

**REPORT
OF THE
UNITED NATIONS SCIENTIFIC
COMMITTEE
ON THE
EFFECTS OF ATOMIC RADIATION**

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

OFFICIAL RECORDS: FORTY-FIRST SESSION

SUPPLEMENT No. 16 (A/41/16)



UNITED NATIONS

**REPORT
OF THE
UNITED NATIONS SCIENTIFIC
COMMITTEE
ON THE
EFFECTS OF ATOMIC RADIATION**

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

OFFICIAL RECORDS: FORTY-FIRST SESSION

SUPPLEMENT No. 16 (A/41/16)



UNITED NATIONS

New York, 1986

NOTE

Symbols of United Nations documents are composed of capital letters combined with figures. Mention of such a symbol indicates a reference to a United Nations document.

[9 July 1986]

CONTENTS

	<u>Paragraphs</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION*	1 - 7	1
II. GENETIC EFFECTS OF RADIATION	8 - 40	3
III. DOSE-RESPONSE RELATIONSHIPS FOR RADIATION-INDUCED CANCER . .	41 - 62	11
IV. BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF ME-NATAL IRRADIATION	63 - 81	16

Appendices

I. List of experts attending the thirty-first to the thirty-fifth sessions of the Committee as official representatives or members of national delegations	21
II. List of scientific staff and consultants who have co-operated with the Committee in the preparation Of the report	23
III. List of reports received by the Committee	24

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is the ninth substantive report of the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) **1/** submitted to the General Assembly. **2/** As anticipated in its 1982 report, UNSCEAR had been **planning** to conduct **detailed** studies on selected subjects, together with comprehensive assessments of the type normally issued. This report contains reviews of three special topics in the field of biological effects of ionising radiation that are among those currently under consideration by the Committee: genetic effects of radiation (annex A), dose-response **relationships** for radiation-induced cancer (annex B) and biological effects of pre-natal irradiation (annex C).

2. The preparation of this report with its scientific annexes took place essentially from the thirty-first to the thirty-fifth sessions of the Committee, although the preparation of annex B started much earlier, its publication being delayed pending the dosimetric revision on the survivors of **Nagasaki** and **Hiroshima**. Most of the scientific work for this report was done at meetings of groups of specialists, which considered working papers prepared by the Committee secretariat that were modified and amended from one session to the next, according to the Committee's requests. The report itself was drafted at the thirty-fifth session. WK. Z. Jaworowski (Poland), Mr. D. Beninson (Argentina) and Mr. T. Kumatori (Japan) served as Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Rapporteur, respectively, at the **thirty-first** session. The following members of the Committee acted in such capacities at subsequent sessions: Mr. D. Beninson (Argentina), Mr. T. Kumatori (Japan) and MK. A. Hidayatalla (Sudan) at the **thirty-second** and **thirty-third** sessions) and Mr. T. Kumatori (Japan), Mr. A. Kaul (Federal Republic of Germany) and Mr. A. Hidayatalla (Sudan) at the **thirty-fourth** and **thirty-fifth** sessions. The names of those experts who attended the thirty-first to the thirty-fifth sessions of the Committee as official representatives or members of national delegations are listed in appendix I.

3. The Committee was assisted in the preparation of the report by a small scientific staff and by consultants appointed by the **Secretary-General**. That group, whose members are listed in appendix II, was responsible for the preliminary review and evaluation of the technical information received by the Committee OK **published** in the open scientific literature. In approving the report the Committee itself assumes full responsibility for its content! it wishes, however, to acknowledge the help and advice given by the group.

4. Representatives of the World Health Organization (WHO), the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) and the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU) attended the sessions of the Committee held during the period under review. The Committee wishes to acknowledge their contribution to the **discussion**. Representatives of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), to which the secretariat of the Committee is attached, were also present at all the sessions. The Committee would like to express its appreciation for the special attention and the support given to its activities by that organization.

5. The reports received by the Committee from States Members of the United Nations and members of the **specialized agencies** and of IAEA, as well as from those agencies themselves, during the period from 11 November 1982 to 18 April 1986 are listed in appendix III. Reports received before 11 November 1982 were listed in

earlier reports of the Committee to the General Assembly. The information **received** officially by the Committee was supplemented by and interpreted in the light of other data available in the current scientific literature or, in some rare cases, from unpublished communications of individual scientists.

6. In the following sections, the Committee **summarizes** the main conclusions of the specialized studies on the three topics mentioned in **paragraph 1**, also in the light of previously released substantive documents.

7. Following past practice, only the main text of the report **is** submitted to the General Assembly, while the report, together with the scientific annexes mentioned above, will be issued as a United Nations sales publication. This practice is intended to achieve wider dissemination of the findings to the international scientific community, which makes use of the Committee's assessments as a source of independent and authoritative information. The Committee wishes to draw the attention of the General Assembly to the fact that separation of the main text of the **report** from its scientific annexes is simply for reasons of convenience. It should be borne in mind that the scientific data given in the annexes are very important and form the basis for the main conclusions contained in this report.

Note 8

1/ The Committee was established by the General Assembly at its tenth session in 1955. Its terms of reference are set out in resolution 913 (X). It was originally composed of the following Member States: Argentina, **Australia**, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Czechoslovakia, Egypt, France, India, Japan, Mexico, Sweden, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America. The membership of the Committee was subsequently enlarged by the Assembly in its resolution 3154 C (XXVIII) to include the Federal Republic of Germany, Indonesia, Peru, Poland and the Sudan.

2/ For the previous substantive reports of the Committee, see Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirteenth Session, Supplement No. 17 (A/3838); ibid., Seventeenth Session, Supplement No. 16 (A/5216); ibid., Nineteenth Session, Supplement No. 14 (A/5814); ibid., Twenty-first Session, Supplement No. 14 (A/6314 and Corr.1); ibid., Twenty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 13 (A/7613 and Corr.1); ibid., Twenty-seventh Session, Supplement No. 25 (A/8725 and Corr.1); ibid., Thirty-second Session, Supplement No. 40 (A/32/40); and ibid., Thirty-seventh Session, Supplement No. 45 (A/37/45). These documents will be referred to in this context as the 1958, 1962, 1964, 1966, 1969, 1972, 1977 and 1982 reports, respectively. The 1972 report with appendices and scientific annexes was also made available as: Ionizing Radiation: Levels and Effects, Volume I: Levels (United Nations publication, Sales No. **E.72.IX.17**); and Volume II: Effects (United Nations publication, Sales No. **E.72.IX.18**). The 1977 report with appendices and scientific annexes appeared as: Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation (United Nations publication, Sales No. **E.77.IX.1**). The 1982 report with appendices and scientific annexes appeared as: Ionizing Radiation: Sources and Biological Effects (United Nations publication, Sales No. **E.82.IX.8**).

II. GENETIC EFFECTS OF RADIATION

8. The Committee reviewed recent advances in various areas relevant to the evaluation of genetic radiation hazards in man. The most important areas are: (a) identification of the prevalence of naturally occurring monogenic, chromosomal and other disorders) the use of recombinant DNA technology for the analysis of human genetic material in normal individuals and in those with genetic disease, the relationship between gene mutations, chromosomal aberrations and cancer) the role of movable genetic elements in the production of spontaneous mutations and their implications for the estimates of the genetic risk, and other data directly or indirectly bearing on the quantification of genetic hazards and detriment in man. As a result of this extensive analysis, the Committee believes that the assessment of radiation-induced genetic risk contained in its 1982 report remains broadly valid.

9. The considerations that determined the choice of the major themes listed above can be briefly summarized as follows: (a) a precise knowledge of the prevalence of Mendelian and chromosomal disorders and those with a strong genetic predisposition constitutes an essential framework for perceiving the impact of such disorders in human populations and for placing the estimates of the radiation risk into perspective, (b) the advances in recombinant DNA technology that have occurred during the past few years have imparted a level of precision hitherto not possible to the study of the human genome for unravelling the action of specific genes in health and disease, including cancer, for analysing the mutation spectra and the nature of spontaneous and radiation-induced mutations and for formulating new approaches to the management of heritable disorders, (c) the recent convergence of ideas and techniques from viral oncology, cell genetics and molecular biology has resulted in major breakthroughs in knowledge on the molecular genetic basis of several spontaneously arising and mutagen-induced cancers) (d) the demonstration that there are movable genetic elements (mobile DNA sequence) in a number of species (and presumptive evidence for their occurrence in humans), and that a sizeable proportion of spontaneous mutations (in bacteria, yeast and drosophila) are due to these movable genetic sequences, is raising questions concerning the extent to which they may be causing spontaneous mutations in humans and whether there is a difference in nature between radiation-induced and spontaneous mutations, and (e) new data from human studies on detriment associated with certain spontaneously arising disorders of complex aetiology, as well as that from mammalian and other studies on genetic effects of radiation, illustrate the validity of the Committee's earlier views and conclusions.

