United Nations A/HRC/49/NGO/249



Distr.: General 17 March 2022

English only

## **Human Rights Council**

**Forty-ninth session** 28 February–1 April 2022 Agenda item 4

Human rights situations that require the Council's attention

Written statement\* submitted by Planetary Association for Clean Energy, Inc., The, a non-governmental organization in special consultative status

The Secretary-General has received the following written statement which is circulated in accordance with Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/31.

[7 February 2022]

<sup>\*</sup> Issued as received, in the language of submission only. The views expressed in the present document do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations or its officials.



GE.22-03944(E)

## Switzerland and human rights: government sanctioned scientific fraud as standard operating procedure

On 22 May 2020, The Lancet published a hit piece on hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), which was presented as a scientific study. It was co-signed by four authors, including the Director of the University of Zurich's Heart Center.(1)

Public relations firms, ensured that conclusions of this pseudo-study were eagerly trumpeted by the mainstream media from the moment of its publication. This scientific fraud, which has since been dubbed "Lancetgate", was mercilessly criticised and denounced worldwide as a fabrication by opinion leaders in the scientific community.

A media debacle ensued as mainstream pundits promptly went on a whitewashing mission, depicting The Lancet as a beacon of integrity and Lancetgate as some sort of editorial mistake. Nothing could be further from the truth.

Within three days of the paper's publication, a league of pseudo-independent experts had inexplicably concluded on the basis of what they deemed evidence, that HCQ should be banned because it had suddenly become dangerous, despite being one of the most prescribed treatments worldwide for almost 70 years.

On this pretext, the WHO promptly excluded this cheap and unpatentable compound from a major ongoing clinical trial looking into treatments for Covid-19. It is noteworthy that, by contrast, the WHO decided to retain Gilead's treatment, Remdesivir, in this clinical trial although it was a molecule the world had very little experience with, it was outrageously overpriced and had widely recognized and severe side-effects.

While three authors of the paper are unknown, the Director of the University of Zurich's Heart Center was the only one of the team who had enough clout to successfully obtain publication by The Lancet. In the heavily politicized world of Western academia, scientists-for-hire are the norm and few give a thought to the implications of a scientist being in bed with about a dozen pharmaceutical companies, including Gilead.

During the Lancetgate episode, many critics recalled the April 2015 editorial in The Lancet entitled "Offline: What is medicine's 5 sigma?", in which journal's Editor in Chief articulately and scathingly summed up the state of affairs in medical science: "[M]uch of the scientific literature, perhaps half, may simply be untrue".

The paper co-authored by the Director of the University of Zurich's Heart Center was indeed obvious scientific fraud, made-up science concocted on behalf of private interests. Inexcusably, The Lancet took two weeks to retract this obvious advertorial for Gilead - an awfully long time for a "reputable" journal to issue a correction.

This was not the first time that the Editor of The Lancet had made such statements denouncing scientific fraud, while himself being complicit in the reams of scientific fraud his journal publishes, without facing any consequences. In fact, he helped the Russell inquiry into Climategate with its work on peer review by contributing a paper on the possible implications of Climategate for scientific peer review, which appeared as an appendix to the inquiry's final report. In a July 2010 article in the Guardian newspaper, he also whitewashed the crimes against humanity perpetrated at the University of East Anglia.

On 27 August 2020, Switzerland once again led the way in scientific fraud with the publication of the Fiolet et al. study on the effect of HCQ on the mortality of Covid-19 patients. On this occasion, plant and soil microbiology researchers took a cherry-picked shot at HCQ in a convoluted paper whose conclusions are the opposite of what their convolutions demonstrate. This tour de force was widely acclaimed, and regurgitated by the mainstream media unexpurgated.

Only hours after its initial publication on 27 August, Le Temps published an advertorial in which the Head of the Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Therapy Unit in the Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology Department Geneva University Hospital (HUG) praised this team for the "great quality of their methodology and statistics".

To date, this scientific travesty has still not been retracted. One of its authors, PhD student at the University of Lausanne, publicly insults his opponents on Twitter while having never seen a patient in his life and never addressing the issue of the consequences of his actions.

