United Nations GENERAL ASSEMBLY FORTY-SECOND SESSION Official Records*



FIRST COMMITTER 17th meeting held on Thursday, 22 October 1987 at 3 p.m. Now York

VERBATIM RECORD OF THE 17 th MEETING

Chairman: Mr, BAGBENIADEITO NZENGEYA (Chairman) (Zaire)

CONTENTS

GENERAL DEBATE ON ALL DISARMAMENT ITEMS (continued)

Statement.8 were made by:

Ms. **Al-Shaali** (United Arab **Emirates**) **Mr. Mashhadi (Islamic** Republic of Iran) Mr. Masri (Syrinn Arab Republic) Mr. St.-Phard (Haiti) Mr. Abdul Latif (Brunei Darussalam) Mr. Niyungeko (Burundi)

ORGAN IZAT ION OF WORK

The record is other to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delepation concerned within our week of the data of publication to the Chira Ford Berth Reords Editing Section (see 3) (2, 30) 2 Virial Statema Plaza and meroported in a copy of the reord

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session. In a separate fascicle for each Committee

Distr. GENERAL A/C.1/42/PV.17 28 October 1987 ENGLISH

and the second second

87-63099 8349V (E)

33p,

The meeting was called to order at 3.10 p.m.

AGENDA ITEMS 48 TO 69 (continued)

GENERAL DEBATE ON ALL DISARMAMENT ITEMS

<u>Mr. AL-SHAALI</u> {United **Arab** Emirates) (interpretation from Arabic): At the outset, **Sir**, I wish to congratulate you on your election to the chairmanship of this Committee. I am confident that you will be able to guiae our important deliberations with your well-known skill and **experience**. I should also like to **Congratulate** the other officers of the **Conmittee**.

Disarmament is closely and directly linked not only to the nature of the life that we lead as one international family but **also** to the very survival of the human race on the face of the earth. Therefore men of politics and men of intellect in the **world** have attached great importance to this **subject** for more than **90** years or, in more precise **terms**, since the first conference at The **Hague**, in **August 1898.** To reaffirm the importance of that subject, President Franklin Roosevelt, in the introduction to his book on the development of United States foreign policy, spoke of the fourth freedom and defined it as:

"the reduction of arms on a scale that **would** encompass the entire world and in a manner that would not leave one nation in a position that allowed it to launch an act of aggression against any of its neighbours in any part of the world".

This theory is based on the assumption that military **resources** in the hands of one country **make war** not **just** a practical possibility but a political probability; that is, that military power provides the temptation to use that power, and using that power usually means aggression.

Despite the fact that the League of Nations, **followed** by the United Nations, included disarmament among its priorities as one of the pre-eminent requisites for the establishment of **peace** and **security** in the world, the international community

(<u>Mr</u>. <u>Al-Shaali</u>, <u>United</u> <u>a</u> Emirates)

has so far failed to achieve real progress in this field. On the contrary, military allocatione have been increasing rignificantly and steadily since the end of the Second world War, so that last yrar the budget was over \$900 billion, not to mention the extraordinary qualitative development of nuclear, ohemical and bacteriological weapons and devastating electronic weapons, which are now invading outer bpaae.

This frenzied arms race, in which the two super-Powers Play the major part, gives us cause for concern, as it does all advocatee of peace and the world Qublia. A referendum held in eight Western States in May 1984 revealed that the moat important concern of 36 per cent of the participants was the fear of war, especially nuclear war, as can be seen from the Year Book of the Stockholm International Peace Research Inetitute for 1986.

If the arms race indicates anything it is first and foremost that the two super-Powers have fears and misgivings and that there is a grave difference in the perception of each of those two giants of strategic interests and the nature of the cosmos and society. It also reflecte our failure as one international family, to find a solution to our regional disputes and so to order and arrange our Priorities that the values of justice, freedom, equality and peace head the list.

In Other words, and according to the statement of the philosopher Salvador de Madariaga, the Qroblem is one not of disarmament but of reorganizing the international community, The primary prerequisite for that reorganisation is that the two super-Powers find Points of agreement. In other words, disarmament is not going to take place in a vacuum. Disarmament requires an internationel climate of stability, and stability cannot be achieved without the application of the Principles of international law as enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations. Among these is the principle of peace breed on justice, not on power. This

(<u>Mr. Al-Shaali, United</u> Arab Emirates)

requires the • xpanaion of the circle of understanding • o that it includes, in addition to the East and the West, other areas of the world, especially those in which wars and ragional conflicts keep erupting, notably the Middle East, South Africa and Central America. The • xyansion of this circle of understanding is contingent upon the • ottloment of those disputes on the basis of rnternational resolutions, foremost among which are those of the General Assembly and the Security Council, because the international • yatem is intorlinked and what happens in One area definitely affects what happens in others, as well as the overall ryrtem. The United Nations Chartrr reaffirms this, definer the Constitution enshrining the principles governing relations between States end provides for understanding and co-operation between peoples and nations.

In line with this, my country has supported the establishment or a nuclear-weapon-fro. **zone** in the Middle **East ever** since the General Assembly first **started** discussing this item, at. its twenty-ninth session. My country also supports the • rtablishment of similar zones in Latin America, Africa and South-East Asia.

We attach great importance to the question or the provision of the necessary safeguards to dater nuclear States from using or threatrning to use nuclear weapons against non-nuclear-weapon States. In this respect, we must reaffirm once again that Israel, in co-oporation with the racist South African Government, has developed between 100 and 200 nuclear bombs of varying degrees of destructive Power.

