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- Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples [18] (continued)
(a) Report of the Special Committee on the Situation with regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples
(b) Report of the Secretary-General
(c) Draft resolutions
(d) Draft decision
(e) Report of the Fifth Committee
(f) Letters irom Rapua New Guinea
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- Information from Non-Self-Governing Territories transmitted under Article 73 e of the Charter of the United Nations: report of the Fourth Committee [111]
- Activities of foreign economic and other interests which are impeding the implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples in Territories under colonial domination and efforts to eliminate colonialism, apartheid and racial discrimination in southern Africa: report of the Fourth Committee [112]
- Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples by the specialized agencies and the international institutions associated with the United Nations: report of the Economic and Social Council: report of the Fourth Committee [113
and 12] and 12]
- United Nations Educational and Training Progranme for Southern Africa: report of the Fourth Committee [114]
- Offers by Member States of study and training facilities for inhabitants of Non-Self-Governing Territories: report of the Fourth Committee [115]
- Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples: report of the Fourth Committee [18] (continued)

In the absence of the President. Mr. Thompson (Fiji) Vice-President. took the Chaix.

The mesting was called to order at 3.25 p.m.
AGEMDA ITEM 18 (continued)
IMPLEMENTATION OF THB DECLARATIOA ON THE CRANTING OF INDEPENDEHCE TO COLONIAL COUMTRIES AND PEOPLES
(a) REPORT OF THE SPECLAL COMMITYEE OA THE SITUATION WITH REGARD TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DECLARATION ON THE GRANTING OF INDEPENDENCE TO COLONIAL COUNTRIES AND PEOPLES (A/45/23; A/AC.109/1015 and Corr.1. A/AC.109/1016-A/AC.109/1021, A/AC.109/1023 and Corr. 1 and Add.1, A/AC.109/1024-A/AC.109/1036, A/AC.109/1038, A/AC.109/1041 and Corr.1. A/AC.109/1044 and A/AC.109/1048 and Corr.1/Rev.1)
(b) REPORT OF THE SECRETARY-GENERAL (A/45/644 and Corr.I)
(c) DRAFT RESOLUTIONS (A/45/23 (Part II). para. 26; A/45/L.16, A/45/L.17)
(d) DRAFT DECISTON (A/45/L.18)
(e) REPORT OF THE FIFTH COMMITTEE (A/45/686)
(f) LETTERS FROM PAPUA NEW GUINEA (A/45/723, A/45/724)

Mr. SLABY (Czechoslovakia): This year we commemorate the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples. The adoption of that historic document by the General Assembly was a breakthrough in the decolonization efforts of the Uniced Nations.

In the Declaration, which expresses unambiguously the need for the speedy eradication of colonialism in all its forms and manifestations, we find such important principles as the right of all peoples to self-dotermination and to independent economic, social and cultural development, and the inadmissibility of the subjugation of nations to foreign domination and exploitation and of the violation of national unity and territorial integrity.

It is a fact that after the adopeion of the Declaration the process of decolonization accelerated. It is due to the Declaration contained in resolution 1514 (XV) that the transition of former colonies to independence took a largely

## (Mre Slaby. Crechosloyekia)

non-violent course in most cases, though often after a slow and paingtarine process. The credit for this indisputably goes to the United Nations, ..... rightly regards the results of its efforts in the field of decolonisating $\therefore$ its major achievemsats. However, we must not forget that it was the injosic. .ag fy the Non-Self-Governing Territories themselvas who were the main factor in tire smoth course of the decolomization processes, ofton accompanied by constructiva co-operation on the part of the administering Posers.

This year's ansiversary of the adoption of the Decleration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples is not mesely an opportunity to recall the successos achioved in the field of decolonization: the process has not yet been complated.

Cezchoslovakia is of the opinion that sise, geographicsl location and accessibility of naturel resources cennot be used as argunents against the necessity of enabling the inhebitents of Non-Self-Governing Territories to exercise their inaliensble right to self-determination, their wiyht co decide freely on their ow future. However, in many instances insufficient ateontion is paid to the provisions of resolution 1514 (XV) which stipulate thet the right to self-determination does not always have to take the form of independence, which is only one of three options available to the inhabitancs of Non-Self-Governing Terrieories.