10. New data on the prevalence of certain specific monogenic disorders in humans essentially confirm the Committee's earlier assessments. Likewise, a re-analysis of data bearing on the contribution of chromosomal anomalies to spontaneous abortions and still births suggests that at least 40 per cent of the spontaneous abortions that occur in the period from the fifth to the twenty-eighth week of gestation and about 6 per cent of still births are associated with chromosomal anomalies. Recent results from cytogenetic surveys of new-borns carried out using banding methods show that the frequencies of spontaneously occurring reciprocal translocations and inversions are higher than those detected in studies in which banding methods were not used.

11. The frequencies of chromosomal anomalies in patients with mental retardation and multiple congenital anomalies vary from about 2.5 to 20 per cent with a mean of

about 12 per cent. In sub-fertile males, the prevalence of such anomalies is an order of magnitude higher than in new-borne (6.0 per cent **versus** 0.6 per cent), but the frequencies of specific anomalies show **considerable** variation.

12. A substantial amount of information has now become available on fragile sites on human chromosomes. These are chromosomal regions exhibiting fragility (as evidenced by **abnormal** chromosomal configurations in metaphase preparations), which can be made visible under specific tissue culture conditions. The fragile site is always at exactly the same point on the chromosomes in all individuals or kindred, but is never **seen** in all cells examined. About 40 fragile sites are currently **known**, including one on the long arm of the X-chromosome. The latter is associated with X-linked mental retardation and is **the** most **common** genetically determined **cause** of mental handicap, next only to trisomy-21 (**Down's** syndrome). There are indications that certain fragile sites on **chromosomes** other than the X-chromosome may predispose chromosomes to breakage. There is evidence, furthermore, that **several** non-random chromosomal changes involved in certain cancers **have** breakpoints that **coincide** with the fragile sites.

13. A systematic comparison of three studies of the estimated live-birth **prevalences** of congenital anomalies - a prospective study in the United States (which aimed at **complete** ascertainment) and two retrospective studies (one in British Columbia, the data from which were discussed in **the** Committee's earlier reports, **and** another carried out in **Hungary**) - shows that the **prevalences** vary from 8.5 per cent in the United States to 6.0 per cent in Hungary and to 4.3 per cent in British Columbia. Among the reasons for the differences between those estimates **are** geographical and ethnic variations and differences in ascertainment efficiencies. Particularly **noteworthy** is the finding **that** musculo-skeletal anomalies constitute about 50 per cent of all congenital anomalies in Hungary, about 45 per cent in the United States and about 30 per cent in British Columbia. Anomalies of **the** integument constitute, furthermore, about 10 per cent of the total in the United States, and **about** 1 per cent in Hungary and British Columbia. The Committee has used the **live birth** prevalence from **Hungary** (6.0 per cent) as a **basis** for making detriment estimates for spontaneously arising congenital anomalies.

14. Preliminary data suggest that the prevalence of other disorders with a strong genetic predisposition, which are disorders primarily of adulthood, may be at least about 60 per cent in **Hungary**. Each of these disorders has a population prevalence of not less than 1 per 10,000. The values for the individual conditions in Hungary are well within the ranges reported for other countries. These conditions **are** both aetiologically and clinically heterogeneous. The estimated population prevalence of 60 per cent is an order of magnitude higher **than** the 4.7 per cent for British Columbia. It should, however, be stressed that: (a) since many individuals have more **than** one disorder, the actual proportion of the Hungarian population that suffers is probably less than 60 per cent although still far more than the 4.7 per cent in British Columbia) and (b) the value of 4.7 per cent applies only to disorders **appearing** before the age of 21, whereas the value of 60 per cent applies to those appearing up to age "70.

15. During the past few years, the application of recombinant DNA technology to the study of the human genome has revolutionized the field of human genetics. By using a variety of enzymes specifically active on the cells' genetic material, it has become possible to make direct analyses of normal and mutant genes. Several findings emerging from these studies have applications in the detection of carriers of serious genetic **disorders**, in pre-natal **diagnosis** and in the typing of tumours

and lymphomas. Molecular approaches are also increasingly being used to study mutation and DNA repair in mammalian cells.

16. **Exciting** advances have also been made during the past few years in understanding the genetic **basis** of cancer. Among these, the following deserve mention: (a) the discovery that mammalian and other **genomes** contain nucleotide **sequences** related to viral oncogenes (i.e. genes **responsible** for the production of tumours in a number of avian and mammalian species) and that these **sequences**, termed cellular proto-oncogenes, have oncogenic potential! (h) the identification of activated forms of **proto-oncogenes** in tumour cells and the discovery that such **activations** can occur through point mutations or specific **chromosomal** aberrations in which the breakpoint may involve the cellular oncogene **itself**; and (c) the probable participation of proto-oncogenes in the regulation of cell proliferation.

17. The conceptual foundations for dealing with movable genetic elements, One of the most active areas of current genetic research, were laid by **McClintock** over three decades ago. From genetic studies with maize, she postulated the existence of what **are** now called movable genetic elements. Such elements have **since** been discovered in a number of species, including bacteria, blue-green algae, yeast and drosophila. There **are** several lines of evidence suggesting that they are also present in mammalian (including human) genomes, and some of these have been characterized at the molecular level. In the organisms studied, these transposable genetic elements have been shown to be capable of inducing chromosome breaks, duplications and a variety of other structural alterations, as well as gene mutations and changes in gene expression at many gene loci.

18. The finding that a **sizeable** proportion of spontaneous mutations in experimental **organisms** studied in this respect can be caused by movable genetic elements, and that the rate of **transposition** is either not affected or only minimally so by exposure to mutagens, could have implications for the evaluation of genetic radiation hazards. For instance, if the majority of spontaneous mutations in humans **is** a by-product of the dynamics of transposable genetic elements and if the nature of these spontaneous mutations differs from that of mutations induced by mutagens, the use of the doubling dose **method** in hazard evaluation may need to be re-examined. There is, however, no **evidence** at present for the thesis that a majority of spontaneous mutations **in** humans is due to movable genetic elements.

19. A number of recent studies on mammalian somatic cells have shed further light on the nature of the lesions in DNA that lead to chromosomal aberrations and the process of DNA repair associated with the formation of these aberrations. Particularly interesting are the new data obtained by the use of restriction endonucleases. These are enzymes that **recognize** specific sequences in the DNA and cleave them, producing fragments that are either blunt ended (both strands cleaved at the same position) or cohesive ended (each strand cleaved at a different position). **Although** the absolute frequencies of chromosomal aberrations were found to depend on the restriction enzyme used, those producing blunt-ended DNA breaks were much more efficient than those producing cohesive-ended breaks. Since these enzymes **are known** to produce only double-strand DNA breaks, these data provide further direct evidence that **double-strand** breaks in the DNA are the principal lesions involved in the production of chromosomal aberrations.

20. New data obtained on lymphocytes (white blood cells) of patients with chromosome instability syndromes show that, except in one case, the spontaneous rates of mutation relative to those of blood cells from normal individuals are

higher by factors ranging from 3 to 10. The newly developed T-lymphocyte cloning technique has been successfully used in studies on radiation induction of 6-thioguanine mutants in human lymphocytes. The data show dose-dependent increases in mutation frequency and also show that these **frequencies** are of the same **order** of magnitude as those determined in experiments with established fibroblast cell **lines**.

21. The results of an international collaborative study on the X-ray induction of **chromosomal** aberrations in human lymphocytes in vitro show that at low doses (from 0.004 to 0.3 **grays**) there is no increase in aberration yields up to 0.05 **grays**, beyond which the increase is linear with dose. Furthermore, according to the authors' analysis, the frequencies of all types of aberrations at 0.004 **grays** are significantly lower than the **control** values.

22. Data from direct cytological analysis of spermatozoa from normal human males have shown that the **frequencies** of chromosomal abnormalities in these **cells** vary between individuals (0 to 28 per cent) with a mean of about 9.0 per cent. Both numerical and structural anomalies have been found, the **frequencies** of the former in different individuals ranging from 0.6 to 5.0 per cent and those of the latter from 1.5 to 15.8 per cent. The frequencies of chromosomally abnormal spermatozoa in men who had undergone radiotherapy were higher (averaging over 23 per cent but ranging from 6 to 67 per cent, with a **significant** correlation between the frequencies of abnormal sperm and testicular dose) than before radiotherapy and were also higher than in non-irradiated men; again, both structural and numerical chromosomal anomalies were present.

