# United Nations GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FIRST COMMITTEE

27th meeting
held on
Friday, 3 0 October 1987

at 3 p.m. Now York

FORTY-SECOND SESSION

Official Records\*

VERBATIM RECORD OF THE 27th MPBTING

Chairman: Mr. BAGBENI ADEITO NZENGEYA (Zaire)

CONTENTS

STATEMENTS ON SPECIFIC DISARMAMENT AGENDA ITEMS AND CONTINUATION OF THE GENERAL DEBATE, A S NECESSARY (continued)

#### Statements were made by:

Mr. Killu (Konya)

Mr. Lingama-Toloque (Central African Ropublic)

sister Keenan (Holy-See)

Mr. Manda-Loundhet (Congo)

Mr. Al-Nassor (Gatar)

Miss Fauziah (Malaysia)

#### The meeting was called to order at 3.30 p.m.

AGENDA LTEMS 48 TO 69 (continued)

STATEMENTS ON SPECIFIC DISARMAMENT AGENDA ITEMS AND CONTINUATION OF THE GENERAL DEBATE, AS NECESSARY

MC. KIILU (Kenya) I Permit me to take this opportunity to extend to you, Sir, my delegation's congratulations on your election as Chairman of this important Committoo. We are happy to see you, the representative of Zaire, a country with which Kenya has maintained and enjoyed long-standing ties of fraternity, friendship and co-operation, presiding over our deliberations. I should like to assure you of my delegation's full co-operation in the discharge of your responsibilities.

I also extend our congratulations to the other officers of the Committee.

One of the paradoxical developments of our time has been the replacement of colonialism and foreign domination by super-Power rivalry and competition for spheres of political and economic influence. As a consequence, the world has been faced with worsening international relations coupled with a fierce and open arms race. The build-up of armaments has surpassed legitimate defence needs and, instead of providing deterrence, the extension of this competition to nuclear arms has posed the threatening spectre of the complete annihilation of the whole human race. It is against this backdrop that the Committee is convened every year to explore and deliberate on the cardinal probems of our time, namely, the elimination of the nuclear danger, ridding mankind of the burden of nuclear and other armaments, strengthening international security, and developing international co-operation. No one can doubt that this has been a difficult process, and the hope that one day the international community will harmonize its security differences remains the only motivating factor.

(Mr. Kiilu, Kenya)

In this context, we cannot lose eight of the reassuring optimism that emanates from the ongoing hilateral negotiations between the United States and the Soviet Union. Both have agreed in principle to eliminate land-based intermediate-range and short-range nuclear weapons in Europe and elsewhere. The whole world welcomes this as the first real attempt to dismantle two categories of nuclear arms. Any success in this will, it is hoped, lead to further agreements on the more complex issues of apace and strategic nuclear arms. My delegation, like many ethers, Calls upon the two super-Powers to spare no effort in seeking the attainment of all their agreed objectives in their negotiations, in accordance with the security interests of the whole world and the universal desire for complete disarmament.

Of the many issues on the Committee's agenda for this session the prevention of nuclear war is the most prominent. Numerous proposals have been submitted on this subject, notably on non-first-use and a convention on the total prohibition of the use of nuclear woapone. Immediate measures have been proposed as a first step towards the prevention of nuclear war, such as a nuclear-arms freeze which embraces a comprehensive ban on the testing of nuclear weapons and their delivery ayetema. This emphasis is as it should be, because the prevention of nuclear war remains our most urgent and immediate task, yet possibly the most difficult. With increased reliance on and advancement of technology, accidental nuclear war is no longer a hypothetical probability and thus every effort must be deployed towards the avoidance of nuclear war,

The first Step towards preventing nuclear war and halting the arms race would be the early conclusion of a comprehensive treaty on the complete prohibition of the testing of all types of nuclear weapons in all environments by all States.

While recognizing that there exist at procent real and potential difficulties in

the way of tha conclusion of a verifiable treaty hanning all nuclear tests, I should like to reaffirm the importance that my country attaches to the Group of Seismic Experts in its efforts to overcome various technical verification probleme. similarly, we are equally convinced that whatever the differences on the issue of verification there are no insurmountable obstacles necessitating a delay in the conclusion of a comprehensive nualear-teat hen with the existing seismological techniaues, which could guarantee the first stages of compliance with the treaty pending other effective verification techniaues. In this context, my delegation will continue to support the establishment of an ad hoc committee on a nuclear-test ban as a subsidiary body of the Conference on Disarmament, with a view to taking into account all existing proposals and future initiatives.