Ny delegation has followed with great interegt the consideration of the decoionimation issues in the Fourth Committee this year, and wift whouzea interest the discussion of this item in the plenary Assembiy. Our interest bas bsen based on curiositys wo have been anxious to find out whether the sew spixit in international relations, tho independence of Namibim cnd the progress in efforts to resolve some other questions coupled, rightly or by force of habit. with the
subject of dacolonization would be reflected also in the work of the current session of the General Assembly.

It would be premature to try to establish at this stage whether this has been the case, but it is not unreasonable to state that there is insufficient cause for jubilation. On the other hand, it is possible to say that scepticism, too, is not at present fully warranted. In other words, a breakthrough in the approach of the United Nations to the oradication of colonialism is not likely until a later time. The question is whether the year 1991 will be that later time. Ny delegation believes 80. We are convinced that the cause of decolonization could only benefit from such a breakthrough.

There has been considerable discussion lately about the reservations of many delegations concerning the activities of the Special Committee of 24. Curiously onough, cricical comments made by some delegations, including Crechoslovakia, have beek a source of astonishnent to some delegations. This year, for example, criticism was levelled is the Sub-Committee on Petitions, Information and Assistance, which is chaired by my delegation, as well as during the August plenary meotings of the Comittee of 24. And there was porhaps oven more astonishment during the recent deliberations in the Fourth Committee of the current session of the General Assenbly. But why such bewilderment; why are some delegations astounded to hear criticiam of what others do not like? Why are some delegations amased when they hear yoices calling for an improvenent in the work of such bodies as the important Comittee on decolonisation, which was such a successful body in the past? The reason is simple. This body was successful in the pasts it contributed to making decolonisation a reality. Today, indeed, the Declaration on decolomisation applies to only a frection of the vast number of Torritories and peoples covered by it in 1960. And obviousiy there is no need to change what has worked in the past. To use a sports metapmor, coaches do not usually change tacties or line-ups when the team is on a roll or when it has clinched the championohip title, regardless of the matches atill to be played.

## (Mr. Siabye Crechosioyakia)

Is this the case rith the Committee on decoloniaation? My delegation does not think so. On the one hand, the Commitee of 24 - let us admit it - is not the only winner over colonialism, In the past it played a vital role, but it is not a relevant player on the team any more. On the other hand, total victory has not gat been won. The main thing is that loag winning streaks delude many coachas into making bad decisions. Such coaches do not distinguish betraen different contenders, do not see changing external conditions and underestimate the unsteady form of individual team-matea. Scoring a point is the onjy thing that matters at the moment. It is hoped that other points will be scored automatically. And this is precisely the cors of the matter; many further efforts will be reguired to score additional points. The present routine performance will no longer suffice. This is the case with the Committac on decoloniration, the case with the preaent Unitad Nations approach to decolonizstion, to the situation in southern Airica and to the Decade for decolonisation. It is just routine nothing but routine.

As a matter of fact, the individual issues, the individual small Non-Self-Governing Territories, are not beiag considered at all practically. All the work is concentrated on the defence of the same old-style draft resolutions against those that dare to delete an outdated provision, and all this is taking place regardlese of the changas in the world. Similarly, there are the same old reports, information and background papers, containing little, if anything, now. They follow outdated formulas and are couched in stereotyped terms. In a similarly stereotpped and quite formal way the United Nations decolonimation bodiez continue to approve requests for hearings made by more and more petitioners, who for the most past provide stereotyped, racycled information that has little if any. bearing on the Tersitorien considered by the Comittee of 24 , and who continue to speab in the Sub-Committees, in plenary meetings of the conmitter of 24, and in the

Fourth Committee. And, unfortunately, they refer to one and the some subject as well as to everything else.

In an equally stereotyped manner most delegations repeat the same, or very similar, statements - statements consisting of declarations to winich all of us invariably subscribe, yet whose constant repetition does not contribute in any way to progress.

