



附属科学技术咨询机构

第四十一届会议

2014 年 12 月 1 日至 6 日，利马

议程项目 10 (a)

《公约》之下的方法学问题

关于修订包括国家清单审评在内的发达国家缔约方
两年期报告和国家信息通报审评指南的工作方案

关于修订包括国家清单审评在内的发达国家缔约方两年期 报告和国家信息通报审评指南的工作方案

主席提出的结论草案

1. 附属科学技术咨询机构(科技咨询机构)继续讨论了科技咨询机构第三十七届会议商定的关于修订包括国家清单审评在内的发达国家缔约方两年期报告和国家信息通报审评指南的工作方案(下文称工作方案)。¹
2. 科技咨询机构回顾了第 23/CP.19 号决定及其附件，其中载有对《公约》附件一所列缔约方根据《公约》就温室气体清单，两年期报告和国家信息通报提交的信息的技术审评指南，其中包括一个留空段落，以便载入对《公约》附件一所列缔约方温室气体清单的技术审评提出的《气候变化公约》指南(下文称为《气候变化公约》附件一清单审评指南)。
3. 在讨论《气候变化公约》附件一清单审评指南时，科技咨询机构审议了各缔约方²根据其邀请提交的意见，³秘书处在纳入对这一邀请的回复意见后编写的这些指南的最新订正草案⁴，科技咨询机构还审议了在工作方案内举行的技术讲习

¹ FCCC/SBSTA/2012/5, 第 74-85 段。

² 见<<http://unfccc.int/5901.php>>。

³ FCCC/SBSTA/2014/2, 第 112 段。

⁴ FCCC/SBSTA/2012/INF.14。

班的报告⁵，其中包括 2014 年 11 月 4 日至 6 日在德国波恩举行的这一讲习班⁶与会者提出的《气候变化公约》附件一清单审评指南的订正草案。

4. 科技咨询机构对这一议程分项目内的《气候变化公约》附件一清单审评指南进行审议的工作取得进展，但没有完成。科技咨询机构同意将附件一所载的决定草案的文本提交缔约方会议第二十届会议审议。

5. 科技咨询机构注意到文件 FCCC/SBSTA/2014/INF.12 中所载的信息，这些信息介绍了对《公约》附件一所列缔约方(附件一缔约方)的两年期报告和国家信息通报进行技术审评的审评专家培训方案的情况。

6. 科技咨询机构认为对附件一缔约方的两年期报告和国家信息通报进行技术审评的审评专家培训方案具有重要意义。科技咨询机构请秘书处从 2015 年开始为参加审评的审评专家提供培训方案的网上培训教程。

7. 科技咨询机构对附件一缔约方两年期报告和国家信息通报技术审评的审评专家培训方案的讨论工作有所进展但未能完成。科技咨询机构同意向缔约方会议提交附件二所载的决定草案供缔约方会议第二十届会议审议。

8. 科技咨询机构注意到文件 FCCC/SBSTA/2014/INF.19 中所载的信息，这些信息介绍了对附件一缔约方的温室气体清单进行技术审评的审评专家培训方案的情况。

9. 科技咨询机构认为，为附件一缔约方的温室气体清单进行技术审评的审评专家实施培训方案具有重要意义，请秘书处从 2015 年开始为参加这些审评工作的审评专家提供培训方案的网上培训教程。

10. 科技咨询机构同意在科技咨询机构第四十四届会议(2016 年 5 月)上评价培训方案的成果，并向缔约方会议第二十二届会议(2016 年 11 月至 12 月)提出进一步发展和改进为附件一缔约方温室气体清单进行技术审评的审评专家培训方案的意见。

11. 科技咨询机构对附件一缔约方温室气体清单进行技术审评的审评专家培训方案的讨论取得进展，但未能完成。科技咨询机构同意向缔约方会议提交附件三所载的决定草案文本，供缔约方会议第二十届会议审议。

⁵ FCCC/SBSTA/2012/INF.22。

⁶ FCCC/SBSTA/2014/INF.21。

Annex I

[English only]

[Draft decision -/CP.20

Revision of the guidelines for the review of biennial reports and national communications, including national inventory reviews, for developed country Parties

The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling the relevant provisions of the Convention, in particular its Articles 4 and 12, and decisions 2/CP.1, 9/CP.2, 6/CP.3, 6/CP.5, 33/CP.7, 19/CP.8, 2/CP.9, 18/CP.10, 1/CP.13, 1/CP.16, 2/CP.17, 23/CP.19 and 24/CP.19,

Noting the work programme established in decision 2/CP.17 for the revision of the guidelines for the review of biennial reports and national communications, including national inventory reviews,

Also noting that, while the revision of the review guidelines for national communications and biennial reports was completed at the nineteenth session of the Conference of the Parties, the revision of the review guidelines for greenhouse gas inventories was to be completed by the twentieth session of the Conference of the Parties,

Recognizing the improvements that Parties included in Annex I to the Convention have made in providing complete and timely annual greenhouse gas inventories,

Having considered the experience in the review of information submitted by Annex I Parties to date and the need to have a cost-effective, efficient and practical review process that does not impose an excessive burden on Parties, experts or the secretariat,

Having also considered the relevant recommendations of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice,

1. *Decides to revise* the “Guidelines for the technical review of information reported under the Convention related to greenhouse gas inventories, biennial reports and national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention”, adopted by decision 23/CP.19 (hereinafter referred to as the review guidelines), to make the necessary changes to include “Part III: UNFCCC Guidelines for the technical review of greenhouse gas inventories from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention”;

2. *Also decides* that the review guidelines contained in the [annex][appendix] shall be used for the review of biennial reports, national communications and greenhouse gas inventories, effective immediately, until the Conference of the Parties adopts a subsequent decision on the use of further revised review guidelines;

3. *Requests* the secretariat to conduct individual reviews, subject to the availability of financial resources, by coordinating specifically:

(a) The in-country review of up to [xx] inventories per year;

(b) Desk and centralized reviews, in the organization of which the secretariat should strive to undertake a desk review for a given Party no more frequently than once every three years;

4. *Also requests* the secretariat to select a group of experienced review experts from among the lead reviewers of the greenhouse gas inventories, which, every five years, should explore additional standardized checks and consider whether the checks implemented remain useful over time, for consideration at the following meeting of lead reviewers;
5. *Further requests* the secretariat, in view of the revision of the UNFCCC guidelines for the technical review of greenhouse gas inventories from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, to modify the relevant information technology tools, as needed, to support the implementation of the review process, recognizing that the modification of those tools will require time and effort and that the implementation of the modifications will need to take place during the 2015–2016 review cycle;
6. *Requests* the secretariat to prepare annually a report summarizing information on greenhouse gas inventory data submitted by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention for consideration by the Conference of the Parties and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation;
7. *Recognizes* that the deadline, as set out in paragraph 5 of decision 24/CP.19, for providing the upgraded CRF Reporter to Annex I Parties, enabling them to submit the greenhouse inventories, was not met;
8. *Notes* that the current software version of the CRF Reporter is not functioning¹ in order to enable Annex I Parties to submit CRF tables for the year 2015;
9. *Reiterates* that Annex I Parties in 2015 may submit the CRF tables after 15 April, but no longer than the corresponding delay in the CRF Reporter availability;
10. *Urges* Annex I Parties to submit the information referenced in paragraph 9 above as soon as practically possible.

¹ Functioning software means that the data on GHG emissions/removals are reported accurately both in terms of CRF tables and xml format.

Appendix

**** working document****

Guidelines for the technical review of information reported under the Convention related to greenhouse gas inventories, biennial reports and national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention¹

<<latest version of the guidelines will be inserted here>>

PART I: STRUCTURE OF THE REVIEW GUIDELINES

1. The UNFCCC guidelines for review of annual inventories are composed of Part II and Part III of these guidelines.
2. The UNFCCC guidelines for review of biennial reports are composed of Part II and Part IV of these guidelines.
3. The UNFCCC guidelines for review of national communications are composed of Part II and Part V of these guidelines.

PART II: GENERAL APPROACH TO THE REVIEW

A. Applicability

4. Information provided by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention (Annex I Parties) in their greenhouse gas (GHG) inventories, biennial reports (BRs) and national communications (NCs) will be subject to reviews pursuant to relevant decisions of the Conference of the Parties (COP), in accordance with the provisions of these guidelines.

B. Objectives

<<19/cp.8, para. 1>> The objective of these guidelines is to promote consistency in the review of annual greenhouse gas (GHG) inventories of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention (Annex I Parties) and to establish a process for a thorough and comprehensive technical assessment of national inventories.

5. The objectives of the review of information reported under the Convention related to GHG inventories, BRs and NCs and pursuant to relevant decisions of the COP are the following:

(a) To provide, in a facilitative, non-confrontational, open and transparent manner, a thorough, objective and comprehensive technical review of all aspects of the implementation of the Convention by individual Annex I Parties and Annex I Parties as a whole;

(b) To promote the provision of consistent, transparent, comparable, accurate and complete information by Annex I Parties;

¹ Secretariat note: paragraphs 1–57 and 121–148 were agreed by the Conference of the Parties by decision 23/CP.19. The corresponding paragraphs in the annex to that decision are 1–57 and 58–85.

(c) To assist Annex I Parties in improving their reporting of information contained in GHG inventories, BRs and NCs and pursuant to other relevant decisions of the COP and the implementation of their commitments under the Convention;

(d) To ensure that the COP has accurate, consistent and relevant information in order to review the implementation of the Convention.

6. The objectives of the review guidelines are to promote consistency, comparability and transparency in the review of information reported under the Convention related to GHG inventories, BRs and NCs.

C. General approach

7. The provisions of these guidelines will apply to the review of information reported under the Convention related to GHG inventories, BRs and NCs and pursuant to relevant decisions of the COP.

8. Specific provisions for the review of GHG inventories, NCs and BRs are included in specific parts of these review guidelines.

9. The same information submitted by an Annex I Party in its BR, NC and GHG inventory will be reviewed only once, by an expert review team (ERT).

10. The ERTs shall provide a thorough and comprehensive technical review of all aspects of the implementation of the Convention by Annex I Parties and shall identify any potential issues referred to in paragraphs 88-82_(inventory section), ~~124-114_~~ and ~~138-128~~ below. The ERTs shall conduct technical reviews to provide information expeditiously to the COP in accordance with the procedures detailed in these guidelines.

11. At any stage in the review process, the ERTs may put questions to, or request additional or clarifying information from, the Annex I Parties under review regarding identified issues. The ERTs should offer suggestions and advice to those Annex I Parties on how to resolve such issues, taking into account the national circumstances of the Party under review. The ERTs shall also provide technical advice to the COP or the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI), upon request.

