

UNITED NATIONS

006 39 57



# SECURITY COUNCIL

## OFFICIAL RECORDS

UN LIBRARY

JUN 5 1985

THIRTY-FIRST YEAR

UN/Sec. Office

# 1956<sup>th</sup>

MEETING: 28 SEPTEMBER 1976

NEW YORK

### CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Provisional agenda (S/Agenda/1956) .....	1
Adoption of the agenda .....	1
The situation in Namibia .....	1

#### NOTE

Symbols of United Nations documents are composed of capital letters combined with figures. Mention of such a symbol indicates a reference to a United Nations document.

Documents of the Security Council (symbol S/ . . .) are normally published in quarterly *Supplements* of the *Official Records of the Security Council*. The date of the document indicates the supplement in which it appears or in which information about it is given.

The resolutions of the Security Council, numbered in accordance with a system adopted in 1964, are published in yearly volumes of *Resolutions and Decisions of the Security Council*. The new system, which has been applied retroactively to resolutions adopted before 1 January 1965, became fully operative on that date.

## 1956th MEETING

Held in New York, on Tuesday, 28 September 1976, at 3 p.m.

*President:* Mr. Mansur Rashid KIKHIA (Libyan Arab Republic).

*Present:* The representatives of the following States: Benin, China, France, Guyana, Italy, Japan, Libyan Arab Republic, Pakistan, Panama, Romania, Sweden, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United Republic of Tanzania, United States of America.

### Provisional agenda (S/Agenda/1956)

1. Adoption of the agenda
2. The situation in Namibia

*The meeting was called to order at 4.05 p.m.*

#### Adoption of the agenda

*The agenda was adopted.*

#### The situation in Namibia

1. The PRESIDENT: In accordance with the decisions taken by the Council at its 1954th meeting, I invite the President and the other members of the United Nations Council for Namibia to take places at the Council table.

*At the invitation of the President, Mr. Kamana (President of the United Nations Council for Namibia) and the other members of the delegation took places at the Council table and Mr. Rasolondraibe (Madagascar) took the place reserved for him at the side of the Council chamber.*

2. The PRESIDENT: Since the Council's last consideration of this item, on 31 August [1954th meeting], letters have been addressed to the President of the Security Council by the representatives of Kenya, Malawi, Mauritius and Morocco in which they have requested to be invited to participate in the discussion, without the right to vote. Accordingly, I propose, if I hear no objection, to invite these representatives to participate in the discussion, without the right to vote, in accordance with the provisions of Article 31 of the Charter and rule 37 of the provisional rules of procedure.

3. I invite those representatives to take the places reserved for them at the side of the Council chamber,

on the understanding that they will be invited to take places at the Council table when it is their turn to speak.

*At the invitation of the President, Mr. Maina (Kenya), Mr. Muwamba (Malawi), Mr. Ramphul (Mauritius) and Mr. El-Mokri (Morocco) took the places reserved for them at the side of the Council chamber.*

4. The PRESIDENT: I wish to inform the members of the Council that I have received a letter dated 27 September from the representatives of Benin, the Libyan Arab Republic and the United Republic of Tanzania [S/12205]. The letter reads as follows:

"We have the honour to request that in the course of the Council's consideration of the question 'The situation in Namibia', an invitation under rule 39 of the provisional rules of procedure of the Council be extended to Mr. Sam Nujoma, President of the South West Africa People's Organization (SWAPO) of Namibia."

If I hear no objection, I shall take it that the Council agrees to that request.

*It was so decided.*

5. The PRESIDENT: I should like to draw attention to a number of recent documents that are relevant to the present discussion. Documents S/12185 and S/12201 contain communications dated 20 August and 14 September respectively from the Acting President of the United Nations Council for Namibia. Documents S/12180 and S/12202 contain letters from the representatives of South Africa dated 18 August and 15 September. Document S/12188 contains a letter dated 24 August from the representative of Sri Lanka, transmitting the text of a resolution concerning Namibia adopted by the Conference of Heads of State or Government of Non-Aligned countries. Finally, document S/12195 contains the text of a letter dated 30 August, transmitting a message to the Council from the President of the Republic of Guinea.

6. The first speaker is the representative of Zambia, who will speak in his capacity as the President of the United Nations Council for Namibia.

7. Mr. KAMANA (President of the United Nations Council for Namibia): Mr. President, allow me to

express my appreciation for the opportunity given to the delegation of the United Nations Council for Namibia to address the Security Council during its consideration of the situation in Namibia. It is, indeed, a great pleasure and satisfaction for the delegation to see you, the Ambassador of the Libyan Arab Republic, presiding over the Council, as it once again considers measures in support of Namibian self-determination and independence. Your extraordinary talents and your diplomatic skill will certainly be invaluable in the consideration of this important African problem. Moreover, you represent a country which is in the forefront of the struggle of colonial countries to achieve self-determination and independence.

8. We meet here today to consider what is clearly a decisive moment in the destiny of Namibia. The refusal of South Africa to meet the demands and conditions specified in Security Council resolution 385 (1976) constitutes a challenge to the United Nations which must be met with the full weight of the authority and prestige of this organization.

9. Since 1967, the United Nations, by establishing the Council for Namibia, has been irrevocably committed to assisting the people of Namibia in achieving self-determination, freedom, and national independence in a united Namibia.

10. During this last decade, the United Nations has ceaselessly pursued, in accordance with the means available to it, every initiative which could bring closer the day on which the Namibian people will join the international community as citizens of a united and independent Namibia. The resolutions of the General Assembly and of the Security Council have systematically demanded South African withdrawal from the Territory which it illegally occupies and exploits. These resolutions have, furthermore, continually appealed to Member States to exercise whatever pressure was in their power to force South Africa to abide by the decisions of the United Nations. Throughout this decade, the issue of Namibia has become the cause of Namibia.

