



**Economic and Social  
Council**

Distr.  
GENERAL

EB.AIR/WG.6/1998/8/Rev.1  
26 November 1998

Original: ENGLISH

---

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

EXECUTIVE BODY FOR THE CONVENTION ON  
LONG-RANGE TRANSBOUNDARY AIR POLLUTION

Working Group on Abatement Techniques

**CONTROL TECHNIQUES FOR EMISSIONS OF NQ FROM STATIONARY SOURCES**

Prepared by the Task Force on the Assessment of  
Control Options/Techniques for NQ, led by Germany <sup>\*/</sup>

**Introduction**

1. This document covers the stationary sources of NQ emissions listed in table 1.
2. The aim of this document is to provide Parties to the Convention with guidance on identifying best available techniques to enable them to meet the obligations of the Protocol. The presented options for best available techniques (BAT) can be applied in general.

Documents prepared under the auspices or at the request of the Executive Body for the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution for GENERAL circulation should be considered provisional unless APPROVED by the Executive Body.
--

GE.98-32806

---

<sup>\*/</sup> At the fifth meeting of the Task Force held in Karlsruhe (Germany) from 21-22 October 1998.

**Table 1:** Considered stationary source categories for NQ emissions

Source categories
1. Combustion installations (a) Boilers (b) Gas turbines (c) Stationary engines
2. Mineral oil refineries
3. Coke oven furnaces
4. Production and processing of metals: - Metal ore roasting or sintering installations - Installations for the production of pig iron or steel (primary or secondary fusion) including continuous casting - Installations for the processing of ferrous metals (hot rolling)
5. Installations for the production of cement clinker in rotary kilns or in other furnaces
6. Installations for the manufacture of glass including glass fibre
7. Installations for the production of nitric acid
8. Installations for the incineration of municipal waste, hazardous waste, medical waste and sludges from waste-water treatment

3. This document is based on options and techniques for NQ emission prevention and reduction and their performance and costs as documented in the technical background report prepared by the Task Force on the Assessment of Abatement Options/Techniques for NQ which includes information provided to the lead country by plant operators, producers of NQ control equipment and members of the Task Force. The technical background report is also based on information from official documentation of the Executive Body and its subsidiary bodies, e.g. the documentation of the Sixth Seminar on Control Technologies for Emissions from Stationary Sources held in 1996 in Budapest, and other published information. It gives the present status (April 1998) of development and application of the relevant options and techniques and related costs for the reduction of NQ emissions from stationary sources in the main emitting source categories.

4. 'Best available techniques' means the most effective and advanced stage in the development of activities and their methods of operation which indicate the practical suitability of particular techniques for providing in principle the basis for limit values designed to prevent and, where that is not practicable, generally to reduce emissions and the impact on the environment as a whole:

(i) 'Techniques' includes both the technology used and the way in which the installation is designed, built, maintained, operated and decommissioned;

(ii) 'Available' techniques means those techniques developed on a scale which allows implementation in the relevant industrial sector, under economically and technically viable conditions, taking into consideration the costs and advantages, whether or not the techniques are used or produced inside the Party in question, as long as they are reasonably accessible to the operator;

(iii) 'Best' means most effective in achieving a high general level of protection of the environment as a whole.

In determining the best available techniques, special consideration should be given, generally or in specific cases, to the factors below bearing in mind the likely costs and benefits of a measure and the principles of precaution and prevention:

- (a) The use of low-waste technology;
- (b) The use of less hazardous substances;
- (c) The furthering of recovery and recycling<sup>7</sup> of substances generated and used in the process and of waste where appropriate;
- (d) Comparable processes, facilities or methods which have been tried with success on an industrial scale;
- (e) Technological advances and changes in scientific knowledge and understanding;
- (f) The nature, effects and volume of the emissions concerned;
- (g) The commissioning dates for new or existing installations;
- (h) The length of time needed to introduce the best available technique;
- (i) The consumption and nature of raw materials (including water) used in the process and their energy efficiency;
- (j) The need to prevent or to reduce to a minimum the overall impact of the emissions on the environment and the risks to it;
- (k) The need to prevent accidents and to minimize the consequences for the environment.

5. This document addresses the control of NO emissions considered as the sum of nitrogen oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) expressed as NO<sub>2</sub>. However, when measures or techniques are planned for NO sources emitting other components and, in particular, sulphur oxides (SO<sub>x</sub>), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), greenhouse gases (such as CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O), particulates (including heavy metals), and persistent organic pollutants (POPs), it is worthwhile to consider them together with such other pollutant-specific control options in order to maximize the abatement effect and minimize the impact on the environment. Respective trade-offs between different pollutants have to be accounted for. This is particularly important for multi-pollutant/multi-effect approaches.

## **I. GENERAL ISSUES**

6. Several possibilities exist to control or to prevent NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from stationary sources. Primary, secondary (add-on or end-of-pipe) and structural measures are generally distinguished. If not stated otherwise, measures are applicable to existing and new installations. The following list gives a general outline of available measures, which may also be combined:

- (a) Enhanced effectiveness of existing NO<sub>x</sub> control technologies;
- (b) Energy management (efficient and rational use of energy);
- (c) Appropriate boiler design;
- (d) Improved combustion technologies;
- (e) Combustion modification (primary measures);
- (f) New concepts for combustion technologies;
- (g) Flue gas cleaning (secondary measures);
- (h) Good housekeeping (e.g. good maintenance, good control).