(Mr, Al-Shaali, United Arab Emirates)

so far Iorael has refused to subject its nuclear installations to control. international It thus contravenes the recolutione of the General Accembly and the decisions of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). Avoiding embarrassment and persisting in their stubbornness, Israeli representatives did not attend the thirty-fir& session of the International Atomic Energy Agency convened in Vienna on 24 and 25 September this year. We need not recall the dangers inherent in Israel's introduction of nuclear weapons into the Middle East. Israel 18 the aggressor c intry in the rigion, and it trades in death in an alliance with internationally ostracized States, in particular, the spartheid régime of South Africa. Israel has adopted the policy of expansionism as a philosophical doctrine and as a daily practice. We saw this danger in 1973, when Israel wae ready to use its nuclear weapons. We cannot rule out euch activity in the future, if an extremist military group having its roote in religious apartheid is willing to use such weapons at the expense of another people.

A number of developing States have been compelled to arm themselves for reasons of self-defence. We perceive a direct link between disarmament and development. It is evident that the process of arming and equipping a country militarily means that there are insufficient resources for economic development. Armament also hampers international trade and commerce and increases balance-of-payment deficits and the indebtedneee of developing countries. This, in turn, greatly dieturbe the international economic system and sets up additional obstacles in the field of co-operation between the developed and developing nations, particularly as regard6 financial and technological assistance. In recognition of the close link between disarmament and development, the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development met at Headquarters between 24 August and 11 September 1987. It aftirmed that the guestions of disarmament, development and security form a triad that is the basis

(ME. Al-Shaa)i, United Arab Emirates)

of international peace. The Conference also affirmed the importance of the adoption Of measures to reduce military expenditure as an effective way of allocating additional resources to economic and social development, \bullet mpocially in developing countries. Therefore, we can certainly say that dimarmament and development are linked with peace.

In this respect, we must raise another important point, the militarization of developing countries, which is a direct consequence of the militarization of developed countries, which are anxious to find markets for their military products. Unless the major Powers, especially the two super-Powers, have the necessary political will and sincerely desire to solve regional disputes, peace will remain far off, and the spectre of war will remain with us. world security is collective by nature: it is foolish to assume that the security of one State can be safequarded at the expense of another, or that one people can be protected at another's expense. We welcome the recent provisional agreement betreen the two super-Powers, and we believe that it must be followed by other steps = indeed, by other leaps - towards establishing the psychological and political climate that will at last permit us to achieve disarmament. That will not be possible until we are guided by the United Nations Charter and implement its principles. Realizing that the attainment of the goals of the Charter is the reason this Organization was established, we must find a political way to make our world suitable for human life on the **basis** of freedom, justice and peace.

<u>Mr. MASHHADI</u> (Islamic Republic of Iran) ; Sir, I should like to take this opportunity to associate myself with others in expressing our congratulations to you on your meritorious election as Chairman of the First Committee. My congratulations also go to the members of the Bureau, who have successfully been carrying out their weighty responsibility.

(Mr. Mashhadi, Islamic Republic of Iral)

The Islamic **Republic** of Iran attaches great significance to disarmament and **follows its** development6 at the international level with great interest. Boing a **victim** of the **violation of** international law and regulations, my oountry wishes to see strict adherence to existing international law pertaining to disarmament and weapons of mass destruction.

For the first time in the history of mankind, a populated city nas been attacked with chemical weapons. The name of Sardasht will be recorded in encyclopaedia and history books alongside Hiroshima and Nagasaki as evidence of shame on human civilization. On 28 June 1987, the Iranian north-eastern city Of Sardasht, having a population of 12,000, was poisoned by 20 chemical rockets tired at four different locations in the city. About 3,000 innocent civilians were affected, 96 of whom died instantly. The number of casualties grew gradually as tha wounded died one by one in Iranian and European hospitals.

The first deployment of chemical weapons dates back to 13 January 1981, in which poisonous gases were used against Iranian troops. This was in fact a litmus test of how the international community and organizations would react to th's flagrant violation of the 1925 Geneva Protocol. "The reaction gave <u>carte blanche</u> to Iraq not only to repeat the use of chemical weapons but also to intensify and diversify its use. The attacks, therefore, started on Iranian troop concentrations and expanded to civilian and then to populated cities, and even now to quarters of the Iraqi people themeelves in Kurdish populated regions.

It is unfortunate that the inaction on the part of the United Nations emboldened the Iraqi régime repeatedly to expand the use of chemical weapons in full disregard of internationally recognised norms of law, The United Nations report of 8 May 1987 called for concerted efforts at the political level to check the repetition of chemical attacks. Not only has no measure been taken so Ear to

1

(Mr. Mashhadi, Islamic Republic of Iran)

this and, but also we see that certain countries are bringing their own political considerations into the matter and linking the Geneva Protocol of 1925 to other issues, ruoh as the waritself.

A/C.1/42/PV.17

(Mr. Mashhadi, Islamic Republic of Iran)

The Geneva Protocol was written to prevent the use of ohemiaal weapons during war. Otherwise, during times of peace, no country uses chemical weapons against another. We hereby appeal to the human conscience and the international community to treat this issue as it decerves and not politicize it. Chemical weapons are easy and cheap to produce and do not require sophisticated technology. Therefore, if a precedent is eet today by indifference to use of such lethal weapons, tomorrow nobody will be able to check their deployment in other parts of the world. Human dignity is too valuable to be gamuled with, I would like to remind the Committee that three days before the commencement of the general deliberations in the First Committee, the Iraqis again resorted to the use of chemical weapons on a laryo scale against the western Iranian town of Sumar. The report of this attack was accordingly Nenv to the Secretary-General.

