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人权理事会

第五十一届会议

议程项目2和8

联合国人权事务高级专员的年度报告以及  
高级专员办事处的报告和秘书长的报告

《维也纳宣言和行动纲领》的后续行动和执行情况

国家人权机构全球联盟按照《关于促进和保护人权的国家机构的地位的原则》(《巴黎原则》)认证国家机构地位的活动

秘书长的报告[[1]](#footnote-2)\*

|  |
| --- |
| 概要 |
| 本报告根据人权理事会第45/22号决议提交，介绍2020年12月至2022年3月国家人权机构全球联盟资格认证小组委员会审议和审查国家人权机构的认证和重新认证申请的活动情况。 |
|  |
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一. 导言

1. 本报告根据人权理事会第[45/22](https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G20/264/79/PDF/G2026479.pdf?OpenElement)号决议提交，该决议请秘书长向理事会第五十一届会议提交一份关于国家人权机构全球联盟按照《关于促进和保护人权的国家机构地位的原则》(《巴黎原则》)认证国家机构地位的活动的报告。

2. 大会第48/134号决议通过的《巴黎原则》规定了国家人权团体被视为国家人权机构需要满足的标准。根据这些原则，国家机构应被赋予促进和保护所有人权的广泛权限，在宪法和立法条文中对这种权限予以明确规定，并按照一定的程序设立，该程序应提供一切必要保障，以确保其组成的多元化、独立性和充足的资金。

3. 《国家人权机构全球联盟章程》(下称《章程》)授权其资格认证小组委员会审查和分析人权机构的资格认证申请，并确定这些机构是否符合《巴黎原则》。按照小组委员会议事规则第10节，认证分级如下：

(a) A级，表明完全符合《巴黎原则》；

(b) B级，表明部分符合《巴黎原则》；

4. 小组委员会在联合国人权事务高级专员办事处(人权高专办)的实质性协助和投入下发布了一般性意见。这些一般性意见有助于对《巴黎原则》进行解释，并为小组委员会审查国家人权机构提交的认证申请提供依据。一般性意见还被国家人权机构用来提高能力和效率，倡导当局采取措施改善机构的立法基础，并解决任何资金或其他问题。

5. 小组委员会由四个A级国家人权机构组成。为确保区域代表性的合理平衡，小组委员会议事规则第3.1节要求从《全球联盟章程》第31.1条承认的四个区域网络(非洲、美洲、亚洲和太平洋以及欧洲)中各任命一个机构为成员，任期三年，可以连任。根据议事规则第3.2节，小组委员会指定一名成员担任主席，任期一年，可连任两次。

6. 《全球联盟章程》第6条要求其大会和主席团会议以及小组委员会会议在人权高专办的主持和合作下举行。

7. 根据《章程》第11.1条，在审议小组委员会的报告后，全球联盟主席团在人权高专办的主持和合作下，对所有认证申请作出决定。因此，人权高专办承担全球联盟及其小组委员会的秘书处职能，包括分析和准备认证文件，出席小组委员会的所有会议，包括审议和通过报告期间的会议，以监督这一进程，并向成员提供技术咨询。

二. A级机构参与联合国机制和进程的情况

8. 人权理事会和大会在其各自关于审查人权理事会工作和运作情况的第16/21号和第65/281号决议中，赋予了A级国家人权机构更多机会和可见度。特别是，在普遍定期审议进程下，利益攸关方的报告专辟一节介绍这些机构的贡献。此外，在这一进程中，A级人权机构有权在理事会通过普遍定期审议结果时，在各国陈述意见后紧接着发言。在人权理事会和特别程序任务负责人的互动对话期间，在后者介绍国别访问报告后，A级机构也能紧接着所涉国家发言。A级机构还可以提名特别程序任务负责人候选人。

9. 可持续发展目标16(创建和平、包容的社会以促进可持续发展，让所有人都能诉诸司法，在各级建立有效、负责和包容的机构)下的指标16.a.1要求存在符合《巴黎原则》的独立的国家人权机构。

10. 人权理事会在第45/22号决议中，鼓励所有相关的联合国机制和进程根据各自的任务规定加强符合《巴黎原则》的国家人权机构的独立参与，包括参与关于执行《2030年可持续发展议程》的讨论及可持续发展问题高级别政治论坛，以及审查大会关于加强经济及社会理事会的第72/305号决议。

11. 在这方面，2019年6月，A级国家人权机构获得出席《残疾人权利公约》缔约国会议的认可；受邀参加第十届联合国工商业与人权论坛；并受邀参加在2021年11月《联合国气候变化框架公约》缔约方会议第二十六届会议期间组织的会外活动。2022年4月，老龄问题不限成员名额工作组还邀请A级机构为工作组第十二届会议作出贡献。