### **A. Structural measures**

7. The use of clean fuels and the rational use of energy result in a reduction in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Usually, the use of certain fuels is governed by a country's energy supply structure. Thus, the use of low NO<sub>x</sub> producing fuels is usually limited. Although there is a substantial technical potential for reducing NO<sub>x</sub> by fuel switching, achieving this potential will depend on country-specific conditions such as its infrastructure and policy. On the other hand, substantial reductions in energy consumption may be achieved in many production processes through energy management, e.g. energy conservation, technology switch, and demand-side management. The costs of energy management options may be lower than the costs of additional energy supply. Energy management can contribute substantially to the mitigation of air pollution. Fuel cleaning for fuel nitrogen removal is not a commercial option. Increasing the application of hydroprocessing in refineries, however, also brings about a reduction in the nitrogen content of the end product.

### **B. Primary and secondary measures**

8. To achieve the most efficient NO<sub>x</sub> reduction, beyond energy management measures, a combination of technical options (fuel switching, other combustion technologies, process and combustion modifications, flue gas treatment) should be considered. Furthermore, to identify the best combinations of combustion modifications and flue gas treatment, site-specific evaluation is needed, in order to meet given emission targets.

9. Process and combustion modifications are applied to reduce the formation of NO<sub>x</sub> during combustion. They include the control of combustion air ratio, flame temperature, fuel-to-air ratio, etc. Some of the options are typical for retrofit; others are typical for new installations, but may also be applied to retrofit. Efficiency and applicability could have some limitations. These measures are widely implemented, either singly or in combination:

- (a) Low excess air combustion (LEA);
- (b) Reduced air preheat (RAP);<sup>2/</sup>
- (c) Burner-out-of-service (BOOS);<sup>2/</sup>
- (d) Biased-burner-firing (BBF);<sup>2/</sup>
- (e) Low NO<sub>x</sub> burners (LNB);
- (f) Flue gas recirculation (FGR);
- (g) Over fire air combustion (OFA);
- (h) In-furnace NO<sub>x</sub> reduction or reburning (IFNR);
- (i) Water/steam injection and lean/premixed combustion.<sup>3/</sup>

10. NO<sub>x</sub> control technologies such as reburning are well developed and available for all combustible fuels. The achievable removal efficiency is reported to reach 70 - 80%, alone or in combination with other primary measures. Reburning offers some advantages such as compatibility with other primary NO<sub>x</sub> emission reduction measures, simple installation, use of a standard fuel (oil, gas) as reducing agent, no additives and little additional energy required. Especially the successful implementation of reburning in large oil-fired units has to be mentioned, as well as the experience with coal as a reduction agent. The trend nowadays is to use the same fuel as fuel and reducing agent.

11. NO<sub>x</sub> emissions can be also reduced by using inherent low NO<sub>x</sub> control technologies such as fluidized bed combustion. This technology is applicable to a large range of fuels (coal, biomass, residues, etc.). Due to the rather low combustion temperature (about 850°C) and an inherent air staging, this technology achieves low NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and can generally be used without secondary measures. Oxycombustion is another way of abating NO<sub>x</sub> emissions; until now, industrial applications of this technique have been limited to glass manufacturing.

12. Basic combustion modifications are incorporated mainly into boiler and burner design. For example, modern furnace designs incorporate OFA devices. The latest generation of LNB combines both air-staging and fuel-staging (reburning at burner level).

13. Unlike most combustion processes, the application of combustion and/or process modifications in industrial processes with combustion has many process-specific limitations. In cement kilns or glass melting furnaces, for example, certain high temperatures and homogeneous temperature distributions are necessary to ensure product quality. Typical combustion modifications being used are staged combustion/low NO<sub>x</sub> burners, flue gas recirculation and process optimization (e.g. precalcination in cement kilns).

14. The selective catalytic reduction process (SCR) is the most mature and widely implemented flue gas treatment process with a high removal efficiency (in some sectors, this efficiency can, depending on the case, reach up to 95% and high availability. The SCR process usually uses ammonia or urea as a reducing agent, but the use of high-pressure stored anhydrous ammonia is the most common. Many SCR installations using these additives are being operated successfully in Europe, mostly for boilers. Application of SCR at gas-fired plants can reduce  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions to very low levels. It has been implemented at gas, oil, and coal-fired installations. Catalyst lifetimes are much higher than initially projected and have reached as much as 6 - 10 years for coal-fired units, and 8 - 12 years for gas- and oil-fired units, the lower values being achieved for high-dust configuration and the higher for tail-gas configuration. SCR is applicable also to smaller combustion installations and it is a well established de- $\text{NO}_x$  technology for combustion in boilers and for certain industrial processes, such as:

- (a) Nitric acid plants;
- (b) Glass smelters;
- (c) Cement production (the applicability of SCR is currently being tested on a pilot scale);
- (d) Refinery furnaces;
- (e) Combustion of hazardous wastes (usually in rotary kilns);
- (f) Combustion of municipal wastes (usually in grate furnaces);
- (g) Combustion of hospital and other special wastes in public or industrial units (rotary kilns, pyrolysis plants, fluidized bed incineration of industrial wastes like sludges, rejects, production residues) also in rather small units ( $< 10 \text{ MW}_h$ ).

15. The selective non-catalytic reduction process (SNCR) is applicable to small and medium-sized installations with medium raw gas  $\text{NO}_x$  contents. The SNCR process is implemented in a variety of combustion installations and process furnaces (glass and cement production) as well as in waste incinerators. The SNCR process is in general capable of 30 - 70% reductions. Combined with flue gas recirculation, it is an attractive and reliable technology for moderate  $\text{NO}_x$  removal (50 - 80%), especially for smaller combustion plants and industrial processes.