Some encouraging signs are coming from the Conference on Disarmament. The <u>Ad Hoc</u> Committee on Chemical Weapons, led by Ambassador Ekeus of Sweden, has registered substantial progress, which deserves thanks and appreciation. As the Swedish representative in her early intervention said,

"There are no insurmountalie political obstacles to a chemical weapons

convention". (A/C.1/42/PV.3, p. 5)

Yet w believe that the United Nations should, by a resolution, call for the strict adherence of all Member States to the Geneva Protocol of 1925 and strong measures against its violation. These measures are particularly important and, until the new convention on chemical weapons comes into force, there should be an effective instrument discouraging the use of chemical weapons. We also believe that the 1925 Protocol can be effective if it receives the unequivocal support of the international community as well as individual States. This endorsement of the Protocol can come in various ways, such as co-operation by States with the Secretary-General. in his efforts to investigate the reported violations of the

(Mr. Mashhadi, Islamic Republic of Iran)

Protocol and to adopt appropriate measures against the violator. To serve thi purpose, the First Committee should need this vital issue by adopting an appropriate resolution. We also hope that other obstacles to a convention, such as challenged inspection, immediate inspection and other political, technical and legal problems will be removed soon. Also measures should be taken to obtain the broadest scope for the convention.

The Conference on Diearmament, unfortunately, did not register any noticeable progress in other areas except chemical werpons. The Conference failed to reach Consensus on proposals for a draft mandate of an <u>ad hoc</u> committee to carry out multilateral negotiation of a treaty on the complete cessation of nuclear-test explosion,, despite an appeal from the General Assembly that such a committee be established in 1997. Nuclear explosions contaminate the environment and cause the early death of hundreds of timusands of people. Continuation of nuclear testing is aimed at improving nuclear weapons qualitatively and therefore intensifying the arms race and endangering international peace and security. In endangering the human environment, nuclear explosions and their impact on the world's climatic equilibrium, manifested in earthquakes, typhoons and other atmospheric changes, should be unterlined and questioned.

We are of the opinion that a complete and immediate coecation of nuclear test explosions is a first step towards complete disarmament and we call upon all nuclear-weapon States to arrive at a binding agreement as early as possible, since today there are enough means of verification through global seismic centres.

Outer space, which is the common hecitaye of markind, is, unfortunately, turning into a strategic theatre for super-Power rivalry, against 011 the existing commitments. We believe that the increasing use of satellites, most of which are used for military purposes, needs special attention from the Committee. The use of spy satellites against countries which do not share the arms control agreements is

(Mr. Mashhadi, Islamic Republic of Iran)

unlawful and must be immedia. ly stopped. Such unlawful use of outer epace would affect the security interests and rights of the non-aligned and neutral natione. This 1, a violation of human rights if nobody is immune from unwelcome eyes, even in hie home and in his privacy. The spy satellites are also used to intervene in the internal affuirs of sovereign states and to trample upon the rights of nations. The United States, as admitted by American officials, trampled upon its neutrality in the war and tilted towards Iraq by providing satellite information concerning Iranian military MOVES and even economic and industrial targets. This **18** a clear warning to humanity that, when teahnology is in the hands of those having no respect for other nations, a new front is opened against the lofty values of humanity. The Islamic **Republic** of Iran believes that a comprehensive ban on space weapons and promotion of outer space activities exclusively for peaceful purposes and without violating the sovereignty of independent **States should be** given top priority in deliberations of United Nation8 bodies, especially in the Conference on Diearmament.

One of the ways of arresting the nuclear arms race is through regional arrangements. In this regard, establishment of nucl ar-weapon-free zones in various parts of the world plays an important role. The Islamic Republic of Iran supports the establishment of nuclear-weapon-free zones in Latin America, Africa, South Asia, the world Plays and the Middle East. We sponsored the establishment of a nuclear-weapon-free zone in the Middle East in 1972 and we are happy to eee that it has gained much support among the natione of the region. we express our strong support for and commitment to this project and join others in this initiative, aince its implementation is of paramount importance to a sensitive region such as the Middle East.

A/C.1/42/PV.17 14-15

(Mr. Mashhadi, Islamic Republic of Iran)

Unfortunately, the Zionist régime ham beaome an obstaole to the materialization of this plan. That régime ham rejected acceptance of the nafaguards of the International Atomic Energy Agrnoy and ham not no far aconded to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. The international community should mount pressure through the United Nations on that régime to make it abide by the overall wish of the peoples of the region.

The CHAIRMAN (interpretation from French): The representative of Israel wisher to • peak on a point of order.

<u>Mr. ZIPPORI</u> (Israel) : I requested the floor before the representative of Iran finished him commente. I merely wish to protest the use of the term "Zionist régime" for the State of Israel. Not that we are in any way ashamed of being called Zionist - in fact we are proud of it, an it in a sign of honour - but we believe that the practice in the United Nations, a practice we should all be very careful to follow, is to call countries by the names by which they are known -Israel, Iran ond no on - and not to use other names, whether to convey opprobr 1000 or praise. Mr. Chairman, I hope that in future you will call to order any representative who does not use the name of the country in reterring to another country and request him to follow the normal procedure.

A/C.1/42/PV.17

The CHAIRMAN (interpretation from French): I should merely like to remind all delegations to use the official name recognized by the United Nations and as it appears in United Nations documents.