三. 本报告所述期间的认证情况

12. 由于冠状病毒病大流行(COVID-19疫情)造成的特殊情况，小组委员会2020年3月和10月的届会被推迟。2020年11月，小组委员会决定举行一次虚拟会议，就如何在疫情期间举行届会作出决定，以免进一步拖延认证进程。

13. 2020年12月，小组委员会举行了首次虚拟会议。由于疫情造成的特殊情况显然会还会继续，因此决定也以虚拟方式举行接下来的三届会议。小组委员会届会的时间安排不得不根据与会者所在的不同时区进行调整，因此届会的会期延长到两周。人权高专办作为小组委员会的秘书处，编制了一份工作方案，以考虑到小组委员会成员与每届会议受审查的国家人权机构之间的时差，并在每日会议结束后编写小组委员会报告草稿，以确保在届会最后一天获得批准。

14. 联合国会议管理司通过使用WebEx技术，为本报告所述期间的四届会议提供了便利。

15. 附件列出每届会议对每个机构的认证情况。

A. 2020年12月的届会[[2]](#footnote-3)

16. 在2020年12月7日至18日举行的届会上，小组委员会由加拿大、法国、摩洛哥(主席)和巴勒斯坦国的国家人权机构组成。根据小组委员会议事规则第3.1节，取代12月18日任期结束的加拿大人权机构在小组委员会中位置的危地马拉国家人权机构作为美洲候补成员参加了小组委员会会议，以熟悉认证程序。小组委员会前成员菲律宾国家人权机构作为新任命的巴勒斯坦国国家人权机构的支助成员参加了会议。

17. 在届会期间，小组委员会根据《章程》第10条，[[3]](#footnote-4) 审议了科特迪瓦、爱沙尼亚和乌兹别克斯坦人权机构提交的认证申请。小组委员会建议授予科特迪瓦和爱沙尼亚人权机构A级地位，授予乌兹别克斯坦人权机构B级地位。

18. 根据《章程》第15条，[[4]](#footnote-5) 小组委员会审查了阿尔巴尼亚、智利、拉脱维亚、毛里塔尼亚、斯洛文尼亚和荷兰的国家人权机构符合《巴黎原则》的情况，并建议对这些机构重新授予A级地位。

19. 根据《章程》第14.1条，[[5]](#footnote-6) 小组委员会决定将对塞尔维亚国家人权机构的审查推迟到2021年第二届会议，将对泰国国家人权机构的审查推迟到2022年第一届会议。

20. 根据《章程》第16.2条，[[6]](#footnote-7) 小组委员会对巴拿马国家人权机构进行了特别审查，并建议将该机构降为B级。小组委员会决定在2021年第一届会议上启动对墨西哥国家人权机构的特别审查。

B. 2021年6月的届会[[7]](#footnote-8)

21. 在2021年6月14日至25日举行的届会上，小组委员会由法国、危地马拉、摩洛哥(主席)和巴勒斯坦国的国家人权机构组成。由于法国国家人权机构缺席，根据议事规则第3.1节，由荷兰国家人权机构担任欧洲候补成员。根据同一规定，新西兰国家人权机构和大不列颠平等与人权委员会分别作为亚洲和太平洋区域以及欧洲区域的候补成员参加了会议，以熟悉认证程序：新西兰人权机构取代在2021年10月届会上接受审查的巴勒斯坦国人权机构在小组委员会的位置；大不列颠平等与人权委员会取代在2021年6月举行届会结束时任期届满的法国人权机构在小组委员会的位置。

22. 在届会期间，小组委员会根据《章程》第10条审议了斐济人权机构提交的认证申请，并建议授予该机构B级地位。

23. 根据《章程》第15条，小组委员会审查了下列国家的人权机构符合《巴黎原则》的情况：布隆迪、伊拉克、爱尔兰、马来西亚、毛里求斯、俄罗斯联邦和苏格兰。小组委员会建议对所有这些人权机构重新授予A级地位。它还审查了匈牙利国家人权机构，并建议将该机构降为B级。

24. 根据《章程》第14.1条，小组委员会决定将对塞浦路斯国家人权机构的审查推迟到2022年第二届会议。

25. 根据《章程》第16.2条，小组委员会对墨西哥国家人权机构进行了特别审查，并建议维持该机构的A级地位。小组委员会决定在2021年第二届会议上启动对尼泊尔和斯里兰卡国家人权机构的特别审查。

C. 2021年10月的届会[[8]](#footnote-9)

26. 在2021年10月18日至29日举行的届会上，小组委员会由危地马拉、摩洛哥(主席)和新西兰的国家人权机构以及大不列颠平等与人权委员会组成。鉴于巴勒斯坦国国家人权机构在2021年10月的届会上接受审查，根据议事规则第3.1节，由新西兰国家人权机构担任亚洲和太平洋候补成员。