11. At the same time that the United Nations drew the attention of the international community to the illegal presence of South Africa in Namibia, the Namibian people began their armed struggle for national liberation. Since August 1966, the Namibian people, led by their national liberation movement, SWAPO, have increasingly raised the costs of South African occupation of their Territory by their determination, courage and self-sacrifice.

12. Throughout this decade, the liberation struggle has tested the will of the Namibian people to achieve self-determination, freedom and national independence. The efforts of the Namibian patriots have received support and assistance from friendly countries, and the cause of Namibian independence has gained increasingly in legitimacy through the efforts of the United Nations.

13. What has been the attitude of South Africa? The Pretoria régime has refused to listen to the voice of reason and has persistently rejected the demands of the international community to recognize the right of the people of Namibia to self-determination, freedom and independence. But it has gone even further. It has unleashed during this decade one of the most vicious and repressive campaigns of State terrorism of the century. It has imposed on the Namibian people its odious system of *apartheid*. It has displaced the African population from the lands of their ancestors so that its supporters could more efficiently plunder the wealth of the Territory, which was not theirs. It has not hesitated to murder unarmed men, women and children if that was thought to serve their purposes. All protests of the international community have been of no avail. While they felt secure behind the "cordon sanitaire" of Portuguese colonialism, they carried out their cruel policies without any regard for the views of the majority of the members of the international community.

14. But history catches up even with the most reactionary forces in human society. The collapse of the colonial empire under the protection of which it pursued its reckless policies has exposed the true nature of the illegal South African administration in Namibia. There are no longer any safe boundaries. The struggle of the Namibian people gains in intensity as more and more assistance is made available to them.

15. In attempting to pursue its colonialist and racist policies, South Africa has resorted to the increasing militarization of Namibia. The concentration of military power in Namibia has served to carry out armed attacks against neighbouring countries with the purpose of intimidating African Governments and peoples which support the cause of Namibian self-determination and independence. Such military adventurism is self-defeating. All African peoples are totally committed to the final eradication of all forms of colonialism and racism from the African continent. In this endeavour they are receiving the active and increasing support of all the peace-loving peoples of the world.

16. The determination of neighbouring countries to support the right of the people of Namibia to self-determination, freedom and independence in a united Namibia is transparently clear from all recent statements made by the leadership of those countries. The joint communiqués [S/12201, annexes I to III] issued after the consultations of the Mission to Africa of the United Nations Council for Namibia with the authorities of Angola, Botswana and Zambia also reaffirm their commitment to an independent Namibia under the leadership of the Namibian people's liberation movement, SWAPO. The Mission of the Council to Latin America also received the full support of the Governments of Peru, Brazil and Venezuela for the cause of an independent Namibia.

The manifestations of support which the Council obtained through its visiting missions are representative of the world-wide commitment to the cause of the Namibian people in their struggle for self-determination, freedom and independence.

17. In January 1976 the Security Council unanimously adopted resolution 385 (1976), by which it once again demanded South African withdrawal and specified the conditions laid down for a peaceful transfer of power to the authentic representatives of the Namibian people. A deadline was set for 31 August of this year in order to obtain a response from the South African Government. What has been the response of South Africa? Once again the Pretoria régime is making use of misleading stratagems to disguise its intention of perpetuating its colonial and racist control. It has convened a so-called constitutional conference where hand-picked tribal elements and other supporters of *apartheid* have the audacity to claim to be representatives of the Namibian people and prepare formulas that, under a false independence, would ensure the consolidation of racist policies and the plunder of Namibian resources by South Africa and its allies. These manoeuvres have been carried out with complete disregard of SWAPO, the authentic representative of the Namibian people, recognized by the Organization of African Unity, by the non-aligned movement and by the United Nations.

18. The United Nations Council for Namibia has solemnly condemned such vicious manoeuvres in the strongest possible terms. In a statement regarding the so-called proposals of South Africa on the future of Namibia [S/12185, annex] the United Nations Council for Namibia strongly condemned the latest ill-advised stratagem of the South African administration in Windhoek as totally lacking in legitimacy, ambiguous and equivocal. The statement further indicated that the proposal of the so-called Constitutional Conference did not even approach any of the requirements for genuine self-determination and independence laid down by the United Nations. It stated that those proposals made no mention of the illegitimate *apartheid* legislation. They merely sought to perpetuate the homelands policies with all their deleterious effects on the integrity and unity of the Namibian people. The statement pointed out that the proposals were also silent about free elections under United Nations supervision and control. There was no undertaking to release political prisoners or to allow the return of political exiles. The date suggested, that is, 31 December 1978, constituted an unjustifiable prolongation of the illegal South African occupation. Finally, the statement of the Council pointed out that the reference to "unity" was couched in ambiguous terms without specific recognition of the territorial integrity of Namibia as a unitary State.

19. The Security Council established a deadline for the South African Government to meet its conditions for a peaceful transfer of power in Namibia. The

South African Government has not met that deadline, and there are no indications that it is willing to accept the conditions laid down by the Council in resolution 385 (1976). It is thus clear that a decade of continuous demands by the General Assembly and the Council have had no effect but to whet South African appetites for greater control over the Namibian people and an increasingly ruthless exploitation of the natural resources of the Territory.

20. Are we to pursue this procedure much longer? The resolutions of the General Assembly and the Security Council, with the benefit of hindsight, appear as not much more than pious appeals for compliance to a régime which has continuously challenged the overwhelming majority of world opinion.

21. More and more, the Namibian people show their determination to carry out the struggle for the liberation of Namibia by all available means. More and more, the thrust of the liberation struggle threatens to engulf southern Africa in a confrontation with world-wide implications. The Security Council must consider the application of the mandatory sanctions which are outlined in Chapter VII of the Charter as an alternative to even more far-reaching implications of the liberation struggle.