My delegation attaches great importance to the question of effective international arrangements to protect non-nuclear-weapon States against the use or threat of use of nuclear weapons. As I have just said, nuclear weapons constitute the gravoet threat to humanity and, as in the case of a nuclear-test han, negative security assurances should be viewed as a positive step towards the elimination of these weapons, pending other effective meaures of nuclear disarmament.

Kenya shares the conviction that there is a need for urgent multilateral.

negotiations on the cessation of the nuclear-arms race through mutually negotiated measures. Multilateral negotiations on nuclear disarmament are long overdue and, in any event, bilateral negotiations, because of their limited scope, con never replace or nullify the genuine multilataral search for concrete disarmament measures that in envisaged if an adhoc committee of the Conference on Disarmament is established with an appropriate mandate. My delegation subscribes fully to the view that the Conference on Disarmament should start serious consideration of all

issues related to the cessation of a nuclear-acme race and nuclear disarmament, as proecrihed in paragraphs 50 and 51 of the Final Document of the first special gossion of the General Assembly dovoted to disarmament.

My Government considers that the tims is ripe for early negotiation of a convention on the prevention of an arms race in outer space. Outer space, which is dooignated in the 1967 outer space Treaty & common heritage of mankind, is being contemplate an a new front for the extension of the nuclear-acme race. This may prove to be the most extraordinary development in military history since the advent of the nulear era and thus warrants universal concern. In recognition of the importance and urgency of preventing these ominous developments, discussions should be intensified, both at the bilateral level and in the Conference on Disarmament, on how best to attain a more comprohensive legal régime with sufficient guarantees to prevent the militarization of cuter space. Such a régime should enhance effectiveness and establish the importance of strict compliance with existing agreements.

ı ğ

We share the opinion that a comprohensive chemical weapons convention is in sight. My delegation is encouraged by the recent convergence of views registered in the elaboration of draft articles governing challenge inspections and control to ensure non-production of chemical weapons by civil industry. However, even taking into account the political sensitivity of the issues involved, the pace of the already long-overdue negotiating procease has been very slow. We believe that on the basis of the present preliminary structure of the convention, complemented by strong political will and trust between the major chemical-weapons States, a treaty could be completed at an early date. We firmly Share the view that the Conference on Disarmament should pursue vigorously its negotiations on this multilateral draft convention, which will close all loopholes and guarantee forever the complete and effective prohibition of the development, production, stockpiling and use of chemical weapons. Pending such a convention, all States should co-operate in efforts to prevent the use of Chemical weapons, in accordance with the principles and objectives of the Geneva Protocol of 1925.

Yor over two decades African States have continued to demonstrate their peaceful intentions through their proclaimed commitment to the cause Of denuclearfzation of the continent as espoused by the 1964 Cairo Declaration of the Organization of African Unity (OAU). Over the years African delegations have initiated resolutions calling upon all States to consider and respect the Continent of Africa as a nuclear-weapon-free zone. Similarly, African delegations have sought the international community's Condemnation of South Africa's nuclear capability and all forms of nuclear collaboration by any State, corporation, institution or individual with the racist South African régime. Despite tireless support and the recognition that acquisition of nuclear-weapon capability by the racist régime constitutes a very grave danyar to the continent and to international

peace and eecucity, collaboration in all forms by certain States is very evident and widely reported in conservative international media.

We strongly believe that the attainment of military nuclear capability by

South Africa could be designed to hold the whole of Africa hostage and hence delay

Namibia's independence and the dismantling of the abhorrent policies of apartheid.

In view of this, we reaffirm our support for the African peoples' appeal to the

countries concerned to terminate forthwith all forms of collaboration with the

rabist régime in the military and nuclear fields. We also request the Security

Council to annolude expeditiously consideration of the xeucommendatione of the

Committee established by its resolution 421 (1977) concerning the question of South