23. The **frequencies** of spontaneously arising chromosomal **anomalies** in Chinese hamster oocytes and early zygotes have been determined using an improved chromosome preparation technique. The data suggest that the incidence of aneuploidy of maternal origin (2.1 per cent) is three times higher than that of paternal origin and first division mitotic errors are about three times more frequent than second division **errors**.

24. Further data on the X-ray induction of non-disjunction in young and old female mice have become available. In one set of experiments, the frequencies of eggs having more than the haploid number of chromosomes (hyperhaploidy) were higher in old (1.5 per cent) than in young (0.2 per cent) non-irradiated **mice**. After X irradiation, the frequencies of hyperhaploid eggs from both young and old mice **showed** a linear relationship with dose, but there were no **differences between** the young and old mice in this regard. In another set of experiments with young female mice and eggs, sampled at various intervals after irradiation, significant and greater-than-linear increases in hyperhaploidy were found, and the eggs sampled at shorter intervals after irradiation were found to be less sensitive than those sampled at other time **intervals**. In a further set of experiments, it was shown that the **use** of gonadotropin to induce ovulation had no effect on the sensitivity of the oocytes to the radiation induction of either numerical or structural anomalies.

25. Further genetic evidence on the X-ray induction of heritable **reciprocal** translocation in male mice (following **spermatogonial** irradiation) has been obtained. This **shows** that there is a dose-dependent increase in frequency up to 6 grays, the average rate being $(3.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$ per gray. The frequencies of translocation after 1.5 grays are consistent with expectations based on cytogenetic studies, whereas at higher exposures the frequencies appear to be **lower** than expected, in line with previous findings.

26. A comparison of the cytogenetic data on the X- or gamma-ray induction of reciprocal translocations in a number of non-human primate species (including the data reviewed in the 1982 report) show that the spermatogonia of one marmoset species, Callithrix jacchus, have a sensitivity similar to that of the Rhesus monkey. However, both these species are much less sensitive than another marmoset, Saguinus oedecorynchus. The crab-eating monkey, Macaca fascicularis, is intermediate between Rhesus monkey and Callithrix jacchus, and Saguinus fuscicollis, which was studied over 10 years ago. Changes in technique may be partly responsible for these differences. The recently studied crab-eating monkey, Macaca fascicularis, is about twice as sensitive as the Rhesus monkey to acute irradiation, but the most recent data suggest that the former species may be less sensitive to chronic gamma irradiation.

27. Data on the induction by X-rays of congenital anomalies in the offspring of irradiated mice show that the incidence of these anomalies (detected by in utero examination) is significantly higher following irradiation of post-meiotic germ cells in males. The frequencies of these anomalies also tended to rise after spermatogonial exposure.

28. Further data have become available on the radiation induction of heritable tumours in mice. Spermatids in males and maturing oocytes in females seem to be the most sensitive stages for the induction of genetic changes that lead to tumours in the progeny. Spermatogonia are also affected. The pattern of transmission of these tumours is consistent with a dominant mode of inheritance and a penetrance of about 40 per cent; they also have a low expressivity.

29. In order to estimate the radiation risks associated with the induction of reciprocal translocations in human germ cells, model studies using x-irradiated blood lymphocytes and fibroblasts have been carried out. The location of the translocation break points, lengths of segments involved etc., were determined in banded chromosome preparations. The information so derived was used: (a) to inquire into the minimum possible imbalance that each of these translocations will generate, should they occur in germ cells; and (b) to compare these estimates with those available from studies reported in the literature of cases of partial monosomies and trisomies (i.e. loss or addition, respectively, of small chromosome segments). The main conclusion was that about two fifths of these translocations could generate viable imbalances in terms of abnormal progeny. More data are required before these figures can be used within the framework of risk assessments.

30. On the basis of limited data then available on the incidence of unbalanced structural rearrangements in newborn and in spontaneous abortions, the committee estimated in its 1972 report that about 6 per cent of all human conceptions with a structurally unbalanced chromosome complement could result in live births with congenital anomalies. This value was also used in the Committee's 1977 and 1982 reports. Recently, the Committee's attention was drawn to an error in these calculations, which when corrected gave a value of 3.5 per cent. However, a further re-calculation using the more extensive data currently available led to a revised estimate of 9 per cent of imbalanced products of balanced reciprocal translocation surviving to birth and resulting in congenitally malformed children.

32. In its 1982 report, the Committee estimated that the risk for the irradiation of males from the induction of dominant mutations (leading to genetic disease in the first generation progeny) lies in the range of one to two cases of affected individuals per million live-borne per milligray of sparsely ionizing,

low-dose-rate **irradiation**; the rough estimate of risk for the irradiation of females under similar conditions was zero to one case per million live births. These estimates were based on the induction of dominant skeletal and cataract mutations in mice. New information from radiation-induced reduction of litter size in mice following exposure of parental **males** to **X-** or **gamma rays** suggests the induction of genetic changes having dominant effects in the first generation and manifesting after birth at a stage earlier than that under scrutiny in the skeletal and cataract studies. The rate of induction of these changes appears to be about **one half** of that mentioned above for males. It seems probable that in the human species these **lethals** would act at some stage in early life.

32. In its 1977 and 1982 reports, the Committee estimated that the risk from the induction of autosomal recessive mutations (i.e. mutations in genes located on chromosomes other than the X) leading to recessive genetic disease was **negligible**, and it made no further attempts to quantify this risk. A recent study has shown that it is possible to provide a quantitative estimate for this class of disorders. These **calculations**, based on a combination of data from observations on human populations and from experiments on mice, show that a one-time exposure to a dose on the order of 1 milligray of **sparsely** ionizing, low-dose-rate irradiation of the parental generation is not **associated** with any risk of induced recessive genetic disease in the first **generation**, thus confirming the earlier conclusion of the Committee. However, in the following 10 generations, such an exposure may result in about one extra case per million live-borne by the tenth **generation**.

33. In 1982, the risk associated with the induction of structural chromosomal aberrations (predominantly reciprocal **translocations**) in males and females was estimated to lie between 0.03 and 1 and between 0 and 0.3 cases per million, respectively, of congenitally abnormal children per milligray of sparsely ionizing, low-dose-rate irradiation. Using all the **currently** available data on primates, the Committee now estimates that the expected number of congenitally abnormal children ranges from 0.1 to 1.5 and from 0 to 0.5 **following** irradiation of males and females, respectively (all rates expressed per million live **births** per milligray).

34. The risk estimates discussed so far are arrived at by using the so-called direct methods and pertain to effects expected in the first generation following a one-time radiation exposure of the parents. In **contrast**, the doubling dose method is primarily used to quantify risks under conditions of continuous radiation exposure. With this method, the expected risks are related to, and expressed as a **fraction** of, the **spontaneous** prevalence of Mendelian and chromosomal disorders as well as those of a more complex aetiology. The Committee sees no reason for any alteration of its 1982 estimates for autosomal dominant, X-linked and chromosomal disorders. These **estimates** are briefly recapitulated in the following (all **estimates** per milligray of continuous sparsely ionizing, low-dose-rate irradiation of the parental generation and on a population of one million live **births**):

(a) autosomal dominant and **X-linked** disorders - 10 cases of affected individuals at **equilibrium** and 1 to 2 cases in the first generation) and (b) chromosomal disorders (mainly those arising as a consequence of unbalanced structural anomalies) - 0.4 case at equilibrium and 0.3 case in the first generation. In these calculations, the spontaneous prevalence figures assumed are: 1.0 per cent dominant and X-linked disorders, 0.25 per cent autosomal **recessive**, and 0.3 per cent chromosomal disorders. The doubling dose was, furthermore, assumed to be 1 gray.

35. New data on congenital anomalies and other disorders of complex aetiology suggest that their spontaneous prevalence (especially of the latter) **is** higher than the estimates considered in the 1982 report (see **paras. 13 and 14 above**). This difference **is** mainly due to the inclusion of data on individuals up to 70 years of age in **the** recent studies, whereas an earlier one only contained data on individuals up to 21 years of age (the estimates from the latter were **used** in the 1977 and 1982 reports). Considerable uncertainties still remain on the following problems: (a) whether the **doubling** dose estimate of 1 gray (this **is based** on mouse data on clear-cut genetic end-points **such** as specific locus mutations, dominant visihles and reciprocal translocations) is valid for disorders of complex **aetiology**; and (h) whether the estimate of 5 per cent mutational component used in the 1977 and 1982 reports is realistic for these disorders. In the absence of further information, particularly information on the mechanisms of maintenance of these disorders in **the** population that **would** thus provide a **basis** for predicting a possible radiation-induced increase in their prevalence, the Committee is not in a position to provide risk estimates for these disorders.