22. Any illusions about the outcome of the liberation struggle in Namibia will be paid for with the lives of countless Namibian patriots and will entail grave implications for the peace and security of southern Africa as a whole. Namibia will be free. The Namibian people will fight until the final goals of national liberation are attained. Let no one underestimate the will and determination of the Namibian people in their struggle for self-determination, freedom and independence.

23. The aspirations of a people to be master of its own destiny, recognized by the international community in the Charter of the United Nations, in the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples and in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, are a historical force against which the mystifications of colonialism and racism cannot prevail.

24. The PRESIDENT: The next speaker is the representative of Mauritius, who will speak on behalf of the Acting Chairman of the Organization of African Unity. I accordingly invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

25. Mr. RAMPHUL (Mauritius): Mr. President, I thank you and, through you, the members of the Security Council for allowing me to participate in this meeting. I feel proud that we are meeting under the presidency of a beloved African brother.

26. At the outset I wish to reassure members of the Council: I am not about to make a dramatic statement.

Nor shall I be imposing on them any Churchillian style oratory for their entertainment—or should I say amusement. I shall not be quoting Shakespeare nor shall I be quoting *in extenso* from previous statements or boring them by reciting already well-read reports from the international press. As usual, I shall speak seriously and with sincerity.

27. Certain reports have reached me through various channels. While I find these reports rather disturbing from a purely African point of view, I prefer to seek clarifications on them at this stage before I advise the current Chairman of the Organization of African Unity and before I make a substantive statement on the question of Namibia.

28. My views on Namibia are well known internationally, and in particular at the United Nations. I shall not repeat them here, but I do recall them. Some are to be found in my statements to the Security Council on 30 September 1971 [1587th meeting], 28 November 1972 [1678th meeting], 21 October 1974 [1797th meeting] and 27 January 1976 [1880th meeting]. Others are to be found in the records of the General Assembly.

29. Today I propose to raise some pertinent questions to which I hope prompt answers will be forthcoming—they could be denials, confirmations or comments. The response can only help this Council to reach a just conclusion.

30. Southern Africa is becoming the target of greatly increased intervention on the military, economic and political levels, particularly on the part of those who, we are told, are mediating impartially between the racist régimes and the Africans—both of the countries concerned and those elsewhere in Africa. Is it true that under the pretext of meeting with Mr. Vorster in a neutral place the United States Secretary of State, Mr. Kissinger, has managed to involve the chief representative of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), General Alexander Haig, in the so-called dialogue? If so, it is not surprising that Africans should be asking themselves, first, whether all the NATO countries are in agreement with this involvement so soon after the Foreign Ministers' meeting in Oslo in May which decided that member countries should not use NATO as a shield from political fall-out from defence links with South Africa. Secondly, we are asking about the real meaning of Mr. Kissinger's venture into the southern African issue, where the chief NATO representative is reported to be secretly present at meetings with the Prime Minister of South Africa at a time of acute internal unrest inside South Africa. In answer to our questions we should like to have a response at this Council meeting from each of the member countries of NATO, and we invite the United States delegation to comment.

31. An even more serious issue has been raised with regard to the promises made by Mr. Kissinger to

Mr. Vorster during the Zurich meetings. Is there any truth in the reports that Mr. Kissinger proposed the creation of an army in Namibia which would be created and trained from the beginning by United States military personnel, equipped by the United States and financed by the United States for at least 10 years following the departure of the South African army? All of this is, we understand, related to the puppet Government led by Mr. Clemens Kapuuo, who, we were told, is advised at the South Africans' Turnhalle Conference by highly paid American lawyers, Mr. Stewart Schwarz and Mr. Arnold Burns. Since Mr. Burns has himself stated that the South African Government is paying the fees of all the lawyers except those representing Mr. Kapuuo, we may wonder whether the United States Government is directly or indirectly paying Burns and Schwarz. It seems, in any case, that the greater part of the money to pay for setting up a puppet government acceptable to the South African régime is to come from the United States. We have received reports that the United States Government proposed at Zurich to give rapid and large-scale financial assistance to Namibia, with the aim of transforming it into a highly capitalized economy within a short time after the installation of a puppet Turnhalle Government. I invite the United States delegation to comment.

32. Yet another point which is reported to have been made at Zurich is even more alarming for Africa, namely, that the United States, in certain circumstances, would publicly guarantee South Africa's own frontiers in return for what has been described as a manipulated solution to the Namibian and also the Zimbabwean issues. If so, this would, to my mind, constitute great-Power intervention in African affairs, which is completely intolerable, and we respectfully request an explanation from the United States representative.

33. Standing behind the United States, as has been widely reported, is the Government of the United Kingdom. It is therefore imperative that we also examine the motives behind that country's interest in a settlement, especially in Namibia. It seems to me that the United Kingdom Government is totally committed to the interests of the Rio Tinto Zinc Company, which has invested massively in the Rossing uranium deposits in Namibia and is about to bring the mine into production, in partnership with the South African Government. I am informed that since 1970 the United Kingdom Government has held major purchasing contracts with Rossing for uranium, thereby, in my opinion, and in the opinion of others, mortgaging its foreign policy and even its own energy policy to the South African occupation of Namibia, or some puppet government which the South Africans would help to set up, now with the financial and military support, as it would seem of the United States. I beg for a comment from the representative of the United Kingdom.

34. The Summit Meeting of the Organization of African Unity, held in Mauritius in July this year, strongly condemned nuclear collaboration with South Africa, which includes the development of that country's uranium deposits both in the Republic and in Namibia. Such collaboration is understood to be a hostile act against all of Africa, and the Organization of African Unity has called on the Government of France to rescind its decision to sell nuclear reactors to South Africa and on the other Western countries, as well as Japan and Israel, to terminate all nuclear collaboration with South Africa. We should remember that, in addition to the United Kingdom, France, Japan, the United States and the Federal Republic of Germany are deeply involved in promoting the exploitation of the Rossing uranium mine by their active participation in its development or their large purchasing contracts for Namibian uranium. I may recall also in this connexion that the Organization of African Unity recommended that its members take strong measures against transnational corporations collaborating with South Africa in the nuclear and military fields.