Africa, with a view to blocking the existing loopholes in the arms embargo 80 as to

render it more effective and prohibitive,

Kenya continues to attach particular importance to the establishment of zone of peace in various parts of the world. Such zones could strengthen the fabric of regional peace and stability and prevent extraregional interference, thereby creating Conditions conducive to regional co-operation in the field of economic and social development. In the light of this fact, the concept of the Indian Ocean as a zone of peace reflects the hopes and aspirations of littoral and hinterland States to enhance the prospects of peace, stability, security and the promotion of economic well-being of the respective States. We feel that the first etep towards the oetablishment of a zone of peace in the Indian Ocean region is the convening of the long Overdue International Conference, The Conference would harmonize the interests and viewpoints of the countries in the region and the major naval Powers and maritime users. We deeply regret that the Ad Hoc Committee entrusted with the attainment of thin objective has not yet been able to achieve any meaningful progress in either the subetantive or the organizational field. The volatile

(Mc. Kiilu, Kenya)

political and security climate in the region has increased the urgency of convening the Conference at an early date.

The recently concluded Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development adopted a Final Document in which it was recognized that, considering the present resource constraints on both developed and developing countries, reduced world military spending could contribute significantly to development. But the most significant outcome of the Conference was the recommendations in the action programme to foster the interrelated perspective on disarmament, development and security and to strengthen the central role of the united Nations in this regard. Kenya views the achievements of this Conference as most significant and calls for implementation of its recommendations.

Finally, as the preparatory work Par the third special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament is at an advanced stage, we feel very strongly that it is necessary to determine specific dates during 1998 at which the special session should take place. We hope that the third special session will be able to improve on the outaome of the two previous special sessions, of 1978 and 1982, in order to arrive at a realistic Consensus document that will be unassailable for the next decade. We view this session as a very important one at which a definitive approach to the current etatus of disarmament initiatives and their future Course will be evaluated.

Mr. LINGAMA-TOLEQUE (Central African Republic) (interpretation from french): Mr. Chairman, on behalf of the delegation of the Central African Republic, I should like to express our pride at seeing you leading the work of this Committee. I am particularly pleased in that our two countries - the Central African Republic and the Republic of Zaire - maintain excellent brotherly relationa. Thus I am convinced that, thanks to your proved diplomatic skills and

## (Mr. Lingama-Toleque, Central African Republic)

the enlightened assistance of the Other officers Of the Committee, to whom I also extend my delegation's congratulatione, the WOTK of our Committee will have the desired results.

The United Nations Charter envisaged disarmament &8 an element of the audientive establishment of an international security system, but the manufacture and use Of sophisticated weapons, in particular nuclear weapons, runs counter to thir vision, making the possibility of a world of peace very doubtful. Thus, the international community has made disarmament one of its major concerne.

(Mc. Lingama-Tolegue, Central African Republic)

Forty years have elapsed and the results attained in this area have not always lived up to one's hopes. In the mean time, in the name of finding a balanced force, rhe major Powers have become engaged in an unbridled arms race and new arsenals with massive destruction capabilities have accumulated, driving mankind to the brink of disaster.

Numerous approaches have Leon conceived without SUCCESS to establish a dialogue, both in order to avoid having recourse to war, ostensibly as an instrument of international politics, and in order to attain the ultimate objective, of general and complete disarmament.

The Central African Republic, a peace-loving country, 13 particularly aware of the complexity of the problems related to disarmament — which as we all know affect the vital interests of . States — since we appreciate the various efforts undertaken within the framework of the United Nations as well as the new attitudes and policies, whatever their origin, designed to resolve these problems and theroby strengthen international peace and security.

The work of our Committee is taking place at a time when there 900M8 to be a certain degree of détente in the international climate. This is due first Of all to the United States of America and the Soviet Union, which have once again demonstrated genuine political will commensurate with their world responsibilities and have become convinced that, beyond their ideological differences, dialogue remains the only means of reaching agreement on nuclear disarmament measures.

The agreement in principle reached in September between the two super-Powers for the elimination of a whole tange of nuclear weapons is a historic event. It marks a turring-point in East-West relations, thereby providing favourable conditions for disarmament.

# (Mr. Lingama-Toleque, Central African Republic)

It is also encouraging to see that the way is open for further discussions that could lead to an agreement with regard to a 50 per cent reduction in offeneive strategic arms and perhaps with regard to the deployment of weapons in space.

The great hope prompted throughout the world by this agreement in principle took form in a consensus decision of the General Assembly of 21 O cober 1987 in which the international community requested the two super-Powers to spare no effort to conclude a treaty on the elimination of their medium- and shorter-range missiles and to do everything possible to conclude a treaty on the reduction of their offensive strategic arms.