<u>Mr. MASRI</u> (Syrian Arab Republic) (interpretation from Arabia) I I take this occasion to express the congcatulations of my delegation and my personal congratulatione to you, Sir, on your election to the chairmanship of this eignificant Committee. We ace confident that your broad knowledge of our work will ensure its success.

Fortunately the deliberations on the matter8 relating to disarmament are taking place at a time when optimism plevails in the international community as a result of the agreement in principle concluded between the Soviet Union and the United States of America to eliminate intermediate-range nuclear missiles. Perhaps the significance of this agreement lies in the faot that it is the first of ite kind in eliminating a certain olace of nuclear weapone. Moreover, it represents a major step on the path towards general and complete disarmament and the creation of a world free of nuclear Weapons.

The oonalusion of such an agreement augute well and is a conscious ohoioe to the benefit of mankind in a very sensitive and dangeroue field. The question of nuclear disarmament is closely linked with the question of international peace and oomprehens ive secur ity. In other worde, we can eavy that the achievement of peace necessarily means the creation of a world free of nuclear weapons.

Linkage between the two concepts chould constitute a solid basis for contemporary peaceful thinking, the international aspiration towards peace and security whinh in fact expresses mankind's desire to live a natural life, free from all forms of nuclear threat. This desire has also become en expression of man's determination to survive and the need to provide for a better future for coming generations as long as nuclear armaments with all thrir attendant destructive dangers persist, contrary to peace.

(Mr. Masri, Syrian Arab Republic)

Thur We cannot talk about real peace until we gat rid, One and for all, of nuclear weapons. Tuke necessarily prover the invalidity of the doctrine of nuclear deterrence, a doctrine which, per <u>se</u>, constitutes the reality of terror that faces man and threatens hig • xi8ter 74 and that of succeeding generations.

President Hafez Al-Asad of the Syrian Arab Republic, in his message before the CONference held in September this year at Pyongyang, North Korea, under the slogan of "Nuclear disarmament, peace and solidarity in the face of imperialism in the regions of Asia and the Pacific", stated:

"Nuclear disarmament has attracted wider world attention. It is a question that is closely linked with the question of peace because nuclear disarmament is at tha top of the priorities to achieve peace in the world." The agreement on the elimination of medium-range nuclear missiles chould pave the way for further radical change to yet rid of strategic nuclear Weapons and towards participating effectively in the protection of outer space from the arms race and its preservation exclusively for poaceful purposes to the benefit of all mankind.

The question of the prohibition of the militarization of outer space is a very important and crucial one. The international community har on more than one occasion expressed its strong opposition to the Star Wars programme and the transfer of the arms race to outer space. This programme, which presents a Continued dire threat to peace and the interests of mankind, is aggravated by Israel's participation in it as a distinct strategic ally of the United States of America. This Collaboration is increasingly active in the echemee of this programme, which of Course provides Israel with the possibility of acquiring modern technology related to the USE of nuclear weapons. This enhances Israel's nuclear military capability and its expansionist settler policies in the Palestinian

(Mr. Masri, Syrian Arab Republic)

territories as well as in all the other occupied Arab territories. It increp the nuclear capability of the settler régimes of Tel Aviv and Pretoria and pr..., stes the close collaboration between them in the field of the exchange of military and nuclear experience and development, thereby threatening the peoples of the African continent and the Middle East, as well as international peace and security.

The path towards the creation of a world free of nuclear weapons necessarily requires parallel and effective action to achieve bacio aims that muet be realised in order to attain the ultimate goal. which is, first and foremost, strict aommitment by the nuclear-weapon States to the non-proliferation of nuclear weapons. Failure to observe this principle on the part of some Western nuclear-weapon States enabled the Pretoria and Tel Aviv régimes to acquire nuclear military capability and to develop it in a way that threatens international peace and security. The exacerbation of the phenomenon of the proliferation of nuclear weapons is a matter that merits the concern of the international effective measures as quickly as possible to enhance the security of the non-nuclear-weapon States, including taking international legal and political measures that provide safeguards to these countries and protect them against the use or threat of nuclear weapons.

(Masri, Syrian Arabubiic)

Those measures should be included in a legally binding Lnternational instrument, and the utmost priority should be given to that question. Undoubtedly, the • ehirvwnant of those safeguards would greatly contribute to the maintenance of peace, enhance the security of countries and reduce the risk of the use of nuclear weapons.

The establishment of nuclear-weapon-free sones and their • 🖾 • O = + O

The Syrian Arab Republic, in addition to welcoming the constructive initiative8 taken by the Soviet Union in the field of disarmament, hails it8 proposal to create a nuclear-weapon-free zone in northern Europe and transform the north polar region into one of peace and co-operation.

The question of general and complet disarmament relating to all nuclear • xplorionr is especially important for curbing the nuclear-arms race and protecting man and his environment. In this connection, the conclusion of a treaty by which

(<u>Mr. Masri, Syrian</u> Arab Republic)

all countries would aarupuloualy abide has become an urgent naoeaaity. We should take serious action to accelerate the conclusion of such a treaty as soon as possible.

Another important question is the prohibition of the use, production and stockpiling of chemical and biological weapons. This is a very sensitive and dangerous matter requiring the acceleration of efforts to achieve a complete ban on those lethal weapons and the preparation and conclusion of appropriate international instruments.

The **items** on disarmament now constitute one of the moat **pressing** questiona, **since** the whole world has **keen turned** into a **huge** arsenal of **weapons** of mass deatruotion. Today's world, which now **has the capability** of providing a better life for mankind through groat **technological** progreao, hao at the same time acquired the **means** to end life on Earth.