35. I implore the representatives of the States I have mentioned to clarify the position of their respective Governments in clear, unambiguous terms at an early stage of this debate, so that African Members and others may take the views of those representatives' Governments into consideration when making their statements. I have no doubt that we shall be provided with an opportunity to express our appreciation of their co-operation.

36. Mr. ALLADAYE (Benin) (*interpretation from French*): Mr. President, I am very pleased to convey to you my delegation's warm congratulations on your assumption of the lofty responsibilities of President of the Council for the month of September. Your young and dynamic country, under the enlightened leadership of President Khadafi, our brother and friend, valiantly conducts a resolutely anti-imperialist policy for the total liberation of the Libyan people from shackles of foreign domination. The positions taken by the new Libya and the political, diplomatic and material assistance it extends to all the peoples that are still under colonial domination and occupation are also praiseworthy.

37. Mr. President, my country has followed with great interest and satisfaction your unstinting and excellent contribution to the work of this Council for the period during which you have been representing your country. Your subtle diplomatic skill, your devotion to the defence of just causes have proved of valuable assistance in the search for solutions of the numerous thorny problems that today confront the international community. I wished by these brief comments to recall my delegation's satisfaction at seeing you presiding over the Council's debate on the important question of the decolonization of Namibia.

38. For 91 years the people of Namibia has been subjected to one of the most bloodthirsty and barbaric colonial régimes, to a shameless over-exploitation of its resources, to political oppression and a policy of extermination.

39. For 91 years first the German colonial régime and then the Pretoria racist régime have organized and practised a systematic policy of intimidation and genocide against the Namibian people to perpetuate their domination over that Territory. Can we forget that already on 20 October 1904 the people of Namibia was the victim of one of the first acts of genocide in memory when 65,000 of its sons were coldly massacred by the German colonial régime? Can we forget the heinous massacres committed in cold blood by the South African army, which savagely killed men, women and children in the south of Namibia in 1922? Can we forget the massacres of 1959 in Windhoek and of 1968 in the African villages of the Caprivi Strip?

40. But, despite these barbaric acts, the determination of the Namibian people to live free and happy on its ancestral soil has never ceased to assert itself. For 91 years the people of Namibia has been valiantly resisting and struggling single-mindedly against first its German oppressors and then its racist South African oppressors.

41. However, we must recognize that it was not until the birth of SWAPO that this struggle of the Namibian people reached a truly decisive turning-point. Indeed, since the birth of SWAPO the Namibian patriots, now mobilized and organized, have dealt unceasing and ever more lethal blows to the structure of South African colonialism in Namibia. Today, under the just guidance of SWAPO, the people have constantly won victory after victory over their enemies, and the feverish agitation which we see today in imperialist circles is but the reflection of the striking victories of the Namibian people and the imminent collapse of the South African clique.

42. The sacrifice to which the Namibian people has consented is great; the sacrifice made by its men, its women and its children, who have agreed to struggle and to die for the freedom of their oppressed people, is worthy of our respect. My delegation would like here to pay a tribute to the Namibian men, women and children who have died as martyrs to colonialist atrocities. We should also like to pay a tribute to the Angolan, Zambian and Mozambican peoples, who, despite the reprisals of South African military forces, have never ceased to support the Namibian cause, a just cause which will triumph, thanks to the sacrifices of the Namibian people.

43. For decades the peoples of the world that prize peace and justice have anxiously followed the distressing problem of Namibia and have supported the Namibian people both materially and politically in its

struggle for its freedom, dignity and national independence.

44. The General Assembly has adopted 103 resolutions on Namibia, the Security Council has adopted 16. The International Court of Justice has handed down four advisory opinions and one judgement on this question. This means that this question has been of constant concern to the international community. That is quite normal because, apart from its specifically inhuman nature, it is a permanent threat to international peace and security. The illegal occupation of the Territory of Namibia by South Africa, in violation of the relevant provisions of the Charter, in violation of the historic General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV) on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, and above all in violation of resolution 2145 (XXI), by which the General Assembly terminated South Africa's Mandate over Namibia, is an open act of aggression against the Namibian people. The utilization by the South African Fascist and racist régime of the Territory as a base for aggression against the neighbouring African States is also a grave threat to peace and security in that region of the world.

45. Today people are busily running here and there, bemoaning the unfortunate fate of the peoples of Namibia and trying to find inspired solutions in order to put an end to the shedding of blood in Namibia. But whom would they deceive? From where does South Africa derive its obstinacy, its arrogance and its constant defiance of the international community? From whom does South Africa receive the powerful war material that it uses to massacre the peoples of Namibia and to perpetrate acts of provocation and aggression against the neighbouring African States?

46. Today the imperialist West is at bay, submerged in the flames of revolutionary war, and it is attempting by subtle delaying tactics to safeguard its trade interests and to prevent the Namibian people from enjoying the fruits of its struggle. If the imperialist West sincerely wants peace to be restored to that part of the world, without further pointless bloodshed, we are certain that the peoples of southern Africa are ready to study with it the conditions for that restoration of peace. But, first and foremost, NATO and the States members of that organization must stop supplying war material to the Fascist and racist Vorster régime; France must stop delivering nuclear reactors to South Africa; the United Kingdom must stop supplying military electronic material to the Fascist and racist Vorster régime; the Western Powers must stop giving economic support to the Fascist and racist Vorster régime. If the imperialist West sincerely wants peace to be restored to that part of the world, it will have to renounce once and for all its easily-seen-through plan to turn South Africa into an imperialist base surrounded by buffer puppet States, from which it could continue to keep a close watch on the independent African States of the region.