16. Other flue gas treatment technologies are the combined  $\text{NO}_x/\text{SO}_2$  removal processes. The activated carbon process (AC) is an option used in few cases only, since it is expensive and leads to limited  $\text{NO}_x$  reduction (around 60%). The SNOX process, which removes both sulphur oxides and nitrogen oxides, offers some advantages in the case of high-sulphur feedstocks.

### **C. Costs**

17. The estimation of investments and operating costs for  $\text{NO}_x$  emission reduction options/techniques is important when choosing from the wide range of measures and, on a more macroeconomic level, when developing an emission control strategy on national or regional level. A detailed assessment of cost data in terms of consistent investment and operating costs is presented in the background document on best available techniques of the Task Force on the

Assessment of Abatement Options/Techniques for NO<sub>x</sub>. The respective data are based on practical experience. Data have been collected for existing and new plants; for the latter, the investment can usually be considerably lower.

18. Examples of investments and operating costs are given in table 2 for selected relevant combinations of primary and secondary measures, which are applicable in the considered sectors. The examples are specific for detailed relevant parameters, such as yearly operating hours, waste gas volume flow and pollutant concentration therein, capacity of the base installation, fuel type, etc. Thus, the given data serve an illustrative purpose and cannot be taken as generally applicable values. In table 3, the most important parameters are given for the determination of operating costs for the example of SCR. These examples illustrate the methodology followed for the cost assessment in the technical background report of the Task Force on the Assessment of Abatement Options/Techniques for NO<sub>x</sub>.

**Table 2:** Investments and operating costs of control options for the abatement of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (retrofit of existing installations)

Characteristics of reference installation	Control options	Investments <sup>a)</sup> [ECU]	Operating Costs <sup>b)</sup> [ECU/a]	Abated mass flow [Mg NO <sub>x</sub> /a]
<b>Boilers</b>				
Public power and district heating; Boiler; Fuel: hard coal; Capacity: 1,500 MW <sub>th</sub> ; Operating time: 5,500 h/a	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner	13,300,000	1,400,000	3,560
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & over-fire air	16,100,000	1,500,000	4,100
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & SCR	61,300,000	6,400,000	8,110
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & over-fire air & SNCR	17,500,000	2,200,000	6,770
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & over-fire air & SCR	64,100,000	6,500,000	8,200
Public power and district heating; Boiler; Capacity: 600 MW <sub>th</sub> ; Fuel: hard coal; Operating time: 1,500 h/a	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner	7,000,000	430,000	390
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & over-fire air	8,500,000	505,000	450
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & SCR	32,000,000	1,930,000	885
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & over-fire air & SNCR	9,200,000	610,000	740
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & over-fire air & SCR	33,500,000	2,000,000	895
Industry; Boiler; Fuel: natural gas; Capacity: 160 MW <sub>th</sub> ; Operating time: 6,000 h/a	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner	2,800,000	170,000	140

Characteristics of reference installation	Control options	Investments <sup>a)</sup> [ECU]	Operating Costs <sup>b)</sup> [ECU/a]	Abated mass flow [Mg NO <sub>x</sub> /a]
Industry; Boiler; Fuel: plant gas; Capacity: 160 MW <sub>th</sub> ; Operating time: 6,000 h/a	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & SNCR	1,500,000	240,000	830
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & SCR	3,400,000	560,000	1,040
	Flue gas recirculation & SNCR	1,300,000	210,000	780
	Flue gas recirculation & SCR	3,200,000	530,000	1,030
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & flue gas recirculation & SNCR	2,000,000	310,000	920
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & flue gas recirculation & SCR	3,850,000	630,000	1,070
Public power, district heating, industry; Circulating fluidized bed combustion: Fuel: coal; Capacity: 160 MW <sub>th</sub> ; Operating time: 6,000 h/a	No measure	10,700,000 <sup>±/</sup>	830,000 <sup>±</sup>	760 <sup>±/</sup>
	SNCR	290,000	74,000	152
Commercial and institutional heating; Boiler; Fuel: heavy fuel oil; Capacity: 5 MW <sub>th</sub> ; Operating time: 2,000 h/a	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner	245,000	13,000	3.1
	Over-fire air	51,000	2,500	0.75
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & overfire air	295,000	15,700	3.6
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner & flue gas recirculation	295,000	16,500	4.5
<b>Gas turbines</b>				
Combined cycle; Output capacity: 150 MW <sub>el</sub> ; Fuel: natural gas; Working time: 6,000 h/a	PM	2,050,000	370,000	670
	SCR	27,000,000	3,110,000	1,145
Simple cycle; Output capacity: 30 MW <sub>el</sub> ; Fuel: natural gas; Working time: 2,000 h/a	PM	360,000	36,000	70
	SCR	5,400,000	380,000	115
	PM & SCR	4,000,000	300,000	125
Cogeneration plant in industry; Output capacity of gas turbine: 25 MW <sub>el</sub> ; Fuel: diesel oil; Working time: 8,000 h/a	PM	550,000	50,000	685
	SCR	4,500,000	600,000	1,165
	PM & SCR	5,050,000	610,000	1,265