The conclusions reached at the last session of the Comictee of 24 this year are almost the same as those of the previous year. The draft resolutions proposed for adoption by the Fourth Comititee or the General Assembly are outdated. They contain excessively harsh criticism, are of a confrontational nature, and do not reflect developments is the world or in the regions concerned, above all in southern Africa. They contain language long abandonad elsewhero and useless and selective name-calling of some states, and in many cases they reflect the fact that the Comittee of 24 is used by some states sis a platform for the presentation of their political objectives, which have little to do with the process of decolonisation. Perhaps there has been onough repetition of the same texts. An initiative will always be there to save the situation - an initiative in a diffarent body. under a different name but with the old content; an initiative even more futile, even more propaganda-oriented and even less meaningful that previous initiatives.

Let me cite as an example the latest initiative in the Special political Comittee, put forward withir the framework of the item entitled "Questions relating to information". It calls for the declaration of some sort of world decolonisation campaign. Who cares that we have a Special Committee dealing with decolonisation or the competent Fourth Committee of the General Assembly? What does it mater that the General issembly adopts every year a resolution on the

## (My. Slabye Ceachoqlovakia)

dissamination of information on decolonization? Thus, what to are sure to do here is producs yot another useless and costry piece of papor.

Even if we put all this asido, we cannot fail to observe the orroneous, even harmifu. philosophical premise of such draft resolutions. They are based on a philosophy that is extraneous to the positivistic approach of the United Mations.

The aradication of colonialism is a United Nations objectives it flors from the aims of the Organization as set forth in its Cherter. Condemmation of phenomens that contradict the Charter is part of this philosophy - a philosophy that must be constructive, including constructivo criticiam of wat deaverved to be criticiaed; which must ain at the elimiaation of ircelevancies and ecek appropriate ways : weans and channels; which rests on far-sightednass and wiedon, which is the opposite of the negativistic approach designed to condem just for the sake of condemnation and of approaches stoming from a certain political blindness. Let us wake up, open our eyes, all of us who deal with the subject of decolonisation and who sinceroly desire that colonialism be relegated to the history booke by the year 2000.

However mecessary the Crechoslovak delegation may consider it to be that the Organigation continue to deal with the question or decolonisation watil the complete eradication of colonialisn, we cannot agres with the Connittee of 24 's work continuing in the same outdated spirit regardiess of developmonts in the area of decolonisation. It is now more urgently necessery than over to begin without delay to strengthen the sease of purpose of this body. vinich is a quice costly one for the United Nations and the Momber States.

Caechoslovakia is especially in favour of a greater comeentration of and a reduction in the number of sessions of the Comaitese of 24 and its two Sub-Comattees, of the submission of a amaller package of recomandations for

## (Mr. Slobje Crechorlovakia)

adoption by the Genoral Assembly, and of decizions and retolutsons boirg made more strasmilusd by the deletion of out-ot-date and extranoous provisions. No bolleve that it would show a sense of purpose to cut the funds allocated for efo activiciec of the Cominttec of 24 below the 1989 level. to decrease the total manber of sensions of the Comattes and of the Sub-Cominitees, to 1imit the nurizer of documente, to limit the participation of petitioners to representatives of Mon-SelE-Governing Territories, and to put curbs on the funde provided for these purposes.

At the same tise believe that before long the General Assembly itsedf will have to modify the Fourth Comittec's mission. In the past it was proposed that the Fourth Cowittee and the Epecial Policical Comaittee should be merged. Mailo this proposal may be premature so far as the ismediate Iuture is concerned, it would be short-sighted so refact it flatly. In contrast, what wean do now, for instance. is consider whethor to tranzer to the Fourth Comittee some questions now habitualiy considered by other bosies - aven by the General Assombly itself at plonary moeting - this using the resources of the United sations more effactively.

My delegation has stated aeveral times that Crachoslovaisa stands for full observance of the Declaration on decclonisacion, for completion of the dacolonisation process. We think that it is important to racall that fact, preciaciy in the context of what has just boen said. What my delegation has in mimd apecifically is that the United Rations should approach the eradication of the remants of colonialiam in fundanontally now way omanating from the spirit of our times. We have attomptod to outlime our comsiderations at least briefly to all delegations that are interestod.
 efforts in the field of decelonisation have achisved important successes in the past 30 grears, those efforts are at clear crossrcads. They can move forward by briagiag the dacolonisation field into the United Mations of the 1990s, or they can stand still. But developments in the field of docolonisation ars so aignificant that standing still mans losing ground.