12. The Annex I Parties under review should provide the ERTs with access to the information necessary to substantiate and clarify the implementation of their commitments under the Convention, in accordance with the relevant reporting guidelines adopted by the COP, and, during in-country visits, should also provide appropriate working facilities. The Parties should make every reasonable effort to respond to all questions and requests of the ERTs for additional clarifying information.

Confidentiality

13. In response to a request from the ERT for additional data or information, or access to data used in the preparation of the GHG inventory, BR and NC reports, an Annex I Party may indicate whether such information or data are confidential. In such a case, the Party should provide the basis for protecting such information, including any domestic law, and, upon receipt of assurance that the data will be maintained as confidential by the ERT, will submit the confidential data in accordance with domestic law and in a manner that allows the ERT access to sufficient information or data for the assessment of the implementation of the commitments under the Convention by Annex I Parties and the conformity with the relevant methodological guidance as agreed by the COP. Any confidential information or data submitted by a Party in accordance with this paragraph shall be maintained as confidential by the ERT, in accordance with any decisions on this matter adopted by the COP.

14. An ERT member's obligation not to disclose confidential information and data submitted by a Party in accordance with paragraph 13 above shall continue after the termination of his or her service on the ERT.

D. Timing and procedures

I. Review of greenhouse gas inventories²

15. Each GHG inventory submitted under the Convention by an Annex I Party will be subject to review, in accordance with part II and part III of these guidelines.

II. Review of biennial reports

16. Each BR submitted under the Convention by an Annex I Party will be subject to a review by an ERT, in accordance with part II and part IV of these guidelines.

17. The ERTs shall make every effort to complete the individual review of BRs within 15 months of the due date of their submission for each Annex I Party.

18. In the years when NCs and BRs are submitted together, both the NC and BR will be subject to an in-country review.

19. In the years when the BR is not reported in conjunction with the NC, the BR shall be subject to a centralized review. However, the ERT, based on the findings of the review,³ can recommend that the next review be an in-country review and, upon a Party's request, the secretariat shall organize an in-country review for that Party.

20. The secretariat, where appropriate, may consider other UNFCCC review processes when coordinating BR and NC reviews, in particular with a view to addressing the need to improve the cost-effectiveness of the review process and national circumstances.

III. Review of national communications

21. The ERTs shall make every effort to complete the individual review of NCs within 15 months of the due date of their submission for each Annex I Party.

22. Each NC submitted under the Convention by an Annex I Party shall be subject to a scheduled in-country review by an ERT, in accordance with part II and part V of these guidelines.

23. The secretariat, where appropriate, shall consider other UNFCCC review processes when coordinating BR and NC reviews, in particular with a view to addressing the need to improve the cost-effectiveness of the review process and national circumstances.

E. Expert review teams and institutional arrangements

I. Expert review teams

<<19/cp8, para. 24>> Each GHG inventory submission will be assigned to a single expert review team that will be responsible for performing the review in accordance with the procedures and time frames established in these guidelines. A submission by an Annex I Party will not be reviewed in two successive years by expert review teams with an identical composition.

² Placeholder for paragraphs 58–107 on the UNFCCC guidelines for review of annual inventories.

³ The findings from the ERT are related to issues indicated in paragraph 114.

24. Each submission of information reported under the Convention related to GHG inventories, BRs and NCs and pursuant to relevant decisions of the COP shall be assigned to a single ERT, which shall be responsible for performing the review thereof in accordance with the procedures and time frames established in these guidelines. The submissions of an Annex I Party shall not be reviewed in two successive reviews by an ERT with identical composition.

<<19/cp8, para. 25>> Each expert review team will provide a thorough and comprehensive technical assessment of the GHG information submitted and will, under its collective responsibility, prepare a review report in accordance with the provisions of these guidelines.

25. Each ERT shall provide a thorough and comprehensive technical review of the information reported under the Convention related to GHG inventories, BRs and NCs and pursuant to relevant decisions of the COP and shall, under its collective responsibility, prepare a review report, assessing the implementation of the commitments of the Annex I Party and identifying any potential issues referred to in paragraphs 82 (GHG inventory section), 114 and 128 below. The ERTs shall refrain from making any political judgement.

<<19/cp8, para. 26>> Expert review teams will be coordinated by the secretariat which will provide administrative support, and, as appropriate, technical and methodological assistance and assistance in the use of the reporting guidelines, and these review guidelines

<<19/cp9, para. 32>> Expert review teams may vary in size and composition, taking into account the national circumstances of the Party under review and the different expertise needs.

In general, the normal size of the expert review teams should be:

(a) Six experts for in-country visits (one expert per inventory sector plus one generalist);

(b) Twelve experts for desk and centralized reviews (two experts per inventory sector plus two generalists).

26. The ERTs shall be coordinated by the secretariat and shall be composed of experts selected on an ad hoc basis from the UNFCCC roster of experts and shall include lead reviewers. The ERTs formed to carry out the tasks under the provisions of these guidelines may vary in size and composition, taking into account the national circumstances of the Party under review, the format of the review, the number of reports and the different needs for expertise for each review task. Additional experts may be added to a review team where necessary.

*<<19/cp8, para. 27>> Expert review teams will be composed of experts selected on an ad hoc basis from the UNFCCC roster of experts and will include lead reviewers. Experts will be nominated by Parties to the Convention to the **roster of experts** and, as appropriate, by **intergovernmental organizations**, in accordance with guidance provided for this purpose by the COP. Participating experts will serve in their **personal capacity** and will neither be nationals of the Party under review nor be nominated or funded by that Party*

27. Participating experts shall serve in their personal capacity.

28. Experts shall be nominated by Parties to the Convention to the UNFCCC roster of experts and, as appropriate, by intergovernmental organizations.

<<19/cp.8, para. 31>> Participating experts shall have experience in the area of GHG inventories in general and/or in specific sectors (Energy, Industrial Processes, Solvents and Other Products Use, Agriculture, Land-Use Change and Forestry, and Waste)

29. Participating experts shall have recognized competence in the areas to be reviewed in accordance with these guidelines. The training to be provided to the experts, and the

subsequent assessment after the completion of the training⁴ and/or any other means needed to ensure the necessary competence of the experts for their participation in ERTs, shall be designed and operationalized by the secretariat in accordance with relevant decisions of the COP.

30. Experts selected for a specific review activity shall neither be nationals of the Party under review nor be nominated or funded by that Party.

<<19/cp8, para. 30>> Participating experts from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention (non-Annex I Parties) and Annex I Parties with economies in transition will be funded according to the existing procedures for participation in UNFCCC activities. Experts from other Annex I Parties will be funded by their governments

31. Participating experts from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention (non-Annex I Parties) and Annex I Parties with economies in transition shall be funded according to the existing procedures for participation in UNFCCC activities. Experts from other Annex I Parties shall be funded by their governments.

<<19/cp8, para. 28>> In the conduct of the review, expert review teams shall adhere to these guidelines and work on the basis of established and published procedures, including quality assurance and control and confidentiality provisions in accordance with the relevant decisions adopted by the COP

32. In conducting reviews, the ERTs shall adhere to these guidelines and work on the basis of established and published procedures agreed upon by the COP and the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA), including quality assurance and control and confidentiality provisions.

II. Competences of the expert review teams

33. The competences required to be a member of an ERT for the technical review of GHG inventories are in the areas to be covered in part III of these guidelines. *<<placeholder: add reference to paragraph 29 or add text>> [75][77 and 78]*

34. The competences required to be a member of an ERT for the technical review of BRs are in the areas referred to in paragraph 113(c) in part IV of these guidelines.

35. The competences required to be a member of an ERT for the technical review of NCs are in the areas referred to in paragraph 127(c) below in part V of these guidelines.

III. Composition of the expert review teams

<<19/cp8, para. 33>> The secretariat will select the members of the review teams in a way that will ensure that the collective skills of the team address the areas mentioned in paragraph 31 above and that most experts in the teams have the necessary experience in the review process. The secretariat will select national inventory experts with limited or no experience of the review process and invite one of these experts to participate in each in-country review, with a maximum of five experts to participate in each centralized review. These experts with limited or no experience of the review process will work on a specific IPCC sector together with an expert with experience of the review process. Desk reviews will be conducted only by experienced experts

36. The secretariat shall select the members of the ERTs to review the GHG inventories, BRs and NCs submitted under the Convention and pursuant to relevant decisions of the COP in such a way that the collective skills and competencies of the ERTs address the areas mentioned in paragraphs 33, 34 and 35 above, respectively.

⁴ The experts that opt not to participate in the training have to undergo a similar assessment successfully to enable them to qualify for participation in ERTs.

<<19/cp8, para. 34>> *The secretariat will select the members of the expert review teams with a view to achieving a balance between experts from Annex I Parties and non-Annex I Parties in the overall composition of the expert review teams, without compromising the selection criteria referred to in paragraph 31 above. The secretariat shall make every effort to ensure geographical balance among those experts selected from non-Annex I Parties and among those experts selected from Annex I Parties*

37. The secretariat shall select the members of the ERTs with a view to achieving a balance between experts from Annex I and non-Annex I Parties in the overall composition of the ERTs, without compromising the selection criteria referred to in paragraph 36 above. The secretariat shall make every effort to ensure geographical balance among the experts selected from non-Annex I Parties and among those selected from Annex I Parties.

<<19/cp8, para. 36>> *For each expert review team, two inventory experts with substantial inventory review experience will serve as lead reviewers. One lead reviewer will be from a non-Annex I Party and one from an Annex I Party.*

38. The secretariat shall ensure that in any ERT one co-lead reviewer shall be from an Annex I Party and one from a non-Annex I Party.

<<19/cp8, para. 35>> *Without compromising the criteria stated in paragraphs 31 to 34 above, the formation of expert review teams should ensure, to the extent possible, that at least one member is fluent in the language of the Party under review*

39. Without compromising the selection criteria referred to in paragraphs 33, 34 and 35 above, the formation of ERTs should ensure, to the extent possible, that at least one member is fluent in the language of the Party under review.

40. The secretariat shall prepare an annual report to the SBSTA on the composition of ERTs, including the selection of experts for the review teams and the lead reviewers, and on the actions taken to ensure the application of the selection criteria referred to in paragraphs 36 and 37 above.

