



## Economic and Social Council

Distr.: General  
1 December 2017

Original: English

---

### Commission for Social Development

#### Fifty-sixth session

31 January–7 February 2018

**Follow-up to the World Summit for Social Development and the twenty-fourth special session of the General Assembly: priority theme: strategies for the eradication of poverty to achieve sustainable development for all**

### **Statement submitted by the Internationals Young Professionals Foundation, the Organization for Poverty Alleviation and Development, and the Youth Foundation for Development, Education and Leadership, non-governmental organizations in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council\***

The Secretary-General has received the following statement, which is being circulated in accordance with paragraphs 36 and 37 of Economic and Social Council resolution [1996/31](#).

---

\* The present statement is issued without formal editing.



## Statement

Eradicating poverty within the context of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) requires a multiplicity of strategies that have to be coherently planned and devised in order to achieve a comprehensive sustainable impact.

In the very core of effectively fighting poverty the scope of impoverishment has to be measured. Initial data about those that are hit by poverty, the reasons for that and the consequences has to be collected and shared among all stakeholders. Governments shall set the infrastructure for poverty data exchange at all stages of the eradication process: at the inception stage as well as at regular stages throughout the eradication process. Interim findings about the progress in poverty eradication shall be well communicated among governments, and throughout the network of poverty stakeholders involved. In accordance with newly collected data, strategies have to be adjusted to make an adequate timely response to the changed needs. The data collection, analysis and exchange should be a critical process in which all involved stakeholders eagerly cooperate with each other and think out of the box to come up with solutions that pragmatically affect the lives of the poor and empower them for sustainable development. The approach should penetrate deeper, below the surface of the formal information, take into consideration even the non-statistical input by CSOs and media, and take into consideration testimonials of victims of impoverishment rather than sticking to primarily quantitative data as well as consultations with persons experiencing extreme poverty in the design of policy, programs and interventions that target them as beneficiaries. Devising a holistic measurement approach requires the devastating impact of impoverishment and the gratifying interim results in eradicating it to be acknowledged by all stakeholders. Success stories and best exemplars in eradication of poverty should be exchanged, but also case studies of failure of the eradication efforts have to be given enough space and be discussed openly and constructively.

Although governments are vested with the primary responsibility in the fight against poverty, achieving better living and social standards for all is not the job of only public state or sub-state actors. Governments should establish the conditions and facilities for a cross-sector, multi-actor dialogue and action to happen: while the governments would bring together the corporate sector and their representative organisations, CSOs, informal civil society groups, media and all stakeholders, after the initial stage there should be mechanisms for those actors to engage together in communication and cooperation even without a push from the governments. The multi-actor strategy should include clear roles for all stakeholders, procedures for checks and controls, joint decision-making and monitoring measures. It should be inclusive, widely open to all stakeholders, but also expect tangible results of their involvement in the process. Each communication or action measure in the multi-actor strategy should be accompanied by measurable indicators for its progress tracking and an opportunity to involve even more stakeholders ad hoc while the struggle against poverty has already commenced.

Fiscal restrictions of governments prevent them from efficiently addressing the issue of poverty. State taxes should not be the single source of funding poverty eradication or supporting the victims. The primary role of the governments would be to coordinate the efforts of effectively responding to the needs in the society and directing the resources of all actors in the cross-sectorial approach to those that are most needy and suffering from poverty.

Governments should create mechanisms for crowdsourcing, bringing together in-kind contribution by companies, CSOs, informal groups of the citizenry as well as

individual citizens. It is important that the community receives help not only in the form of funding but those crowdsourcing campaigns should also be collecting supplies and materials of immediate need for the impoverished ones, for instance, to enable their feeding and shelter. Meeting the cultural and educational needs of the victims of poverty should be also a part of the crowdsourcing multi-stakeholder approach that would eventually empower the needy ones to take their future in their hands and plan a sustainable living in which they autonomously earn and contribute back to the community. To that respect, the government has to be an interlocutor and should not be seen by anyone as the actor to pay the bill, rather crowdsourcing efforts in poverty eradication should be coordinated with the government to achieve a sustainable impact on everyone's development.

---