Characteristics of reference installation	Control options	Investments <sup>a)</sup> [ECU]	Operating Costs <sup>b)</sup> [ECU/a]	Abated mass flow [Mg NO <sub>x</sub> /a]
IGCC <sup>d/</sup> ; Output capacity: 450 MW <sub>e1</sub> ; Fuel: heavy fuel oil; Working time: 8,000 h/a	PM	5,400,000	700,000	4,000
	SCR	81,000,000	10,000,000	6,900
<b>Stationary engines</b>				
Old rich burn gas engine; Fuel: natural gas; Output capacity: 600 kW <sub>e1</sub> ; Working time: 5,000 h/a	Lean burn	10,000	- 3,000	40
	[NSCR]	[40,000]	[2,500]	[40]
Improved lean burn gas engine; Fuel: natural gas; Output capacity: 600 kW <sub>e1</sub> ; Working time: 5,000 h/a	SCR	50,000 <sup>e/</sup>	15,000	5
Diesel engine; Fuel: heavy fuel oil; Output capacity: 20 MW <sub>e1</sub> ; Working time: 5,000 h/a	SCR	230,000	40,000	650
Diesel engine; Fuel: diesel oil; Output capacity: 3 MW <sub>e1</sub> ; Working time: 5,000 h/a	Exhaust gas recirculation	15,000	5,000	26
	SCR	230,000	40,000	78
	Exhaust gas recirculation & SCR	245,000	40,000	80
Diesel engine; Fuel: heavy fuel oil; Output capacity: 20 MW <sub>e1</sub> ; Working time: 5,000 h/a	SCR	60,000	9,000	100
<b>Iron and steel production: sinter plants</b>				
Travelling grate sinter machine; Fuel: coke breeze; Production output: 12,000 Mg sinter/d; Working time: 8,400 h/a	Flue gas recirculation	5,000,000	- 200,000 <sup>f/</sup>	2,000
	SCR	50,000,000	10,000,000	6,600
	Flue gas recirculation & SCR	48,000,000	5,000,000	6,700

Characteristics of reference installation	Control options	Investments <sup>a)</sup> [ECU]	Operating Costs <sup>b)</sup> [ECU/a]	Abated mass flow [Mg NO <sub>x</sub> /a]
<b>Cement production</b>				
Dry process with preheater/precalciner; Fuel: coal; Production capacity: 2,000 Mg/d; Working time: 5,000 h/a	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and staged combustion for precalciner kiln	3,600,000	240,000	340
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SNCR	2,175,000	340,000	460
Dry process with preheater/precalciner; Fuel: coal; Production capacity: 2,000 Mg/d; Working time: 8,000 h/a	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SCR	6,400,000	820,000	960
<b>Glass production: container glass</b>				
Production of flint and coloured glass; Regeneratively heated furnace; Fuel: natural gas; Production capacity: 400 Mg/d; Working time: 8,760 h/a	CM <sup>a/</sup>	600,000	30,000	300
	Reburning	250,000	200,000	470
	LoNO <sub>x</sub> melter	2,000,000	100,000	550
	Oxycombustion	- 1,200,000 <sup>b/</sup>	1,200,000	630
400 Mg/d; Working time: 8,760 h/a	SNCR	1,000,000	130,000	390
	SCR	4,000,000	500,000	550
	CM & SNCR	1,580,000	161,000	550
	CM & SCR	4,390,000	536,000	650
Production of flint and coloured glass; Recuperatively heated furnace; Fuel: natural gas; Production capacity: 400 Mg/d; Working time: 8,760 h/a	CM <sup>a/</sup>	360,000	18,000	76
<b>Nitric acid production</b>				
Production of medium concentration nitric acid High dual pressure plant; Production capacity: 1,000 Mg/d; Working time: 8,400 h/a	SCR	2,000,000	300,000	950
Production of high concentration nitric acid; Production capacity: 500 Mg/d; Working time: 8,400 h/a	SCR	1,200,000	200,000	800

Characteristics of reference installation	Control options	Investments <sup>a)</sup> [ECU]	Operating Costs <sup>b)</sup> [ECU/a]	Abated mass flow [Mg NO <sub>x</sub> /a]
<b>Waste incineration: incineration of domestic or municipal wastes</b>				
Moving grate incinerator; rotary kiln Capacity: 30 Mg/d; Operating time: 8,400 h/a	PM <sup>d/</sup>	300,000	30,000	100
	SNCR	1,000,000	200,000	350
	SCR	26,000,000	2,000,000	430
	PM & SNCR	1,300,000	230,000	380
	PM & SCR	26,300,000	2,000,000	440
<sup>a/</sup> Depending on e.g. waste gas flow rate, production capacity, peripheral conditions <sup>b/</sup> Depending on e.g. waste gas flow rate, NO <sub>x</sub> inlet concentration in the waste gas, annual operating time, NO <sub>x</sub> reduction rate, etc. <sup>c/</sup> Compared with conventional pulverized coal combustion. <sup>d/</sup> Limited operating experience. <sup>e/</sup> Including a small oxidation catalyser <sup>f/</sup> Due to reduced coke breeze consumption. <sup>g/</sup> E.g. low excess air, reduced air preheating, staged combustion. <sup>h/</sup> Compared to conventional air combustion.				
PM = Primary Measure CM = Combustion Modification SNCR = Selective Non Catalytic Reduction SCR = Selective Catalytic Reduction NSCR = Non Selective Catalytic Reduction (three-way catalyser)				

**Table 3:** Major cost components and related parameters considered for the assessment of operating costs (ECU/a) for the SCR technology (for aqueous ammonia)