As an oxample of the rapid pace at which decolosisation is occurring, we meed oniy look at 1990 and the lowg-overdue and welcome indopendonce of mamibia, and as 1991 whon, all Hember staces hope, the Uaited Mations will be able to ovarsee a referendun in Hestern Sahara to recolve the dispute over the statua of that
(Mrse Tahir-Xhelic Vinited Staten)
Torsitory. Nost of the ramaining Tercitories for which the United Nations bears some responsibility are small island Territories in the Pacific and the Caribbaan. While these Territories are small in population and land mass, they remain key respongibilities for both the administering Powers and the Jaited Nations system, which geek to promote their polieical, economic and social development. The United States, as an admiaistesing Power. ramains conscious of ite role with regard to its three Torritories and will continue to neet its responsiblitias to those Tersitories under the United Nations Charter.

The United States believes it is necessary to draw attention in this Hall to the failure of the United Nations institutional machinery to keep pace with the decolonisation process. We have already expressed in the Fourth Committee our disappointment and dissatisfaction at this yoar's draft resolutions prepared by the Special Comittee. Briefiy atated, the position is that the texts of these draft resolutions consist of language recycled from previous years. In one draft resolution os the implementation of the Declaration by the specialized agencies. the special Comsittec went so far as to forward, although with comsiderable disseat, language that reinstitutes the name-calling practice of the past.

A Iurther problem with these draft resolutions is that they devote an extraordinary amount of attontion and text to the issue of aparthoid. We share abborronce of the system of aparthoid, but we feel strongly that if resolutions of this body are to have international credibility they need to be germane to the subject at hand.

Permops the greatest regret we have regarding these draft resolutions is that they offer no new ideas or proposals for development of the Territories, despite the fact that the Special Committee held two regional seminars - one in Vanuatu and one in Barbados - this year, at which forward-looking ideas for the Territories were discussed.
(Mrse_Tahir-Thelife Uniped_Statan)
Throughout the Fourth Committee session we heard calls for reforin of the United Nations efforts in the field of decolonization. The call for reform ranged from sather limited to far-reaching matters. I should like to raise here sone of these suggestions for reform of the Organization, to illustrate the kinds of new directions that the Special Committee and the Fourth Committee need to eramine.

First, regerding the draft resolutions on decolonization, many delegations pointed out that they are duplicative, repetitive and stale. Some delegations urged that next year an omnibus draft resolution be propared to take care of the concerns of many of the small Territories. Other delegations urged that the draft resolution should desl only with current decolonization matters and should abandon old issues. Some even stated informally that if the Special Comittee were to Eail to rationalize its work this task should once again be taken up by the Fourth Committee itself.

Secondly, another change that must occur involves rethinking and searticulating concepts relating to decolonization. As oxly one sxample, the drast resolutions ve are being asked to vote on reitarate yet again the notion that the only means of concluding the process of self-determination is independence. This hei ieen true for many Territories and may, indeed, be true for others in the future. But it is also very clear that some of the remaining Territories may prefer another outcome in theix erercise of their right to salf-determination. They may wish to choose alternative options, such as free association with an existing state or integration into an existing state. These options are clearly provided for in General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV), but they have not been mentioned in the resolutions on decolonization adopted in recent years.

Not only does the legitimacy of these alternative options need to be reaffirmed, but the United Nations bodies charged with responsibility for
(MrecTahir-Mholi. United States)
decolonisation need to clarify the ways in which the Territosies may be acknowledged as having achieved full self-government. There is little value in having the United Nations speak only about the one option of independence, especially when Territories can speak for themselves and may prefer a different alternative.

Thirdiy, new thinking and new language are essential in discussing the roles of foreign economic interests and military installations in the Territories. Blanket condemnations are pasaí and do a disservice to those Territories that soek foreign investment and are interested in maintaining their relationghips with foreign military beses. As the United States pointed out in its statement on Guam - twice in the Special Committee and also in the Fourth Committee - the military presence there has never been a hindrance to the process of self-determinations in fact, quite the oppositn. The old rhetoric attacking the military presence must be abandoned, especially when it is a presence that the people of the Territories welcome.