IV. Lead reviewers

41. Lead reviewers shall act as co-lead reviewers for the ERTs in accordance with these guidelines.

<<19/cp8, para. 37>> *Lead reviewers should ensure that the review in which they participate is performed according to these guidelines and is performed consistently across all Annex I Parties under review by the expert review team. They should also ensure the quality and the objectivity of the technical assessments in the reviews*

42. Lead reviewers should ensure that the reviews in which they participate are performed by each ERT according to the relevant review guidelines and consistently across Parties. They should also ensure the quality and objectivity of the thorough and comprehensive technical examinations in the reviews and provide for the continuity, comparability and timeliness of the reviews.

<<19/cp8, para. 38>> *With the support of the secretariat, lead reviewers will:*

- (a) *Prepare a brief work plan for the review activity;*
- (b) *Verify that the experts have all the necessary information provided by the secretariat prior to the review activity;*
- (c) *Monitor the progress of the review activity;*
- (d) *Ensure that there is good communication within the expert review team;*
- (e) *Coordinate queries of the expert review team to the Party and coordinate the inclusion of the answers in the review reports;*
- (f) *Provide technical advice to the ad hoc experts, if needed;*

- (g) *Ensure that the review is performed and the review report is prepared in accordance with these guidelines;*
- (h) *Verify that the review team gives priority to individual source categories for review in accordance with these guidelines.*

43. With the administrative support of the secretariat, lead reviewers shall, for each review:

- (a) Ensure that the reviewers have all of the necessary information provided by the secretariat prior to the review;
- (b) Monitor the progress of the review;
- (c) Coordinate the submission of queries of the ERT to the Party under review and coordinate the inclusion of the answers in the review report;
- (d) Provide technical advice to the members of the ERT, if needed;
- (e) Ensure that the review is performed and the review report is prepared in accordance with these guidelines;
- (f) Ensure that the ERT gives priority to issues raised in previous review reports.

44. Lead reviewers shall also collectively prepare an annual report to the SBSTA as part of the annual report referred to in paragraph 40 above, containing suggestions on how to improve the quality, efficiency and consistency of the reviews in the light of paragraph 5 above of these guidelines.

V. Ad hoc review experts

*<<19/cp8, para. 27>> Expert review teams will be composed of experts selected on an **ad hoc** basis from the UNFCCC roster of experts and will include lead reviewers. Experts will be nominated by Parties to the Convention to the **roster of experts** and, as appropriate, by **intergovernmental organizations**, in accordance with guidance provided for this purpose by the COP. Participating experts will serve in their personal capacity and will neither be nationals of the Party under review nor be nominated or funded by that Party*

45. Ad hoc review experts shall be selected by the secretariat from those nominated by Parties or, exceptionally and only when the required expertise for the task is not available among them, from those nominated by relevant intergovernmental organizations belonging to the UNFCCC roster of experts for specific reviews. They shall perform individual review tasks in accordance with the duties set out in their nomination.

46. Review experts shall, as necessary, perform desk review tasks in their home countries and participate in in-country visits and centralized reviews.

VI. Role of the secretariat

47. The secretariat shall organize the reviews, including the preparation of a schedule for the review, the coordination of the practical arrangements concerning the review and the provision of all relevant reported information to the ERT concerned.

48. The secretariat shall develop review tools and materials and templates for review reports under the guidance of the lead reviewers.

<<<19/cp.8, para. 29>>> The secretariat will notify Annex I Parties about up-coming desk and centralized reviews, and ask the Annex I Parties to identify the contact person(s) through whom enquiries could be directed. Communication between the expert review teams and the Party under review should be through the lead reviewers and the designated contact person(s) of the Party. Other members of the expert review team may communicate directly with the national experts involved in the GHG inventory preparation only if a Party

so agrees. Information thus obtained should be made available to other members of the team <<Note by the secretariat: not a complete mapping identified.>>

49. The secretariat shall coordinate, together with the lead reviewers, the communication during the review between the ERT concerned and the Party under review and shall maintain a record of communications between ERTs and Parties.

50. The secretariat, together with the lead reviewers, shall compile and edit the final review reports.

51. The secretariat shall facilitate annual meetings of the lead reviewers for GHG inventories, BRs and NCs. It shall summarize information on issues raised in the reviews to facilitate the work of lead reviewers in fulfilling their task to ensure consistency in the reviews across Parties.

52. The secretariat shall design and implement training activities for review experts, including lead reviewers, and the subsequent assessment of the experts' qualifications, under the guidance of the SBSTA (see para. 29 above).

VII. Guidance provided by the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice

53. The SBSTA shall provide general guidance to the secretariat on the selection of experts and the coordination of the ERTs, and to the ERTs on the expert review process. The reports mentioned in paragraphs 40 and 44 above are intended to provide the SBSTA with inputs for elaborating such guidance.

F. Reporting and publication

54. The ERTs shall, under their collective responsibility, produce review reports. The review of the same information (see para. 97 above) shall be reflected in one report only. The following review reports should be produced for each Annex I Party:

(a) For the review of GHG inventories, a final report on the review of the GHG inventory, in accordance with part II and part III of these guidelines;

(b) For the review of BRs, a technical report on the review of the BR, in accordance with part II and part IV of these guidelines;

(c) For the review of NCs, a report on the review of the NC, in accordance with part II and part V of these guidelines.

55. The review reports for each Annex I Party shall follow a format and outline comparable to that set out in paragraph 56 below and shall include the specific elements described in parts III–V of these guidelines.

56. All review reports prepared by ERTs shall include the following elements:

(a) An introduction and a summary;

(b) A description of the technical review of each of the elements reviewed according to the relevant sections on the scope of the review detailed in parts III–V of these guidelines, including:

(i) A description of any potential issues identified in accordance with paragraphs 82, 114 and 128 below;

(ii) Any suggestions provided by the ERT to resolve the potential issues;

(iii) An assessment of any efforts made by the Annex I Party under review to address any potential issues identified by the ERT during the current review or during previous reviews that have not been addressed;

- (iv) The sources of information used in the formulation of the final report.

57. Following their completion, all review reports shall be published and forwarded by the secretariat, together with a written comment on the final review report made by the Party under review, to the Party concerned, the COP and the subsidiary bodies, as appropriate, following these guidelines.

PART III: UNFCCC Guidelines for the technical review of greenhouse gas inventories from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention

A. Purpose of the review

58. <<19/cp.8, para. 2>> The purpose of the technical review of Annex I Parties' GHG inventories is:

- (a) To ensure that the Conference of the Parties (COP) has adequate and reliable information on annual inventories and emission trends of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases not controlled by the Montreal Protocol;
- (b) To provide the COP with an objective, consistent, transparent, thorough and comprehensive technical assessment of the annual quantitative and qualitative inventory information submitted by Annex I Parties, and a technical assessment of the implementation of Annex I Parties' commitments under Article 4, paragraph 1 (a), and Article 12, paragraph 1 (a), of the Convention;
- (c) To examine, in a facilitative and open manner, the reported inventory information for consistency with the "Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Annex I Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (decision 24/CP.19)" hereinafter referred to as the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines), the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2006 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (hereinafter referred to as the 2006 IPCC Guidelines) as implemented through the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines and, if applied, the 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands (hereinafter referred to as the Wetlands Supplement) and any additional guidance adopted by the COP;
- (d) To assist Annex I Parties in improving the quality of their GHG inventories.
- (e) [To [inform] [inform and] [complement] [and enhance] the review of biennial reports and national communications [and to ensure that the COP has reliable information on the implementation of commitments under the Convention by each Annex I Party and Annex I Parties as a whole with a view to promoting comparability and building confidence] [and inform the multilateral assessment] [, including the multilateral assessment].]

B. General procedures

59. <<19/cp.8, para. 3>>[Greenhouse gas inventory submissions [including the national inventory report (NIR) and the common reporting format (CRF) tables] from all Annex I Parties will be subject to an annual technical review.] [The [annual technical] review shall cover the annual inventory, including national inventory report (NIR) and the common reporting format (CRF) tables, for all Annex I Parties].

60. The [annual] technical review process [for GHG inventories, as outlined in these guidelines,] comprises two stages which consider different aspects of the inventories in such a way that all of the purposes described above are achieved by the end of the process. The two stages are:

- (a) Initial assessment [by the secretariat];

(b) [Biennial] Review of individual annual inventories [by the expert review team].

61. **Option 1.** The initial assessment ~~of annual inventories (stage 1) and the synthesis and assessment of annual inventories (stage 2)~~ will be conducted annually. The review of individual annual inventories by expert review teams ~~(stage 3)~~ will be conducted biennially beginning in 2015.

(a) The individual inventory reviews ~~(stage 3)~~ should be distributed between years in such a way that approximately half of the Annex I Parties are reviewed one year and half of the Parties are reviewed in the next. The ERT, based on the findings of the review, can recommend that the next year's review also incorporate all three stages of inventory review.

Option 2. All stages of the review will happen annually.

62. <<19/cp.8, para. 4>> The stages of the technical review process complement each other so that, in general, for each Annex I Party, one stage is concluded before the next one is undertaken.

63. <<19/cp8, para. 18>> Three operational approaches may be used during the second stage of the technical review, namely desk reviews, centralized reviews and in-country reviews, assuming available resources. During a desk review, inventory information of Annex I Parties will be sent to experts, who will conduct the review in their own countries. [Desk reviews shall not be conducted except on exceptional circumstances at the discretion of the secretariat including when facing difficulties and/or resource limitations.] During a centralized review, the experts will meet in a single location to review the inventory information of Annex I Parties. During an in-country review, experts will visit an Annex I Party to review the inventory information of this Party.

64. **Option 1.** The [annual] [biennial] review of most individual inventories of Annex I Parties will alternate between in-country review and centralized or desk reviews, so that the GHG inventory of each Annex I Party will be subject to an in-country visit by an expert review team once every [four][five] years.

Option 2. The review of the inventories of Annex I Parties shall be conducted annually. The GHG inventory of each Annex I Party shall be subject to a desk review by an ERT at most once every [X] years. Desk review will be conducted only by experienced experts. The GHG inventory of each aAnnex I Party shall be subjected to an in-country review by an ERT at least once every [4] years. [The scope of the individual review differs between years with desk reviews and years with centralised reviews as defined in paragraphs 77 and 78 below.]. <<note: this para. may fit better in section B 'general procedures' than in section C 'scope'>>.

65. [Common to the two options: In-country reviews shall be scheduled, planned and take place with the consent of, and in close coordination with, the Annex I Party subject to review]

66. [If during the past three reviews, no [corrections of any inventory estimates were triggered] [significant issues were identified] by the ERT for an Annex I Party and if the initial assessment did not result in any significant issues for that Party, the annual individual reviews shall take place as desk reviews as defined in paragraph 63 above, except for the in-country reviews every [four][five][eight] years as defined in paragraph 67 above, unless the Party requests a centralized or in-country review. [Any subsequent issues identified will result in the review process reversion to the 'standard' process.]]