The Central African Republic joined in that consensue. Indeed, my delegation is gratified that the spirit of Reykjavik continues ant! augurs well for the eustained dialogue between the United Staten and the Soviet Union, as is evidenced by the frequency of the meetings between them. My delegation also hones that this dialogue will lead to a new summit meeting.

While the present situation offers excellent prospects for the two super-Powers to become involved in bilateral negotiations with a view to nuclear disarmament, it is no less necessary or urgent to undertake multilateral negotiations within the framework of the Conference on Disarmament with a view to the drafting of concrete disarmament measures, together with ver if ication provisions that would take into account the aepirationo of the parties concerned. This would have the advantage of eetahlishing an atmosphere of trust between them and would thus contribute to international peace and secu. ity.

It is none the less regrettable that the Conference on Disarmament, the Only multilateral negotiating body, is not yet able to play a dominant role in the disarmament process.

#### (Mr. Lingama-Toleque, Central African Republic)

The adoption by the General Assembly of recolution 41/46 A on the ceceation of all nuclear-teet explosions hae not been able to prevent theee explosions. My delegation is deeply concerned because this trend can only etrengthen the equilibrium of terror, which would on the one hand give states that have nuclear weapons the false impreceion that they were eafo from any nuclear threat, and, on the other hand, would hang over the whole world the threat of permanent ineecur ity. My delegation therefore supports the diearmament measures advocated by the Conference on Diearmament in its report in respect of the nuclear-teat ban. That document represents a significant contribution to the objective of putting en end to the perfecting - the qualitative perfooting - of nuclear arms, the development of new types of weapons, and the proliferation of nuclear weapons.

Moreover, my delegation notes with satisfaction the progrees achieved in the Conference on Disarmament on negotiations leading to a convention on the prohibition of the manufacture and use of chemical weapons. Consequently, it endorses the re-establishment by the Conference on Disarmament of the Ad Hoo Committee on Chemical Weapons, which received a mandate to continue negotiations and the drafting of this convention, which has become all the more necessary than ever.

The great intorect in questions of nuclear disarmament and of the prevention of the arms race in outer space chould not make us loce eight of the importance of conventional disarmament, notably in Europe. The great concentration of conventional forces in that area of the world, with the concecuent Climate of distrust which it engenders in the two blocs, requiree more constructive negotiations with a view to their reduction.

## (Mr. Lingama-Toleque, Central African Republic)

In his report on the activities of the Organisation, the Secretary-General notes the negations affect of the acquisition by the developing countries of vaet resources of parfected armamente, which are a financial burden on their economies and increase their indebtedness.

My delegation endorses the decision to create regional centres for the promotion of poace and disarmament which, in this respect, represents a promising initiative in our developing countries. We hope that those centres will contribute to promoting awareness in the third world of the idea of disarmment and OS the need to devote resources to Sevelopmant, for their benefit.

During his etatament to the General Accombly on 8 October 1987, the Minister for Foreign Affairs and International Co-operation of the Central African Republic commented on the conclusions of the work of the International Conference on the Relationship between Dicermament and Development and stated:

"The arms race is thue a real throat to international peace and security and is certainly an obetacle to development, because major resources are devoted to it when they could have been used for development." ( $\frac{A}{42}$ / $\frac{PV.31}{PV.31}$ , p. 61)

My delegation commends the adoption by consensus of the Final Document of the Conference, despite its limitations. We have that the measures advocated in that Final Document will not just prove to be pious wishes.

As for the third special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament, my delegation hopes, as the head of the Central African delegation indicated during the general debate, that it will provide on opportunity for the international community to identify the major strategies to be followed in making disarmament effective.

(Mr. Lingama-Tologue, Contral African Republic)

The Central African Ropublic, faithful to the Declaration on the Denuclearization of Africa adopted by the Heads of State and Government in the Organisation os African Unity in 1964, and to resolutions 41/55 A and B on the implementation of the Declaration on the Denuclearization of Africa, is seriously annexed over the nuclear capability of South Africa, which not only could become an instrument of a policy and threat against neighbouring States, but will increase the threat to international peace and security. We urgently appeal to the nuclear Powers to keep our continent free of nuclear weapons. This would be an important etep on the way to the general end complete disarmament to which the international community aspires.

Sister KYPNAN (Holy Soo): Before making this brief statement, the Holy See delegation would like to thank the Chairman for the privilege of addressing the First Committee and, at the same time, congratulate him and the other members of the Bureau.