Parameters 6 Main cost components 9	Waste gas flow rate	C (NO <sub>x</sub> , in)	Annual working time (h/a)	NO <sub>x</sub> reduction	Share of NO in total NO <sub>x</sub>	Relative importance
Direct consumption related operating costs						
Ammonia consumption <sup>a/</sup>	!	!	!	!	!	++
Electricity consumption <sup>a/</sup>	!		!			++
Fuel consumption <sup>b/</sup>	!		!			+
Catalyst replacement <sup>a/</sup>	!		!			+++
Personnel			!			+
Investment-related operating costs						
Maintenance and repair	!	!		!		++
Taxes	!	!		!		+
Insurance	!	!		!		+
C (NO <sub>x</sub> , in) = inlet NO <sub>x</sub> -concentration in flue gas						
<sup>a/</sup> Dominating items together with capital costs (not taken into account in table 3).						
<sup>b/</sup> To be considered in the case of tail gas or low dust configuration						

Concerning the investment-related costs, it should be taken into account that depreciation and interest (capital costs) represent the most important cost items (not taken into account in table 3) for the SCR technology.

19. To derive the abatement cost per sector for a given country, it is necessary to consider parameters such as:

- Capacity distribution of production processes;
- Age distribution and final lifetime of production processes;
- Transition periods/implementation schedules with regard to technology changes;
- Production and abatement technologies already in place according to current legislation;
- Future activity rates of sectors;
- Nature of raw materials, process design and operation.

To derive this information, substantial further analysis than table 3 provides is needed. The background document provides the reference installation methodology and the necessary data (investments, annual operating costs) taking into account the set of relevant parameters mentioned above to assess these costs.

20. To improve national cost functions, they should adequately reflect the individual country's situation. Therefore, in a bottom-up approach, the country-specific ranking of options for the various sectors should be combined with country-specific activity levels. Finally, the national cost functions should be the result of an optimization consideration how to adapt the production system over time to different NQ reduction requirements. This includes not only end-of-pipe measures, but also structural changes within the sectors.

#### **D. Side effects**

21. Side effects of emission abatement options/techniques should be accounted for. Particular attention should be paid to the influence of NQ control measures on related air pollution issues such as ammonia emissions released from certain emission reduction facilities. Moreover, cross-media aspects should be considered in terms of water pollution and waste generation which may be induced or increased by certain NQ emission reduction options/techniques. However, these side effects can generally be limited by proper design and operation of the facilities. More specifically, the side effects to be considered with different emission reduction techniques are:

(a) Combustion modifications: possible side effects are decrease in overall energy efficiency, increased CO formation and hydrocarbon emissions, corrosion due to reducing atmosphere, increase of unburnt carbon in fly ash;

(b) FBC: this technique also brings about a considerable reduction in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. A possible drawback in FBC systems may be the increased formation of N<sub>2</sub>O under certain process conditions. The handling of the ashes needs consideration in relation to their possible use and/or disposal;

(c) SCR: some possible side effects are ammonia slip in the exhaust gas, ammonia content in the fly ash, formation of ammonium salts on downstream facilities, deactivation of the catalyst and increased conversion of SO<sub>2</sub> to

SO<sub>3</sub> (corrosion). By the controlled operation of the plant, the fly ash quality can, however, be guaranteed and the formation of ammonia salt reduced. In terms of by-products, deactivated catalysts from the SCR process may be the only relevant products, although this has become a minor problem since the catalyst lifetime has been improved and reprocessing options exist;

(d) SNCR: side effects to be considered are ammonia in the exhaust gas, formation of ammonium salts on downstream facilities, the formation of NO, when urea, for instance, is used as a component of the reducing mixture, and CO releases;

(e) The reagent production of ammonia and urea for flue gas treatment processes involves a number of separate steps which require energy and reactants. The storage systems for ammonia are subject to the relevant safety legislation and such systems are designed to operate as totally closed systems, with a resultant minimum of ammonia emissions. The use of NH<sub>3</sub> is still considered appropriate, even when taking into account the indirect emissions related to the production and transport of NH<sub>3</sub>.

### **III. SECTOR-SPECIFIC ISSUES**

#### **A. Combustion installations**

22. This section covers boilers, (small: 1 - 10 MW<sub>th</sub>, medium: 10 - 50 MW<sub>th</sub>, and large: > 50 MW<sub>th</sub>), gas turbines (> 1 MW<sub>el</sub>), and stationary engines (> 100 kW<sub>el</sub>). Given capacity classes in terms of rated thermal input refer to the lower heating value (LHV) of the respective fuel.

23. New concepts for combustion technologies with improved thermal efficiency and reduced NO<sub>x</sub> emissions include combustion turbines, atmospheric and pressurized fluidized bed combustion, integrated gasification combined cycle, combined cycle gas turbines, cogeneration and supercritical boilers:

(a) Stationary combustion turbines can also be integrated in existing conventional power plants (known as topping). The overall efficiency can be increased by 5 - 6%, but the achievable NO<sub>x</sub> reduction will depend on site-specific conditions. Major alterations to the existing boiler system may become necessary;

(b) Fluidized bed combustion (FBC) is a combustion technology for burning hard coal and lignite, but also low-grade fuels such as waste, peat and wood. Emissions can be further reduced through integrated combustion control in the system. Within the sector of energy conversion, atmospheric fluidized bed combustion is a well established commercial technology. There are more circulating fluidized bed combustors than bubbling fluidized bed combustors. Currently, more than 200 circulating fluidized bed systems with a total capacity of about 26,000 MW<sub>th</sub> and 15 pressurized fluidized bed systems (representing about 5,000 MW<sub>th</sub>) are in operation worldwide;