67. <<19/cp8, para. 19>> The review of individual inventories of Annex I Parties will be conducted [annually][biennially] either as a desk review, as a centralized review or as an in-country review. [The GHG inventory of each Annex I Party shall be subject to a desk review at most once every three years. Desk reviews will be conducted only by experienced

experts.] [The GHG inventory of each Annex I Party will be subject to an in-country review [at least] once every [four] [five] [eight] years.] In-country visits will be scheduled, planned and take place with the consent of, and close coordination with, the Annex I Party subject to review. In general, during a centralized review, up to [four][eight] GHG inventories should be reviewed; during a desk review [only one GHG inventory][up to [two][four] [five][eight] GHG inventories] should be reviewed. [In [exceptional] circumstances where an individual member of an ERT is unable to attend the centralized [or in-country] review, that member may contribute to that review from his/her desk.]

68. The ERT, based on the findings of the review,⁵ can recommend that the next review be an in-country review. The expert review team shall provide a rationale for the additional in-country review and shall compile a list of questions and issues to be addressed during the in-country review to be sent to the Annex I Party. The in-country review shall then be scheduled for the year following the review that recommended such visit. [If such an in-country review occurs, the expert review team may recommend that a pending scheduled in-country review is not necessary.]

69. Upon an Annex I Party's request, the secretariat shall organize an in-country review for that Party. The request for an in-country review shall be submitted to the secretariat no later than the submission due date.

70. <<19/cp8, para. 5>> At all stages of the inventory review process, individual Annex I Parties under review will have the opportunity to clarify issues or provide additional information. The secretariat will send to these Annex I Parties [drafts of] [the results of the initial assessment [and provisional main findings] <<<check consistency with para. 86>>>] [their status report, initial assessment report and a preliminary analysis] of the respective Party's inventory, and their individual inventory review report. The ERT shall produce the final version of the review report, taking into account the comments of the [Annex I] Party. Every effort will be made to reach agreement with each Annex I Party on the content of a report prior to its publication. In the case of an Annex I Party and the expert team being unable to agree on an issue, the Party may provide explanatory text to be included in a separate section of the final review report. All final review reports shall be published and forwarded by the secretariat, together with any written comments on the final review report by the Annex I Party that is the subject of the report, to the COP.

C. Scope of the review

Initial assessment

66. The secretariat shall conduct an initial assessment annually to examine that each Annex I Party has submitted a consistent, complete and timely, timely annual inventory in the correct format, including the national inventory report and the common reporting format (CRF), and to identify issues for further consideration during the review of individual inventories.

67. The checks will include a standardized set of data comparisons mainly based on the CRF data and identify:

(a) Whether an Annex I Party has submitted an annual inventory or the national inventory report or the common reporting format by the due date, or within six weeks of the due date;

(b) Whether the submission is complete in terms of whether an NIR and all CRF tables were submitted;

(c) Whether all required <<add same footnote as in former para. 88>> sources,

⁵ The findings from the ERT are related to issues indicated in paragraph <<82?>> <<note by the secretariat: not clear what this cross-ref should be. >>

sinks and gases included in the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines as well as any additional guidance adopted by the COP are reported and if any additional gases or sources have been reported;

- (d) Whether all tables of the CRF have been completed and any gaps have been explained in the CRF by use of notation keys (such as NE, NA, NO, IE, C);⁶
- (e) Whether emission estimates are provided for all required years;
- (f) Whether methodologies are indicated with notations in the CRF;
- (g) Whether estimates for CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion are reported using the IPCC reference approach in addition to estimates derived using a sectoral approach;
- (h) Whether emission estimates for hydrofluorocarbons and perfluorocarbons are reported by individual chemical species;
- (i) Whether any recalculations are reported for the entire time series and explanatory information relating to these recalculations is provided in the NIR;
- (j) Whether emissions from fuel used in international transportation are reported separately from national totals;
- (k) Whether key categories have been reported in the NIR and whether the results are consistent with those automatically reported in the CRF
- (l) Whether the tables on uncertainties have been reported;

68. ~~The initial assessment will cover the national inventory submission and previous national inventory submissions, where relevant, and will: The secretariat shall also assess, covering the current national inventory submission, and previous national inventory submissions: <<use the “whether any...” structure>>~~

~~(a) Identify whether there are any irregularities or inconsistencies in implied emission factors and other inventory data including emission or removal estimates and activity data, across Annex I Parties and compared to data of previous years or from previous submissions; <<Start of the synthesis and assessment in 19/ep.8>> Whether any irregularities or inconsistencies can be identified in implied emission factors and other inventory data including emission or removal estimates and activity data, across Annex I Parties and compared to data of previous years or from previous submissions;~~

~~(b) Identify whether there are irregularities in activity data compared to activity data from other relevant authoritative sources, if available, taking into account the conclusions of the LRs meeting and agreed by the SBSTA. Whether there are irregularities in activity data compared to activity data from other relevant authoritative sources, if available, taking into account the conclusions of the LRs meeting and agreed by the SBSTA;~~

~~(c) [Identify whether there are issues within source or sink categories requiring further consideration or clarification during the individual review stage, particularly recurring issues, taking into account responses from previous reviews or recommendations provided in previous reviews;] <<move to individual review section>>~~

(d) Examine inventory recalculations and the consistency of the time series;

⁶ NE = not estimated, NA = not applicable, NO = not occurring, IE = included elsewhere, C = confidential.

(e) Identify whether there are any inconsistencies between the information in the CRF and related information in the NIR.

~~The secretariat shall develop and implement the standardized checks based on the requirements in paragraph 70 above and the past experiences with the initial checks and the synthesis and assessment of the reviews. A group of experienced review experts should be selected among the lead reviewers with the tasks to explore additional standardized checks and to consider whether the checks implemented remain useful over time. Such assessment with the support of experienced review experts should take place every five years and the result of it should be considered at the following meeting of the lead reviewers. <<note: deleted text to be reviewed and placed in a COP decision>>~~

69. The secretariat shall compare any findings from the initial assessment specific to individual Annex I Parties with findings and responses from Parties from previous reviews. For this purpose, a communication tool with findings and responses from Annex I Parties should be developed and maintained that registers findings from the initial assessment and questions from ERTs together with responses from Parties over time. The ERTs should have access to past exchange between Annex I Parties and reviewers via this tool.

70. The secretariat shall immediately notify the Annex I Party concerned of any omissions or issues identified with a Party's submission that prevent the performance of the initial assessment.

III. Review of individual annual inventories

71. <<19/cp8, para. 17>> Expert review teams, coordinated by the secretariat, shall conduct reviews of individual greenhouse gas inventories in order to assess whether the COP has accurate, consistent and relevant information on annual GHG inventories. The individual reviews will provide for a detailed examination of the inventory estimates, procedures and methodologies used in the preparation of inventories, national inventory arrangements and inventory planning, preparation and management functions implemented, and QA/QC procedures implemented. The individual inventory review shall cover each Annex I Party's national inventory, supplementary material submitted by the Party and, if necessary for the review of recalculations and inventory improvements, previous inventory submissions. <<Note by EU: paragraph may need revisions>>

72. Expert review teams shall pay particular attention to key categories, those areas of the inventory where issues have been identified and recommendations made in previous reviews, or stages of the review, progress in the implementation of the planned improvements, or where recalculations or other changes have been reported by the Annex I Party. Focus should be given to issues that have an impact on the level and/or trend of total national GHG emissions and removals. Expert review teams should not perform an individual review in cases where a NIR has not been provided.

73. [The expert review teams shall focus on significant issues that would represent significant under- or overestimations of emissions and removals the greenhouse gas inventories beyond the thresholds used in paragraph 37 (b) of decision 24/CP.19. In their assessment the expert review teams shall also take into account the amount of efforts and resources required for an improvement relative to the significance of the issue in terms of over- or underestimation of emissions.]

74. In addition to the tasks mentioned in paragraph [75] [77 and 78] below, expert review teams conducting in-country reviews will consider the "paper trail" of the inventory from the collection of data to the reported emission estimates and will examine procedures and institutional arrangements for inventory development and management, including quality assurance and quality control, record-keeping and documentation procedures. During subsequent desk or centralized reviews, the expert review teams will identify any

changes that may have occurred in these procedures and institutional arrangements, based on the information provided in the NIRs of Annex I Parties and further information from the Parties provided to the ERT.

Option 1

75. <<19/cp8, para. 21>> Each expert review team shall:

(a) Examine application of the requirements of the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines and any further guidance adopted by the COP, ~~and~~, if applied, the wetlands supplement, and identify any departure from these requirements;

(b) Examine whether the 2006 IPCC Guidelines as implemented through the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines and any supplementary methodologies adopted by the COP ~~and~~, if applied, the wetlands supplement ~~was applied and documented~~, in particular noting the identification of key categories, selection and use of methodologies and assumptions, development and selection of emission factors, collection and selection of activity data, reporting of recalculations and consistent time-series, reporting of uncertainties related to inventory estimates, methodologies used for estimating those uncertainties and quality assurance and quality control procedures, and identify any inconsistencies;

(c) Compare emission or removal estimates, activity data, implied emission factors and any recalculations with data from previous submissions of the Annex I Party to identify any irregularities or inconsistencies;

(d) Identify any missing categories and examine any explanatory information relating to their exclusion from the GHG inventory;

(e) Assess the consistency of information in the CRF with that in the NIR;

(f) Assess the extent to which issues raised in the initial assessment of annual inventories, and issues and questions raised by expert review teams in previous reports, have been addressed and resolved. The ERT shall assess information on changes in response to recommendations from the previous ERT, which may include the progress made in implementing improvements taking into consideration the publication date of the previous review report and national circumstances;

(g) Where applicable, identify areas for further improvement of the inventories and note possible ways for improving the estimation and the reporting of inventory information <<CHE: add ref to para. 73>>;

(h) Assess whether the national inventory arrangements for the estimation of anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks are performing the required functions and facilitating the continuous improvement of the GHG inventory.

(i) Whether all emissions are reported without corrections relating, for example, to climate variations or trade of electricity.

76. The ERT may compare the activity data of the Annex I Party with relevant authoritative sources, taking into account the conclusions of the LRs meeting and agreed by the SBSTA, if feasible, and identify if there are significant differences which have not been explained by the Party. In cases where significant differences are identified between the data sources, the ERT shall provide the Annex I Party with the data used to make their assessment where it is possible to do so. Recommendations based on the outcome of the data comparison should not appear in the review report in cases where it is not possible to provide the data to the Party.

