not on paper, as to how the Cyprus problem will be solved".
- 44. Any sufferings of the Turkish Cypriot community can be attributed mainly to Turkey's old and still valid policy aimed at the partition of Cyprus. This has been borne out on many occasions by the periodic reports of the Secretary-General. In his report of 11 March 1965 he said, "the Turkish Cypriot policy of self-isolation has led the community in the opposite direction from normality" [see S/6228, para. 17], and "the community leadership discourages the Turkish Cypriot population from engaging in personal, commercial or other contacts with their Greek Cypriot compatriots, from applying to government offices in administrative matters, or from resettling in their home villages if they are refugees" [ibid., para. 55].
- 45. In his report of 8 December 1966 [S/7611] the Secretary-General stated that for some considerable time the Government had been urging the refugees to return to their homes and that it had repaired or rebuilt an abundant number of Turkish Cypriot houses. However, it was known, the report continued, that the Turkish Cypriot leadership would not allow them to return to their former homes located in Government-controlled areas at the time. To justify this position the Turkish Cypriot leadership stressed considerations of security, although there could be little doubt that there was no

question of security and that the major reason for that attitude was a political one.

- 46. In his report dated 3 June 1969 the Secretary-General states:
 - "Whereas Turkish Cypriots may move freely throughout the island except in a few militarily restricted areas, access to Turkish Cypriot enclaves, a number of Turkish Cypriot villages and certain roads continues to be denied to Greek Cypriots... the Turkish Cypriots have enjoyed full freedom of movement over the whole island for some time, whilst the Greek Cypriots are still prevented from using some of the Republic's main roads." [See S/9233, para. 55.]
- 47. The Turkish Cypriot population, which is also suffering now under the Turkish military occupation, has many times voiced its concern at the separatist and partitionist attitude of the Turkish side. Indicative of that concern is a statement by Mr. Orhan Kahya, the leader of the Turkish Cypriots who were forced to cross to the occupied area of Cyprus in 1975, published in the Turkish Cypriot magazine *Olay* in 1982. He said:
 - "Presently, even the Turkish Cypriot women are exerting efforts to go to their properties in the south and the women are being prevented from doing so. The reason why the people insist on going to the south is that they have properties there. Now they live in misery in the north."
- 48. The leader of the Turkish Cypriot trade union DEV-IS, Mr. Hasan Sarica, denied the allegation that Turks were suffering before 1974, when he stated:

"In pre-1974 years the Turkish Cypriots and the Greek Cypriots worked together in a brotherly manner and the economic position of Turkish workers was excellent. . . . After 1974 the economic position of the Turkish Cypriot workers substantially deteriorated. In pre-1974 Cyprus, the Turkish Cypriots and Greek Cypriots worked together and shared the same economic profits. The conditions of life of the Turkish Cypriots changed after 1974."

This statement was published in the newspaper Birlik on 30 August 1980.

49. The Turkish Cypriot Ministers refused in 1964 to participate in the Council of Ministers of the Republic in order to show, as the then Vice-President, Mr. Küçük put it, "that the Republic was dead" and to promote partition. The agenda of the Council of Ministers continued to be sent to the Turkish Cypriot Ministers for at least one year after they chose not to participate, but they persisted in their refusal. The Turkish Cypriot judges remained in their positions until 1966, but, when they were forced to withdraw on threat of imprisonment by the Turkish leadership, they acted accordingly.