(c) The integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) process incorporates coal gasification and combined cycle power generation in a gas and a steam turbine. The gasified coal is burned in the combustion chamber of

the gas turbine. The technology also exists for heavy oil residue. The installed capacity at present is about 1,000 MW<sub>th</sub> (5 plants). However, this process is not yet fully commercialized;

(d) Combined cycle gas power stations using advanced gas turbines with an overall energy efficiency significantly higher than 55 % and with reduced NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are being built;

(e) The combined generation of electricity and heat in so-called cogeneration plants represents a possibility to save fuel (up to 50% reduction in energy consumption compared to separate generation of electricity and heat). Although the electrical efficiency is decreased by the extraction of steam, the overall efficiency of the cogeneration system ranges between 70 and 90%;

(f) A further measure to increase conventional power plant efficiency is the use of supercritical steam cycle conditions. Total system net energy efficiencies can achieve 43% for hard coal fired plants, 41% for lignite-fired plants, and 56% for gas-fired combined cycle plants.

24. Table 4 gives a selection of applicable abatement options and the respective clean gas concentrations achievable by typical emission sources.

**Table 4:** Emission sources and selected NO<sub>x</sub> control measures with their respective clean gas concentrations for combustion installations

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Small boilers 1 - 10 MW<sub>th</sub>, medium boilers 10 - 50 MW<sub>th</sub></b>		
Dry bottom boiler; Fuel: hard coal (> 10 MW <sub>th</sub> )	Primary measures (PM)	400 - 600
Boiler; Fuel: light fuel oil	PM	150 - 300
Boiler; Fuel: heavy fuel oil	PM	300 - 600
Boiler; Fuel: natural gas	PM	50 - 150
Circulating FBC; Fuel: coal, peat, biomass, etc.	no further measure	150 - 300
Bubbling FBC; Fuel: coal, bark, oil, sediment, etc.	no further measure	200 - 400
Industrial boiler; Fuel: process gas	PM	100 - 300
<b>Large boilers &gt; 50 MW<sub>th</sub></b>		
Dry bottom boiler	PM	300 - 600
Fuel: hard coal	PM and SCR (many applications)	80 - 150
	PM and SCR (many applications)	
	PM (without reburning)	250 - 500
Fuel: heavy fuel oil	PM (incl. reburning)	# 200

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
	PM and SCR	60 - 150
Boiler; Fuel: natural gas	PM	50 - 200
Wet bottom boiler	PM and SCR (tail gas)	# 150
Fuel: hard coal	PM and SNCR	# 200
Pressurized FBC	no further measure	150 - 200
Fuel: hard coal	SCR and/or SNCR	# 100
Circulating FBC	no further measure	150 - 300
Fuel: coal, peat, biomass, etc.	SNCR	100 - 200
Bubbling FBC; Fuel:	no further measure	200 - 400
coal, bark, oil, sediment, etc.	SNCR	130 - 200
Industrial boiler	PM	100 - 300
Fuel: process gas	PM and SCR	100 - 200
<b>Gas turbines</b>		
<b>Simple cycle, combined cycle, cogeneration (prior to supplementary firing), mechanical drive</b>		
Fuel: natural gas	PM	50 - 150 [400]*
	SCR	10 - 50
Fuel: diesel oil or process gas	Wet controls	100 - 200
	SCR	20 - 100
<b>IGCC</b>		
Fuel: coal or heavy fuel oil	Nitrogen and steam injection	50 - 100
<b>Stationary engines</b>		
<b>Spark ignition (= Otto) engines, 4-stroke</b>		
Old rich burn	NSCR (three-way catalyser)	350
Improved lean burn	No measure	300 - 550
	SCR	100
<b>Compression ignition (= Diesel) engines</b>		
Fuel: heavy fuel oil	SCR	[200; 400 - 1,000]
Fuel: diesel oil	SCR	360 - 500
	Exhaust gas recirculation and SCR	180 - 240
<b>Boilers: Solid fuels: 6% excess O<sub>2</sub>; Liquid fuels: 3% excess O<sub>2</sub>; Gaseous fuels: 3% excess O<sub>2</sub></b> <b>Gas turbines: 15% excess O<sub>2</sub>; Stationary engines: 5% excess O<sub>2</sub></b> Values apply to different raw gas concentrations and are valid for baseload operation of the considered utilities. PM: Primary Measures                      NSCR: Non-Selective Catalytic Reduction (three-way catalyser)		

\* For simple cycle

## **B. Mineral oil refineries**

25. This section addresses combustion processes in mineral oil refineries without contact between flame or flue gas and products. The most relevant sources of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in mineral oil refineries are process heaters for the heating of crude oil and petroleum products.

26. A significant part of the fuel used for process heaters is provided by refinery gas. Various processes contribute a large variety of compounds to the refinery gas, resulting in varying NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Other fuels in use in mineral oil refineries are natural gas, petroleum coke, heavy fuel oil, or other residues originating from atmospheric and vacuum distillation, fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) and thermofor catalytic cracking (TCC). Table 5 gives a selection of applicable abatement options and the respective clean gas concentrations achievable by typical emission sources.