27. 在届会期间，小组委员会根据《章程》第15条审查了下列国家人权机构的重新认证问题：埃塞俄比亚、蒙古、北爱尔兰、卡塔尔、大韩民国、萨摩亚、塞尔维亚、乌拉圭和巴勒斯坦国。小组委员会建议，除北爱尔兰机构外，对所有其他机构重新授予A级地位。根据《章程》第14.1条，委员会决定将对北爱尔兰人权委员会的审查推迟到2022年第二届会议。

28. 根据《章程》第14.1条，小组委员会决定将对尼泊尔国家人权机构的特别审查推迟到2022年第二届会议。

29. 根据《章程》第16.1条，[[9]](#footnote-10) 小组委员会决定在2022年第一届会议上启动对阿富汗国家人权机构的特别审查。

30. 根据《章程》第16.2条，小组委员会对斯里兰卡国家人权机构进行了特别审查，并建议将其将为B级。

31. 根据《章程》第18.1条，[[10]](#footnote-11) 小组委员会审查了巴拿马国家人权机构，2020年12月曾给予该机构一年时间来证明其符合《巴黎原则》。小组委员会建议将其降为B级。

D. 2022年3月的届会[[11]](#footnote-12)

32. 在2022年3月14日至25日举行的届会上，摩洛哥国家人权机构在当选为全球联盟主席团成员后，根据议事规则第4.7节辞去了小组委员会成员的职务。因此，根据议事规则第3.1节，南非国家人权机构被非洲国家人权机构网络任命为小组委员会成员。

33. 在2022年3月的届会上，小组委员会由危地马拉、南非的国家人权机构、大不列颠平等与人权委员会以及巴勒斯坦国的国家人权机构(主席)组成。根据小组委员会议事规则第3.1节，希腊国家人权机构作为欧洲候补成员参加了会议，以熟悉认证程序，从而在下届会议大不列颠平等与人权委员会接受审查期间取代该委员会。

34. 在届会期间，小组委员会根据《章程》第10条审议了贝宁和冈比亚人权机构提交的认证申请。小组委员会建议对这两个机构重新授予A级地位。

35. 小组委员会根据《章程》第15条审查了奥地利、厄瓜多尔、约旦、卢森堡、马里、墨西哥、新西兰和泰国国家人权机构的重新认证问题，并建议对所有这些国家人权机构重新授予A级地位。

36. 根据《章程》第14.1条，小组委员会决定将对澳大利亚和德国国家人权机构的审查推迟到2023年第二届会议，将对萨尔瓦多国家人权机构的审查推迟到2022年第二届会议。

37. 根据《章程》第16.1条，小组委员会建议将阿富汗国家人权机构降为B级。

38. 根据《章程》第16.2条，小组委员会决定在2022年第二届会议上启动对马达加斯加国家人权机构的特别审查。

39. 根据《章程》第18.1条，小组委员会审查了匈牙利国家人权机构，2021年6月曾给予该机构一年时间来证明其符合《巴黎原则》。小组委员会建议将其降为B级。

四. 暂停阿富汗国家人权机构的认证地位

40. 2022年4月27日，阿富汗事实上的当局发布了关于撤销阿富汗独立人权委员会等6个国家机构的第212号命令。

41. 因此，根据《章程》第18.3(i)条，全球联盟主席通过作为其秘书处的人权高专办，分别于2022年5月20日和25日通知主席团和该国家人权机构，根据《章程》第18.2条，存在例外情况，必须立即暂停授予阿富汗国家人权机构的A级地位。

五. 结论和建议

42. 授予“A”级地位的主要好处是，它使国家人权机构有机会在人权理事会届会的任何议程项目下发言，并在通过普遍定期审议报告时在所涉国之后发言。人权高专办承担理事会及其机制和国家人权机构全球联盟及其资格认证小组委员会的秘书处职能。

43. 人权高专办作为小组委员会秘书处所发挥的实质性咨询作用提高了认证程序的可信度。人权高专办对决策进程的参与有助于确保该进程符合既定的议事规则，并有助于确保其透明度、公正性、公平性和严谨性，以及国家人权机构评估及其认证分级的一致性。

44. 《巴黎原则》和资格认证小组委员会的一般性意见仍然是资格认证小组委员会认证国家人权机构的依据。

45. 人权的相互依存性和不可分割性要求国家人权机构的任务授权应当广泛，如《巴黎原则》所规定的那样，包括促进和保护每个人的所有人权――公民权利、政治权利、经济、社会及文化权利。

46. 按照议事规则的要求，资格认证小组委员会成员以公正、客观和独立的专家身份出席会议，在审议过程中不考虑国家、区域和/或政治利益。

47. 国家人权机构全球联盟及其区域网络的代表以观察员身份出席资格认证小组委员会的会议，无权参与小组委员会的决策。

48. 为确保认证程序的可信度，建议国家人权机构区域网络按照《巴黎原则》和一般性意见的要求，任命具有促进和保护所有人权的广泛授权的国家人权机构担任小组委员会成员。