Rarely has the fundamental solidarity and interdependence of States been cast into sharper relief than during this past year which has seen notable progress in bilateral, multilateral and regional disarmament nevertations.

#### (Sister Keenan, Holy See)

In the bilateral field the international community is filled with a restic, if cautious, hope that the first true disarmament measure since the beginning of the nuclear age will be concluded. The significance of this went goes beyond its political or military importance. St represents a decision to halt, to atep, to eliminate. This step, once taken, opens the way for even more important reductions ill nuclear west, tending towards their total elimination. The Holy See has consistently called for such measures. The removal of the everriding threat of the use of such weapone of mass destruction, provided that the legitimate security of States and hence of peoples were assured, would represent a highly positive contribution to the building of a peacoful world. Then, the international community could address with evec greater urgency these issues which directly touch the liven of people, such as conventional and chemical-weapons disacmament, the integral development of peoples, the dignity and liberty of each person.

Nuclear disarmament cannot stand alone. It suet be accompanied by conventional disarmament measures, not only in those regions most directly affected by nuclear weapons but also in those areas whose conflicts are causiny so many deaths and leading to a tragic loss of hope in the future on the pact of suffering populations. The effects on youth are particularly preoccupying.

Disarmament is the responsibility of all. It concerns all. It affects all. An integrated vision of disarmament, embracing all types of weapons, as well as the consideration of various means of conflict avoidance and resolution, must gradually move States, all States towards a more peaceful, more secure world. This is a fundamental exigency of that international community which the United Nations is socking to build and which exists ulroudy, nut only in the shured humanity of so many peoples but also in the binding force of an increasing number of treaties and agreements. In this constantly developing community of nations no States id too small or too big to be safe from war and conflict wherever it muy exist.

(Sister Keenan, Holy See)

There are Still many well-known obstacles facing disacmament, and even to the establishment of dialogue in truth and liberty. Never, however, can there be an obstacle so great that States and peoples can escape the responsibility of doing all possible to prepare for and pursue dialogue and negotiation. All paths must be pathently explored and rested. This applies pacticularly to regions where the level of armaments Par surpasses the requirements of legitimate self-defence.

In concluding, the Holy See would like once again to express ite hopes in the possibilities Hoc peace and disarmament that the present moment offers. It would particularly like to encourage the disarmament efforts of the United Nations, which both complement and complete other negotiations, thus giving full expression to the desires of the international community.

Mr. MANDA-LOUNDHET (Congo) (interpretation from French) I I ehould like to begin, Mc. Chairman, by expressing the satisfaction of the Congolese delegation that the work of the First Committee conducted at this forty-second session of the General Assembly is being conducted by you, a man whose knowledge of the problems with which we deal here and whose talents as an experienced diplomat have already been eloquently evoked by previous speakers. my delegation is all the more pleased in that you belong to a country of Control Afcicu, Zaire, to which my country, Congo, is bound by ties of blood, tradition, language and so on. The delegation of Congo is convinced that you will steer this ship safely to port.

I ehould also like to express to your predecessor, Ambassador Zachmann, the Congolese delegation's appreciation of the competence and effectiveness with which he fulfillers his functions.

To MC. Akashi, the Under-Secreta -General for Disarmament Affairs, whose visit to the Congo when he was still Under-Secretary-General in charge of the Department of Public Information we still remember with pleasure, we should also

(Mr. Manda-Loundhet, Congo)

like to express our gratitude and our encouredement in his pursuit of his dynamic promotion of disar mament,

Lastly, we assure all the other officers of the Committee and members of the Secretariat that they may rely upon the Cull co-operation of the Congolese delegat ion.

Many delegations which have preceded me have agreed in saying that the work of the First Committee thin year hogan under the signs of hope and fear.

There was hope, because, after Reykjavik, which marked some progress along the road towards the reduction by 50 per cent of the strategic offensive weapons of both sides, the agreement in principle reached on 18 September 1987 by Mr. Shultz, the United States Socretary of State, and hr. Shevardnadze, the Soviet Minister for Foreign Affairs, on the elimination of intermediate nuclear forces was immediately felt by the world public to he the heginning of an irreversible process towards general and complete disarmament. That agreement meets the concerns expressed by the General Assembly in resolutions 41/59 A to 0, adopted on 3 December 1986, to which the People's Republic of the Congo gave its full support,

There was fear, because the history of Soviet-American relations remains fraught with uncertainty. There was fear that the delicate agreement in principle might be paralysed by preconceived ideas and thus stillborn, shattering all the hopes of the brilliant future that we all desire. For example, as a forewarning, is it not already being said that the presence of medium-range nuclear weapons offered public opinion reassurance, and that it was pointless to disturb it?