Undoubtedly, the realization of significant accomplishmenta on the path towards disarmament provides a climate conducive to the development, progreaa end proaperity of all the peoples of the world.

Today disarmament and development are the major challengea facing the international community. The world will either persist in waating its wealth on manufactuciny and stockpiling means of dastruction and death - while millions of persona are deprived of their fundamental rights to food and life - or use its resources to promote development and construction. Thus, the Syrian Arab Kepublic welcomed the convening of the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development. We believe that the results achieved by that Conference are a very encouraging contribution to the work of attaining the goals to which all peoples of the world aspire, and of creating a better world in which peace, justice and prosperity prevail.

A/C.1/42/PV.17 23-25

<u>Mr. ST.-PHARD</u> (Haiti) (interpretation from French) I My delegation, speaking for the first time, would like to take the opyortunity to congratulate you, Sir, on your election to this most responsible port in our Organization. This is also an honour paid to Zaire, a major country of the African continent, of which the Republic of Haiti is also in great part a product. Your human and diplomatic qualities will • n8ure the success of the First Committee's work.

My delegation also wishes to • xpre88 its keen appreciation to your predecessor for a job well done. I trust that the other officers of the Committee elected with you will accept our congratulation8 extended to each of them on their respective elections, which also do honour to their countries.

My delegation welcome8 with satisfaction and relief the continued effort8 of the united States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in their stepped-up quest for a treaty on intermediate nuclear forces. Their agreement in principle last month, • ubrequently reinforced in Moscow, represents a historic stage in the crusade being waged by the salutary force8 of mankind for the triumph of peace and the more easily attainable goal of the expansion of nuclear-weaponfree zones. "The heart [of man] . . . who can know it?" the Prophet Jeremiah asks, and my delegation is particularly sensitive to the proposals made by the sister delegations of Japan and Norway with regard to the problem8 of verification. Those proposals expand the prospects for the implementation of an effective verification system.

The Government of Haiti welcomer in particular the outetanaing efforts being made by the Secretary-General, Mr. Javier Pérez de Cuéllar, and his inmediate assistants, in particular the Under-Secretary-General for Disarmament Affairs and the outstanding group at the United Nations Inntitute for Disarmament Research all of whom work closely both on new initiatives snd on the follow-UQ or strengthening of other activities which ara less new but which the Secretariat is inspiring with new energy for general and complete disarmament.

(Mr. St.-Phard, Haiti)

The Government of Haiti fully supports **Steps** to promote a substantive reduction of strategic nuclear weapons and 4 total ban on biological and chemical weapons and it also hopes to see a slowdown - if not a total cessation - of the conventional Arms race.

In conclusion, allow me to renew our conviction that - a4 is attested to by the Final Document adopted by the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development, which took place from 24 August to 11 September 1987 - the likely transfer of the human, scientific, technical and economic resources involved will not only have the positive virtue of giving an impetus to the Crusade fur development but will also satisfy the Security needs of all States in the context of an international community which will finally have discovered, at a global level, the wholecome virtues of genuine co-operation, universal brotherhood and the inevitable common destiny of our planet.

"If everyone throughout the world could truly be pals", as the song and the film of the same title says, then what would be the purpose of this insame arms race which is so costly:

(Spoke in English)

"crash or not crash Monday of this week"?

(continued in French)

It runs so counter to the Descartes criteria of reason - We are still enjoying the fruits of the Cartesian heritage - and so contrary to the criteria of reason and genuine security whether the proponents of the doctrine of deterrence like it or not. To the latter we say with all the required fervour: <u>si vie pacem, non para</u> <u>bellum, sed para pacem</u> - if you want peace, do not prepare for war, but rather prepare for peace.

A/C. 1/42/PV. 17

<u>Mr. ABDUL LATIF</u> (Brunei Darussalam): We have noted that at the last Session of the General Assembly, the First Committee adopted a number of proposals dealing with various questions of arms limitation, disarmament and international security. Despite the complexity of several issues, the Committee made every effort to carry out the task in a constructive and flexible manner and in a spirit of compromise. As a result a number of resolutions on important subjects were adopted by consensus. With this in mind, I should like to pay a tribute to the Previous Chairman of the Committee, Mr. Zachmann of the German Democratic Hepublic, for a job well done.

The issue of nuclear disarmament continues to be a source of great concern to the international community. All nations, large and small, developed and developing, would be affected by the consequences of **a** nuclear conflagration. We all know that nuclear weapons are capable of wiping out every human being in the world. Therefore, the fate of this planet Earth depends on the positive efforts ot all nations, particularly the nuclear-weapon States, towards reducing and eventually eliminating nuclear weapons in order that our future and that of succeeding generations may be assured.

To save humanity from a nuclear holocaust there is no option but disarmament. Brunei Darussalam supports constructive, practical and effective proposals or initiatives aimed at disarmament, especially the cessation of both the nuclear and the conventional arms race and the realization of the ultimate goal of complete disarmament. My delegation shares with the majority of delegations present here concern over the absence of a comprehensive nuclear-test-ban treaty and the possible spread of nuclear weapons to outer space.

Like everyone here, my delegation is happy to note the encouraging development in the international situation in the field of disarmament. We welcome the recent significant agreement in principle between the two super-Powers to conclude a

A/C.1/42/PV.17

(Mr. kbdul Latif, Brunei Darussalam)

treaty on the global elimination of intermediate-range nuclear forces. This new and encouraging development clearly shows that, given political will, disarmament measures can become a reality. We hope that it signals the beginning of progressive development conducive to the easing of international teneion and will generate a wide-ranging agreement that could lead to meaningful nualear disarmament. Brunei Darussalam also phares with its fellow members in the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) similar views and positions on diearmament issues as expressed in the joint communiqué of the twentieth ASEAN ministerial meeting held in Singapore on 15 and 16 June 1987.