**Table 5:** Emission sources and selected NO<sub>x</sub> control measures with respective clean gas concentrations in mineral oil refineries

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
Process heater; Fuel: petroleum coke	Primary measures (PM)	# 200
Process heater; Fuel: heavy fuel oil	PM	250 - 600
Process heater; Fuel: natural gas	PM	50 - 200
Process heater; Fuel: process gas	PM	100 - 300
FCC	SCR	100 - 200
Solid fuels: 6% excess O <sub>2</sub> ; liquid fuels: 3% excess O <sub>2</sub> ; gaseous fuels: 3% excess O <sub>2</sub> Values apply to different raw gas concentrations.		

## **C. Coke oven furnaces**

27. This section deals with emissions originating from coke oven furnaces in iron and steel production. Table 6 gives a selection of applicable abatement options and the respective clean gas concentrations achievable by typical emission sources.



**Table 6:** Emission sources and selected control measures with respective clean gas concentrations for coke oven furnaces in iron and steel production

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
Fuel: coke oven gas	Combustion modification* and SCR	# 60
Fuel: blast furnace gas	SCR	# 10
Gaseous fuels: 3% excess O <sub>2</sub> . Values apply to different raw gas concentrations. * Waste gas recirculation, air-staged combustion, lowering the coking temperature.		

**D. Production and processing of metals**

28. This section deals with emissions originating from sinter plants, blast furnace cowpers, and reheating furnaces in iron and steel production. Until now, no NO<sub>x</sub>-removal option has been applied to blast furnace cowpers. Direct reduction and direct smelting are currently under development and may reduce the need for sinter plants and blast furnaces in the future. The application of these technologies depends on the ore characteristics and requires the resulting product to be processed in an electric arc furnace. Table 7 gives a selection of applicable abatement options and the respective clean gas concentrations achievable by typical emission sources.

**Table 7:** Emission sources and selected control measures with respective clean gas concentrations for sinter plants and reheating furnaces in iron and steel production

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Sinter plants</b>		
Travelling grate; Fuel: coke breeze	Flue gas recirculation and SCR	# 230
<b>Reheating furnaces</b>		
Fuel: blast furnace gas	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner	# 390
Fuel: coke oven gas, heavy fuel oil	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner	# 1,100
Fuel: natural gas, gas oil	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner	# 250
Solid fuels: 6% excess O <sub>2</sub> ; liquid fuels: 3% excess O <sub>2</sub> ; gaseous fuels: 3% excess O <sub>2</sub> . Values apply to different raw gas concentrations.		

## **E. Cement production**

29. Cement kilns make use of fossil as well as secondary fuels such as waste oil or waste tyres. For the production of clinker, several kiln types are available, showing different NO<sub>x</sub> emission levels: long wet rotary kiln, long dry rotary kiln, dry rotary kiln with cyclone/grate preheater, dry rotary kiln with cyclone/grate preheater and precalciner, shaft furnace. In terms of energy demand and emission control opportunities, dry rotary kilns with cyclone/grate preheater and precalciner are preferable. In Europe, the dry process is mostly used, especially in new plants. In modern dry process kiln systems, the precalciner technology is used to further improve, among other things, thermal efficiency and production capacity.

30. Table 8 gives a selection of applicable abatement options and the respective clean gas concentrations achievable by typical emission sources. In the last years, the selective catalytic reduction process has been implemented at several demonstration plants on a pilot scale. SCR is not yet current practice in the cement manufacturing sector. However, given the recent positive experience, it may become an applicable control option in the future.

**Table 8:** Emission sources and selected NO<sub>x</sub> control measures with their respective reduction efficiencies and clean gas concentrations in cement production

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Dry process with preheater/precalciner</b>		
Fuel: coal	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and staged combustion for precalciner kiln	# 1,000
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SNCR	200 - 800
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SCR	100 - 200
Solid fuels: 10% excess O <sub>2</sub> .		
Values apply to different raw gas concentrations.		

## **F. Glass production**

31. This section deals with the production of flat and container glass, glasswool, commodity glass (TV screen, lighting) and domestic glassware. In glass production, several parameters have a significant influence on the NO<sub>x</sub> emission levels: the type of fuel used (natural gas, heavy fuel oil), the furnace type (cross-fired, end-fired furnaces; regenerative, recuperative air preheating) and the type of glass produced (flint glass, clear glass, tint glass).

32. Table 9 gives a selection of applicable abatement options and the respective clean gas concentrations achievable by typical emission sources. In the last years, some promising abatement technologies have emerged: reburning and oxycombustion. When using oxycombustion, special care has to be

taken with regard to energy efficiency so as not to reduce the NO<sub>x</sub> emission abatement potential.

**Table 9:** Emission sources and selected NO<sub>x</sub> control measures with their respective reduction efficiencies and clean gas concentrations in glass production

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Flat glass</b>		
<b>Clear glass</b>		
Cross-fired furnace with regenerative preheating; Fuel: natural gas	Combustion modification <sup>a/</sup> and low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SCR	# 500
Cross-fired furnace with regenerative preheating; Fuel: natural gas or heavy fuel oil	Reburning	# 500
Cross-fired furnace with regenerative preheating; Fuel: heavy fuel oil	Combustion modification (primary measures)	# 600
<b>Tinted glass</b>		
Cross-fired furnace with regenerative preheating; Fuel: natural gas	Combustion modification <sup>a/</sup> and low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SCR	# 700
Cross-fired furnace with regenerative preheating; Fuel: natural gas or heavy fuel oil	Reburning	# 500
<b>Container glass</b>		
Regeneratively heated furnace; Fuel: natural gas	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SCR	# 350
	Combustion modification <sup>a/</sup> and low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SNCR	# 600
	Oxycombustion <sup>b/</sup>	# 400
	Reburning	# 500
Regeneratively heated furnace; Fuel: heavy fuel oil	Combustion modification <sup>a/</sup> and low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SNCR	# 450
	Oxycombustion <sup>b/</sup>	# 300
	Reburning	# 500
Recuperatively heated furnace; Fuel: natural gas	Combustion modification (primary measures)	# 350
<b>Glass wool</b>		
Recuperatively heated furnace; Fuel: natural gas	Oxycombustion <sup>b/</sup>	# 300
Regeneratively heated furnace; Fuel: heavy fuel oil	Oxycombustion <sup>b/</sup>	# 250