Man is today caught in a trap of his own making and is trying desperately to escape. Will he manage to do so? The future - his future - will tell.

Never has our planet been so over-armed, both unantitatively and qualitatively. Never has mankind been so close to the brink. Indeed, today there are more than 50,000 nuclear warheads, which, if detonated simultaneously, could.

(Mr. Manda-Loundhet, Congo)

according to Mr, Frvoela Davinic, special Assistant to the Under-Secretary-General Of the Department of Disarmament Affairs, suffice to destroy the world 10 times Over. According to that same expert, a single nuclear submarine could have fire-power equal to that of all the munitions exploded in all the wars throughout history.

Notwithstanding that terrifying prospect, the unbridled nuclear-arms race, as well as the conventional, chemical and other arms races, is continuing inexorably, threatening the very survival of mankind. Every day new technologies are being developed for the manufacture of new weapons and other systems of space weapons, giving an ever broader dimension to the arms race.

Europe, where the two great opposing military alliances face one another like wreetlere before a fight, contains the world's greatest concentration of weapons and armed forces. The threat stems also from man's desire to arm himself everywhere; on land, in the oceans and in outer apace. In the view of my delegation, the doctrine that world peace can be maintained only through nuclear deterrence is dangerous and suicidal.

That is why Congo supports the idea of creating nuclear-weapon-free zones in the Middle East, in South Asia, in Latin America, in Africa and 80 forth and Calls on all nuclear-weapon States to accede to the non-proliferation Treaty.

Unfortunately, we must note that, && regards Africa, the situation remains complex and deplorable despite General Aeeembly resolution 41/55 A of 3 December 1986, entitled "Implementation of the Declaration on the Denuclearisation of Africa", the <u>apartheid</u> tégime of Pretoria, hiding behind its so-called basic security requirements, refuses to abide by that Treaty and continues with impunity to build up its nuclear capability and military might. Without a doubt, thie poses a grave threat to international and regional peace and security. Confident Of ite weapons and its alliances, South Africa ehameleealy continues its attacks against the front-line States in order to destabilize their régimes.

In that disturbing general context, we are inevitably reminded of this observation, in the Final Document of the first special 808810n of the General Accembly devoted to disarmament:

"Mankind is confronted with a choicer we must halt the arms race and proceed to disarmament or face annihilation". (resolution S-10/2, para, 18)

Likewise, Heads of State or Government of non-aligned countries, meeting in September 1906 at Harare, Zimbabwe, judged the situation to be alarming and

(Mr. Manda-Coundhet, Congo)

• reiterated their view that the greatest peril facing the world is the threat to the survival of humanity posed by the existence of nuclear weapons. Since annihilation needs to happen only once, removing the threat of nuclear oataetrophe 18 not one issue among many, but the most acute and urgent task of the present day". A/41/697, pp. 23-24)

We hope that thought and that appeal will have definite effects in all States. As to the two super-Powers, I would refer to their joint declaration issued at Geneva in November 1995 relating to the acceleration of their bilateral arms-limitation negotiations.

In any event, other States should he kept regularly informed on progress in the negotiations, and the United Nations should function &8 the moat appropriate forum in which to find solutions to the problems that concern us all. Bilateral disarmament measures supplemented by multilateral agreements could help strengthen international peace and security.

My delegation shares the view that a worried or resigned world faces the threat of nuclear conflict and the unbridled race in military expenditure, while the third world, overwhelmed by its debt burden, is ever engaged in the struggle to make ends meet in an ever deteriorating climate.

World military expenditures have taken on frightening dimensions; in one of its reports, the Disarmament Commission has noted that the world trend continues to be one of aaaeleration and annual increases in these expenditures. In 1966 they Were estimated at 8950,000 million, or 6 per cent of the world gross national product; today they have reached the staggering figure of \$1, trillion.

The link between disarmament and development can no longer be ignored. There is an opportunity to reallocate resources released by disarmament to development.

That link was stressed in the Final Document adopted by CONSENSUS at the

(Mr. Manda-Loundhet, Congo)

International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development, finally held, after many delays, in New York from 24 August to 11 September 1987. We pay a tribute to France for having proposed the convening of that Conference.