The foreign ministers appeal to all countries, particularly the major Powers, to demonstrate political will and to engage in genuine dialogue and negotiations towards the removal and destruction of nualear and chemical weapons. To this end, the major Powers should take into account the security CONCERNS of all States and not merely their own ylobal strategic interests.* In this connection our delegation also believes that the establishment of a zone of peace in various parts of the ylobe would serve as an important step towards limiting the proliferation of nuclear arms and strengthening the fabric of regional co-operation, Brunei Darusaalam joins its fellow members of ASEAN in striving for an early realisation of a zone of peace, freedom and neutrality in Youth-last Asia. Therefore, it is our hope that aspiration of ASEAN to create a zone of peace, freedom and neutrality in South-East Asia will be supported by the world community, Particularly the major Powers and all our neighbours.

We have followed with great interest the statements made by delegations before us. We share the sentiment that rearmament can only be destrictive. We therefore welcome etatements that call for general diearmament by nations and we hope all nations will subscribe to the international effort to rid our world of nuclear weapons in order to save the Earth from the gravest perils of det ruction.

A/C.1/42/PV.17 29-30

(Mr. Abdul Latif, BruneiDarussalam)

My delegation hopes that the two super-Powers will continue to work towards the achievement of beneficial conclusions on all the dimarmament topics under negotiation and to provide leadership by example to all other countries that have the capability to develop muclear weapons.

<u>M. NIYVNGEKO</u> (Burundi) (interpretation from **French**): **First**, I wish to **Congratulate you**, sir, **upon your election am Chairman** of this important Committee. We were pleasud, the choice made by the General Assembly in electing you because in 80 doing it has paid a tribute to your eminent qualities as a diplomat, to your experience in international **affairs**, and to your devotion to we iaeals of peace and co-operation among peoples. Thanks to your dynamism and determination, the First Committee will we are sure carry out the role assigned to it with success. "* is an honovy done to Africa, to Zaire, your country, a neighbour of my Country, with which we have cies of history and geography; we share the same aspirations to Peace and security, and our two countries maintain excellent relations. You may rest assured, therefore, Sir, that you have the support of my delegation.

(Mr._Niyungeko, Burundi)

Similarly, we wish to **extend** our sincere aongratulations to the **other officers** of the Committee. And we wish Mr. Yasushi Akashi, Under-Secretary-General **at** the Department of Disarmament Affairs, every **BUCCESS** in his new functions.

One Of the main duties of the Member States of the United Nations 15 to save succeeding generations from the scourge of war. The future of the world depends therefore on the political will of each State to contribute to international peace and security, without which we cannot grapple with such **crucial** problems **as** hunger, malnutrition, poverty, illiteracy, disease **and** other evils that are also threats **to** the peace and security of peoples.

Mankind should not have a short memory. The **atrocitics** caused by the **First** and Second world Wars and the bombs dropped on Hiroshima and Nagasaki **should serve** as a lesson to us and encourage us to act wisely and embark on negotiations leading to the **conclusion** of **treaties** on the elimination of all weapons, whether nuclear Or conventional.

Since we know that in a nuclear war there will be neither winners nor losers, why should we devote so many material and financial resources to the production, development and deployment of these weapons of mass destruction? Some have said that the nuclear weapon has created a certain balance in the world, but we beg to differ. What eort of balance is it that is based on threat, terror and fear? What sort of balance is it if this weapon can escape all control, even in peacetime? What would happen in the case of human error or technical breakdown? It would be a catastrophe, pure and simple, collective suicide, the end of human civilization. Can We assess our moral responsibility towards those who might by chance survive that nuclear catastrophe?

My country would like all States with nuclear technology to use it solely for peaceful purposes. It was in this sense that it welcomed the resolutions of the

(Mr., Niyungeko, Burundi)

first special session devoted to disarmament, in 1978, which enshrined th8
principle Of destroying all nuclear weapons, halting their production, and
• 8t8bli8hing nuclear-weapon-free zones. We understand that the process will be
long, but it is necessary to persevere and chow courage.

We fervently hope that this agreement in principle will become a fact this year 8nd thrt other initiatives will be undertaken so that nuclear disarmament may become a reality. A glimmer of hope has thue appeared and we realize that conflicts, no matter what their Cause, whether ideological or political, can be resolved through negotiation, dialogue and concerted action. New relation8 based on peaceful coexistence and co-operation can be discerned on the horizon. We firmly hope that the meeting between President Reagan and General Secretary Gorbachev, which is planned to take place before the end of the year, will enable the agreement reached by the two Government8 to be signed.

Nuclear-wrrpon tests should be halted because they fuel the arms face snd lead to the development of this weapon of mass destruction. When in 1963 the partial test-ban Treaty Banning Nuclear Wespon Tests in the Atmosphere, in Outer Space and under Water was concluded, the international community welcomed it. Unfortunately,

(Mr. Niyungeko, Burundi)

underground nuclear testing continues. We propose that these tests be banned and that a treaty prohibiting nuclear tests for the purpose of mass destruction be concluded. This agreement would confirm that there was the genuine will to make progress towards total nuclear disarmament.