- 50. It is worth mentioning that the Supreme Court, at the time when it was presided over by a Turkish Cypriot judge, decided that the Government of Cyprus was obliged to take measures under the law of necessity to save the State from collapse.
- 51. Many Turkish Cypriots who served in the diplomatic missions of Cyprus abroad chose to remain in their positions until the Turkish invasion of 1974. In the High Commission of the Republic in London, Turkish Cypriots continue to serve, some even after they retired from the public service, having applied for and been granted an extension of their service.
- 52. Despite strong objections by the Turkish leadership, for many years before the invasion there was cooperation among the people themselves, and many Turkish Cypriots were working and co-operating with Greek Cypriots. No intercommunal incidents of any significance took place for seven years before the invasion. During the disgraceful coup d'état against President Archbishop Makarios Greek Cypriots were the only victims; not a single Turkish Cypriot was hurt.
- 53. Attempts to distort the facts as regards the assistance provided to the Turkish Cypriots are easily neutralized by reports of the Secretary-General himself. For example, in his report of 20 May 1971 he stated:

"To meet the acute demand for skilled workers in certain trades, various courses open to Greek and Turkish Cypriots alike have been conducted by the Cyprus Productivity Centre"—an institution funded jointly by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) and the Cyprus Government—"For example, 35 Turkish Cypriots participated in an accelerated training programme ... under the supervision of a Turkish Cypriot instructor especially engaged for the purpose ... Similarly, Turkish Cypriot students have been attending courses at the Higher Technical Institute and the Hotel and Catering Institute, sponsored by the Government and the United Nations Development Programme with the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization and the International Labour Organisation as executing agencies, respectively." [See S/10199, para. 39.]

54. In his report of 22 May 1974, just a few weeks before the invasion, the Secretary-General stated:

"co-operation has been evident in connexion with the Morphou/Tylliria water feasibility study ... The UNDP/FAO livestock production improvement project, the veterinary services project and the Cyprus Productivity Centre ... have maintained services to Turkish Cypriots...

"Turkish Cypriots have also shared in the assistance provided by the industrial development services project of UNDP and the United Nations Industrial Development Organization and by the World Food Programme projects. Turkish Cypriot participation

- in the latter programmes has been as follows: school feeding 17.94 per cent, soil conservation 16 per cent and mixed farming 18.56 per cent." [See S/11294, paras. 45 and 46.]
- 55. Even after the invasion, foreign aid to Cyprus, through the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), the European Economic Community, the World Food Programme and the World Health Organization Fellowship Programme was always distributed to the Turkish Cypriot community in accordance with its population ratio. An example of this is the assistance provided through UNHCR. Take, for instance, the United States assistance to Cyprus through UNHCR from 1974 to 1981. According to a report by the United States Accounting Office of 30 November 1981, \$90.3 million was distributed to the Greek Cypriots and \$20.8 million to the Turkish Cypriots. Taking into consideration the fact—stated in the same report—that \$58.1 million of the amount given to Greek Cypriots was allocated to the housing needs of the Greek Cypriot refugees, the proportion of useful assistance to the Turkish Cypriots is much higher than their population ratio. The distribution of aid to the Turkish Cypriot community continues today, even after the recent provocations. Similar evidence can be produced for all aid to Cyprus.
- 56. Since 1964 Turkish Cypriots have been supplied with 20 million dollars' worth of electricity a year. No charges have been collected for that service, which is thus being supplied at the expense of Greek Cypriot consumers. The Government of the Republic has also been supplying the Turkish Cypriots with gas at subsidized rates, the cost of the subsidy being borne by the Greek Cypriot consumer. Medical care is available to any Turkish Cypriot who asks for it and who is allowed by his leadership to come to the Government-controlled area for treatment, and thousands of serious cases have, in fact, taken advantage of this.
- 57. As regards telecommunications, the Republic has always been prepared to provide the Turkish Cypriots with the full benefits of the telecommunications service, and some do take advantage of the service. There are telephones installed in the occupied area. Two telephone lines are provided to Mr. Denktaş himself. Mr. Denktaş's bills for 1983 exceeded \$30,000 and were paid by the Cyprus Government. All telegrams and letters arriving in Cyprus for the Turkish Cypriots are promptly delivered through the United Nations authorities.
- 58. The first action of many Turkish Cypriots who manage to get out of the occupied area is to visit a Republic of Cyprus embassy or consulate to obtain or renew passports of the Republic of Cyprus in spite of the fact that the régime in the occupied area punishes those who do so. The embassies of Cyprus abroad have issued thousands of passports to Turkish Cypriots since the invasion.