49. 小组委员会与国家人权机构之间的所有通信应通过作为小组委员会秘书处的人权高专办进行，以确保遵守和符合其议事规则。

50. 应使资格认证小组委员会能够审查那些甚至没有按照《巴黎原则》部分履行任务的B级国家人权机构。因此，请全球联盟主席团和大会尽快修订全球联盟《章程》第16.2和18.2条，将B级国家人权机构纳入这些条款的规定。

51. 谨提醒会员国注意实现可持续发展目标16指标16.a.1的重要性，该指标要求“存在符合《巴黎原则》的独立的国家人权机构”。在这方面，请会员国在起草国家人权机构的组建法律时寻求人权高专办的援助。

52. 鼓励国家人权机构参与人权高专办的技术合作方案，以建设和加强其完全按照《巴黎原则》履行任务的能力。

53. 鼓励会员国和其他利益攸关方通过财政支持，使人权高专办能够继续为小组委员会提供高质量的服务。

**Annex**

**Status of national institutions accredited by the Global Alliance of National Human Rights Institutions**

**Accreditation status as of 18 May 2022**

In accordance with the principles relating to the status of national institutions for the promotion and protection of human rights (the Paris Principles) and the rules of procedure of the Subcommittee on Accreditation of the Global Alliance of National Human Rights Institutions, the classifications for accreditation used by the Subcommittee are:

A: Fully compliant with the Paris Principles.

B: Partially compliant with the Paris Principles or insufficient information provided to make a determination.

\*A(R): The category of accreditation with reserve, previously granted where insufficient documentation had been submitted to allow for the conferral of A status, is no longer awarded. It is now only used when referring to institutions that were accredited with this status before April 2008.