The eetabliehment of nuclear-weagon-free 20Nes contribute8 effectively to the maintenance of international peace and security. Developing countries, aware of their problems, reacted promptly in favour of the creation of these zones. Thus, in 1964, the Declaration on the Denuclearization of Africa was adopted by States members of the Organization of African Unity (OAU), and called on all States to regard the Continent as free from nuclear weapons. We regret and deplore the fact that South Africa does not respect this Declaration and refuses to submit its nuclear facilities to the safeguards of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA).

The nuclear capability of South Africa constitutes a serious threat to the continent. That country is waging a war within its own borders. It commits acts of aggression against neighbouring countries and obliges them to ahannel enormous resources to defence instead of using them for development. It illegally occupies en international Territory, Namibia, and it uses its nuclear Capability as an instrument of blackmail and intimidation. It is the duty of the international community, the Security Council in particular, to demand that South Africa respect the provisions of the Declaration on the Denuclearization of Africa and the United Nations resolutions in this connection.

Chemical Weapons are still vory dangerous Weapons of mass destruction. My dalogation was pleased to learn that a drait convention on the prohibition and destruction of chemical weapons, is in the process of being completed.

(Mr. Niyungeko, Burundi)

The progress accomplished in this • Conference on Disarmament is significant and we are convinced that the difficulties that still remain will soon be overcome. The readiness to accept verification shown by the Soviet Union is most encouraging. My delegation indeed appreciates the invitation that the USSR • ddre88ed to members of the Conference on Disarmament to visit a chemical-weapons facility in Shikhany. We hope that this transparency will continue. Similarly, we welcome the initiative of thm United States in inviting the Soviet Union to visit the chemical-weapons destruction facilities in Tooele, Utah.

Since 1945 the world has witnessed more than 150 armed conflicts. Besides the 1088 in human lit8 and the suffering inflicted on peoples, the belligerents have destroyed • conomic infrastructure to the value of several billion dollars, thereby depriving the whole Of mankind Of the • 88mt8 Of it8 development. This means that conventional weapons that spread death, terror and desolation murt also undergo the same reduction process, and the sconer the better.

My oountry, **Burundi**, loyal to the ideals of the United Nations, the Organization of African Unity and the Movement of Non-Alignad Countries, does not and will not space any effort to maintain a climate of peace and security in it8 region.

(Mr. Niyungeko, Burundi)

It is nevertheless aware that as long as there exists an unfair and unjust world economic system in which stronger Statee indulge in the pillaging of the resources of the weaker Statee, a climate of instability will persist and could engender crisis situstions. Clearly, the democratization of international and inter-State relations would prevent frustration and irritation and thus contribute to peace and security.

The holding of the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development from 24 August to 11 September 1987 highlighted the close relationship between disarmament and development end strengthened our conviction in this regard. My delegation hopes that, despite its meagre success and shortcomings, the Final Document that was adopted will generate positive effects.

We hope that the convening in 1988 of the third special session of the General Assembly devoted to Diearmament will enable us to disarm in order that we may develop, we need development more than we need weapone.

We underetand the complexity of the stakes involved, but given the danger posed by the arms race and its economic and gocial consequences, is it not better to be courageous and nhow the neaeeeary political will to overcome difficulties and create a new society based on friendship, solidarity, co-operation, justice and peace?

The CHAIRMAN (interpretation from French) : I shall now call on those representatives who wish to speak in exercise of the right of Ceply.

I draw the **Committee's attention** to the **fcllowing** decision of the General Assembly:

(The Chairman)

"Delegations should exercise their right of reply at the end of thr ody whenever two meetings have been scheduled for that • ama day and whenever such meetings are devoted to the aonaideration of the • ama item.

"The number of interventions in the ● ⊠M⊡SHSM of the right to reply for any delegation at a given meeting ● hould b● limited to two peritor.

"The first intervention in the exercise of the right of reply for any delegation on any item at a given meeting • hould be limited to 10 minutes and the • aaond intervention • hould be limited to 5 minutes." (decision 34/401, paraa. 8-10)

<u>Mr. 2IPPOR</u>I (Israel): In the course of this debate we have heard many eloquent appaals to refrain from raising divisive, controversial items and to concentrate on achieving consensus. The vast majority of participants have acted in • acord with that wish. Unfortunately, a number of representatives have acon fit t o use this Committee as a forfor demagogic charges against Israel with regard to Israel's nuclear development.

An • xamplo of thia was the atatoment of the representative of the United Arab Emirates today that Israel's so-called non-attendanaa at the meeting of the thirty-first • rraion of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in Vienna was due to some • iniator reason. Israel did not attend two days of that aeaaion brcauaa it fell on Aoah Haahanah, our highest holiday, and we had informed the President of the IAEA that we would not be attending on those days and revueated that the items with which we were concerned be diacuaaed at a different time, Unfortunately the timetable could not be so adjusted, so we ware not preaent. There was nothing sinister in this, and it is pure demagoguery to raise it at this aeaaion, here.

There have also been charges against Israel with regard to its nuclear development. My delegation will return to this issue at length later.

(Mr. zippori, Israel)

They have also repeatedly raised the old and worn out acoueation of oollabocation in the nuclear field between Israel and South Africa. The Government of Israel has on many occasions proclaimed, and has officially informed the Secretary-General of, its total condemnation of a<u>partheid</u> and stated that it is not co-operating with South Africa in the nuclear field.

My colleague Ambaesador Meir Joffe, in a atatement in the General Aeeembly this year, dealt with this issue and said, inter alia:

"Israel is repeatedly singled out. and condemned for alleged nuclear collaboration with South Africa. My Government has categorically rejected this allegation."

