



General Assembly

Distr. GENERAL

A/45/462

11 September 1990

ORIGINAL; ENGLISH

Forty-fifth session Item 52 of the provisional agenda*

ESTARLISHMENT OF A NUCLEAR-WEAPON-FREE ZONE IN SOUTH ASIA

Report of the Secretary-General

CONTENTS

		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	REPLIES RECEIVED FROM GOVERNMENTS	2
	Maldives	2
	Pakistan ««««««««««««««««««««««««««««««««««««	3

* A/45/150 and Corr.1.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On 15 December 1989, the General Assembly adopted resolution **44/109**, the operative part **of** which reads as followsr

"The Ceneral Assembly

"...

- "1. Reaffirms its endorsement, in principle, of the concept of a nuclesr-weapon-free zone in South Asia!
- "2, <u>Urges once again</u> the States of South Asia to continue to make all possible efforts to establish a nuclear-weapon-free zone in South Asia and to refrain, in the mean time, from any action contrary to that objective;
- "3. <u>Calls upon</u> those nuclear-weapon States which have not done so to respond positively to **this** proposal and to extend the nocassary co-operation in the efforts to establish a nuclear-weapon-free zone in South Asia;
- "4. Requests the Secretary-General to communicate with the States of the region and other concerned States in order to ascertain their views on the issue and to promote consultations among them with a view to exploring the best possibilities of furthering the efforts for the establishment of a nuclear-weapon-free zone in South Asia;
- "5. Also requests the Secretary-General. to report on the subject to the General Assembly at its forty-fifth session;
- "6. <u>Decides</u> to include in the Provisional agenda ot its forty-fifth session the item entitled 'Establishment of a nuclear-weapon-free zone in South Asia'."
- 2. Pursuant to paragraphs 4 and 5 of the resolution, the Secretary-General submits herewith the replies thus far received. Other replies related to this item will be issued as addenda to this raport.

II. REPLIES RECEIVED FROM GOVERNMENTS

MALDIVES

[Original: English]

[5 June 1990]

1. The Republic of Maldives reiterates its view that the establishment of nuclear-weapons-free zones and zones of peace would contribute substantially to

achieving the objective of non-proliferation of nuclear weapons, global nuclear disarmament, and general and complete disarmament. The Republic of Maldives attaches great importance to the creation of a nuclear-weapon-free zone in South Asia.

- 2. The Republic of Maldives believes that the establishment of zones of peace and nuclear-weapons-free zones wil! not only reduce tension, but also enhance stability, mutual trust, and confidence among States of the region. The concept of establishment of a nuclear-weapons-free zone in South Asia dates back to 1974 and resolution has been adopted with overwhelming majority in the successive sessions of the General Assembly.
- 3. The Republic of Maldives appreciates the efforts of the Secretary-General in soliciting the views of various countries of the region and other concerned States on the subject in accordance with the mandate given under paragraph 4 to General Assembly resolution 44/109. However, the Republic of Maldives views with concern that the number of States responding to the Secretary-General's request has not increased, while the resolution itself was voted in favour by an overwhelming majority of States.
- 4. The Republic of Maldives feels that tangible results cannot be achieved in view of such poor responses from States and suggests that efforts of the Secretary-General must now be directed towards the realisation of the second part of paragraph 4 of resolution 44/109 namely, to promote consultations with and amongst various States with a view to exploring the best possibilities of furthering the effort for the establishment of a nuclear-weapons-free zone in South Asia. The Republic of Maldives feels that in order to generate consensus on this important subject, consultation among States of the region are of prime importance and a prerequisite.
- 5. Republic of Maldives also welcomed, in principle, the idea of convening a conference on the subject under the auspices of the United Nations. However, in view of the political realities of the region of South Asia, sufficient time and consultations are essential to narrow the differences of opinion that exist among the States of the region. The Republic of Maldives feels that it is still premature to consider specific proposals for a United Nations conference or proposals for the conclusion of bilateral or regional test-ban agreements in South Asia.

PAKISTAN

[Original: English]

[29 May 1990]

1. The substantive views and augqestions of the Government of Pakistan regarding the rationale, form, scope, undertakings of regional States and the nuclear powers, and the verification of arrangements relating to the creation of a Nuclear Weapon Free Zone in South Asia, were earlier submitted to the Secretary-General in 1986.

A/45/462

English Page 4

While submitting its views afresh, the Government of Pakistan hopes that these can be explored, developed and modified through consultations which **may** be initiated through the endeavours of the Secretary-General, In this context, Pakistan believes that the legitimate interests and views of all the regional States can be accommodated so long as their declared commitment to non-proliferation is sincere and geuuine.

Rationale for the establishment of a nuclear-weavon-free zone in South Asia

- **2.** Pakistan first proposed **the** creation **of** a nuclear-weapon-free zone in South Asia in 1974. The concept has been annually endorsed, in principle, by the General Assembly since its twenty-ninth session.
- **3.** A nuclear-weapon-free **zone** in South Asia would help immensely to remove mutual fears and suspicions, reduce tensions, enhance peace and stability and promote the goals of regional amity and co-operation. It would also concretize the **desire** expressed by all regional Staten to keep South Asia free of nuclear weapons.