**A status institutions (90)**

| *Institution* | *Status* | *Year reviewed* |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| **Asia and the Pacific** |  |  |
| Afghanistan: Independent Human Rights Commission | A | October 2007 – A\*  November 2008  November 2013 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014  October 2019  October 2021 – Special review in March 2022  March 2022 – Recommended to be accredited B |
| Australia: Australian Human Rights Commission | A | 1999  October 2006  May 2011  November 2016 |
| India: National Human Rights Commission | A | 1999  October 2006  May 2011 – A\*  November 2016 – deferred to November 2017  November 2017 |
| Indonesia: National Commission on Human Rights | A | 2000  March 2007  March 2012\*  November 2013 – Special review in March 2014  March 2014 – A\*  March 2017 |
| Iraq: High Commission for Human Rights | A | March 2015 – B  June 2021 |
| Jordan: National Centre for Human Rights | A | April 2006 (B)  March 2007 (B)  October 2007 – A\*  October 2010 – A  November 2015 – deferred to November 2016  November 2016  March 2022 |
| Malaysia: Human Rights Commission | A | 2002  April 2008 – recommended to be accredited B  November 2009 – A\*  October 2010  November 2015  June 2021 |
| Mongolia: National Human Rights Commission | A | 2002 – A(R)  2003  November 2008  November 2013 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014  October 2021 |
| Nepal: National Human Rights Commission | A | 2001 – A(R)  2002 – A  April 2006 – deferred to October 2006  October 2006 – deferred to March 2007  March 2007 – deferred to October 2007  October 2007 – A\*  November 2008 – A\*  November 2009 – deferred to March 2010  March 2010 – recommended to be accredited B  May 2011 – A  November 2012 – Special review in May 2013  May 2013 – deferred to November 2013  November 2013 – deferred to March 2014  March 2014 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014 – A  March 2019  June 2021 – Special review in October 2021  October 2021 – deferred to October 2022 |
| New Zealand: Human Rights Commission | A | 1999  October 2006  May 2011  May 2016  March 2022 |
| The Philippines: Commission on Human Rights | A | 1999  March 2007 – deferred to October 2007  October 2007  March 2012  March 2017 |
| Qatar: National Human Rights Committee | A | October 2006 (B)  March 2009 – A\*  March 2010 – deferred to October 2010  October 2010  November 2015  October 2021 |
| Republic of Korea: National Human Rights Commission | A | 2004  November 2008  March 2014 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014 – deferred to March 2015  March 2015 – deferred to May 2016  May 2016  October 2021 |
| Samoa: Office of the Ombudsman | A | May 2016  October 2021 |
| Sri Lanka: Human Rights Commission | A | 2000 – B  October 2007 – B  March 2009 – B  May 2018  October 2021 – recommended to be downgraded to B |
| State of Palestine: Independent Commission for Human Rights |  | 2005 – A(R)  March 2009  November 2015  October 2021 |
| Timor-Leste: *Provedoria* for Human Rights and Justice | A | April 2008  November 2013  October 2018 |
| **Africa** |  |  |
| Burundi: *Commission nationale indépendante des droits de l’homme* | A | November 2012  May 2016 – Special review in November 2016  November 2016 – recommended to be downgraded to B  November 2017 – B  June 2021 |
| Cameroon: National Commission on Human Rights and Freedoms | A | 1999  October 2006 (B)  March 2010 – A  March 2015 – deferred to May 2016  May 2016 – deferred to November 2016  November 2016 - deferred to March 2017  March 2017 – deferred to November 2017  November 2017 |
| Côte d’Ivoire: *Conseil National Des Droits De L’homme* | A | December 2020 |
| Democratic Republic of Congo: National Human Rights Commission | A | May 2018 – A |
| Egypt: National Council for Human Rights | A | April 2006 (B)  October 2006 – A  October 2011 – deferred to November 2012  November 2012 – deferred to May 2013  May 2013 – deferred to November 2013  November 2013 – deferred  November 2015 – deferred to November 2016  November 2016 – deferred to March 2017  March 2017 – deferred to May 2018  May 2018 |
| Ethiopia: Ethiopian Human Rights Commission | A | November 2013 – B  October 2021 |
| Ghana: Commission on Human Rights and Administrative Justice | A | 2001  November 2008  March 2014  March 2019 |
| Kenya: National Commission on Human Rights | A | 2005  November 2008  October 2014  October 2019 |
| Liberia: Independent National Commission on Human Rights | A | March 2017 |
| Madagascar: *Commission Nationale Indépendante des Droits de l’Homme* | A | March 2019  March 2022 – Special review in October 2022 |
| Malawi: Human Rights Commission | A | 2000  March 2007  March 2012 – deferred to November 2012  November 2012 – deferred to May 2013  May 2013 – deferred to November 2013  November 2013 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014 – deferred to March 2015  March 2015 – deferred to May 2016  May 2016 – deferred to November 2016  November 2016 |
| Mali: *Commission nationale des droits de l’homme* | A | March 2012 – B  March 2022 |
| Mauritania: *Commission nationale des droits de l’homme* | A | November 2009 (B)  May 2011 – A  November 2016 – deferredto November 2017  November 2017 – recommended to be downgraded to B  October 2018 – B  December 2020 |
| Mauritius: *Commission nationale des droits de l’homme* | A | 2002  April 2008 – A\*  October 2014  June 2021 |
| Morocco: *Conseil national des droits de l’homme* | A | 1999 – A(R)  2001  October 2007 – A\*  October 2010 – A\*  November 2015 |
| Namibia: Office of the Ombudsman | A | 2003 – A(R)  April 2006  May 2011  November 2016 – deferred to November 2017  November 2017 – deferred to October 2018  October 2018 |
| Niger: *Commission Nationale des Droits Humains* | A | March 2017 |
| Nigeria: National Human Rights Commission | A | 1999 – A(R)  2000  October 2006  October 2007 – B  May 2011 – A  November 2016 |
| Rwanda: National Commission for Human Rights | A | 2001  October 2007  March 2012 – recommended to be accredited B  May 2013 – A  October 2018 |
| Sierra Leone: Human Rights Commission | A | May 2011  May 2016 |
| South Africa: Human Rights Commission | A | 1999 – A(R)  2000  October 2007  November 2012  November 2017 |
| United Republic of Tanzania: Commission for Human Rights and Good Governance | A | 2003 – A(R)  October 2006  October 2011 – A\*  November 2016 – deferredNovember 2017  November 2017 |