36. The Committee continued to focus attention on detriment (handicap, years of life **lost**, years of handicapped life) associated with spontaneously arising genetic and partially genetic disorders, with the hope of eventually formulating an **adequate** framework to view the increases in such detriment at the individual and societal levels as a result of radiation exposures. Some limited information from the follow-up of children with **sex** chromosomal anomalies and autosomal balanced structural rearrangements shows: (a) that no individual with sex chromoaomal anomalies has had any serious mental **retardation**; and (b) that balanced structural rearrangements are probably not as harmful **as** previous reports (**based** on cytogenetic studies of mentally retarded individuals and inmates of penitentiaries) have **impli ed**.

37. The results of **a** study on the estimation of detriment associated with spontaneously arising congenital anomalies in humans have been published. In thin study, the authors uaed the live-hirth prevalence values derived in Hungary for these anomalies (about 60,000 per million live births) to estimate detriment in terms of years of life lost, years of potentially impaired life and years of actually impaired life. For the period and the population for which these estimates apply, the mean life expectancy is 70 years. Calculations show that, with a total prevalence of 60,000 per million live births (i.e. 60,000 individuals per million affected with one or **another** type of isolated or multiple congenital anomalies), about 480,000 years of life are lost, 2.0 to 3.7 million years of life are potentially impaired and, of the latter, 450,000 years of life are actually impaired per million live births.

38. In terms of the average number of years of life lost (an **index** of detriment at the individual level), the central nervous system anomalies **cause** the greatest amount of detriment (55 years), followed by anomalies of **the** respiratory and cardiovascular oystems and chromosomal **anomalies** (about 25 years for each of these) and others. Anomalies of the ear, face and neck (including cleft lip, with or without cleft palate), of **genital** organs and of the mueculoskeletal system have a small or negligible effect in this regard. However, when the **ranking** is done according to the total number of years of life lost (an index of detriment at the population level), anomalies of **the** cardiovascular system are associated with the highest amount of detriment (about 190,000 **y .ars** per million live hirths), followed by those of the central nervous system (about 120,000 years per million **live** hirths), of the alimentary system (43,000 years per million live births) and others.

39. One possible crude way of expressing detriment is in terms of the number of years of actually impaired life. Expressed in this way, anomalies of the cardiovascular system are associated with the greatest amount of detriment (98,000 years per million live births), followed by those involving the genital organs (72,000 years per million live births), chromosomal anomalies (56,000 years per million live births) and others.

40. On the basis of the above analysis, a comparison of the detriment caused by congenital anomalies with that caused by monogenic disorders (the latter is discussed in the 1982 report) reveals that detriment is much higher for congenital anomalies.

III. DOSE-RESPONSE RELATIONSHIPS FOR RADIATION-INDUCED CANCER

41. The Committee **examined** the nature of the dose-response **relationships** for a variety of cellular and **sub-cellular** radiobiological effects in vitro and in vivo. Under a number of simplifying assumptions, the quantitative information derived **was** used to fit various **models** of radiation action to cancer induction data in experimental **animals** and in humans in an attempt to predict the possible **shape** of the dose-induction **curves for** cancer at low **doses** and dose **rates** that, although **most interesting** for practical **purposes**, cannot be studied directly. **These procedures** enabled the Committee to **suggest** the **most** probable form that the relationships for several **types** of cancer would take under these **conditions** and the type of **bias** that might affect the estimates of risk coefficients at low **doses** and dose rates, if one or the other model **should** apply. **This exercise is seen as** an important preliminary **step** towards a re-evaluation of the risk estimates for radiation-induced cancer, which the Committee **is** planning to release in the near future.

42. In order to estimate the risk **coefficient**, i.e. the frequency of radiation-induced cancer or the relative increase of tumour frequency per unit **dose** over the natural incidence at low dose and dose rates, two **types** of information **are required**: first, empirical data on the incidence of various forms of malignancy at **relatively** high doses where observations have **actually** been made; and, secondly, a knowledge of the form of the **relationships** linking the incidence of cancers with the radiation dose. Such data would allow predictions to be made of the cancer incidence at **doses**, and **perhaps** also at dose rates, very much **lower** than those at which direct **observations** are available in humans.

43. When the incidence of a given **tumour** in exposed animal or human populations **is** followed as a function of **increasing dose**, several **findings** are apparent. At relatively low **doses** (about 0.1 gray of sparsely ionizing radiation), only **seldom** (and then **mostly** in controlled animal **experiments**) can a **statistically** significant increase of cancer or leukaemia be **shown**. At higher doses (from a few to several **grays**, with **considerable** differences between different tumours), the incidence of such malignancies may be **shown** statistically to exceed the level observed in non-exposed control populations, the **excess** increasing as some function of the dose. At **still** higher doses (**many grays**) the **incidence** gradually **starts** to fall off, owing to cell killing. Dose-response relationships of this type, **passing** through a maximum at **some intermediate** dose, are often found in experimental animals.

44. The usual interpretation of such a shape postulates the concurrent **presence** of two different phenomena: (a) a dose-related increase of the proportion of normal **cells** that are transformed into malignant **ones**; and (b) a dose-related decrease of the probability that **such cells** may survive the radiation exposure. Both of **these** phenomena are normally operating in the region of **doses** where data are available, but to a different degree for **various doses** and different types of **cancer**. **With this** interpretation, some of the cells that would otherwise show **transformation** are killed, so that the fraction actually **seen as transformed** is reduced at high **doses**. What **happens** at the low doses, where direct information **is** lacking, may only be inferred from a combination of empirical data and theoretical assumptions, linked together into **some** models of radiation action.

45. The models referred to are simplified **semi-quantitative** representations of complex biological phenomena. Present knowledge of **the** mechanisms of

carcinogenesis, including radiation **carcinogenesis**, is not adequate to design comprehensive models accounting for all physical and **biological** factors known to influence the induction of cancer. To avoid **some** of the complications involved, the Committee suggests that the range of doses over which extrapolations **may** meaningfully **be** performed should be limited to low and intermediate doses, below about 2 grays of sparsely **ionizing** radiation. Under these conditions, it **seems** likely that no serious distortions would result from non-stochastic radiation effects, **which** are observed when doses exceed fairly high thresholds, characteristic for each tissue and **each** effect.

46. The formulation and analysis of **models** of radiation carcinogenesis **must rely** on a few **basic** assumptions, as follows:

(a) The observed dose-response relationships for clinically visible tumours in vivo approximately reflect the **relationship** between dose and cancer initiation at the cellular level, despite host reactions and the effect of latency, which may modify this relationship to some degree. This assumption is based on the overall similarity of the dose-response **curves** for cancer induction with those of various other cellular effects of radiation. The Committee postulates this concept simply as a working **hypothesis**;

(b) Cancer initiation is believed to be a uni-cellular process occurring at random in single cells. This is also a **working hypothesis** that has **not** yet definitely been proved. However, evidence to the contrary, i.e. that cancer initiation **takes** place in several cells, is **less** convincing, although some limited evidence supports the idea. The uni-cellular theory of cancer induction is compatible with the notion that some, **still** ill-defined, influences resulting from irradiation of neighbouring **cells** or other organs may modify the probability that an initiated cell will develop into an overt malignancy. **Firm** biological evidence in favour of this last notion is very **fragmentary**;

(c) The absence of a dose threshold for induction is characteristic of many, if **not** all, tumours. For some animal tumours (e.g. tumours of the ovary or thymic **lymphoma** of the mouse), threshold-type dose-response relationships are observed. In other cases (e.g. tumours of the skin), cancer is only induced with great difficulty, i.e. after high doses of radiation. In still others (i.e. epidermoid lung cancer in humans), the data are unclear, owing perhaps to a short follow-up of the patients. In spite of these exceptions, however, the absence of a threshold dose is assumed by the Committee as a working hypothesis for the **moment**;

(d) The susceptibility of an irradiated animal or human population to tumour induction is assumed to follow a bell-shaped distribution. Although genetic predispositions to the development of some forms of malignancy are well documented, efforts to show that such phenomena apply **also** to radiation-induced human cancer have not been successful so **far**. Therefore, pending further studies, **the** same distribution of susceptibilities to the induction of cancer in irradiated and non-irradiated populations is also provisionally **accepted** as a working proposition.