Scope

4. The nuclear-weapon-free zone in South Asia should include the seven States of South Asia, namely, Pakistan, India, Bangladesh, Nepal, Bhutan, Sri Lanka and Maldives. These seven States together constitute a distinct geographical entity. They are members of the South Asian Association for Regional Co-operation (SAARC). As the Declaration of the first SAARC Summit stated in December 1985:

"They were bolstered in this venture by the recognition of the many commonalities that bound the seven countries of the region. These were rooted in their geography, shared history, traditions and above all human and spiritual values. Cultural cross currents and socio-economic interactions over centuries had shaped their attitudes and values, strengthened their common affinities and enhanced a sense of homogeneity, intimacy and interdependence among them. Regional cooperation was the only logical response to the common problems they faced as developing and non-aligned countries to the continuing pressure of rising expectations among their peoples and to the cognizance of the interdependent nature of the world they lived in."

5. The geographical limits of the zone should encompass the entire territory over which the seven South Asian States exercise sovereignty, including the land territory, the internal waters, the territorial sea, the sea-bed, the sub-soil beneath, and the air space above.

Legal form of a nuclear-weapon-free zone in South Asia

6. The nuclear-weapon-free zone in South **Asia may** be established on the basis of a legally-binding treaty to be registered with the United Nations. The South Asia Nuclear-Weapon-Free Zone Treaty would enter **into force** as soon as it is signed and ratified by all the regional **States**, and a legally-binding additional Protocol to the Treaty relating to the obligations of the nuclear-weapon States, is signed and ratified by all States possessing nuclear weapons.

Nature of undertakings by the regional States

- 1. Pakistan be lieves that the undertakings relating to a nuclear weapon free zone in South Asia should be comprehensive and equitable. The regional States should a eaffirm their commitment net. to acquire or manufacture nuclear weapons or any of her nuclear explosive device. They should undertake (a) to use exclusively for peaceful purposes the nuclear materials and facilities which are under their jurisdiction and (b) to prohibit and prevent; in their respective territories:
 - (I) Testing, development, manufacture, production and acqu 1 a it ion by any means whatsoever, or use of airy nuclear weapon or nuclear explosive device;
 - (11) Receipt, storage, installat Lon, deployment. or any form of possession of any nuclear weapon or nuclear explosive device.

Verification measures

n. The regional States should undertake to establish a control system for the purpose of! verifying compile ance with their obligations under the proposed arrangements for denuclearization. It would be necessary to establish an equitable and non-discriminatory system of verification and inspection. This Could he done by evolving either (a) a permanentregional consultative machanism, including provisions for a challenge verification régime with on-site inspection, or (b) arrangements among regional States for mutual inspection of nuclear facilities of unuside international safeguards, or (a) acceptance by the regional States of International Atomic Energy Agency safeguards on all nuclear facilities and fissionable nuclear materials. Verification provisions could be strengthened and made more acceptable through accompany 1 ng measures to promote mutual co. operat ton In the peacefuluses of nuclear technology for economic and social development.

Obligations of nuclear weapon States

- 9. The fivenuclear weapon States have indicated their support for the establishment of nuclear -weapon free zones in various parts of the world. Three nuclear powers, Chlnn, the United States of America and the United Kingdom of Great. Britain and Northern I reland, have supported the General Assembly resolutions endorsing the concept of a nuclear weapon-free zone in South AsThe other two nuclear -weapon States can also be expected to respond posit. I vely to tin agreement among south An inn States on arrangements for a nuclear weapon-free zone.
- 10. The relevant additional Protocol to the Treaty should contain legally binding assurances for ome the nuclear weapon States not to use or threaten to use nuclear weapons against the States members of a South As 1 annuclear—weapon-free zone. Moreover, the nuclear—weapon States should be required to undertake not to deploy nuclear weapons a discent to the denuclear sed zone and to remove such deployments if any already exist.

Consultations

- 11. Pakiatan recogniaea the need for prior agreement among the regional States on the nature and substance of a nuclear-weapon-free **2016** in South Asia. The Government of Pakistan **is** prepared to participate constructively in any consultations designed to evolve agreed arrangementa for the permanent denuclearisation of the South Asian region. These consultations can be held in any State of the region. For its part, Pakistan would be happy to host a meeting to enter into such consultations under the **aegis** of the Secretary-General of the United Nations.
- 12, In 1987, Pakistan proposed the holding of an International Conference on Nuclear Non-Proliferation in South Asia and also offered to host the Conference. It was suggesced that the Conference ahould be attended by the South Aaian as well as the nuclear-weapon States. This would provide an opportunity to all the regional States for mutual consultations and a free exchange of views to concretize the proposal, to consult each other, air their apprehensions and discuss any problems they might foresee with regard to the proposed Nuclear-Weapon-Free Zone.
- 13. In 1987, Pakistan also offered to conclude a bilateral nuclear-text-ban agreement with India or a regional nuclear-teat-ban agreement among South Asian States as a stop towards the eventual total denuclearization of South Asia.
- 14. Unfortunately, no progress has been possible in the implementation of these proposals, mainly due to the opposition of a minority of the regional States of South Asia. The Government of Pakistan is of the view that the possibility of progress would be greatly enhanced if the Secretary-General would take the initiative of calling for consultation& among the regional and interested non-regional States on this subject as oroposed in the General Assembly resolutions.