A last category of reform involves institutional changes on a larger scale. While no delegation addreseed the is ue formaily in the Fourth Comittes, conversations held at the margins of the Committee considered reform proposals that have been in the air for some time. Some de?fgations raised the idea of having the Special Committee meet only every other year, as developments in the remaining Territories are not expected to follow a pace of change as rapid as that which has occurred up to now. Others have proposed adjusting the size of the special Committee, or rotating its membership, so that it might work more effectively and benefit from new blood and additional perspectives.

The Uaited States has been encouraged by the serious attention being paid to the need for reform. The Unitod Nations bodies charged with responsibility for
(Mrs. Tohir-Kholi, United States)
decolonisation must now respond, Reform is a task that requires courageous leadership and bureaucratic boldness. Wo look forward to working with the Chairman of the Fourth Comaittee, Mr. Martia Adoukt, and the Chairman of the Special Comsittoe, Mr. Tesfaye Tadssse, in this effort. We believe that decolonization, like so many other concerns, can be made a field of the now Jnited Nations. We wolcome the sentiments articulated by the Chairman of the Fourth Committee and by many countries at the 1990 sfasion. We hope that the effort for reform will be undertaken promptly and will reach fruition next year.

Mr. BATIOUS (Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic) (interpretation from Russian): A few weeks ago at its special solemn meeting in this Hall the General Assembly conmemorated the thireieth anniversary of the Declaration on the Granting © Independemce to Colonial Countries and Peoples. On 14 December 1950 when the General Assembly adopted resolution 1514 (XV) and the Declaration it contained, it was asare of the finct that considerable effort would be needed to reach the historic goal proclaimed in the Declaration.

As was pointed out here by the Secretary-General. Mr. Perez de Cuellar, on the occasion of the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration on 10 October this year:
"rhis is an anniversary on which we should take stock of our achievements and the challenges chat remain in this important field of hwan endeavour."

## (A/45/PV.29._De_33)

In this connection, we must definitely pay due tribute to the Special Committee, which was established in 1961 to monitor the implementation of the Declaration, and the results achieved thus far are greatly to its credit.

It is symbolic that in the year of the thirtieth anniversary of the adoption of the Declaration, such ax important event has taken place on the African continent as Namibia's accession to independence and its becoming a Member of the United Nations. The experience acquired in achieving Namibian independence has been of great value. The machinery which proved itself so effective in this case, with the participation of the organization, could be used in the future, as was confirmed by the debate in the Fourth Committee during the currert sestion of the General Assembiy.

We are now nearing a successful resolution of the situation in Western Sahara. The United Nations could, mutatis mutandis, apply the successful approaches taken in Namibia to the case of the referendum in Western Sahara. Rowever, we would not be realistic if we were to close our eyes to the numerous
(Mr. Batiouk: Ukrainian SSR)
difficulties which still stand in the way of the decolonization process as a whole and the complications that arise in the work of the Special Committee on decolonization.

The majority of the remaining Non-Self-Governing Territories are remote island Territories limited in population and with scant resources. According to the provisions of the Declaration, the task of the United Nations is to assist the peoples of these Territories in their political, economic and social progress. Some of them are actively striving to achieve independence; and in others the current situation is such that the population at this stage does not object to its eristing status. But in either case, this does not lift from the United Nations or the Administering Authorities the responsibility to care for their development, because only through the achievement of a definite level of the requisite political structures, by the education and social and economic development of the population, can the latter make conscious and genuinely free choices.

In the firsi place, in our opinion, this requires all-round co-operation in promoting all forms of traditional, as well as universally recegnized, political activity on the part of the indigenous populations of the Territories.

Secondly, particular attention should be paid to the economic activities of the governing authorities and major international monopolies which, by their actions, are radically changing the very form of life of the indigenous populations. Immediate economic benefits resulting from the over-exploitation of the resources frequently lead to the need for a total professiomal reorientation of the active population.