| Thailand: National Human Rights Commission | A | 2004 – A  November 2008 – A  November 2013 – deferred to March 2014  March 2014 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014 – recommended to be downgraded B  November 2015 – B  December 2020 – deferred to March 2022  March 2022 |
| Togo: *Commission nationale des droits de l’homme* | A | 1999 – A(R)  2000  October 2007  November 2012 – deferred to May 2013  May 2013  October 2019 |
| Uganda: Human Rights Commission | A | 2000 – A(R)  2001  April 2008  May 2013  May 2018 |
| Zambia: Human Rights Commission | A | 2003 – A(R)  October 2006  October 2011  November 2016 – deferredto November 2017  November 201 – deferred to October 2018  October 2018 |
| Zimbabwe: Human Rights Commission | A | May 2016 |
| **Americas** |  |  |
| Argentina: *Defensoría del Pueblo* | A | 1999  October 2006  October 2011  November 2016 – deferred to November 2017  November 2017 – deferredto October 2018  October 2018 – deferred to October 2019  October 2019 – deferred |
| Plurinational State of Bolivia: *Defensor del Pueblo* | A | 1999 (B)  2000 – A  March 2007  March 2012  March 2017 |
| Canada: Canadian Human Rights Commission | A | 1999  October 2006  May 2011  May 2016 |
| Chile: *Instituto Nacional de Derechos Humanos* | A | November 2012  May 2018 – Special review in October 2018  October 2018 – A  December 2020 |
| Colombia: *Defensoría del Pueblo* | A | 2001  October 2007  March 2012 – A\*  March 2017 |
| Costa Rica: *Defensoría de los Habitantes* | A | 1999  October 2006  October 2011  November 2016 |
| Ecuador: *Defensor del Pueblo* | A | 1999 – A(R)  2002  April 2008 – recommended to be accredited B  March 2009 – A  March 2015  May 2018 – Special review in October 2018  October 2018 – deferred to October 2019  October 2019 |
| El Salvador: *Procuraduría para la Defensa de los Derechos Humanos* | A | April 2006  May 2011  November 2016 |
| Guatemala: *Procuraduría de los Derechos Humanos* | A | 1999 (B)  2000 – A(R)  2002  April 2008  May 2013  May 2018 |
| Haiti: Office for the Protection of Citizens | A | November 2013  March 2019 |
| Honduras: *Comisionado Nacional de los Derechos Humanos* | A | 2000  October 2007 (A)  October 2010 – Special review, recommended to be accredited B  October 2011 – B  May 2016 – B  October 2019 |
| Mexico: *Comisión Nacional de los Derechos Humanos* | A | 1999  October 2006  October 2011  November 2016  December 2020 – Special review in June 2021  June 2021  March 2022 |
| Peru: *Defensoría del Pueblo* | A | 1999  March 2007  March 2012  March 2017 |
| Uruguay: *Institución Nacional de Derechos Humanos y Defensoría del Pueblo* | A | May 2016  October 2021 |
| **Europe** |  |  |
| Albania: People’s Advocate | A | 2003 – A(R)  2004  November 2008  November 2013 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014  December 2020 |
| Armenia: Human Rights Defender | A | April 2006 – A(R)  October 2006  October 2011 – deferred to November 2012  November 2012 – deferred to May 2013  May 2013  March 2019 |
| Austria: Austrian Ombudsman Board | A | 2000 – B  May 2011 – B  March 2022 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina: Institute of Human Rights Ombudsmen | A | 2001 – A(R)  2002 – A(R)  2003 – A(R)  November 2009 – recommended to be accredited B  October 2010 – A  November 2016 – deferred to November 2017  November 2017 |
| Bulgaria: Ombudsman of the Republic of Bulgaria | A | March 2019 |
| Croatia: Ombudsman | A | April 2008  May 2013  March 2019 |
| Denmark: Danish Institute for Human Rights | A | 1999 (B)  2001  October 2007 – A  November 2012  November 2017 – deferredto October 2018  October 2018 |
| Estonia: Chancellor of Justice of Estonia | A | December 2020 |
| Finland: Finnish National Human Rights Institution | A | October 2014  October 2019 |
| France: *Commission nationale consultative des droits de l’homme* | A | 1999  October 2007  November 2012 – deferred to May 2013  May 2013  March 2019 |
| Georgia: Public Defender’s Office | A | October 2007  November 2012 – deferred to May 2013  May 2013  October 2018 |
| Germany: German Institute for Human Rights | A | 2001 – A(R)  2002 – A(R)  2003  November 2008  November 2013 – deferred to October 2014  March 2015 – deferred to November 2015  November 2015  March 2022 – deferred to October 2023 |
| Greece: National Commission for Human Rights | A | 2000 – A(R)  2001  October 2007 – A\*  November 2009 – A\*  March 2010 – A\*  March 2015 – deferred to May 2016  May 2016 – recommended to be downgraded to B  March 2017 |
| Ireland: Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission | A | November 2015  June 2021 |
| Latvia: Ombudsman of the Republic of Latvia | A | March 2015  December 2020 |
| Lithuania: Seimas Ombudsmen Office | A | March 2017 |
| Luxembourg: *Commission consultative des droits de l’homme* | A | 2001 – A(R)  2002  November 2008 – recommended to be downgraded to B  March 2009 – deferred to November 2009  November 2009 – A\*  October 2010  November 2015  March 2022 |
| Republic of Moldova: Office of the People’s Advocate of Moldova | A | November 2009 – B  May 2018 |
| Netherlands: Netherlands Institute for Human Rights | A | March 2014  December 2020 |
| Norway: Norwegian National Human Rights Institution | A | March 2017 |
| Poland: Commissioner for Human Rights | A | 1999  October 2007  November 2012  November 2017 |
| Portugal: *Provedor de Justiça* | A | 1999  October 2007  November 2012  November 2017 |
| Russian Federation: Commissioner for Human Rights in the Russian Federation | A | 2000 (B)  2001 (B)  November 2008 – A  November 2013 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014  October 2019 – deferred to October 2020 (session held in June 2021)  June 2021 |
| Serbia: Protector of Citizens | A | March 2010  March 2015  October 2021 |
| Slovenia: Human Rights Ombudsman | A | 2000 – B  March 2010 – B  December 2020 |
| Spain: *El Defensor del Pueblo* | A | 2000  October 2007  November 2012  May 2018 |
| Ukraine: Ukrainian Parliament Commissioner for Human Rights | A | April 2008 (B)  March 2009 – A  March 2014 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014  October 2019 |
| Equality and Human Rights Commission of Great Britain | A | November 2008  October 2010 – Special Review – A  November 2015 |
| Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission | A | 2001 (B)  May 2011 – A  May 2016  October 2021 – deferred to October 2022 |
| Scotland: Scottish Human Rights Commission | A | November 2009 – deferred to March 2010  March 2010  March 2015  June 2021 |