Diearmament is everyone's business. we hope that all States, large or small, powerful Of weak, will aontribute to the World Diearmament Campaign. We hope too that the next world diearmament conference, to be held in 1988, will give particular impetus to the quest for colutions to diearmament problems.

Mr. AL-NASSER (Qatar) (interpretation from Arabic): My delegation speaks today on one of the most crucial items before this Committee, namely Israeli nuclear armament. In the general debate, my delegation made clear its Concern at Israel's development of its nuclear capability and its acquisition of highly destructive nuclear weapons. Qatar's permanent representative said in his statement that:

\*Although the number of States acceding to the Treaty on the

Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) is increasing — there were over 135

at the time of the 1985 Review Conference — Israel Still persists in its

refusal to accede to that Treaty and to open its nuclear inetallatione to

international inspection, unlike the States of the area that acceded to the

Treaty and accepted international control OVER SOME of their nuclear

installations."

Last year, the General Assembly adopted resolution 41/93 of 4 December 1986, in which it requested the Secretary-General:

"to follow closely Israeli nuclear activities in the light of the latest available information, to update the Study on Israeli Nuclear Armament and submit it to the General Assembly at ite forty-eecond 88881000, (resolution 41/93, para. 7)

(Mr. Al-Nasser, Qatar)

In compliance with that resolution, the Secretary-Geraral has submitted a report (A/42/581) in which he refer@ to an exposé in the London Sunday Times. Those who read the exposé will recall the numerous details concerning Israel's nuclear capability and the fact that, at the time of the Sunday Times report, it possessed more than 100 nuclear weapons. The Secretary-General auoted from the exposé, with special emphasis, details showing that the Dimona complex has a plutonium extraction plant and that that was the material which Israel probably used in the production of its nuclear weapons.

The Secretary-General also indicated in his report that from the nuclear experts whose opinions the British weekly published it is possible to assume that Israel has been able to manufacture between 100 and 200 nuclear weapons of varying destructive potential. I do not wish to recount in detail things we can read for ourselves in the Secretary-General's report or in the Sunday Times exposé. The Sunday Times piece is old news now and the Secretary-General's report is in our hands, What I want to do is pose a aueetion which has been naqqing the minds of many: Who are the targets for whom Israel is preparing those weapons in such great auantities, in direct contradiction to its affirmation that it will not be the first to introduce nuclear weapons into the Middle East and the fact that it voted in favour of establishing a denuclearized zone in the Middle East? For what purpose has it developed the Jericho-71 missile, a formidable delivery vehicle indeed for nuclear and non-nuclear devices, of great accuracy and a range of hundreds of miles?

There are many answers, which I shall not deal with. The most important issue I shall dwell on is that of nuclear deterrence which can be summed up in the conclusions of Sy Feldman, the author of the manual on Israel's nuclear strategy for the 1980.9. He writes that nuclear deterrence promotes peace through a

#### (Mr. Al-Nasser, Qatar)

heightened level of security in the international Order as it enhances the security of States and assures their survival.

This means there Iseael pursues a dangerous policy running counter to everything the international community, the General Aeaombly and the Security Council call for. Whereas efforts are being deplayed internationally to eliminate nuclear weapons, Israel pursues a policy that is fraught with dangers for peace end security in the region, runs counter to international norms and international law and defies the international will expressed in Security Council resolution 487 (1981), which call upon Israel to place all its nuclear facilities under the safeguards system of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA).

This also explains many of Israel's activities in the region, its intransigent refusel to withdraw from the territories which it occupies by force and the fact that it continues to disdain any attempt to bring peace and Justice to the region.

All this strengthens our conviction that when lerael bombed the Iraqi nuclear facility it did so because, in its view, the Iraqi facility represented an obstacle to its designs end intentions even though the Iraqi plant was for peaceful purposes, as borne out and corroborated by all those involved in its devolopment and regardless of the fact that Iraa is signatory to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT). Israel's act of aggression was, therefore, a direct blow to the NPT safeguards system.

It is not superfluous to debate the wirdom of developing and acquiring nuclear weapons. All the Statos of the world agree that security cannot stem from such a destructive weapon. Israel's policy is based on delusions of grandeur which are mortally dangerous to all. It is the only fundamental cause of instability and tension in the region and is a serious disservice to the cause of non-proliferation which Israel claims to support.