On 20 November 2020, WHO finally saw the light and recommended that Remdesivir should not be used in Covid-19 patients. Five days later, Swissmedic, the Swiss regulatory authority for pharmaceuticals, nevertheless cleared Remdesivir for public use by issuing a temporary marketing authorization while the Swiss administration rushed to buy more.

On 31 December 2020, the above mentioned Head of HUG's Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Therapy Unit and the Head of its HIV/AIDS Unit, along with at least 11 other colleagues from HUG, an institution known for deceitful and fraudulent practices, published a hit piece on the dangers of HCQ, which pushed the notion of pseudo scientific hyperconvolutions to hallucinogenic heights.

It is estimated that over a million deaths could have been prevented if a notoriously corrupt academia had not been fully complicit in the making of a mainstream narrative that pushed the idea that there were no treatments against Covid-19. The number of deaths that resulted trumps the sadistic record of the doctors tried at Nuremberg.

But Western academics and scientists have long forgotten how the Nuremberg trial came about

Taking us further into wonderland science territory, on 13 November 2020, a day likely to be remembered by WHO and GAVI "stakeholders", WHO rewrote every book on immunology and upended history when it published a new definition of the notion of "herd immunity", declaring that it could only be achieved through vaccination. It took a public outcry for the definition to be changed once more to again acknowledge the existence of natural immunity.

WHO is now revising its 2005 International Health Regulations, in total secrecy. The international Covid-19 response, which was in reality a political response to a political problem, never even remotely followed these guidelines. Only months after the spectacular fail of the WHO-approved pseudo-pandemic of 2009, the Rockefeller Foundation published a report entitled "Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development". PACE notes that the vast majority of the world's governments have followed to the letter the proposed responses to the Rockefeller "scenarios".

In January 2021, a lawsuit was filed in the Canton of Neuchâtel against the Director of the University of Zurich's Heart Center, the authors of the Fiolet et al. confabulations, as well as those involved in the HUG's rewriting of history. Article 258 of the Swiss Penal Code on threats alarming the population states that those who have unjustifiably triggered alarm among the population should be punished.

The prosecutor in charge, in a scathing one-page response refused even to look at the case, while making jokes in poor taste about the lawsuit itself. According to him, the Swiss penal code doesn't apply to these scientists and they should not be prosecuted because scientific fraud belongs in the realm of scientific debate. Other reasonable people may think otherwise.

In this case, he acted in blatant disregard of the Swiss Constitution and basic civil rights, including the right to be heard, as set out in treaties and international agreements to which Switzerland is a signatory.

The philosophy of law as applied in Swiss courts is such that any case with even a remotely political background, is speedily relegated to oblivion along with Swiss ethics as practised by technocrats.

In a world gone mad, honest doctors are being legally persecuted by the Swiss administration, which uses article 258 against them because they perform their legal obligation by practising informed consent with regard to the serious potential side-effects of novel gene therapies, marketed as vaccines, that are being pushed upon willing and unwilling "participants" by WHO "stakeholders".

In his farewell address to the American people in 1961, President Eisenhower presciently observed that "[t]he prospect of domination of the nation's scholars by Federal employment,

project allocation, and the power of money is ever present and is gravely to be regarded. Yet in holding scientific discovery in respect, as we should, we must also be alert to the equal and opposite danger that public policy could itself become the captive of a scientific-technological elite".

To conclude, PACE would like to thank all these scientists and civil servants, all willing participants, for their remarkable contribution to the field of science as a whole. The people are unlikely to forget.

Australian writer Alexander Carey summed it up well: "The twentieth century has been characterized by three developments of great political importance: the growth of democracy, the growth of corporate power, and the growth of corporate propaganda as a means of protecting corporate power against democracy."

President Putin once asked a potent question that PACE would like to redirect to the hundreds of morally corrupt individuals implicated in the actions cited in the present document: "Do you understand what you have done?"

<sup>1)</sup> http://www.nuremberg2.org/un/references15.pdf