47. On the above assumptions, it is possible to infer likely shapes for the dose-response relationships of **radiation-induced** cancer. Such inferences rely on the analysis of various other radiation effects observed at the cellular level. These effects involve the cells' genetic material, which is also thought to be the primary target for cancer initiation. The production of mutations and chromosomal aberrations in somatic and germinal cells and the oncogenic transformation in vitro

of mammalian cell lines are examples of such effects. If cancer induction in vivo involves mechanisms similar to or related to those underlying the effects listed above, it **is** expected that all these phenomena will respond similarly with respect to changes in dose, dose rate and fractionation. As such similarities have **actually** been observed, it may be possible to extrapolate the shape of dose-response **relationships** between the effects mentioned above and the phenomenon of cancer induction.

40. Three basic non-threshold **models** of radiation action as a function of dose have been reviewed with respect to such cellular effects and to cancer **initiation**: the linear, the linear-quadratic and the pure quadratic models. Notwithstanding some exceptions, these may provide a general envelope for the dose-response **curves** of a variety of radiation-induced end-points at the cellular level, as well as for tumour induction in experimental animals and human populations.

49. The vast majority of dose-response curves for induction of point mutations and chromosomal aberrations by **sparsely** ionising X-rays and gamma rays can be described by a **linear-quadratic** model. For the same end-points; when cell killing **is accounted for**, a linear model usually applies to densely **ionizing** radiations such as alpha particles or neutrons. As a rule, for a number of chromosomal structural abnormalities, concavity (upward concavity) **is** observed for sparsely **ionizing** radiation. For the same effects and a wide range of doses, linearity prevails for densely ionizing particles. Linearity of the dose-response for somatic mutations and terminal chromosomal deletions has been found in some cell lines, even for sparsely ionizing radiation, although this is rare.

50. Approximate estimates of proportionality constants **linking** the chromosomal effects with the dose or its **square** may be obtained **experimentally**; they allow the **frequency** of such effects to be predicted at low doses and dose **rates** from observations at higher doses. For cancer induction, however, only fragmentary information supports the notion that similar **quantitative** relationships with the dose might apply. The Committee has estimated that, if the **risk of tumour** induction at 1 or 2 grays of sparsely ionising radiation (at high dose rate) were extrapolated linearly down to zero dose, this procedure would overestimate the risk by a factor of up to five in typical situations.

51. Over the past few years, much information on radiation-induced **oncogenic** transformation of mammalian cells has become available. The cancerous nature of the transformed cells is shown by the fact that after transformation in vitro they are able to form malignant tumours **upon** transplantation back into animals under appropriate conditions. Transformation in vitro **is** therefore **regarded as a model**, albeit a simplified one, of radiation carcinogenesis **at the cellular level**. Cells exposed in vitro to sparsely ionising radiation 24 hours after seeding are transformed according to complex kinetics that cannot be fitted to models used for other cellular effects such as cell killing. Moreover, fractionation of the dose (below 1.5 **grays** total) has in some instances appeared to enhance transformation, which is contrary to what would be predicted by a linear-quadratic **model**; in other **instances**, however, it has clearly not enhanced transformation.

52. Further research **is** needed to reconcile such conflicting observations on the nature of the response after **fractionation** at low doses. Several experiments indicate that **anomalous** results can arise from atypical conditions of cellular growth soon after establishment of **the culture**. In fact, irradiation of non-dividing cells or cells under exponential growth conditions (which are thought

to be more representative of an asynchronously dividing cell population (*in vivo*) produces results that are compatible with those obtained for other cellular effects) thus, for example, high-dose-rate gamma irradiation results in a **great** frequency of transformation than low-dose-rate exposure.

53. There are indications that, when cells **are** irradiated with neutrons, low dose rates or dose fractionation may increase the rate of transformation, even at low doses. However, whereas some observations on tumour induction in experimental animals clearly support these findings, others do not. In other experiments, **enhanced** transformation by neutron fractionation **or** protraction **was** seen only at intermediate and high doses. In view of the paucity of such data and of the uncertainties involved, further research is needed before enhancement of cancer induction by neutron fractionation and protraction (relative to single **or** high-dose-rate exposure) can be accepted for the purposes of risk assessment. **Such** a possibility should, however, be kept in mind, even though the theoretical basis to explain these phenomena is uncertain at present.

54. Recent experimental findings on radiation-induced tumours in experimental animals have not substantially changed the main conclusions reached in annex I of the 1977 **report**. Most data support the notion that dose-response relationships for X-rays and gamma rays tend to be **curvilinear** and concave upward at low doses. Under these conditions, tumour induction is dose-rate dependent in that a reduction of the dose rate, or fractionation, reduces the tumour yield. A linear extrapolation of the risk from high doses delivered at high rates to **zero** dose would thus, as a rule, overestimate the real risk at low doses and dose rates. However, in one experimental mammary tumour system (matched by epidemiological data on human breast cancer), irradiation with X-rays and gamma rays produced a linear dose response with little fractionation and dose-rate dependence.

55. For densely ionising neutron irradiation, tumour induction in animals follows in **general** a very nearly linear curve at the lower end of the **dose** scale **and** shows little dependence on dose rate. In some cases, however, enhancement upon fractionation (and possibly protraction) has been noted. Above about 0.1 gray, the **curve** tends to become concave downward, markedly so in some cases. Under these conditions, a linear extrapolation of the risk down from intermediate **or** high doses and dose rates would involve a variable degree of underestimation.

56. The Committee reviewed existing data on dose-response relationships **for** radiation-induced tumours in man. This whole matter must be treated with caution because, at present, observations are very **fragmentary**, those for neutrons totally absent, and definitive data for atomic bomb survivors at Hiroshima and Nagasaki are still not available. For example, dose-response data for sparsely **ionizing** radiation **have** not been reported for lung and bone tumours, while data for densely ionising radiation have not been reported for thyroid and mammary cancer. For sparsely **ionizing** radiation, the data available in some cases (lung, thyroid and breast cancer) are consistent with linear or linear-quadratic models. For breast cancer, linearity may, however, predominate, as the incidence is little affected by dose fractionation. The linearity of the response for lung cancer after exposure to alpha particles from radon daughters does not contradict the above statement, because the dose-squared component with alpha particles is minimal. Some doubts **still** remain, however, as to osteosarcoma induced by bone-seeking alpha- or beta-emitting radionuclides. Thus, in spite of the **fragmentary character** of the data from humans, a general picture is emerging from which several tentative conclusions can be derived.

57. For sparsely **ionizing** radiation, linear extrapolation downward **from** about 2 **grays** would not overestimate the risk of **breast** and **possibly** thyroid cancer, would slightly overestimate the risk of leukaemia and would definitely **overestimate** the risk of bone sarcoma. A lack of direct evidence doe8 not permit any **assessment** to be made of the magnitude of the **overestimate** for lung cancer.

58. For densely ionising radiation, the **risk** of lung cancer from accumulated exposures to radon decay products at low dose rates from dose level8 roughly **corresponding** to 20 to 50 **sieverts** would neither be **overestimated** nor underestimated by linear extrapolation to very low **doses**. However, **extrapolation** from observations made at higher cumulative exposures might **result** in a **significant** underestimation owing to **observed** flattening (saturation) of the **dose-response** curve **in** this region. It should be **stressed that** the absolute **risk** coefficient8 derived for male **miners**, of whom a high proportion are **smokers**, should not be applied to the general public without due corrections for various factor8 (intensity of smoking, lung ventilation rate, **presence** of other contaminating pollutants etc.) that are thought to increase the risk in **miners**.

59. The incidence of **bone sarcoma** after alpha-particle internal irradiation by long-lived bone-seeking **radionuclides** is **distorted** by the existence of a pronounced Inverse relationship between **accumulated dose** and latent period, **resulting** in an apparent threshold at low **doses**. If this is a **correct** explanation for the **upward** concavity of the dose-response relationship, a linear extrapolation from a mean skeletal dose of a few tens of graye down to the milligray region would **grossly** overestimate the risk.

60. No data are available at preeent on the induction of breast cancer and leukaemia **in** humans by densely ionising radiation8 and therefore no direct inferences can be made about **risk** extrapolation to the **low-dose** domain. On the basis of general knowledge, if the risk at intermediate dose8 could be derived from data **on** sparsely ionizing radiation (suitably corrected for the greater **effectiveness** of the **densely** ionizing **particles**), a linear extrapolation down to low **doses** might either underestimate or correctly **estimate** the real **risk** in these **cases**.

61. For radiation-induced cancer8 of other organs, only data on experimental animal8 are available. **For sparsely** ionizing **radiations**, upward concave curvilinear dose-response relationships with pronounced **dose-rate** and fractionation effects are usually **found**. If similar curves apply to cancer8 **in humans**, a linear extrapolation of risk coefficient8 (obtained at the Intermediate **dose** region after acute irradiation) to the low dose and low **dose** rates would very likely overestimate the real risk, possibly by a factor of up to **five**. For **densely** ionizing radiation, should relevant values become available, a linear extrapolation from high to intermediate dose8 would probably underestimate the **risk**.