47. As for the fascist, racist, puppet Vorster clique, if it sincerely wants peace to be restored in Namibia, it will have to withdraw its troops of aggression from Namibia immediately and without prior conditions; to free all the Namibian patriots who are now political prisoners; to undertake to respect the territorial integrity of Namibia.

48. It is only on those conditions that the United Nations will be able to assume the responsibilities entrusted to it by the international community—that is, to organize free consultations leading to the establishment of democratic institutions in Namibia.

49. My delegation fears that if those conditions are not fulfilled, the Namibian people, under the direction of SWAPO, will have no recourse but to continue the armed struggle as long as is necessary, in order to gain its freedom and national independence, for everyone knows that the dignity and freedom of a people are not negotiable.

50. These are the few comments my delegation deemed it appropriate to make at this stage of the discussion of the question of Namibia.

51. The PRESIDENT (*interpretation from French*): I sincerely thank the Minister for Foreign Affairs of Benin for the kind words he addressed to me. As representative of Libya, I wish to assure him of the warm friendship and indefectible solidarity of my country with his country. I can assure him of our determination to continue our joint struggle to free Africa.

*[The speaker continued in English.]*

52. The next speaker is the representative of Malawi, the current Chairman of the African Group of States in the United Nations. I invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

53. Mr. MUWAMBA (Malawi): Permit me, Mr. President, as the Chairman of the African Group for the current month, to convey to you and through you to the members of the Council our sincere thanks for affording us, as a group, the opportunity to participate in the resumed debate on Namibia. In addition, this being the first time that I have addressed the Council since your assumption of the presidency, I wish also to congratulate you on your assumption of that high responsibility. I must hasten to declare my own and my Group's interest in seeing you preside over the Council and therefore to assure you of our fullest support and co-operation during the remainder of your term of office which, incidentally, is fast running out. But, knowing how you apply yourself to the activities of this Organization, it is our sincere belief, that within the short space of time that remains a lot may be accomplished.

54. The African Group wishes to record its thanks and indebtedness to your predecessor and to the

Council for their noble efforts to give positive expression to paragraph 12 of resolution 385 (1976), which called upon the Council

“to remain seized of the matter and to meet on or before 31 August 1976 for the purpose of reviewing South Africa’s compliance with the terms of this resolution and, in the event of non-compliance by South Africa, for the purpose of considering the appropriate measures to be taken under the Charter.”

55. The African Group notes with great satisfaction that the Council, supported by the entire international community, has declined to recognize not only the so-called Windhoek constitutional talks, but also the recommendation that has emerged from that source to the effect that Namibia be granted independence on 31 December 1978.

56. In this connexion the African Group was impressed by the Secretary-General’s initial reaction to the South African Government’s communication, when he said, “These proposals fall far short of the essential conditions stipulated by the United Nations.”<sup>1</sup> We have taken to heart his warning that until South Africa abandoned its unilateral approach and co-operated with the United Nations no solution to the question of Namibia would be found.

57. During the past few weeks my colleagues and I have had occasion to look at the massive documentation on the various arguments that have been presented either before the Council or in other organs of the United Nations, and the African Group has been intrigued by the persistent international acceptance and recognition of the following facts: that Namibia is an international possession; that South Africa’s Mandate there was revoked several years ago and therefore its continued administration of that Territory is illegal; that South Africa’s use of the Territory as a military base is both unwarranted and unlawful; that its decision to extend to Namibia the *apartheid* policies of its own Government are not conducive to Namibia’s progress to independence; and that South Africa’s continued refusal to hand over the Territory to the United Nations has tremendously contributed to South Africa’s present self-inflicted ostracism from the international community and as a result it is being treated as though it were an international political leper.

58. South Africa has always claimed to be an African country, and, as far as I am aware, nobody on the surface of God’s earth has denied that claim. Unfortunately, what the South African Government has done and continues to do in Namibia is very un-African. Therefore the fight in Namibia, and even in South Africa itself, is not a fight between black and white but rather against the system of government which is ordained to frustrate the legitimate aspirations of the majority of the population of both countries.

59. The African Group recalls that the South African Government not only claims to be an African Government but also participated fully in the drafting of the United Nations Charter, which, incidentally, forms the basis of some 103 resolutions of the General Assembly as well as 16 resolutions of the Security Council adopted over the years on Namibia alone.

60. Because South Africa makes so much of its historical origin and because it is a founding Member of the United Nations, it behoves it to heed the myriad international appeals that have been made to it year in and year out to co-operate with the United Nations in resolving the Namibian question. The African Group is of the view that resolution 385 (1976) provides an excellent basis for South Africa to co-operate with the international community and to cease behaving as though it were beleaguered.

61. In the African Group we believe strongly that Africa has great mineral and human resources whose exploitation and development are being impeded by the present attitude of the South African Government. The African Group believes that the *apartheid* policies of South Africa are divisive and that as a result, they have undermined the development of a cohesive African personality and leadership. Therefore the release by South Africa with the minimum of delay of the international Territory of Namibia in keeping with resolution 385 (1976) would not only facilitate free elections under United Nations control and supervision but would also be indicative of its willingness to accept the popular demand of the international community in general and of the people of Namibia in particular. No doubt this is what Western democracy is all about—Western democracy, in which the Government of South Africa claims to have been reared.