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Special glass</b>		
<b>Commodity glass</b>		
Recuperatively heated furnace;	Combustion modification <sup>a/</sup> and low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SNCR	# 600
Fuel: natural gas	Oxycombustion <sup>b/</sup>	# 400
Recuperatively heated furnace;	Combustion modification <sup>a/</sup> and low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SNCR	# 450
Fuel: heavy fuel oil	Oxycombustion <sup>b/</sup>	# 300
Solid, liquid and gaseous fuels: 8% excess O <sub>2</sub> . Values apply to different raw gas concentrations. <sup>a/</sup> E.g. low excess air, reduced air preheating, staged combustion. <sup>b/</sup> Related to total NO <sub>x</sub> emission mass flow for comparison.		

#### G. Nitric acid production

33. For the production of nitric acid, two production methods exist: the first produces medium-concentration nitric acid (50 - 75 wt.-%), the second leads to high-strength nitric acid (98 wt.-%), which can be achieved either by direct process or extractive distillation (via medium-concentration nitric acid). The most relevant process in terms of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions is the production of medium-concentration nitric acid. Traditionally, medium-concentration nitric acid production plants are designed either as low-pressure, medium-pressure or high-pressure. The state of the art for new plants is medium-pressure equipped with selective catalytic reduction, and high-pressure plants.

34. Table 10 gives achievable clean gas concentrations for typical emission sources when applying selective catalytic reduction.

**Table 10:** Emission sources and selected NO<sub>x</sub> control measures with their respective clean gas concentrations in nitric acid production

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Production of medium concentration nitric acid</b>		
Medium dual pressure	SCR	# 400
High dual pressure	SCR	# 100
<b>Production of medium- and high-concentration nitric acid (extractive distillation process)</b>		
Medium dual pressure	SCR	# 240
<b>Production of high-concentration nitric acid</b>		
Direct process or extractive distillation	SCR	# 180
The given concentrations refer to the production step only (not to the concentration step) and to 3% O <sub>2</sub> .		

## H. Waste incineration

35. This section addresses the incineration of municipal (or domestic), hazardous and medical wastes as well as the incineration of sludges from waste-water treatment. Different incineration technologies generate different levels of  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions (e.g. moving grate, rotary kiln, fluidized bed combustion, electric infrared).

36. Emissions of  $\text{NO}_x$  can generally be reduced by reducing the amount of incinerated waste. This can be accomplished through various waste management strategies, including recycling programmes and composting of organic materials.

37. The most relevant technologies for reducing  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions are flue gas recirculation, air-staged combustion, SCR and SNCR. Table 11 gives a selection of applicable abatement options and the respective clean gas concentrations achievable by typical emission sources.

**Table 11:** Emission sources and selected  $\text{NO}_x$  control measures with their respective clean gas concentrations in waste incineration

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration ( $\text{mg}/\text{Nm}^3$ )
<b>Domestic or municipal waste incineration</b>		
Moving grate, rotary kiln	Primary measures (air staging)	# 250
	Flue gas recirculation or air-staged combustion and SNCR	# 140
	Flue gas recirculation or air-staged combustion and SCR	# 70
Fluidized bed combustion	Flue gas recirculation or air-staged combustion and SNCR	# 80
	Flue gas recirculation or air-staged combustion and SCR	# 40
<b>Industrial waste incineration</b>		
Grate furnace, rotary kiln, fluidized bed combustion	Flue gas recirculation or air-staged combustion and SNCR	# 140
	Flue gas recirculation or air-staged combustion and SCR	# 70
<b>Incineration of sludges from waste-water treatment</b>		
Rotary kiln, fluidized bed combustion, multiple hearth furnace	Flue gas recirculation or air-staged combustion and SNCR	# 140
	Flue gas recirculation or air-staged combustion and SCR	# 70
All fuels: 11% excess $\text{O}_2$ . Values apply to different raw gas concentrations.		

Endnote

1/ Recovery and recycling are to be understood in the broad sense, including reutilization off-site.

2/ For retrofit only.

3/ For combustion turbines.

# ADDITIONAL PROPOSALS BY THE NETHERLANDS

**Table 6:**

Primary measures should be taken into account as well.

**Table 7:**

The value given for sinter plants does not require SCR.

**Table 8:**

**Table 8:** Emission sources and selected NO<sub>x</sub> control measures with their respective reduction efficiencies and clean gas concentrations in cement production

Emission source	Combination of control measures	Clean gas concentration (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Dry process with preheater/precalciner</b>		
Fuel: coal	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner	600 - 1,000
		400 - 600
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and and SNCR	300 - 500
	Low NO <sub>x</sub> burner and SCR	100 - 200
Solid fuels: 10% excess O <sub>2</sub> .		
Values apply to different raw gas concentrations.		