62. Upon close inspection of the data, **some regularities seem** to emerge that may indirectly help in assessing the character of dose-response relationships in humans. A similarity was noted in the shape of the relationships between **humans** and experimental animals for tumours of several organs for which reasonably good information exists: mammary and **thyroid** cancers (**sparsely** ionizing **radiations**) and lung and bone cancers (densely ionizing radiations). Should this pattern be confirmed, knowledge derived from epidemiological studies in human8 at intermediate or high doses and from the shape Of the dose-reeponee **relationships** in several animal species would make it possible to assess the bias introduced by linear extrapolation of the risk coefficients to low doses.

63. The Committee reviewed the following: modern knowledge of developmental events, particularly in the brain of mammalian embryos and fetuses; recent data on effects induced by irradiation of animals in utero; and findings concerning children exposed to radiation in the mothers' womb during the atomic bombings at Hiroshima and Nagasaki. These findings and a large body of older data were used to derive quantitative estimates of risk for a number of radiation effects in utero, such as the induction of death, malformations, severe mental retardation and cancer. For the small doses and dose rates of radiation likely to be encountered in practice, the risk is judged to be small in comparison with the natural incidence of congenital anomalies in non-irradiated individuals.

64. The consequences of pre-natal radiation exposure have attracted much attention since the last review of this subject by the Committee in 1977. New information from experimental animals irradiated in utero, recent findings of human embryology (particularly in the central nervous system) and a review of dosimetric and clinical data on children exposed before birth during the atomic explosions at Hiroshima and Nagasaki called for a new study of this subject. There was also a need for a re-assessment of effects such as the induction of malignancies following irradiation in utero, which had not been covered in depth in the 1977 report.

65. The Committee had already identified and described the main consequences of pre-natal exposure in mammals and had roughly classified them as follows: (a) lethal effects induced by relatively small doses before or immediately after implantation of the embryo into the uterine wall or induced after increasingly higher doses during all Stages of intra-uterine development, to be expressed either before or after birth, (b) malformations characteristic of the period of major organogenesis when the main body structures are formed and especially of the most active phase of cell multiplication in the relevant structures; (c) growth disturbances without malformations induced at all stages of development, but particularly in the latter part of pregnancy; and (d) miscellaneous effects on various body structures and functions. The Committee had concluded, on the basis of considerable experimental evidence available at that time, that killing of cells, mainly through the induction of chromosomal aberrations, was the common mechanism underlying all these effects; any differences were particularly related to the time during development when the radiation insult was applied.

66. It should be realized that congenital anomalies arise in all animal species even in the absence of any radiation beyond that received from natural sources. Human malformations may be classified according to their cause into: those that can be traced back to the mutation of single genes (representing about 6 per cent of all malformations scored at birth); those originating from the incorrect interplay of numerous genetic factors (about 50 per cent); those due to the presence of chromosomal anomalies (about 5 per cent); and those caused by some known environmental teratologic agents (about 6 per cent). There is no apparent cause for about one third of all malformations. The incidence of congenital anomalies depends to a large extent on the time at which they are scored. If a level of about 6 per cent incidence of malformed babies at birth (birth prevalence) is taken as an average value for the human species, a higher value applies to embryos and fetuses before birth, because the malformed new-borns are only the carriers of the relatively milder forms, which are compatible with life. Some

malformations ~~disappear~~ after birth, although more ~~become~~ evident that are not scored at birth. --~~us~~, the global incidence of malformations roughly doubles if grown-up children, ~~rather~~ than new-born babies, are examined. The global incidence figures are, ~~however~~, highly dependent on a large variety of factors and so are the figures ~~pertaining~~ to the various classes of malformations. Any assessment of the radiation's effectiveness in inducing damage in utero must be viewed against this natural level of inborn defects and its variable expression.

67. The Committee reviewed much information derived ~~from~~ human specimens and experiments in ~~non-human primates~~, establishing to an increasing degree of detail and precision the developmental events that are important ~~for~~ their **radiobiological consequences**. Morphological embryology is gradually providing an accurate description of the **stages** in embryonic human growth, in good agreement ~~with~~ the results of ~~non-invasive~~ clinical measurements. The newest findings are increasingly ~~pointing~~ to the cerebral cortex as an extremely sensitive structure in human development, particularly (but not exclusively) in early pee-natal life, from the eighth to the ~~Ekfteenth~~ week ~~after~~ fertilization. At the same time, the microscopic study of the brain cortex is providing a very detailed picture of the cellular events leading to the formation of this structure as development proceeds. Such morphological analyses are integrated by biochemical studies, which help to provide an overall description of the structure and function of the developing brain.

68. These studies show the formation of the cerebral cortex as a carefully programmed and **unique** sequence of events in which cell division, migration and maturation are taking place concomitantly. Numerical, spatial and temporal relationships between various types of cells must be maintained with a high degree of precision in order for the brain **cortex** to be correctly assembled and its function normally developed. Disruption of this programme of cellular and tissue phenomena **by** radiation, coupled ~~with~~ the limited capacity for repair of **neurons**, the functional brain cells, may cause irreversible damage. Whether radiation impinges on the reproductive capacity of the primitive brain cells, interferes with the orderly migration to their ultimate position in the cortex or inhibits **establishment** of the appropriate cellular connection, the net result of the radiation insult ~~is~~ manifested in a ~~loss~~ of cerebral, particularly mental, **function**. This is the picture, albeit very schematic, ~~emerging~~ from the available data. However, the morphological and functional **complexity** of the developing brain cortex defies any simple interpretation of radiation effects, on the basis of the criteria applying to other self-renewing tissues of the body.

69. Pee-natal development of mammals in utero may be roughly divided into three periods; the pee-implantation, extending from **fertilization** to settling of the embryo into the uterine **wall**; the major organogenesis, characterized by the formation of the main body structures) and the fetal period, during which growth of the structures already formed **takes** place. There is a very large variability in the relative duration of these periods between animal species, as well as in the total duration of intra-uterine life. Also, at any given **stage** of development, the state of differentiation or maturation of any one structure, with respect to all the others, varies considerably in different species.

70. There have been no new findings in humans on the effects of radiation during the pee-implantation phase, owing presumably to the difficulties of obtaining information during this stage. In animals, however, many new data have been produced by analyses in vitro and in vivo. These data have mainly confirmed the

special sensitivity of the pre-implantation embryo to killing and a **decreasing** sensitivity with **increasing** developmental complexity, with ample oscillations of the responses **as a function of** time, particularly during the **earliest** phases of embryonic **development**. In the rodent, doses on the order of one tenth of a gray **or less** have been reported to increase mortality significantly for irradiation during pre-implantation.

71. For irradiation during the phase of major organogenesis, new data on experimental animals have added details to the previously known **picture** but have not substantially altered its main **features**. At this **stage**, malformative effects **emerge** as the most prominent **consequences** of irradiation, sometimes accompanied by growth **disturbances** of various structures or of the whole body. The presence of maximum sensitivity periods at the **time** of the main **differentiation** of the various structures results in a marked time dependence for the appearance **of** various **types** of malformation. **Some** malformations, particularly those of the skeleton, have been very well studied as a function of dose, **generally** confirming a curvilinear **trend**; **other**s, especially those of the central nervous system, have been carefully analysed in terms **Of** cellular events and reactions leading to **their** formation.

72. Contrary to what **is** observed in **experimental** animals, radiation-induced malformations of body structures other than the central nervous **system** are uncommon in humans. The Committee has discussed the **reasons** for such a **difference**. **Beyond** any explanation, **however**, the discrepancies between **different** species must be taken as a warning against indiscriminate attempts to **project** findings **across** **species** without due consideration of the embryological characteristics of each **species**; short of this, any extrapolations, particularly the quantitative ones, would be unwarranted.

73. **Radiation-induced** damage to the central nervous system **in human** is first observed at the conventionally assumed **end** of organogenesis (eight weeks **post-fertilization**) and extends well into the fetal period (up to 25 weeks). A re-examination of the **dosimetric** and clinical findings in individuals irradiated **in utero** at the time of the atomic explosion in Japan **has** allowed an important step forward to be made in the analysis of effects and the establishment of a risk estimate in man. At the same time, morphological and biochemical studies on human samples have established a clear-cut correlation between the **time** of maximum sensitivity of the brain structure and the time of most intense division and **migration** of the **neurons** in the brain cortex, thus extending to man a concept found to be valid for experimental animals.