End of option 1

Option 2 <<related to 19/cp8, para. 21>>

77. During a desk review, the expert review team shall:

(a) *<<similar to 75(f) in option 1>>* Assess the extent to which issues raised in the initial assessment and issues and questions raised by expert review teams in previous reports, have been addressed and resolved. [The ERT shall assess the progress made in implementing improvements and to what extent these improvements, as well as planned improvements, are based on recommendations from previous reviews, taking into consideration the publication date of the previous review report and national circumstances.];

(b) *<<Note: similar to 75(e)?>>* Analyse any recalculations that have changed the emission/removal estimate for a category by more than two per cent and/or national total emissions by more than 0.5 per cent as provided in the CRF tables for any of the recalculated years and assess the reasons provided by the Annex I Party for the recalculations and improvements performed as well as the consistency of the revised estimates with the 2006 IPCC guidelines as implemented through the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines;

(c) Whether all emissions are reported without corrections relating, for example, to climate variations or trade of electricity.

<<Note: compared with option 1, the checks missing from the desk reviews are 75 (a, b, d, e, g, h) and 76>>

78. During a centralised or in-country review, the expert review team shall, in addition to the tasks referred to in **paragraph 77** above:

(a) *<<similar to 75 (a)>>* Examine application of the requirements of the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines and any further guidance adopted by the COP [and, if applied, the wetlands supplement], and identify any departure from these requirements;

(b) *<<similar to 75 (b) but missing “.. in particular...” and the hanging paragraph (categories and gases not required by reporting guidelines)>>* Examine whether the 2006 IPCC guidelines as implemented through the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines and any supplementary methodologies adopted by the COP [and, if applied, the wetlands supplement] was applied and documented; *<<note: is this (b) same as (a)?>>*

(c) *<<similar to 75 (h)>>* Assess whether the national inventory arrangements for the estimation of anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks performing the required functions and facilitating the continuous improvement of the GHG inventory **and whether QA/QC procedures in accordance with the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines and the 2006 IPCC guidelines were implemented;** *<<Note: yellow highlight (QA/QC) is similar to 75 (b)>>*

(d) *<<similar to 75 (d)>>* Identify any missing categories and examine any explanatory information relating to their exclusion from the GHG inventory;

(e) *<<Note: 75 (c) deals only with recalculations, not improvement>>* Compare any recalculations and improvements submitted with data from previous submissions of the Annex I Party to identify the scope of the changes and assess the reasons provided by the Party for the recalculations and improvements performed as well as the consistency of the estimation methodologies with the 2006 IPCC guidelines as implemented through the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines. *[<<from 75 (f)>> ... The ERT shall assess the progress made in implementing improvements and to what extent these improvements, as well as planned improvements, are based on recommendations from previous reviews, taking into consideration the publication date of the previous review report and national circumstances.];*

(f) *<<Note: no similar para in 75?? 75 (c) focuses on recalculations, but this para refers to time series consistency??>>* Compare emission or removal estimates, activity data,

implied emission factors across the time series submitted to identify any irregularities or inconsistencies;

(g) ~~Assess whether key categories have been determined in accordance with the 2006 IPCC guidelines as implemented through the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines;~~

(h) ~~Where applicable identify areas for further improvement of the inventories and note possible ways for improving the estimation and the reporting of inventory information [, taking national circumstances into consideration];~~

(i) ~~Where applicable, acknowledge areas where the Annex I Party has made efforts to implement higher-tier methodologies including disaggregated data.~~

79. ~~The ERT may compare the activity data of the Annex I Party with relevant authoritative sources[, as agreed by the lead reviewer meeting.], if feasible, and identify if there are significant differences which have not been explained by the Party. In cases where significant differences are identified between the data sources, the ERT shall provide the Annex I Party with the data used to make their assessment where it is possible to do so. Recommendations based on the outcome of the data comparison should not appear in the review report in cases where it is not possible to provide the data to the Party;~~

~~compared with option 1, the checks missing from the centralized and in-country reviews are: part of 75 (b); 75 (e); part of 76; >>~~

End of option 2

80. The secretariat shall support the individual review with the development of review tools and materials that support the tasks of the expert review teams in order to improve the efficiency and consistency of the reviews. Such tools and materials shall be periodically revised and updated taking into account the needs of review process. New and revised tools and materials shall be presented and discussed at the meetings of lead reviewers and shall also be presented in the annual report on the technical review of greenhouse gas inventories from Annex I Parties and shall be made available to Parties upon request. The meeting of lead reviewers should discuss which review tools should also be made available to the general public and make recommendations in this regard to the SBSTA.

81. If an Annex I Party fails to provide the expert review team with responses to the questions raised and does not provide the data and information necessary for the assessment of conformity with the [2006 IPCC Guidelines [as implemented through the]] [UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines] and any further guidance adopted by the COP, the expert review team shall assume that the [reporting][estimate] was not [sufficiently transparent to assess whether it was] prepared in accordance with the [2006 IPCC Guidelines [as implemented through the]] [UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines] [and][or] any further guidance adopted by the COP and clearly identify such cases in the review report.

IV. Identification of issues

82. The individual inventory review shall identify any issues related to adherence to the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines.

83. Issues will be identified as a failure to follow [mandatory][the] requirements ~~and definitions in the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines.~~ Issues will also be identified as failure to follow general IPCC good practice for any optional category <<add footnote defining optional categories>> that the Party included in its national estimates.] These may be further subdivided as issues of:

(a) Transparency <<Note: some Parties suggest to move the rest of this sub-bullet (a) and (i) to (iii) below outside the guidelines and into a more appropriate document>> [reviewers may consider the following, including but not limited to:

- (i) Inadequate documentation and description of methodologies, assumptions and models;
- (ii) Failure to disaggregate activity data, emission factors and other factors used in methods at the required level unless an issue of confidentiality exists;
- (iii) Failure to provide justifications for recalculations, references and/or information sources for key factors and data used;]
- (b) Consistency;
- (c) Comparability [, including failure to use agreed reporting formats];
- (d) Completeness [, including but not limited to:
 - (i) Gaps in the inventory estimates for source categories or gases for which methods are provided in the IPCC Guidelines;
 - (ii) Inventory data that do not provide full geographic coverage of sources and sinks of an Annex I Party;
 - (iii) Failure to provide full coverage of sources in a source category];
- (e) Accuracy;
- (f) Adherence to the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines.

84. In assessing completeness, when a category has been reported as not estimated based on being insignificant, the ERT shall assess if the information reported by the Annex I Party meets the criteria set in paragraph 37(b) of the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines.

85. [When an expert review team identifies a potential [significant] [(in accordance with paragraph 37(b) of the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines)] over- or underestimate of emissions/removals related to a [mandatory] requirement in the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines, the expert review team should summarize such identified potential [significant] over- or underestimations in a list of potential issues at the end of the period in which the individual review is performed[, including a quantified assessment of the level of the potential over- or underestimation for the individual issues if possible with the available information]. The Annex I Party [should][is encouraged to] respond within six weeks to this list of potential issues, provide additional information, clarifications or corrections of individual estimates or explanations why the Party considers that the initial estimate is not an over- or underestimation, as appropriate. The expert review team shall also list and summarize the identified issues in the review report if they have not been sufficiently clarified or corrected by the Annex I Party during the review, including a quantified assessment of the level of the potential over- or underestimation for the individual issues and the sum of all such-issues, if possible with the available information. The review report shall also list the corrections made by the Annex I Party during the review and include any explanations from Parties in case they disagree with identification of potential issues.]

86. **Option 1:** [All significant issues which are identified by the ERT in relation to [mandatory] requirements in the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines, including issues relating to the accuracy of the estimates of emissions and removals, should be summarized by the ERT in the list of provisional main findings. The provisional main findings shall be communicated to the Annex I Party at the end of the [week][period] in which the individual review is performed. Any provisional main findings should, as appropriate, form the basis for the recommendations in the review report.]

Option 2: [The ERT shall inform the Annex I Party under review immediately after the “review week” of the [provisional main findings][and][including][list of identified issues in accordance with paragraph 85].] <<Note: consider placing this para before 85>>

D. Timing

Option 1

I. Status reports

87. <<19/cp8, para. 9>> The initial assessment for each Annex I Party should be finalized and the status report published on the UNFCCC web site within seven weeks of the date of receipt of the submission by the secretariat. In general, the timetable for the initial assessment should conform to the following:

(a) The secretariat should perform the initial assessment and prepare a draft status report within three weeks after the submission date of the annual GHG inventory and send it to the Annex I Party for comments <<Note: check timing>>

(b) Each Annex I Party should provide comments on the draft status report within three weeks of its receipt by the Party. [A delay in the preparation of the draft status report shall not shorten the time available for the Annex I Party concerned to comment on the draft status report. The secretariat shall immediately notify the Annex I Party concerned of any omissions or technical format issues identified in the initial check.]

88. [Any information, corrections, additional information or comments on the draft status report received from the Annex I Party within six weeks of the submission due date shall be subject to an initial check and shall be covered in the final status report. A delay in the submission of the annual inventory shortens the time available for the Annex I Party concerned to comment on the draft status report.]

II. Initial assessment

89. <<19/cp8, para. 16>> The initial assessment will be conducted annually and should, in general, conform to the following timetable

(a) The secretariat will complete the initial assessment ~~[Part I of the synthesis and assessment report [(part I) [(S&A I)]]]~~, containing the elements in paragraph 100 below, within 10 weeks from the due date for submission.⁷ The secretariat will incorporate all submissions and any re-submissions from Annex I Parties that were provided as a response to the status report and were received within six weeks from the due date for submissions. Annex I Parties should provide comments within three weeks of receipt of the draft initial assessment ~~synthesis and assessment~~ report. If possible, the secretariat should complete an initial assessment ~~synthesis and assessment~~ of the GHG inventories submitted after that date and should publish these assessments as separate documents (addenda to the ~~synthesis and initial~~ assessment report) provided that this does not delay the review process for other Annex I Parties

(b) The preliminary analysis of individual Annex I Party inventories (~~[part II] [S&A II]~~ of the initial assessment ~~synthesis and assessment~~) (S&A II), containing the elements in paragraph <<paragraph deleted>>. below, will be completed at the latest four weeks prior to the scheduled individual review [by the expert review team, either conducted under these review guidelines or biennial report and national communications reviews under these guidelines] for the Annex I Party concerned. << placeholder timing BR and NCs reviews vs timing inventory submission >>. The secretariat will send a draft of the preliminary analysis to the Annex I Party at the latest seven weeks prior to the scheduled individual review [by the expert review team], and the Party will provide comments within

⁷ In accordance with decision [3/CP.5] [24/CP.19], the due date for submission of the GHG inventories of Annex I Parties is 15 April of each year.

three weeks. The preliminary analysis and the Annex I Party's comments will be forwarded to the expert review team for further consideration [and as input for the individual review][and as input for the review of individual annual inventories].