62. It will be recalled that for more than a decade now the African Group has always allied itself with the international community in the task of attempting to facilitate a peaceful transition to independence for the international Territory of Namibia. In that practical alliance the African Group has come out in full support of all United Nations resolutions on that Territory which have had the effect of lessening tension in that country. It will further be recalled that each time these resolutions have been adopted, they have had the effect of reducing tension in the area because they have tended to inspire the Namibians. These resolutions have always called for, among other things—and I quote from the statement made on behalf of the Secretary-General on Namibia Day:

“... the withdrawal of South Africa’s administration from Namibia; an end to South Africa’s attempts to compromise the unity and territorial integrity of Namibia through devices such as the establishment of homelands, the creation of the necessary political conditions for the people of Namibia to exercise their right to self-determination and national indepen-

dence free of any constraint, and, to that end, the abrogation of all repressive and discriminatory laws, the release of political prisoners and the right of return of all political exiles; constitutional arrangements based on the freely expressed wishes of the people of Namibia, including SWAPO, within the framework of a single, undivided State; and United Nations supervision of the implementation of any constitutional arrangements, including the holding of elections.<sup>21</sup>

63. These are conditions which have over the years reflected the genuine aspirations of the people of Namibia and have been supported unconditionally by the African Group. In view of their popularity and general acceptance by the people for whom they are intended, the South African Government should and must be prevailed upon by the Council, even at this late hour, to accept them and act accordingly in the interest of international peace and stability. To ignore these popular demands and legitimate aspirations is both undemocratic and inhuman.

64. The African Group is of the view that fear of the black majority by the South African Government has no basis whatsoever. In fact, the South African Government should be the first to concede that the blacks have been known, throughout the African continent, to be hospitable, tolerant and even docile. It is that docility which the former colonial Powers exploited to the full in their relations with colonial Africa.

65. In regard to the Namibian saga, the international community has never had occasion to recognize the South African Government as a colonial Power despite the fact that it was entrusted with the administration of the Territory by the League of Nations. Therefore, South Africa's refusal to move out of that Territory and eliminate the present political tension in the area must have baffled even the few friends it may have on the international scene.

66. Sight should not be lost of the fact that the black leaders who have championed the Namibian cause have gone to great pains in appealing to the South African Government to respect the requirements for Namibia's peaceful transition to independence and self-determination. These friendly appeals have also fallen on deaf ears. Consequently, those dedicated leaders have now been forced to back the armed struggle spearheaded by SWAPO, which appears to be the only language that colonial Powers have been known to understand and appreciate. But bloodshed could be avoided in Namibia if the South African Government persuaded itself to work with the Council and move rapidly towards implementation of all measures which have been spelled out by the General Assembly and the Council which are regarded as imperative for Namibia's peaceful transition to freedom and independence.

67. Finally, permit me to record my Group's abiding faith in the Council's ability to find an immediate solution aimed at making it possible for the people of Namibia, under their elected leadership, in free elections controlled and supervised by this important organ of the United Nations, to take their seat here in the United Nations before the end of the thirty-first regular session of the General Assembly.

68. The PRESIDENT: Before calling on the next speaker, I should like, on behalf of the Council, to welcome the presence at the Council table today of the Foreign Ministers of Benin, Pakistan and the United Republic of Tanzania.

69. The next speaker is Mr. Sam Nujoma, leader of SWAPO, to whom the Council decided earlier at this meeting to extend an invitation in accordance with rule 39 of the provisional rules of procedure. I now invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

70. Mr. NUJOMA: I thank you, Mr. President, and the other representatives around this table for allowing our movement once again to participate in an crucial debate about our country.

71. May I, on behalf of the Central Committee of SWAPO for Namibia, the People's Liberation Army of Namibia and our embattled people, join the United Nations community in expressing heartfelt grief and sincere condolences to the delegation of the People's Republic of China at the death of Chairman Mao Tse-tung, whose service to China and humanity has been, and will eternally remain, illustrious and monumental. His revolutionary leadership and his teachings rooted in the experience of the masses of his country have become a model that is being emulated by all those engaged today in similar struggles, not least the people of Namibia, under the leadership of their vanguard SWAPO.

72. The Security Council, which is charged with the primary responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security in the world, has been reconvened today to consider and to translate into practical, positive action the relevant provisions of resolution 385 (1976).

73. It is very clear to us, and it should be equally clear to everybody, especially to the members of the Council, that the illegal colonial régime which occupies our country by means of brute force has, once again, flouted the clear and categorical demand of this Council. True to type, South Africa has defied the authority of the Council, which had urged that régime to make a solemn declaration committing itself to withdraw its illegal administration from our country so that the people of Namibia might accede to freedom and national independence. This is the situation that this august body is faced with today.

74. The whole world is now watching your deliberations, and you are expected to take stern and courageous steps to enforce your demand that South Africa get out of Namibia. There is no other course. SWAPO has said time and time again that the Vorster régime has no intention whatsoever of leaving Namibia, despite the clear and repeated demands of the United Nations that it do so. The occupation régime must be told now, in no uncertain terms, that the game is up. Nobody can still say at this juncture that the Pretoria régime needs more time to comply with and accept the clear demands of the people of Namibia and the international community. Let us all be sincere and honest if we want together to bring about a genuine independence that will provide liberation and better opportunities for all the people of Namibia.

75. I submit here that from now on the Namibian issue must be discussed in the Council only within the context of Chapter VII of the Charter. Everything else has been tried—not once, but many times—and we have got nowhere.

76. SWAPO has prepared a special issue of the *Namibia News* for this debate; we have made a copy of that publication available to each one of the members of the Council. That publication catalogues the acts of political repression and other crimes perpetrated against the Namibian people by the hated illegal, colonial administration in Namibia since January of this year.

77. The same régime, which is brutalizing and murdering people in Namibia daily, has unleashed a new wave of maiming and killing of school children, youth and other innocent people in South Africa. SWAPO joins the whole peace-loving community of the world in condemning these dastardly acts. SWAPO extends the comradely hand of solidarity and support to the heroic militants of South Africa. Their cause, like ours, is just, and their victory, like ours, is certain.

78. The Vorster régime cannot pretend to be sincere and honest elsewhere when, in fact, it is not serious at all on the question of Namibia, in which that régime is directly involved as a colonial and illegal occupation force. South Africa must never be allowed to evade the authority and clear demands of the United Nations regarding Namibia. It was through the resolutions and decisions of the Security Council and the General Assembly, as well as the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice of 1971<sup>3</sup>, that the legal and administrative responsibility of the United Nations was established. All States Members are therefore bound to respect their own decisions in regard to Namibia and to refrain from undertakings that may, directly or indirectly, lend legitimacy and credibility to the illegal colonial régime in Namibia.