Whet does the united Nations have to say on this subject? In his report, in document A/36/431 of 1981 the Secretary-General declared:

"With regard to the **question** of a possible nuclear collaboration between Israel and South Africa... until specific examples of actual nuclear exohsnyoo or traneactione could be cited as clear evidence of such co-operation, the whole question remained in a state of uncertainty." (A/36/431, para.13).

That was in 1981. what has happened since then? on 15 ray 1986 the United Nations distributed a report by a team of experts from Nigeria, Sweden, the USSR, Venezuela and France, who investigated South Africa's nuclear-weapon capability. The 44-page document was presented at the United Nations Conference on Sanotione Against South Africa held in Paris in June 1996. It is the most comprehensive report ever issued by the United Nations on this subject. Certain oountr ies are mentioned in the context of nuclear collaboration with Youth Africa. Israelis not among them. I repeat, Israel is not mentioned in this report. The false allegation of nuclear collaboration between Israel and South Africa, as well as

(Mr. Zippori, Israel)

repetitive Arab claims that significant • cnnumic and military links exist between Israel and South Africa, are nothing more than an empty political campaign to discredit Israel in the eyes of black Africa. The continual repetition of an untruth door not make it true.

<u>Mr. MASHHADI</u> (Islamic Republic of Iran): I am speaking simply to answer thr allegations made this morning against. my country on the "Se of chemical weapons. Since 1981, as I mentioned in my statement, my country has been subject to deployment of chemical weapons on an unprecedented scale, and that is an axiomatic fact needing no proof.

Against this background the Islamic Republic of Iran ham never resorted to retaliatory measures, although reciprocity is not forbidden by the Geneva Protocol of 1925. But here, for certain reasons known to my delegation, the Israeli dolegation has sought to exonerate those who use chemical weapons and to blame us as users of them. This is a new policy now peing pursued by some otners.

To substantiate my claim, I should like to draw the attention of repreaentativee to excerpts from the report of the Mission dispatched by the Secretary-General to inveatigate allegations of the use of chemical weapons, dated 8 May 1987, as reflected in document 5/18852. Or page 16 it states:

"The wall thickness of the shell around the mid-section position was about 20 mm. Such shells are normally used for filling with high explosives. The shell had no internal chemical-resistant coating and we could not find any trace of mustard gas on it. Fragmants of a similar 130-mm shell shown to us in the East of Baara Sector also had no chemical resistant coating on the interior surfaces," (S/18852, para. 59)

(<u>Mr. Mashhadi, Islamic</u> <u>Republic of Iran</u>)

On the same page is the statement8

"It is relevant to note that craters of this depth and diameter are not normally associated with ordnance designed to disperse chemical agents Over a surface area." (<u>8/18852, para, 60)</u>

And paragraph 61 reade:

"In addition to the Iragi claims concerning the use against Iragi forces of artillery shells filled with mustard gae and phosgene....No evidence was presented to substantiate this claim." (<u>B/18852, para. 61</u>)

These statements come from a document put out by the United Nations.

<u>Mr. AL MASKI</u> (Syrian Arab Heyublrc) (interpretation from Arabic): I nave asked to speak for two reasons. First, in the etatement just mode by the representative of the racist régime in occupied Palestine, he claimed that there was no collaboration between his régime and the racist régime of South Africa in the nuclear sphere, which is a lie devoid ok all foundation. Collaboration is a proved fact. Denial of that fact by the representative of that régime cannot negate it.

In 1969, a nuclear explosion took place in the South Pacific, That explosion was an explosion shared by the two régimes of Tel Aviv and Pretoria. That collaboration still exists today on all levels and in all areas, both nuclear and military.

The second item contained in the statement of this morning was his allegation that my country is now trying to eetoblish a chemical military capability. I should like to reaffirm in this Committee that Syria is not involved in building up such a capability. We also condemn the use of chemical warfare end demand of the international community that this type of weapon should be banned.

(Mr. Al Masri, Syrian Arab Republic)

1 should also like to draw the attention of members of the Committee to the fact that chemical weaponr and napalm are being used by Israel in its attack8
gaint the Arabs - for example, in the wars of 1967 and 1973. The effects of those incendiary weapons on their Arab victims are still visible.

We do not use such weaponm. We condemn them. We continue to demand that the international community ohould condemn their use and ban their production and stockpiling.

ORGANIZATION OF WORK

The CHAIRMAN (interpretation from French) I I should like to take this opportunity to remind the Committee that **pursuant** to the **decision** we took at the organizational meeting, the deadline for the submission of draft resolutions has been set for 27 October at 6 p.m. Those delegations with draft resolutions should therefore submit them before Tuesday, 27 October, at 6 p.m.

I also wish to inform the Committee that a meeting of the Bureau has been scheduled for Friday, 23 October, immediately following the afternoon meeting. At its meeting, the Bureau will consider a number of questions and problems pertaining to the programme of our Committee and the timetable that we have drawn up for our work.

It will also consider the various problems stemming from the activities, formalities and proceedings of the Committee. The Bureau meeting will therefore consider all the problems pertaining to the organization of work and improved

• ffiaiency.

Before adjourning, I should like to **inform** the Committee that Monday, 26 October 1967, at **10.30** a.m., the **First** Committee will hold a special meeting to mark the opening of Disarmament Week. On that occasion, the President of the General **Assembly**, the Secretary-General of the United Nations and the **chairmen** of the various regional groups will address the Committee.

(The Chairman)

I should like to draw the Committee's attention to the fact that the fifth United Nations Pledging Conference for the World Disarmamont Campaign will hold a meeting on the Same day at 3 p.m in this room.

The meeting rose at 4.40 P.M.