**“B” status institutions (30)**

| *Institution* | *Status* | *Year reviewed* |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Americas** |  |  |
| Nicaragua: *Procuraduría para la Defensa de los Derechos Humanos* | B | April 2006 – A  May 2011 – A  November 2016 – deferredto November 2017  November 2017 – deferredto May 2018  May 2018 – recommended to be downgraded B status  March 2019 – B |
| Panama: *Defensoría del Pueblo* | B | 1999  October 2006  November 2012  November 2017  October 2019 – Special Review in March 2020 (session held in December 2020)  December 2020 – Recommended to be downgraded to B status, given one year to establish compliance with the Paris Principles  October 2021 |
| Paraguay:  *Defensoría del Pueblo de Paraguay* | B | March 2019 |
| Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela: *Defensoría del Pueblo* | B | 2002 – A  April 2008 – A  May 2013 – A  March 2014 – Special Review in October 2014  October 2014 – deferred to March 2015  March 2015 – recommended to be accredited B  May 2016 – B |
| **Asia and the Pacific** |  |  |
| Bahrain: National Institution for Human Rights | B | May 2016 |
| Bangladesh: National Human Rights Commission | B | May 2011  March 2015 |
| Fiji: Human Rights and Anti-Discrimination Commission | B | June 2021 |
| Maldives: Human Rights Commission | B | April 2008  March 2010 |
| Myanmar: Myanmar National Human Rights Commission | B | November 2015 |
| Oman: National Human Rights Commission | B | November 2013 |
| Uzbekistan: Authorized Person of the Oliy Majlis of the Republic of Uzbekistan | B | December 2020 |
| **Central Asia** |  |  |
| Kazakhstan: Commissioner for Human Rights | B | March 2012 |
| Kyrgyzstan: Ombudsman | B | March 2012 |
| Tajikistan: Human Rights Ombudsman | B | March 2012 |
| **Africa** |  |  |
| Algeria: *Commission nationale des droits de l’homme* | B | 2000 – A(R)  2002 – A(R)  2003 – A  April 2008 – recommended to be downgraded to B  March 2009 – B  March 2010 – deferred to October 2010  October 2010  May 2018 |
| Chad: *Commission nationale des droits de l’homme* | B | 2000 – A(R)  2001 – A(R)  2003 – A(R)  November 2009 |
| Congo: *Commission nationale des droits de l’homme* | B | October 2010 |
| Côte d’Ivoire: *Commission nationale des droits de l’homme* | B | May 2016 |
| Libya: National Council for Civil Liberties and Human Rights | B | October 2014 |
| Senegal: *Comité sénégalais des droits de l’homme* | B | 2000  October 2007 – A\*  October 2010 – deferred to May 2011  May 2011 – deferred to October 2011  October 2011 – recommended to be accredited B  November 2012 |
| Tunisia: *Comité supérieur des droits de l’homme et des libertés fondamentales* | B | November 2009 |
| **Europe** |  |  |
| Azerbaijan: Human Rights Commissioner (Ombudsman) | B | October 2006 – A  October 2010 – deferred to May 2011  May 2011 – recommended to be accredited B  March 2012 – A  March 2017 – recommended to be downgraded to B  May 2018 |
| Belgium: Inter-federal Centre for Equal Opportunity and fight against racism and discrimination | B | May 2018 |
| Bulgaria: Commission for Protection Against Discrimination | B | October 2011 |
| Cyprus: Commissioner for Administration and Human Rights | B | November 2015  June 2021 – deferred to October 2022 |
| Hungary: Commissioner for Fundamental Rights | B | November 2013 – deferred to October 2014  October 2014  October 2019 – deferred to October 2020 (session held in June 2021)  June 2021 – recommended to be downgraded to B  March 2022 |
| North Macedonia: Ombudsman | B | October 2011 |
| Montenegro: Protector of Human Rights and Freedoms | B | May 2016 |
| Slovakia: National Centre for Human Rights | B | 2002 – C  October 2007  March 2012 – Accreditation lapsed due to non-submission of documentation  March 2014 – B |
| Slovenia: Human Rights Ombudsman | B | 2000  March 2010 |
| Sweden: Equality Ombudsman | B | May 2011 |