79. To demonstrate the continued intransigence of the illegal occupationist régime, I should like to cite some of the recent repressive measures being used

against our people since the adoption of resolution 385 (1976). The situation in Namibia has not changed for the better, but for the worse.

80. First, as a result of the expanding successes of the military operations of the People's Liberation Army of Namibia and of the victories scored over the enemy forces, as evidenced in the opening up of new military operational zones in central Namibia, the enemy has resorted to a massive military build-up. This military build-up has reached alarming proportions. For instance, the largest military base at Grootfontein in Namibia has been enlarged considerably. According to reliable sources, this base contains more than 15 battalions in addition to air force support units. This base is meant for the supply of the entire northern half, as well as the central region, of Namibia. Moreover, it receives direct supplies from Pretoria by air, road and railway. Apart from this enormous base with varied facilities for military aggression, there are several other bases at Epupa, Ruacana, Ohopoho, Omahenene, Okalongo, Oshakati, Ondangwa, Onuno, Enana, Nkongo, Hauwanga, Kwangari, Runtu, Andara, Mbwabata, Singalamwe and Katima Mulilo. These bases form a northern belt stretching from the Atlantic along the Angolan-Namibian border to the Zambesi River. There are also other major bases at Keetmanshoop in the south, Walvis Bay in the west, Windhoek in the central region, Gobabis in the east, and Tsumeb and Outjo in the immediate north. Supporting these military bases are training centres at Ogongo in the north, Rooikop near Walvis Bay in the west, Windhoek the capital, and at Okahandja in the central region. It is significant to note here that Okahandja, which was the site of a teacher's training college until a few years ago, has now been converted into a new military training camp where a puppet army has been trained as part of the Turnhalle tribal exercise to support the continued illegal occupation of Namibia by racist South Africa.

81. The effect of this militarization of Namibia has been turned to the country into a complete police state, threatening and brutalizing the people of Namibia. A state of emergency under the so-called R17 proclamation has existed since 1972 in northern Namibia. This repressive measure has, since April this year, been reinforced by the proclamation of martial law all over the northern part of Namibia which could be applied automatically to any other part of the country. Under this martial law, a free-fire zone has been created along the entire northern border of Namibia. Communities have been uprooted and taken to concentration camps, villages have been destroyed, crops have been burned and livestock have been confiscated. Any living being seen in this zone is liable to be shot and killed. These atrocities have been partly, but eloquently, attested to by Mr. Bill Anderson, a former member of the South African armed forces.<sup>4</sup>

82. In this regard I want to underscore two important factors. The first one is that these developments

and atrocities which I have just mentioned have increased since the Council adopted resolution 385 (1976). The other factor is that all this is taking place while the so-called true representatives of the people of Namibia are meeting at Turnhalle tribal talks. They have not voiced any protest or condemnation of those brutal acts against the Namibian people. On the contrary, they have embraced all the schemes of the occupation régime aimed at fragmenting our country and destroying human life.

83. There is an added element to the militarization of our country, and that is that Namibia is being used as a springboard to commit aggression against the People's Republic of Angola and the Republic of Zambia. The recently enacted so-called security act, which defines the whole area south of the equator as the South African defence periphery, will provide further rationale for the Pretoria régime to commit aggression beyond the immediate neighbouring countries. This clearly demonstrates the aggressive nature of the fascist régime of South Africa, which is a threat not only to the peace and security of the area but to international peace and security.

84. Secondly, in the light of the foregoing submissions, I should like to point out that the Turnhalle tribal talks, which have been going on since 1 September 1975 in Windhoek, are a smokescreen hiding South Africa's real intentions, namely, to turn Namibia into a confederation of tribal mini-States, controlled and manipulated by the South African racist régime for the purpose of retaining and using Namibia as a buffer between South Africa and independent Africa. The elements that are gathered at these tribal talks are nothing but hand-picked tribal chiefs, puppets and quislings who are on the payroll of the racist régime. They are being used as cannon fodder and as spokesmen for the Vorster régime, especially in the western capitals. For example, on 18 August last Vorster used the Turnhalle crowd to announce the date of 31 December 1978 as the so-called independence date to be preceded by an "interim government". Needless to say, this crowd has no legitimacy, authority or power to make this pronouncement. In reality, it is South Africa that is speaking through it in order to cause confusion among our people and to placate international pressure and condemnation.

85. Let me state that SWAPO rejects and condemns the Turnhalle tribal talks now and in any other circumstances. In this connexion, I should like to call upon all those Namibians who have allowed themselves to be used by the enemy against the true aspirations and legitimate interests of our people to disassociate themselves and renounce their treacherous activities against the people of Namibia and identify themselves with the future of Namibia by joining the liberation struggle under the leadership of SWAPO.

86. Thirdly, resolution 385 (1976) unanimously adopted by the Council last January, was clear and

categorical in its demands for South Africa to commit itself to withdraw and to end its illegal occupation of Namibia. It is clear to the Council that South Africa has totally defied this collective demand to bring about a peaceful solution to the Namibian problem. What is to be done now? In our view, the Council must invoke the appropriate provisions of Chapter VII of the Charter and impose sanctions against racist South Africa.

87. Fourthly, despite continued provocation by the Fascist Pretoria régime, SWAPO, being the sole and authentic representative of the people of Namibia, has always been ready to talk directly with the South African racist régime, with a view to finding a peaceful solution to the Namibian problem. However, I want to state here, once more, that such talks must be preceded by the acceptance by South Africa of the following conditions: first, SWAPO has been and is ready to talk directly with the illegal occupying régime of South Africa regarding the modalities of transferring power to the people of Namibia under the leadership of SWAPO; secondly, SWAPO insists that any talks between us and South Africa must be held under the auspices of the United Nations; thirdly SWAPO further insists that before any talks, all Namibian political prisoners must be released; fourthly, SWAPO demands a commitment by South Africa to withdraw its armed forces from Namibia.