74. A study of about 1,600 children exposed **in utero** at Hiroshima and Nagasaki to various doses and at various developmental stages has confirmed that about 30 of them have shown clinically severe mental retardation, an incidence **far** higher than **would normally** be expected. When the occurrence of this condition was **studied** as a function of developmental stage at the time of the **bombing**, it was found that **mental** retardation was not observed before 8 weeks from conception, **was a** maximum between 8 and 15 weeks when **neuronal** proliferation in the cortex **is** most active, and then was somewhat lower between 16 and 25 weeks when the supporting tissues in the brain develop and connections between **neuronal** cells are **established**. The **incidence** of mental retardation **as a function of dose** **is** reported to **be** apparently linear without **threshold** at 8 to 15 weeks, with a risk coefficient of 0.4 per gray. The incidence **is** about four **times** lower at 16 to 25 weeks. There **is** an indication that, in addition to these extreme mental handicaps, other **less** prominent functional brain deficits might be present in children irradiated

in utero, and it is expected that this cohort will eventually yield more useful **information**. While some aspects of these findings may not yet be explained on available radiobiological knowledge, there is no doubt as to their overall interest, particularly with respect to the quantification of the attendant **risk**.

75. A **variety** of effects have been documented in the experimental animal **following** irradiation during the fetal stages, including effects on the haemopoietic **system**, the liver and the kidney, all occurring, however, after fairly high radiation **doses**. The effects on the developing gonads have been particularly well documented, both morphologically and functionally. There **appears** to be at present little correspondence between the cellular and functional damage as a function of dose, but doses of a few tenths of a **gray** as a minimum **are** necessary **to** produce fertility changes in **various animal** species.

76. Data on effects in utero following the uptake of radioactive substances by the mother and their passage to the developing fetus **are very** fragmentary, particularly in view of the **many** variables that may influence the dose eventually delivered to the conceptus. Among the most important variables the following should be mentioned: the **physical** and chemical characteristics of the **radionuclides**; the route and **schedule** of **administration**; and the kinetics of transfer and metabolism in the mother, through the placenta and into the fetus. Only for some nuclides of practical importance (cesium, plutonium and iodine) is the amount of information slightly more extensive, but there is clearly a need to enlarge the data base in a **systematic** way to other nuclides and to investigate an adequate range of concentrations and tissue doses.

77. A number of physical and chemical factors have been reported that appear to modify the response of the developing mammals, but **here** again the information is insufficient for broad **generalizations**. Among the physical factors, both the type and energy of the radiation, with values of the relative biological effectiveness (**RBE**) on the order of five for neutrons at intermediate doses, have been examined in **some** detail. Fractionation and protraction of the dose have **also** been investigated for both sparsely and **densely ionizing** radiations and have consistently produced a reduced effect in comparison with singly **administered** doses. The picture emerging from these data **is** sketchy, however, and leaves conspicuous gaps in our knowledge. Among the chemical factors, oxygen and a variety of radio-protective and **radio-sensitizing** drugs have been proved (at least qualitatively) to have modifying effects in **developing** tissues similar to **those** seen in adult **tissues**. There have **also** been some **scattered** results from combined treatments of radiation with other agents, although much more systematic work **could** be **required** to **substantiate** some claims, particularly those of synergistically active treatments.

78. The Committee has reviewed in some depth the data available on the induction of tumours in animals irradiated pre-natally in an attempt to compare their susceptibility with that of animals irradiated after birth. Such **comparisons** are rendered particularly difficult, however, owing to variations in species, strain and sex, the lack of extended **time-** and **dose-response** analyses, and the interplay of various biological end-points. In the Committee's opinion, the available evidence **fails** to substantiate the existence of a higher susceptibility to radiation-induced carcinogenesis of animals in utero and points, on the contrary, to a **lower** susceptibility. Differences in tumour types arising **in animals** irradiated before or after birth are probably the most consistent **finding** in the **work analysed**, a finding that **is** not unexpected in view of the different developmental stages of the animals at irradiation.

79. In humans, evidence on tumour induction by pre-natal irradiation comes essentially from two major **sources**: **firstly**, children that survived in utero irradiation at Hiroshima and Nagasaki and that have continued to show no evidence of excess cancer **in the studies** conducted so far; **secondly**, two large retrospective studies of children exposed in utero for medical reasons. The latter group of **children** has **consistently** shown an excess of tumour and leukaemia cases over the first 10 to 15 years of their post-natal life to a level roughly 50 per cent above the natural incidence for the low (but not very well **known**) doses involved. Correction of the data for a number of social and medical factors that might have distorted the association between irradiation and incidence of tumours in those children was insufficient to cancel the correlation entirely. The Committee has **reviewed and discussed several inconsistencies** between the experimental and the human findings, as well as between the epidemiological findings themselves.

80. Beyond the existence of the association itself, which appears to be sufficiently well established, the most **significant issue** in this **respect** concerns the causality of the pre-natal radiation treatment in increasing the **post-natal** incidence of leukaemia and cancer. The Committee believes that the important consideration in these matters is the existence of the association. Denying the **causal relationships** on the basis of the **overall** inconsistency of the experimental and epidemiological findings would mean **emphasizing scientific** considerations over the practical need of allowing for any possible risk. The Committee has therefore decided to accept provisionally the causal nature of the association for practical purposes, while **emphasizing** that this **is** simply on account of prudence and not on any firmly established scientific **grounds**.

81. At the end of its **review**, the **Committee** attempted to derive quantitative risk estimates for a number of radiation-induced **effects in utero** (mortality, induction of malformations, mental retardation, tumours and leukaemia) and to attribute the **risk** to the periods of pregnancy over which it applies. Under a **number** of qualifying assumptions, it **is** possible to conclude that for the **small doses** likely to be encountered in practice the overall risk **is** relatively small (no more than 0.002 for the live-born at 0.01 **gray**) in relation to the natural incidence of **malformations** in non-irradiated individuals, which is on the order of 0.06 in the human species.

APPENDIX I

List of experts attending the thirty-first to the thirty-fifth sessions of the Committee on official representatives or members of national delegations

ARGENTINA

D. **Beninson** (Representative), D. **Cancio**, A. J. Gonzalez

AUSTRALIA

K. H. Lokan (Representative)

BELGIUM

M. **Errera** (Representative), J. **Maisin** (Representative), J. B. T. **Aten**,
F. H. **Sobels**, A. D. **Tates**

BRAZIL

L. R. **Caldas** (Representative), E. **Penna Franca** (Representative)

CANADA

E. G. Letourneau (Representative), A. M. **Marko** (Representative), W. R. **Bush**,
G. C. **Butler**, D. K. **Myers**

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

M. **Klímek** (Representative)

EGYPT

S. El-Din **Hashish** (Representative), M. El-Kharadly

FRANCE

H. **Jammet** (Representative), P. **Pellerin**, A. **Bouville**, R. **Coulon**, B. **Dutrillaux**,
J. **Lafuma**, R. **Masse**

GERMANY, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF

A. **Kaul** (Representative), F. E. **Stieve**, U. **Ehling**, W. **Jacobi**, H. **Kriegel**,
C. **Streffer**

INDIA

K. **Sundaram** (Representative)

INDONESIA

A. Balduni (Representative), M. Ridwan (Representative), O. Iskandar (Representative)

JAPAN

T. Kumatori (Representative), J. Inaba, R. Ichikawa, Y. Kameyama, A. Kasai, A. Yamato

MEXICO

J. R. Ortiz Magaña (Representative)

PERU

M. Zahar is (Representative), L. V. Pinilloe Ashton (Representative)

POLAND

Z. J. Worowski (Representative)

SUDAN

A. Hidaystalla (Representative), A. A. Yousif

SWEDEN

B. Lindell (Representative), K. Edvarson, L.-E. Holm, R. G. Luning, S. Mattsson, J. O. Snih, J. Valentin, G. Walinder

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

L. A. Ilyin (Representative), A. Guskova (Representative), E. Goluhkin, D. F. Khokhlova, A. A. Moiseev, Yu. I. Moskalev, V. Pavlinov, O. Pavlovsky, V. V. Redkin, V. A. Shevchenko

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

J. Dunster (Representative), J. H. Edwards, K. E. Halnan, P. S. Harper, A. Searle

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

R. D. Moseley (Representative), R. E. Anderson, R. Baker, C. Edington, J. H. Harley, H. H. Rossi, W. L. Russell, P. B. Selhy, W. K. Sinclair, J. W. Thiessen, H. O. Wyckoff

APPENDIX I I

List of scientific staff and consultants who have co-operated
with the Committee in the preparation of the report

A. Czeizel

A.M. Kellerer

J. Liniecki

K. Ssnksranacayanan

G. Silini

F. D. **Sowby**

APPENDIX III

List of reports received by the Committee

1. Listed **below** are reports received by the Committee from Governments between 11 November 1982 and 14 April 1986.

2. Reports received by the Committee before 11 November 1982 were listed in **earlier reports** of the Committee to the General Assembly.