End of option 1

Option 2

I. Initial assessment <<related to 19/cp8, para. 16(a)>>

90. The initial assessment for each Annex I Party shall be performed and a draft initial assessment report shall be completed within eight weeks after the submission due date of the annual inventory.

91. If results from the initial assessment indicate findings for individual Annex I Parties that have not been clarified in previous reviews, the secretariat shall include such findings in the communication tool pursuant to paragraph 69 and notify the respective Party. Annex I Parties should provide comments in the communication tool within three weeks of receipt of the notification. These specific findings in the communication tool, together with the comments provided by the respective Annex I Party, will be provided to the corresponding expert review team as input for the individual review. A delay in the preparation of the initial assessment shall not shorten the time available for the Party concerned to comment on the country-specific findings.

92. Any information, corrections, additional information received from the Annex I Party within six weeks of the submission due date shall be subject to the initial assessment. A delay in the submission of the annual inventory may shorten the time available for the Annex I Party concerned to comment on the findings from the initial assessment.

End of option 2

III. [Individual inventory review] [Review of individual annual inventories]

93. <<19/cp8, para 41>> The secretariat should forward all relevant information to the members of the expert review teams [two months][one month] prior to the start of the review activities [of the individual inventories]. [The expert review team shall examine the information and] [Prior to the start of the review, the expert review teams shall prepare for the review <<placeholder for text similar to "such as by reading the NIR and considering the tools prepared by the secretariat">> and should] raise questions for clarification to Annex I Parties under review, if necessary, two weeks prior to the start of the review [using the online communication tool]. Annex I Parties should [make all efforts to] respond [promptly to the questions received.][within two weeks after they received the questions in the online communication tool]]

94. To achieve consistent review reports and a comparable treatment of Annex I Parties in the review process the secretariat shall implement QA procedures. The QA procedures developed by the secretariat should be presented to and discussed at the meeting of the lead reviewers. [The purpose of the QA procedures is to ensure a consistent identification and treatment of issues. Editorial streamlining should only take place to the extent that the timelines for publication of the review reports are not compromised.]

95. <<19/cp8, para. 41>> [Each review should be completed within 25 weeks] [Each [desk [, as referred to in paragraph 63 above,] [and] [or] centralized] review should be completed within [[15] [20] weeks and [20]][25] weeks,⁸ respectively, and each in-

⁸ According to the original version of these guidelines (see FCCC/SBSTA/2002/L.5/Add.2), a total of 22 weeks was allocated for the completion of a centralized review. However, this period does not include the necessary time for editing and formatting of the review reports by the secretariat as required in paragraph 41(b). Therefore, the total time available for review has been increased from 22 to 25 weeks to be consistent with the approach taken for desk and in-country reviews.

country review should be completed within 14 weeks. In general, the timetable for the individual review activities, assuming available resources, should conform to the following]

(a) [*Desk review:*] [e][E]ach expert review team [performs individual reviews and prepares] [shall, under its collective responsibility, produce a] draft [technical] review report[s] [in accordance with paragraphs 104+08 -<<paragraph deleted>>.+13 below, to be finalised] within [six] [seven] weeks [(three weeks for individual reviews and four weeks for the preparation of the reports)]. The secretariat [applies QA/QC procedures,] edits and formats the [draft] report[s] [within four weeks] and sends [it] [them] to the [respective] Annex I Party [subject to the review] for comment[s]. [The Annex I Parties respond within four weeks] [The Annex I Party shall be given four weeks from its receipt of the draft report to provide comments thereon]. [The expert review team integrates the Annex I Parties' comments within four weeks and sends the revised versions of the reports to the secretariat. The final reports are published on the UNFCCC web site within two weeks;] [The ERT shall produce the final version of the annual review report, taking into account the comments of the Annex I Party, within four weeks of receipt of the comments[and send the revised version to the secretariat]. All final review reports shall be published on the UNFCCC website [within two weeks] and forwarded by the secretariat, together with any written comments on the final review report by the Annex I Party that is the subject of the report, to the COP.]

(i) [If an Annex I Party received a list of potential issues at the end of the individual review, the finalization of the draft report for that Party should be extended and the draft report should be finalized [one week][two weeks] after the receipt of the response to the list of potential issues.]

(b) [*Centralized review:* each expert review team [performs individual reviews and prepares] [shall, under its collective responsibility, produce a] draft [technical] review report[s] [in accordance with paragraphs 104+08 -<<paragraph deleted>>.+13 below, to be finalised] within [six] [eight] [ten] weeks [(up to eight working days for individual reviews and nine weeks for the preparation of the reports)]. The secretariat [applies QA/QC procedures,] edits and formats the [draft] report[s] [within four weeks] and sends [it] [them] to the [respective] Annex I Party [subject to the review] for comment[s]. [The Annex I Parties respond within four weeks][The Annex I Party shall be given four weeks from its receipt of the draft report to provide comments thereon]. [The expert review team integrates the Annex I Parties' comments within six weeks and sends the revised versions of the reports to the secretariat. The final reports are published on the UNFCCC web site within two weeks.] [The ERT shall produce the final version of the annual review report, taking into account the comments of the Annex I Party, within [four] [six] weeks⁹ of receipt of the comments and send the revised version of the report to the secretariat. All final review reports shall be published on the UNFCCC website within two weeks and forwarded by the secretariat, together with any written comments on the final review report by the Annex I Party that is the subject of the report, to the COP]

(i) [If an Annex I Party received a list of potential issues at the end of the individual review, the finalization of the draft report for that Party should be extended and the draft report should be finalized one week after the receipt of the response to the list of potential issues.]]

(c) [*In-country review:* each expert review team [performs the individual review within one week and prepares] [shall, under its collective responsibility, produce] a draft [technical] review report [in accordance with paragraphs 104+08 -<<paragraph deleted>>.+13 below, to be finalised] within [three] [four] weeks. The secretariat [applies QA/QC procedures,] edits and formats the [draft] report [within four weeks] and sends it to the [respective] Annex I Party [subject to the review] for comment[s]. The Annex I Party

⁹ [Four][six] weeks, or [20][30] working days if the Party has a public holiday occurring within the four-week time frame.

[responds within][shall be given] four weeks [from its receipt of the draft report to provide comments thereon]. [The expert review team integrates the Annex I Party's comments within three weeks and sends the revised version of the report to the secretariat. The final report is published on the UNFCCC web site within one week.][The ERT shall produce the final version of the annual review report, taking into account the comments of the Annex I Party, within [two] [three] weeks of receipt of the comments and sends the revised version to the secretariat. The secretariat edits and formats the report and publishes it on the UNFCCC website within one week. All final review reports shall be published within one week and forwarded by the secretariat, together with any written comments on the final review report by the Annex I Party that is the subject of the report, to the COP]

- (i) [If an Annex I Party received a list of potential issues at the end of the individual review, the finalization of the draft report for that Party should be extended and the draft report should be finalized one week after the receipt of the response to the list of potential issues.]]

96. [In assessing the implementation of previous recommendation, the review report should acknowledge that whilst Annex I Parties should endeavour [to report category-specific improvements or planned improvements as per the appendix to the UNFCCC Annex I [inventory] reporting guidelines [on annual inventories]] [to incorporate recommendations and encouragements into future inventory submissions], the significant overlap between the time Annex I Parties begin the inventory compilation process and receipt of recommendations and encouragements from the review of the previous inventory submission may preclude these being addressed in the next inventory submission.]

97. [For Annex I Parties included in the Kyoto Protocol the timeline should follow the agreed timeline for reviews under the Kyoto Protocol.]

E. Reporting

I. Status Report

98. The results of the initial assessment for each Annex I Party will be published on the UNFCCC web site as a status report, mainly in a tabular format. The status report will:

- (a) Indicate the date of receipt of the GHG inventory submission by the secretariat
- (b) Determine whether the submission is complete and identify any gaps in the reported data, covering the elements listed in **paragraph 67.70** above.

II. Assessment report

99. The results of the initial assessment, as described in para. 68 above, containing a preliminary analysis of individual Annex I Party inventories, will be sent to the respective Party for comments. The results, together with the comments provided by the respective Party, will be provided to the corresponding expert review team as input for the individual review.

100. ~~<<19/cp8, para. 15>>~~ The assessment report for each individual inventory will contain the results of the checks described in **para. 68** above. ~~<<note by EU: add cross ref to para. 67(o) and 67(p) above and delete a-e below>>~~

- (a) ~~[Identify issues within source or sink categories requiring further consideration or clarification during the [annual][biennial] individual review stage;~~
- (b) ~~Identify any recurring issues with reporting;~~
- (c) ~~Examine inventory recalculations and the consistency of the time series;~~
- (d) ~~Assess the availability of documentation on:~~

- ~~(i) [[National self verification procedures or independent review in the technical review process]] QC measures implemented by the Party]] [National self verification procedures or independent review in the technical review process, QC and QA procedures implemented by the Party];~~
- ~~(ii) The application of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines as implemented through the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines [and any supplementary methodologies adopted by the COP], including estimations of uncertainties [and, if applied, the application of the wetlands supplement];~~
- ~~(e) Assess the consistency of information on methodologies and emission factors in the CRF with related information in the NIR.]~~

~~<<note: some revisions to the above bullets may be needed depending on the option chosen>>~~

III. Individual review reports

101. Under its collective responsibility, the expert review team will produce an individual inventory review report for publication in electronic format on the UNFCCC web site based on the results of the tasks listed in paragraph [75][77 and 78] above. The review reports should contain an objective assessment of the adherence of the inventory information to the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines and the provisions of relevant decisions by the COP and should not contain any political judgement. The review report shall, as appropriate, also contain recommendations and encouragements from the ERT for how the Annex I Party can improve the quality of its inventory. <<check consistency of language with para. 96>>

102. The following specific elements shall be included in the individual review report:

- (a) A summary of the results of the inventory review and a general assessment of the inventory;
- (b) A technical review of the elements specified in paragraphs 72 and [75] [77 and 78] above;
- (c) An identification of issues in accordance with paragraph[s] [73,] 85 [and 86] above.
- (d) An assessment of the overall organization of the national inventory arrangements, including a discussion of the effectiveness and reliability of the institutional, procedural and legal arrangements for estimating greenhouse gas emissions.