88. Finally, Mr. President, it is a happy coincidence that you, an African son, should be presiding over this crucial debate. Your country, the Libyan Arab Republic, has proved itself to be a refuge through its generous, concrete assistance to all those who are struggling for liberation, justice and national independence.

89. In conclusion, I should like to reiterate SWAPO's position that we are more than ever committed, determined and resolved to carry out the armed liberation struggle with intensity, to liberate every inch of Namibia, including Walvis Bay.

90. The PRESIDENT: I should like to assure Mr. Nujoma again of Libya's indefatigable support of his country and his nation in their legitimate fight for liberation, independence and progress.

91. No other representatives wish to speak. However, two representatives have asked to speak in exercise of the right of reply. I now call on the representative of the United States.

92. Mr. SCRANTON (United States of America): I wish to speak briefly in exercise of the right of reply.

93. The United States delegation—and I am sure this is true of all the rest of us—deeply welcomes the high standard of the statements that have been made by the representatives of Zambia, Benin, Malawi and

SWAPO, and we are grateful to them for their comments.

94. As for the comments that were made by the representative of Mauritius, I must say in candour that I do not think they are on such a level as to warrant a civil reply. If he truly represents the Organization of African Unity in these comments and in the questions that he has asked, I would refer him directly and immediately to the front-line Presidents who have been directly involved in the negotiations and who are fully informed. If they had such convictions as he infers are involved in these vital negotiations, I at least—and I think all of us—have more respect for them than to believe that they would continue the talks in such circumstances.

95. As for the motivations of the President of the United States, Mr. Kissinger and others involved in these negotiations, I can attest to these personally: they are the determination to avoid a blood-bath and human carnage in an area of the world that we all prize and to bring about as rapidly as possible majority rule in Rhodesia and Namibia, which is the backbone of the United Nations viewpoint too. They are in truth the same motivations as any decent human being would have in this effort and they are completely in line with the United Nations resolutions and the United Nations actions that have been taken here.

96. The PRESIDENT: The representative of the United Kingdom also asked to speak in exercise of the right of reply.

97. Mr. RICHARD (United Kingdom): I should like to follow the representative of the United States in paying a tribute to the seriousness with which the representatives of Zambia, Malawi and Benin and the representative of SWAPO have approached the debate this afternoon. I also listened with great interest to the representative of Mauritius, as I do with regularity. Speaking with his customary modesty, he asked me, on behalf of the United Kingdom, to clarify my Government's policy towards Namibia. I shall do so in detail when I come to speak later in this debate. I might, however, be permitted one word of comment on the quite extraordinary speech we heard from the representative of Mauritius.

98. The object of his speech was—and indeed it could have had no other object—to cast doubts upon the good faith and honesty of my country in the recent initiatives taken by the United States and the United Kingdom. It was designed to sabotage rather than to build, to wreck rather than to create. It was intentionally unhelpful and should be treated as such.

99. As to the specific question he asked me, the United Kingdom has made no secret of Rio Tinto's interests in Rossing, just as Mauritius makes no secret

of its own substantial trade with the Republic of South Africa.

100. The PRESIDENT: The representative of Mauritius has asked to speak in exercise of his right of reply. I invite him to take a place at the Council table and to make his statement.

101. Mr. RAMPHUL (Mauritius): First I should like to express my sincere thanks to the representatives of the United States and the United Kingdom, both of whom have been most gracious in replying to some of the questions I raised.

102. I wish to make it clear to Mr. Scranton, the representative of the United States, that I did not speak with any convictions, I did not make any statement, nor did I accuse any particular country. I asked questions, I asked for clarifications, and I invited his delegation to deny, to confirm or to comment. I have not received any denial; I have not received any confirmation; I have received comments. I have been referred to the Presidents of the front-line countries in southern Africa. The questions I raised are pertinent, I maintain. Before speaking in the Council as the representative of the Chairman of the Organization of African Unity, I consulted my colleagues. We had been briefed by the Foreign Ministers of the front-line countries of southern Africa.

103. I have not cast any doubt on the sincerity, purpose or motivations of Mr. Kissinger. I have asked for clarifications; I have asked for answers to questions that have been raised, to points that have been made and to reports that have been published, with the knowledge of many people. All I asked was whether there was any truth in those reports. I did it in a very civil manner.

104. I should like to reassure the representative of the United Kingdom, my comrade Ambassador Ivor Richard, that I have cast no doubts, on the initiative of the United Kingdom. I regret that he should find that my questions were intentionally unhelpful. I can assure him that I have not been trying to be intentionally unhelpful to the United Kingdom. But I can assure him that I am in duty bound to say, with all conviction and sincerity, that I was intentionally helpful to the cause of Africa.

105. As to the comment he made regarding Mauritius' trade with South Africa, I should have thought that the boot was on the other foot. We export to South Africa 20 million rupees' worth of goods, and we are bound, geographically situated as we are, to import from them about 218 million rupees' worth. I do not think I need comment on that any further. Africa knows about the situation and we have not yet received any reproach from any single country of Africa. It is surprising that this reproach should have come from the United Kingdom.

*The meeting rose at 5.45 p.m.*

*Notes*

<sup>1</sup> *Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-first Session, Supplement No. 1A*, p. 3.

<sup>2</sup> Statement made at the 236th meeting of the United Nations Council for Namibia. See A/AC.131, SR.236, para. 7.

<sup>3</sup> *Legal Consequences for States of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia (South West Africa) notwithstanding Security Council Resolution 276 (1970), Advisory Opinion, I.C.J. Reports 1971*, p. 16.

<sup>4</sup> See A/AC.131/SR.237.