- 59. For many years now the Turkish side has claimed to place the emphasis for the solution of the Cyprus problem on the intercommunal talks. Yet it refused to attend the talks scheduled to resume at the end of May 1983, a date it had agreed to in advance with the United Nations. In reality the Turkish side never wanted, or participated in, substantive talks for the solution of the Cyprus problem. The Turkish position has always been one of delaying tactics and meaningless proposals, thus leading the talks to a virtual impasse, while preparing the ground, through a series of unlawful measures, for secession.
- 60. Even the Turkish Cypriots themselves see that the stalemate at the intercommunal talks was created by the Turkish side. A recent article in the newspaper Milliyet on 14 April 1983, stated, "The intercommunal talks are enabling the Turkish side to gain time and for this reason the continuation of the talks is regarded as beneficial by some." The Turkish Cypriot paper Yeniduzen on 30 August 1983 warned: "No matter what Denktaş says, the world sees the Turkish side as being responsible for the termination of the talks and for the failure of the resumption of the talks, because he puts forward conditions which change daily."
- 61. From time to time they throw out a challenge concerning a high-level meeting. Members will all remember that this happened also last October. Of course, it was accompanied by threats and the setting of time-limits. After consultations with the Secretary-General we accepted the challenge. An announcement was made to that effect by the Secretary-General. In a responsible and quiet way we started working with the acting Special Representative of the Secretary-General in Cyprus on the preparation of the agenda for a meeting that was planned for January of this year. We were already in the second phase of consultations about the agenda when the Special Representative of the Secretary-General, Mr. Hugo Gobbi, returned to Cyprus to pursue the matter further. The day after his return, and without waiting to listen to him, the Turkish side went ahead with the illegal declaration, once more kicking the United Nations and us in the teeth.
- 62. The memory of the international community is not so poor as to allow it to forget that the "exchange of ambassadors" and the preparations for a "constitution", a "referendum", "elections" and so on took place a little before the formal rejection of the latest ideas of the Secretary-General, which included a new meeting between President Kyprianou and Mr. Denktaş. Again, these acts took place at a time when Mr. Gobbi was in Nicosia, in a last effort to rescue the initiative of the Secretary-General.
- 63. Who is responsible for the recent deadlock is eloquently revealed, admittedly in diplomatic language, by the most recent report of the Secretary-General, distributed as document S/16519 of 1 May 1984.
- 64. A Turkish Cypriot leader, Mr. Ozgur, in an interview published in the Turkish Cypriot newspaper

- Yeniduzen on 18 April 1984, a few days before the rejection by the Turkish side of the Secretary-General's initiative, said that Denktaş was trying to "torpedo de Cuellar's initiative", and that if the Turkish side rejected the Secretary-General's proposals Cyprus would face many negative developments. He said further, "A leader who once sowed chauvinism cannot be expected to sow happiness today."
- 65. The developments outlined above speak for themselves. Turkish "faith" and "goodwill" were put to the test and proved to be non-existent. A recent commentator in the 31 March 1984 issue of the Turkish newspaper *Hurriyet* had the courage to say that "various civilian Governments have come and gone, but Turkey has stuck to a policy of no concession [on the Cyprus problem], as was also confirmed by Evren's recent statement".
- 66. Countries with military might probably do not need the protection of the United Nations. Small countries, when faced by aggressive neighbours, can preserve their territorial integrity and security only by reposing their faith in the United Nations, and the Security Council in particular. Small, defenceless countries have no other way. Cyprus is a small, defenceless country that has placed its faith in the United Nations and in the Council.
- 67. It is with grave concern that my Government finds it necessary to return to the Security Council. Turkey is flouting the authority of the United Nations and continuing to violate the sovereignty and territorial integrity of the Republic of Cyprus. In doing so it is challenging the credibility of the United Nations, jeopardizing its effectiveness, usefulness and influence in world affairs.
- 68. On 18 November 1983 the Security Council, mindful of its responsibilities, adopted resolution 541 (1983) in response to the illegal secessionist act of 15 November. Since then the Turkish side has provocatively proceeded to ignore the provisions of that resolution. We express the certainty not only that the Council will reaffirm its resolution 541 (1983), but that it will examine methods to ensure compliance with it. The Council should, further, specifically condemn any new actions contravening resolution 541 (1983) and the threats of colonization of Famagusta and against UNFICYP.
- 69. It is my Government's contention that Turkey's conduct towards the Republic of Cyprus in recent months has given rise to a situation likely to endanger the maintenance of international peace and security. My Government, therefore, appeals to the Security Council to discharge with urgency its responsibilities under the Charter by beginning to consider the adoption of such effective measures as are warranted by the situation.
- 70. The Secretary-General's mandate of good offices should be revitalized and strengthened in order to promote an overall solution of the Cyprus problem. The