103. The review reports should not extensively duplicate information already publically available, e.g. through the CRF tables and NIRs reported by Annex I Parties.

104. The report should include standardized tables whenever possible, to increase the efficiency of communication. To the extent possible, the text of the report should not duplicate the information in the tables. The status of implementation of previous review recommendations should be listed in one of those standardized tables.

105. The report of all reviews shall be as concise as possible and the ERT shall make every effort that the report does not exceed 30 pages, including a 2–3 page summary.

F. [Annual report of emissions and trends of greenhouse gases]

<< Note: Although suggesting to delete this section, participants of the workshop noted that the deletion does not imply that the content of these paragraphs is outdated or not valid anymore. The deletion rather reflects the judgment that the documents referred to in the paragraphs should be mandated through relevant SBSTA conclusions or a COP decision, rather than through the text of inventory review guidelines.>> <<Note#2: The contents of

the two paragraphs below should be included in the operational paragraphs of the COP decision that will adopt the review guidelines>>

106. <<19/cp.8, para. 42>> As part of the technical review of annual national GHG inventories, the secretariat will also compile and tabulate aggregate information and trends concerning greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks, and any other inventory information, in a stand-alone document to be published electronically on the UNFCCC web site. This document will draw information from the latest available GHG inventory submissions of all Annex I Parties and will serve to provide aggregate information to the COP on GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks and their trends for all Annex I Parties. This document may also be used as an input to the individual technical review process.

107. <<19/cp.8, para. 43>> A summary of the document mentioned in paragraph 106 above will be published in electronic format for the consideration of the COP and the subsidiary bodies. This summary will include trends of GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks and an assessment of the adherence of the reported inventory information to the reporting guidelines, as well as to the provisions of relevant decisions by the COP, including information on any delays in submitting the annual inventory information.]

PART IV: UNFCCC Guidelines for the technical review of biennial reports from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention

A. Purpose of the review

108. The technical review of BRs is the first step of the international assessment and review (IAR) process. The overall objectives of the IAR process are to review the progress made by developed country Parties in achieving emission reductions and to assess the provision of financial, technological and capacity-building support to developing country Parties, as well as to assess emissions and removals related to quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets under the SBI, taking into account national circumstances, in a rigorous, robust and transparent manner, with a view to promoting comparability and building confidence. In addition, the IAR process aims at assessing the implementation of methodological and reporting requirements.

109. The purposes of the technical review of BRs from Annex I Parties are the following:

- (a) To provide a thorough and comprehensive technical review of the parts of BRs that are not otherwise covered in the annual GHG inventory review;
- (b) Taking into account paragraph 109(a) above, to examine in an objective and transparent manner whether quantitative and qualitative information was submitted by Annex I Parties in accordance with the “UNFCCC biennial reporting guidelines for developed country Parties” adopted by the COP;¹⁰
- (c) To promote consistency of the information contained in BRs submitted by Annex I Parties;
- (d) To assist Annex I Parties in improving their reporting of information and the implementation of their commitments under the Convention;
- (e) To undertake an examination of the Party’s progress in achieving its economy-wide emission reduction target.

¹⁰ Decision 2/CP.17, annex I; decision 19/CP.18.

(f) To ensure that the COP has reliable information on the implementation of commitments under the Convention by each Annex I Party with a view to promoting comparability and building confidence.

B. General procedures

110. Each Annex I Party's BR will be reviewed. A Party's BR shall be reviewed in conjunction with its NC in the years in which both the BR and the NC are submitted.

111. Prior to the review, as part of its preparation, the ERT shall conduct a desk review of the BR of the Annex I Party under review. The ERT, through the secretariat, shall notify the Party concerned of any questions the team has regarding the information provided in the BR and of any focal areas for the review.

112. The output of the technical review will be a technical review report, building on existing reporting standards and including an examination of the Party's progress in achieving its economy-wide emission reduction target.

C. Scope of the review

113. The individual review will:

(a) Provide an assessment of the completeness of the BR, in accordance with the reporting requirements contained in decisions 2/CP.17 and 19/CP.18, and an indication of whether it was submitted on time;

(b) Examine the consistency of the BR with the annual GHG inventory and NC but it will not include in-depth examination of the inventory itself;

(c) Undertake a detailed technical examination of only those parts of the BR that are not included in the annual GHG inventory review, including the following:

(i) All emissions and removals related to the Party's quantified economy-wide emission reduction target;

(ii) Assumptions, conditions and methodologies related to the attainment of the Party's quantified economy-wide emission reduction target;

(iii) Progress the Party has made towards the achievement of its quantified economy-wide emission reduction target;

(iv) The Party's provision of financial, technological and capacity-building support to developing country Parties;

(d) In the years in which an NC is submitted at the same time as the BR, serve as part of the review of the NC, where there is an overlap between the content of the BR and that of the NC.

Identification of issues

114. The issues identified during the technical review of individual sections of the BR shall be identified as relating to the following:

(a) Transparency;

(b) Completeness;

(c) Timeliness;

(d) Adherence to the biennial report reporting guidelines as per decision 2/CP.17.

D. Timing

115. If an Annex I Party expects difficulties with the timeliness of its BR submission by the due date, it should inform the secretariat thereof by the due date of the submission, to the extent possible, in order to facilitate the arrangements of the review process.

116. The ERTs shall make every effort to complete the individual review of BRs within 15 months of the due date of their submission for each Annex I Party.

117. If additional information is requested during the review week, the Annex I Party should make every reasonable effort to provide the information within two weeks after the review week.

118. The ERT for the review of the BR of each Annex I Party shall, under its collective responsibility, produce a draft technical review report following the format detailed in paragraph 121 below, to be finalized within eight weeks after the review week.

119. The draft technical review report of each BR shall be sent to the Annex I Party subject to the review for comment. The Party concerned shall be given four weeks¹¹ from its receipt of the draft report to provide comments thereon.

120. The ERT shall produce the final version of the BR technical review report, taking into account the comments of the Annex I Party within four weeks of receipt of the comments. All final review reports shall be published and forwarded by the secretariat, together with any written comments on the final review report by the Party that is the subject of the report, to the COP.

E. Reporting

121. The following specific elements shall be included in the technical review report referred to in paragraph 54(b) above:

(a) The results of the technical examination of the elements specified in paragraph 113(c) above, including an examination of the Party's progress in achieving its economy-wide emission reduction target;

(b) An identification of issues in accordance with paragraph 114 above.

PART V: UNFCCC Guidelines for the technical review of national communications from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention

A. Purpose

122. The purposes of the review of NCs from Annex I Parties are the following:

(a) To establish a process for a thorough and comprehensive technical review of the implementation of the commitments under the Convention by individual Annex I Parties and Annex I Parties as a group;

(b) Taking into account paragraph 122(a) above, to examine in an objective and transparent manner whether quantitative and qualitative information was submitted by Annex I Parties in accordance with the "Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part II: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on national communications" adopted by the COP;

(c) To promote consistency of the information contained in the NCs of Annex I Parties;

¹¹ Four weeks, or 20 working days if the Party has a public holiday occurring within the four-week time frame.

(d) To assist Annex I Parties in improving their reporting of information under Article 12 of the Convention and the implementation of their commitments under the Convention;

(e) To ensure that the COP has reliable information on the implementation of commitments under the Convention by each Annex I Party and Annex I Parties as a whole.

B. General procedures

123. Each Annex I Party's NC will be reviewed, where relevant in conjunction with the review of the BR.

124. Each NC submitted under the Convention by an Annex I Party shall be subject to an in-country review.

125. Annex I Parties with total GHG emissions of less than 50 Mt CO₂ eq (excluding LULUCF) in accordance with their most recent GHG inventory submission, with the exception of Parties included in Annex II to the Convention, may choose to undergo a centralized review for their NCs.

126. Prior to the review, the ERT shall conduct a desk review of the NC of the Annex I Party under review. The ERT, through the secretariat, shall notify the Party concerned of any questions the team has regarding the NC and of any focal areas for the review.

C. Scope of the review

127. The individual review will, noting paragraph 9 above:

(a) Provide an assessment of the completeness of the NC in accordance with the "Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part II: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on national communications" adopted by the COP, and an indication of whether it was submitted on time;

(b) Check the consistency of information contained in the NC with that contained in the BR and GHG inventory. If the same information is reported elsewhere, the information should be reviewed only once;

(c) Undertake a detailed technical examination of the unique information contained in the NC and the procedures and methodologies used for the preparation of the information therein, noting that the outline of the NC is included in the list below:

- (i) National circumstances relevant to GHG emissions and removals;
- (ii) GHG inventory information;
- (iii) Policies and measures;
- (iv) Projections and the total effect of policies and measures;
- (v) Vulnerability assessment, climate change impacts and adaptation measures;
- (vi) Financial resources;
- (vii) Transfer of technology;
- (viii) Research and systematic observation;¹²
- (ix) Education, training and public awareness;

(d) Giving consideration to national circumstances, identify any potential issues referred to in paragraph 128~~78~~ below.

¹² Information provided under this heading includes a summary of the information provided on global climate observing systems.

Identification of issues

128. The issues identified during the technical review of individual sections of the NC shall be identified as relating to the following:

- (a) Transparency;
- (b) Completeness;
- (c) Timeliness;
- (d) Adherence to the NC reporting guidelines as per decision 4/CP.5.

D. Timing

129. If an Annex I Party expects difficulties with the timeliness of its NC submission by the due date, it should inform the secretariat thereof by the due date of the submission, to the extent possible, in order to facilitate the arrangements of the review process.

130. The ERTs shall make every effort to complete the individual review of NCs within 15 months of the due date of their submission for each Annex I Party.

131. If additional information is requested during the review week, the Annex I Party should make every reasonable effort to provide the information within two weeks after the review week.

132. The ERT for the review of the NC of each Annex I Party shall, under its collective responsibility, produce a draft of the review report following the format detailed in paragraph ~~13585~~ below, to be finalized within eight weeks after the review week.

133. The draft of each NC review report shall be sent to the Annex I Party subject to the review for comment. The Party concerned shall be given four weeks¹³ from its receipt of the draft report to provide comments thereon.

134. The ERT shall produce the final version of the NC review report, taking into account the comments of the Annex I Party within four weeks of receipt of the comments. All final review reports shall be published and forwarded by the secretariat, together with any written comments on the final review report by the Party that is the subject of the report, to the COP.