Document No.	Country	Title
A/AC.82/G/L. 1673	Czechoslovakia	The values of strontium-90 concentration in vertebrae , 11 November 1982
1674	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Ingestion of global strontium-90 and caesium-137 with the food ration of the population of the Soviet Union 1976-1979, 11 November 1982
1675	Germany , Federal Republic of	Environmental radioactivity and radiation levels - annual report 1979, 11 November 1982
1676	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Radioactive fallout in air and rain: results to the end of 1981, 11 November 1982
1677	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Environmental radioactivity surveillance programme: results for the UK for 1981, 11 November 1982
1678	Switzerland	25th report of the Federal Commission on radioactivity for the year 1981, 15 November 1982
1679	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Combined effects of radionuclides and external irradiation on the organism of rats, 23 November 1982
1680	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Studies on the radiation health in the Russian Soviet Socialist Republic (RSFSR) following the stratospheric fallout of strontium-90 and caesium-137 1963-1978, 23 November 1982
1681	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Calculations of microdosimetry characteristics for heavy charged particles with energy levels of 2-10 MeV/nucleon , 23 November 1982

Document No.	Country	Title
1682	Czechoslovakia	The values of stable strontium in vertebrae, femoral diaphyses, and their ratio in different age groups (1970-1973) , 26 November 1982
1683	Germany, Federal Republic of	Environmental radioactivity and radiation levels - annual report 1980, 14 February 1983
1684	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Combined effects of radiation and chemical factor 8 , 13 April 1983
1685	France	Surveillance de la radioactivité en 1981 , 27 June 1983
1686	Belgium	Radioactivity measured at Mol 1980, 27 June 1983
1687	United States of America	Environmental Measurements Laboratory: environment81 report, 1 May 1982, 27 September 1983
1688	United States of America	Environmental Measurements Laboratory: Worldwide deposition of strontium-90 through 1981, 27 September 1983
1689	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Radioactive fallout in air and rain: results to the end of 1982, 27 September 1983
1690	New Zealand	Environmental Radioactivity Annual Report 1982, 11 November 1983
1691	Czechoslovakia	Lung cancer in exposed human populations and dose-effect relationship - July 1983, 29 February 1984
1692	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Environmental radioactivity surveillance programme: results for the UK for 1982, 29 February 1984
1693	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Brief results of a study into the combined effect of ionising radiation and other environmental factors in the Ukrainian SSR , 12 March 1984

Document No.	Country	Title
1694	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Relative biological effectiveness of protons and heavy ions, 12 March 1984
1695	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Study of the vertical migrations of radio- nuclides in the bottom deposits and soil of a body of water with no through current, 12 March 1984
1696	United States of America	Environmental Measurements Laboratory: Graphic presentation of strontium-90 fallout data 1954-1982 , 19 Watch 1984
1697	Switzerland	26th report of the Federal Commiselon on radioactivity for the year 1982, 26 April 1984
1698	France	Surveillance de la radioactivité en 1982, 30 April 1984
1699	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Mechanisms of the competitive effect of iron on the exchange processes of plutonium-239 in the organism, 31 May 1984
1700	New Zealand	Environmental Radioactivity Annual Report 1983, 27 September 1984
1701	United States of America	Strontium-90 in the U.S. Diet, 1982, 5 October 1984
1702	United States of America	Worldwide deposition of strontium-90 through 1982, 5 October 1984
1703	Japan	Radioactivity Survey Data in Japan, number 65, June 1983, 6 December 1984
1704	Switzerland	27th report of the Federal Commission on radioactivity for the year 1983 , 11 January 1985
1705	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Environmental radioactivity surveillance programme: results for the UK for 1983, 25 January 1985

Document No.	Country	Title
1706	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	The radiation exposure of the UK population - 1984 review, 6 March 1985
1707	United States of America	The high altitude sampling program: radioactivity in the stratosphere, 6 March 1985
1708	Germany, Federal Republic of	Environmental radioactivity and radiation levels in the years 1981/82 , 6 March 1985
1709	United States of America	Strontium-90 in the human bone in the US, 1982, 6 March 1985
1710	United States of America	Annual report of the surface air sampling program (EML-440), 24 June 1985
1711	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Radioactive fallout in air and rain: cesul ta to the end of 1983, 24 June 1985
1712	Japan	Radioactivity Survey Data in Japan, number 68, March 1984, 24 June 1985
1713	Japan	Radioactivity Survey Data in Japan, number 69, June 1984, 24 June 1985
1714	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Radiation doses of workers using radio-isotope devices in industry, 2 July 1985
1715	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Justification of assessments of the carcinogenic risk associated with low-dose radiation, 2 July 1985
1716	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Assessment of the possibility of using an iron . preparation for optimal monitoring of the plutonium-239 content in the human body , 2 July 1985
1717	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Radiation loads from pharmaceutica ' preparations marked by radioactive iodine isotopes, 2 July 1985

Document No.	Country	Title
1718	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	The effect of differences in the radio-sensitivity of cells in certain persons on the accuracy of the extrapolation of dose ratios to low-dose values, 2 July 1985
1719	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Quantitative evaluation of the diagnostic informativeness of the test for the absorption of radioiodine by the thyroid gland in various forms of thyroidal pathology, 2 July 1985
1720	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Radiation exposure of the population of the USSR during 1981-1982 as a result of the use of ionizing radiation sources for medical diagnostic purposes, 2 July 1985
1721	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Site approach in the simulation of survival curves as a function of radiation quality , 2 July 1985
1722	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	On the assessment of the effect of incorporated radionuclides and external radiation on the basis of non-stochastic effects, 2 July 1985
1723	New Zealand	Environmental Radioactivity , Annual Report 1984, 15 July 1985
1724	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	The influence of non-radiation factors on the kinetics of radioactive iodine metabolism in the thyroid, 22 August 1985
1725	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics	Some problems of biological effects under the combined action of nitrogen oxide , their metabolites and radiation, 22 August 1985
1726	United States of America	Occupational exposure to ionizing radiation in the United States - a comprehensive review for the year 1980 and a summary of trends for the years 1960-1985 , 29 August 1985

Document No.	Country	Title
1727	United States of America	Environmental Measurements Laboratory: Worldwide deposition of strontium-90 through 1983, 4 November 1985
1728	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Radioactive fallout in air and rain: results to the end of 1984, 4 February 1986
1729	Switzerland	28th report of the Federal Commission on radioactivity for the year 1984, 27 March 1986
1730	Japan	Radioactivity Survey Data in Japan, number 70 , September 1984, 27 March 1986
1731	Japan	Radioactivity Survey Data in Japan, number 71 , December 1984. 27 March 1986

كيفية الحصول على منشورات الأمم المتحدة

يمكن الحصول على منشورات الأمم المتحدة من المكتبات، دور البيع وجميع أنحاء العالم. استعلم منها من المكتبة التي تعامل معها أو اكتب إلى الأمم المتحدة، قسم البيع في نيويورك أو جنيف.

如何购取联合国出版物

联合国出版物在全世界各地的书店和经售处均有发售。请向书店、商号或写信到纽约或日内瓦的联合国销售组。

HOW TO OBTAIN UNITED NATIONS PUBLICATIONS

United Nations publications may be obtained from bookstores and distributors throughout the world. Consult your bookstore or write to: United Nations, Sales Section, New York or Geneva.

COMMENT SE PROCURER LES PUBLICATIONS DES NATIONS UNIES

Les publications des Nations Unies sont en vente dans les librairies et les agences dépositaires du monde entier. Informez vous auprès de votre libraire ou adressez vous à : Nations Unies, Section des ventes, New York ou Genève.

КАК ПОЛУЧИТЬ ИЗДАНИЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫХ НАЦИЙ

Издания Организации Объединенных Наций можно купить в книжных магазинах и агентствах во всех районах мира. Наведите справки об изданиях в вашем книжном магазине или пишите по адресу: Организация Объединенных Наций, Секция по продаже изданий, Нью Йорк или Женева.

CÓMO CONSEGUIR PUBLICACIONES DE LAS NACIONES UNIDAS

Las publicaciones de las Naciones Unidas están en venta en librerías y casas distribidoras en todas partes del mundo. Consulte a su librero o diríjase a: Naciones Unidas, Sección de Ventas, Nueva York o Ginebra.