Charter and the provisions of the relevant United Nations resolutions are twin beacons that can light his path and ours.

- 71. The Council is being asked to save Cyprus. But in asking the Council to save Cyprus we are asking the Council to do justice to many other countries. For, if the Security Council does not protect Cyprus, if it does not reverse the trends, and if the policy of partition succeeds, what will be the future of multicommunal and multiconfessional societies around the world? How many countries will survive in their present form if the standards being imposed by Turkey on Cyprus are applied? In asking the Council to do justice to us we are asking it to do justice to the world and to the principles of the United Nations.
- 72. The PRESIDENT [interpretation from Russian]: The representative of Turkey has asked to speak, and I now call on him.

- 73. Mr. KIRCA (Turkey) [interpretation from French]: At a time when there is serious reason to believe that there may be hope, I have listened with great consternation to the inopportune statement of the representative of the Greek Cypriot administration. He has once again entered a field in which it is very easy to beat him. His arguments have long been known to us and we have demolished them many times.
- 74. We have a proverb that says, "The lie lasts no longer than the candle, from morning to night, from night to morning"—as Figaro said.
- 75. I do not think that that statement merits any response. I am determined not to follow his assiduous efforts, which have no other purpose than to torpedo any chance of a resumption of negotiations and of the search for a final solution—at this time, I repeat, when great opportunities exist.

The meeting rose at 1.15 p.m.

Leger control of control of the cont

The Ministry West States and Constitution of the states of

the a street of the company the company of the comp

化压制 医环状形态 的复数遗址 有效的复数 未抵债人 医抗病性 人

The artist of the Control of the American

ens e El Plus anno gairraid

كيفية العصول على منشورات الامم المتحدة

如何购取联合国出版物

联合国出版物在全世界各地的书店和经售处均有发售。请向书店询问或写信到纽约或日内瓦的联合国销售组。

HOW TO OBTAIN UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATIONS

United Nations publications may be obtained from bookstores and distributors throughout the world. Consult your bookstore or write to: United Nations, Sales Section, New York or Geneva.

COMMENT SE PROCURER LES PUBLICATIONS DES NATIONS UNIES

Les publications des Nations Unies sont en vente dans les librairies et les agences dépositaires du monde entier. Informez-vous auprès de votre libraire ou adressez-vous à : Nations Unies, Section des ventes. New York ou Genève.

как получить издания организации объединенных нации

Издания Организации Объединенных Наций можно купить в книжных магазинах и агентствах во всех районах мира. Наводите справки об изданиях в вашем книжном магазине или пишите по адресу: Организация Объединенных Наций, Секция по продаже изданий, Нью-Йорк или Женева.

COMO CONSEGUIR PUBLICACIONES DE LAS NACIONES UNIDAS

Las publicaciones de las Naciones Unidas están en venta en librerías y casas distribuidoras en todas partes del mundo. Consulte a su librero o dirijase a: Naciones Unidas, Sección de Ventas, Nueva York o Ginebra.