E. Reporting

135. The following specific elements shall be included in the report referred to in paragraph 54(c) above:

- (a) A technical review of the elements specified in paragraph ~~12777~~(c) above;
- (b) An identification of issues in accordance with paragraph ~~12777~~(d) and ~~12878~~ above.]

¹³ Four weeks, or 20 working days if the Party has a public holiday occurring within the four-week time frame.

Annex II

[English only]

[Draft decision -/CP.20

Training programme for review experts for the technical review of biennial reports and national communications of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention

The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling Articles 4, 7 and 12 of the Convention and decisions 2/CP.1, 9/CP.2, 6/CP.3, [4/CP.5], 33/CP.7, 18/CP.10, 1/CP.13 and 2/CP.17 [and 19/CP.18] on national communications and biennial reports,

Also recalling decision 23/CP.19 on the guidelines for the review of biennial reports and national communications, including *national* inventory reviews,

Having considered the relevant *recommendations* of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice,

Recognizing the importance of the *training* programme for review experts for the technical review of biennial reports and national communications from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention,

1. *Requests* the secretariat to develop and implement the training programme for review experts for the technical review of biennial reports and national communications from Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, including the examination of experts, as outlined in the appendix;
2. *Encourages* Parties included in Annex I to the Convention [in a position to do so] to provide financial support for the implementation of the training programme;
3. *Requests* the secretariat to include, in its annual report on the composition of expert review teams to the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, information on the training programme, in particular information on examination procedures and the selection of trainees, so that Parties may assess the effectiveness of the programme.

Appendix

Training programme for review experts for the technical review of biennial reports and national communications of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention

A. Details of the training programme

1. The courses are intended to train review experts for the technical review of biennial reports and national communications of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention. All training courses will be available online. For trainees without easy access to the Internet, courses will be distributed through electronic means. At the request of a Party, the courses will also be made available to others interested in the review process, provided that this does not require additional resources. All courses will be available to trainees all year round.
2. All training courses will include an examination. Examination procedures will be standardized, objective and transparent.
3. The examination will take place online. In exceptional circumstances, other arrangements for examinations will be made, provided that the examinations take place under the supervision of the secretariat and that this does not require additional resources.
4. New review experts who successfully complete the relevant requirements of the training programme and pass the examinations will be invited to participate in a centralized or in-country review, working alongside experienced review experts.
5. Experts who do not pass an examination for a course at the first attempt may retake the examination once only, provided that the expert has fulfilled all of the tasks assigned during the training course in a timely manner and that the retake does not require the secretariat to incur additional costs.

B. Courses of the training programme

1. General and cross-cutting aspects of the review of national communications and biennial reports

Description: This course covers the reporting requirements and the procedures for the general aspects of the review process of national communications and biennial reports under the Convention and aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the reporting and review requirements and guidance to expert review teams on the process and steps for conducting reviews under the international assessment and review process. The course promotes consistency and fairness in the review process by providing technical guidance on the general review approaches and use of tools.

Preparation: 2014

Implementation: 2014–2016

Target audience: New review experts and lead reviewers.

Type of course: E-learning, without instructor.

Examination requirements and format: New review experts and lead reviewers must pass the examination before participating in expert review teams. Online examination.

2. Technical review of targets and of policies and measures, their effects and their contribution to achieving those targets

Description: This course provides general guidance and approaches for the technical review of information on national policy context and greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation targets, policies and measures (PaMs), effects of each individual policy or measure and its contribution to the reduction of GHG emissions.

Preparation: 2014

Implementation: 2014–2016

Target audience: New review experts reviewing targets and PaMs, and lead reviewers.

Type of course: E-learning, without instructor.

Examination requirements and format: New review experts must pass the examination before participating in expert review teams. Online examination.

3. Technical review of greenhouse gas emissions, emission trends, projections, and the total effect of policies and measures

Description: This course provides general guidance and approaches for the technical review of information on GHG emissions, emission trends, projections and the total effect of PaMs.

Preparation: 2014

Implementation: 2014–2016

Target audience: New review experts reviewing emission trends, projections and the total effect of PaMs, and lead reviewers.

Type of course: E-learning, without instructor

Examination requirements and format: New review experts must pass the examination before participating in expert review teams. Online examination.

4. Technical review of the provision of financial support, technology transfer and capacity-building

Description: This course provides general guidance and approaches for the technical review of information on the provision of financial resources by Parties included in Annex II to the Convention to Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention, the promotion, facilitation and financing of the transfer of technology, and capacity-building, including creating national expertise on climate change related issues, strengthening institutions and developing educational, training and awareness-raising activities.

Preparation: 2014

Implementation: 2014–2016

Target audience: New review experts reviewing provision of financial support, technology transfer and capacity-building, and lead reviewers

Type of course: E-learning, without instructor

Examination requirements and format: New review experts must pass the examination before participating in expert review teams. Online examination.]

Annex III

[English only]

[Draft decision -/CP.20

Training programme for review experts for the technical review of greenhouse gas inventories of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention

The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling Articles 4, 7 and 12 of the Convention,

Also recalling decisions 19/CP.8, 12/CP.9 and 10/CP.15,

Further recalling decisions 1/CP.16 and 2/CP.17 establishing a work programme under the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice for the revision of the guidelines for the review of biennial reports and national communications, including national inventory reviews,

Recalling decision 24/CP.19 adopting the revised “Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual greenhouse gas inventories” and decision **-/CP.20**¹ adopting the “Guidelines for the technical review of information reported under the Convention related to greenhouse gas inventories, biennial reports and national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention”,

Having considered the relevant recommendations of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice,

Recognizing the importance of the training programme for review experts for the technical review of greenhouse gas inventories of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention,

1. *Requests* the secretariat to implement the training programme for review experts for the technical review of greenhouse gas inventories of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, as outlined in the appendix, including the examination of experts, and to give priority to organizing an annual training seminar for the basic course;
2. *Encourages* Parties included in Annex I to the Convention in a position to do so to provide financial support for the implementation of the training programme;
3. *Requests* the secretariat to include, in its annual report on the composition of expert review teams to the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, information on the training programme, in particular information on examination procedures and the selection of trainees and instructors, in order for Parties to assess the effectiveness of the programme.

¹ Draft decision proposed for adoption under agenda item 10(a) of the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice.

Appendix

Training programme for review experts for the technical review of information reported under the Convention related to greenhouse gas inventories by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention

A. Details of the training programme

1. The courses are intended to train review experts for the technical review of information reported under the Convention related to greenhouse gas (GHG) inventories by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention. All training courses will be available online. For trainees without easy access to the Internet, courses will be distributed electronically; for courses facilitated by instructors, trainees will communicate electronically with the instructor during the training period. At the request of any Party, the training courses will also be made available to other experts interested in the technical review of GHG inventories, provided that this does not require additional resources. All courses, without facilitation by instructors, will be available upon request to trainees all year round.
2. A closing seminar for the basic course of the training programme will be offered annually for around 30 participants (new review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories).
3. Additional regional training seminars for new review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories and a refresher seminar for experienced review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories may be offered annually, depending on the availability of resources. The refresher seminars may be offered in conjunction with meetings of lead reviewers in order to enhance their knowledge and that of other experienced review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories.
4. All training courses will include an examination. Examination procedures will be standardized, objective and transparent.
5. For courses which have a training seminar, the examination will generally take place during this seminar. In exceptional circumstances, other arrangements for examinations will be made, provided that the examinations take place under the supervision of the secretariat and that this does not require additional resources. For courses without a training seminar, the examination will take place online.
6. New review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories who successfully complete the relevant requirements of the training programme and pass the examinations will be invited to participate in centralized or in-country reviews, working alongside experienced review experts.
7. New review experts who do not pass a course examination at the first attempt may retake the examination only once, provided that the expert has fulfilled all of the tasks assigned during the training course in a timely manner and that the retake does not require the secretariat to incur additional costs.
8. Experienced review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories will be invited to take the online training courses. Examinations for experienced review experts are not mandatory but are encouraged, and may take place in conjunction with meetings of lead reviewers.

9. Experienced review experts with relevant GHG inventory reporting and review expertise will be invited to act as instructors for relevant courses of the training programme, ensuring that their collective skills cover the subjects addressed in each course. The secretariat will seek to achieve a geographical balance among the instructors participating in the training programme.

10. When selecting new review experts to attend training courses facilitated by instructors, the secretariat will give priority to review experts with relevant GHG inventory reporting expertise, nominated to the UNFCCC roster of experts, from Parties which do not have review experts who participated previously in review activities.

B. Courses of the training programme

1. Basic course for the review of greenhouse gas inventories of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention

Description: This course covers a comprehensive introduction to the “Guidelines for the technical review of information reported under the Convention related to greenhouse gas inventories, biennial reports and national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention”, an overview of the “Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual greenhouse gas inventories”, guidance on procedures and approaches for the technical review of GHG inventories and general Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) inventory guidance (*2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*) as well as specific aspects of the review of the following IPCC sectors: energy; industrial processes and product use; agriculture; land use, land-use change and forestry; and waste. This course also provides guidance on drafting substantive review reports, consistent among review teams and reader-friendly.

Preparation: 2015

Implementation: 2015–2016

Target audience: New and experienced review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories

Type of course: E-learning, facilitated by instructors, with a closing seminar

Examination requirements and format: New review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories must pass the examination before participating in expert review teams. Lead reviewers and experienced review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories are encouraged to take the examinations. The examinations will be conducted in person.

2. Review of complex models and higher-tier methods

Description: This course provides general guidance and procedures, as well as specific aspects for the review of emission estimates performed using complex models and higher-tier methods (tier 3 methods).

Preparation: 2010

Implementation: 2014–2016

Target audience: Lead reviewers and review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories

Type of course: E-learning without an instructor

Examination requirements and format: Optional; self-check electronic examination

3. Improving communication and facilitating consensus in expert review teams

Description: This course provides guidance and tools to improve the work of expert review teams and to facilitate teamwork

Preparation: 2003

Implementation: 2014–2016

Target audience: Lead reviewers and review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories

Type of course: E-learning without an instructor

Examination requirements and format: Optional; self-check electronic examination

C. Refresher seminar for experienced greenhouse gas inventory review experts

Description: This annual seminar provides general guidance on specific and complex aspects of the technical review of GHG emission estimates. It enables experienced review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories to strengthen and refresh their knowledge, both for cross-cutting aspects and for sector-specific issues.

Implementation: 2014–2016, subject to the availability of resources

Target audience: Lead reviewers and experienced review experts for the technical review of GHG inventories]