**“C” status institutions (10)**

| *Institution* | *Status* | *Year reviewed* |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Africa** |  |  |
| Benin: *Commission béninoise des droits de l’homme* | C | 2002 |
| Madagascar: *Commission nationale des droits de l’homme* | C | 2000 – A(R) 2002 – A(R) 2003 – A(R) April 2006 – recommended status withdrawn October 2006 – C |
| **Americas** |  |  |
| Antigua and Barbuda: Office of the Ombudsman | C | 2001 |
| Barbados: Office of the Ombudsman | C | 2001 |
| Puerto Rico: *Oficina del Procurador del Ciudadano del Estado Libre Asociado de Puerto Rico* | C | March 2007 |
| **Asia and the Pacific** |  |  |
| Hong Kong, Administrative Region of China: Equal Opportunities Commission | C | 2000 |
| Islamic Republic of Iran: *Commission islamique des droits de l’homme* | C | 2000 |
| **Europe** |  |  |
| Romania: Romanian Institute for Human Rights | C | March 2007 May 2011 |
| Switzerland: *Commission fédérale pour les questions féminines* | C | March 2009 |
| Switzerland: Federal Commission against Racism | C | 1998 (B) March 2010 |

**Suspended institutions**

| *Institution* | *Status* | *Year reviewed* |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| **Asia and the Pacific** | |  |
| Fiji: Human Rights Commission | Suspended  Note: The Commission resigned from the former International Coordinating Committee of National Institutions for the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights on 2 April 2007. | 2000 (A) March 2007 – accreditation suspended; documents to be submitted October 2007  2 April 2007 – The Commission resigned from the Global Alliance of National Human Rights Institutions |
| **Americas** |  |  |
| Paraguay: *Defensoría del Pueblo* | Suspended  Note: The Defensoría resigned from the former International Coordinating Committee of National Institutions for the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights on 10 July 2014. | 2003 – A November 2008 – A November 2013 – deferred to March 2014 March 2014 – deferred to October 2014 October 2014 – March 2019 – Suspended |

**Institutions whose accreditation has lapsed**

| *Institution* | *Status* | *Year reviewed* |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| **Africa** |  |  |
| Burkina Faso: *Commission nationale des droits humains* |  | 2002 – A(R) 2003 – A(R) 2005 – B March 2012 – accreditation lapsed due to non-submission of documentation |
| **Europe** |  |  |
| Norway: Norwegian Centre for Human Rights |  | In view of the establishment of Norwegian National Human Rights Institution and its accreditation in March 2017, the accreditation of this institution lapsed. |

**Dissolved institutions**

| *Institution* | *Status* | *Year reviewed* |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| **Europe** |  |  |
| Hungary: Parliamentary Commissioner for Civil Rights |  | May 2011  The institution ceased to exist in view of the establishment of a new institution – Commissioner for Fundamental Rights. |
| Netherlands: Equal Treatment Commission |  | 1999 – B  2004 – B  March 2010 – B  The institution ceased to exist in view of the establishment of a new institution – the Netherlands Institute for Human Rights. |
| Ireland: Irish Human Rights Commission |  | November 2014  The institution was dissolved by Act 2014, which established the Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission. |
| Belgium: Centre for Equal Opportunities and Opposition to Racism |  | December 2014  The institution had been transformed into two institutions:  Inter-federal Centre for Equal Opportunities and Opposition to Discrimination and Racism;  Federal Centre for the Analysis of Migration Flows. |

1. \* 本报告附件不译，原文照发。 [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. 小组委员会2020年12月届会报告(可查阅<https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Countries/NHRI/GANHRI/SCA_Report_December_2020_-_24012021_-_En.pdf>)。 [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. 《全球联盟章程》第10条规定，任何寻求依据《巴黎原则》获得资格认证的国家人权机构都应向全球联盟主席提出申请。 [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. 《全球联盟章程》第15条要求A级国家人权机构每五年进行一次重新认证。 [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. 根据《全球联盟章程》第14.1条，小组委员会可以决定推迟处理申请，而不是就地位问题作出决定。 [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. 《全球联盟章程》第16.2条规定，若有新情况影响到某一国家人权机构对《巴黎原则》的遵守，全球联盟主席或小组委员会任何成员可对该机构的地位启动特别审查。 [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. 小组委员会2021年6月届会报告(可查阅<https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Countries/NHRI/GANHRI/EN-SCA-Report-June-2021.pdf>)。 [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
8. 小组委员会2021年10月届会报告(可查阅<https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/2021-12/SCA-Report-October-2021_E.pdf>)。 [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
9. 根据《章程》第16.1条，当某一国家人权机构的情况发生任何变化，可能影响其继续遵守《巴黎原则》时，该机构须将这些变化通知全球联盟主席：主席须将此事交给小组委员会，以便启动对该机构资格认证地位的审查。 [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
10. 根据《章程》第18.1条，如小组委员会决定将A级国家人权机构降级，该机构可在收到此通知后一年内以书面形式提供必要证据，证明其继续符合《巴黎原则》。 [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
11. 小组委员会2022年3月届会报告(可查阅<https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/2022-04/SCA-Report-March-2022_E.pdf>)。 [↑](#footnote-ref-12)