
A/69/120/Corr.1 **United Nations** 



Distr.: General 5 September 2014

Original: English

## **Sixty-ninth session**

Item 134 of the provisional agenda\*

**Pattern of conferences** 

## **Pattern of conferences**

## **Report of the Secretary-General**

Corrigendum

Table 6

Results of e-survey, 15 April-15 May 2014

For the existing table, substitute


	New York (No. of responses received/percentage)
Work of Committee Secretaries	Good (8/47%)
Level of satisfaction with the process of submission and issuance of and action on draft resolutions	Good (8/47%)
Satisfaction with the quality and content of the website of the General Assembly and of the websites of the Main Committees of the General Assembly	Good (6/38%)

		(No. of responses received/percentage)				
Criteria		New York	Geneva	Nairobi	Vienna	
Quality of services provided by meeting services assistant	Professionalism	Good (8/44%)	Good (4/67%)	Good (8/62%)	Good (8/100%)	
	Courtesy	Good (7/44%)	Good (4/67%)	Good (7/50%)	Good (6/100%)	

<sup>\*</sup> A/69/150.







		(No. of responses received/percentage)				
Criteria		New York	Geneva	Nairobi	Vienna	
Linguistic quality of translated documents	Accuracy	Good (9/56%)	Good (3/60%)	Very good (5/38%)	Very good (3/75%)	
	Consistency	Good (11/69%)	Good (3/60%)	Good (6/46%)	Very good (2/50%)	
	Style	Good (12/80%)	Good (3/60%)	Good (6/46%)	Very good (2/50%)	
Linguistic quality of interpretation	Accuracy	Good (7/47%)	Good (3/60%)	Very good (5/45%)	Good (1/100%)	
	Completeness	Good (6/43%)	Satisfactory (3/60%)	Good (4/36%)	Good (1/100%)	
	Clarity	Good (6/43%)	Good (3/60%)	Good (5/45%)	Good (1/100%)	
	Understandability	Good (6/40%)	Very good (2/40%)	Very good (4/36%)	Good (1/100%)	
Overall quality		Good (11/58%)	Good (4/80%)	Good (7/58%)	Good (8/73%)	

**2/2** 14-60532