#### (Mc. Al-Nasser, Qatar)

In conclusion, I cannot but point out that Israel's progress in nuclearization was made possible through its direct collaboration with South Africa. This collaboration gives cause for grave concern on the part of the international community, as stated in the resolutions on eubject adopted each year by the General Assembly.

Miss FAUZIAII (Malaysia): M y delegation has joined in sponsoring four draft resolution on specific disarmament issues: those on the review of the role of the United Nations in the field of disarmament, the urgent need for a comprehensive test-ban treaty, verification in all its aspects, and regional disarmament.

International realities have hitherto subordinated the United Nations role in disormament, constraining the world body to play a peripheral and supportive role, rathor than taking the lead on this vital issue, progress on which has been substantively determined by major-Power relationships, especially in the East-West context.

My delegation believes that such an order must stop; it must give way to more sharing of the burden among all members of the international community. The United Nations has a historical and fundamental role to promote global peace and security as defined in the Charter. It must now adopt a higher profile in tha Yield of disarmament so that that multilateral discipline can . . . institutionalized. The United Nations should provide the foundation and framework to facilitate and encourage disarmament by all nations, large and small. We look to the Disarmament Commission to accelerate its efforts in thin direction.

An immediate priority in the area of ending the nuclear-arms race, reducing and eliminating nuclear weapons is the achievement of a comprehensive nuclear-test-ban treaty. Malaysia is encouraged by recent efforts of the United States and the

(Miss Fauziah, Malaysia)

USSR to conclude negotiations on ending nuclear tasting, but we are of the view that the global community can and must also ploy its role by working for the early conclusion of a verifiable, comprehensive nuclear-test-ban treaty. The Conference on Disarmament should accord a high priority to this took, and WQ Cal. 1 upon nuclear States to co-operate with the Conference and take the necessary first steps towards ending nuclear tooting.

In recont years the General Assembly has focused greater attention on verification in recognition of it5 being a kuy factor in the disarmament process, especially with cogacd to compliance in the implementation of disarmament agreements. We carnestly hope that all Member States especially those With the requisite expertise, will make their contribution to this issue and that the Disarmament Commission, in recognition of the primordial role of verification, will give the subject the urgancy it deserves in its deliberations.

Article 52, paragraph 1, of the United Nations Charter states:

"Nothing i n the present Charter procludes the existence of regional arrangements Or agencies for dealing with ouch matters relating to the maintenance C L, international peace and security as are appropriate for regional action, provided that such arrangements or agencies and their activities are consistent with the Purposes und Principles Of the United Nations."

While super-Power dialogue includes consideration of regional and bilateral disarmament issues, as evidenced by the negotiations on intermediate-range nuclear forces (INF), more attention needs to be given to the promotion of regional disarmament by the international community as a moans ok reinforcing positive trends in the reduction of international tensions.

(Miss Fauziah, Malaysia)

The validity O f regional solutions cannot be underestimated. It has been manifested in the Contadora plan in Central America. In South-East Asia the members of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) have been firm proponents of regional co-operation as a means of achieving regional stability and security.

ASEAN's foundation itself was promised on this concept; the principle was further enunciated in the Kuala Lumpur Declaration Of 1971, in which the ASEAN countries made the commitment to the establishment of a zone of peace, freedom and neutrality in South-last Asia.

The zone concept onviaayoo a blueprint for regional peace and provision for conflict management and a code of conduct in the interrelationship of regional and non-regional States, especially the major Powers to exclude the possibility of the region's becoming embroiled in extraregional conflicts. Fundamental to the concept is the creation of mutual confidence among regional States through regional disarmament and the exclusion of foreign political-military interests. The mechanism would rule out military solutions in favour of negotiated settlements of region 81 issues. The creation of a nuclear-weapon-free zone also is an essential component of the Concept.

Malaysia is not advocating regional disarmament as a substitute for global effort. Rather, we believe that Qvory endeavour for peace, whether unitateral, bilateral, regional or multilateral serves the pressing Common cause. Taken together they represent a momentum that will briny positive results eventually.

For the sake of the future of humankind, no individual nation or region can ignore the obligation to disarm; nor Can the global community itself. We believe that progress on the issues in the aforementioned resolutions will serve as useful building blocks in the overall edifice that we all so dearly wish to construct.

The meeting rose at 4.35 p.m.