The balance created over centuries in the use of the natural resources of these Territories is very fragile, easily upset, and subsequently impossible to restore to its pristine state.
(Mr. Batioufie Ukrainian_SSR) .

The apecialiaed agencies of the United Nations system could considerably assist in the rational weilization of nature, mitigete the economic difficulties and contribute to progxess. Some of them are sufficiently active in this respect; for example, the International labour Organisation, the United Nations Development Prograses, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, and the United Mations Eigh Commissioner for Refugees, among others. All of us, ancu in particular the populations of the Hon-Self-Coverning Territoriss, expect major resulte from of these agencies.

Rowevar, the most serious intrusion into the system of vital values of the Wen-self-Govaraing Territories is, as wee it, the military activity of the ddministering Auchorities. Military activity beyond the frontiers of one's own territory. if zot in conformity with the United Nations Charter and Security Council resolutions, is a threat to peace and security. This is our profound conviction. Military activity within dependent Territories is also a direct threat to che culture. traditions and moral values of the indigenous populations, and mateers are not altered by the number of troops and armaments deployed in the Mon-\$els-Governing Territory concerned.

Ouantitative changes in regard to Non-Self-Governing Territories have led ", qualltatively new approaches to the process of decoloxization in the world comunity. These approacher hav:, been clearly reflected in the discussions that nowe taken place in the rourth Comittee wuring the current session of the General Asteably. Many cembnacs mare made and many proposals were submitted to the Special Comities of 24. some of which kave tanen reitoratod during the course of the precent alscussions at the pienary meetings of the General Assembly. While some of these proposild are already being put into practice, others call for detailed discustong Pwtortheless. it is clear ent in regard to the implementation of the

Declaration on the Grenting of Independonce to Colonial Countries and peoples we find ourselves now in a mow phase the essencial characteristic of which is the altered climate in the worlds the cransition from the ere of consrontation to tine ora of co-operation.

In this comection, I should lise to draw the attartion of the Assembly to resolution $1990 / 60$ on "Implewentation of the Deciaration on the Grencing of Inaependence to Colonial Countries und Peopien by the specialised agencies and international institutions assockated with the Uniced Nations" which was adopted at the sumwer session of the Econome and Social council on 26 July this year virtualiy by consensus. In our opinion, this is an example of mutual understanding and conpromise when the parties wisely agrecd to gield in small matters so as to triumph ovarall. In our case, the trimaph ovarali inglies the muccessful conclusion of the procese of docolonisation as a whole.

In tryiag to contributs to this courst of ovents in crery way the Ukraine has becone one of the sponsors of dratt resolutions A/45/L. 16 and $A / 45 / L .17$, eatitiad, respectively, supitmeatation of the Deciaration on the Grantisw xe Independence to Colomial Countries and Peoples" and "Dissemination of information on decolonisation" which we hope will be adogtse by the Acemably.

## (ME. Batiouke Ukrainian_SSB)

Two years age the General Assembly proclaimed the 1990 s the International Decads for the Eradication of Colonialism. The discussions at the present session have shown that this goal can be reached. The historic problems of the Decade will be resolved if all of us are systematically guided by the universal principle that is onshrined in Cencral Assembly resolution 2621 (8xV) and that lays down unequivocally that the question of territorial size, geographical isolation and limited resources should in no way delay the implementation of the Declaration on decolonisation.

Me. VAN EIEROP (Vanuatu): The United Nations, as an Oxganization, represents many things to many people. To the ill and infirm, the United Nations and its associated bodies provide hope against diseases that kill and maim. To those who can neither read nor write, the United sations and its associated bodies provide the hope that they too will one day possess the skills of modern-day literacy. To those who have for so long lived in the shadow of fear with the haunting spectre of nuclear or chemical warfare hovering over their futures like a dark cloud, the United Netions represents mankind's best hope that nations may yet renounce war in favour of peace.

To those who have been colonized, disenfranchised, and essentially denied the right to determine their own futures and to decide who thoy are and what they wish to be, the United Hations is the forum of last resort. It is the United Nations to which those who are colonised look for justice. It is the United Wations that has helped coloniad peoples on every continent shape the international struggle against colonialism. It is the United Nations that has helped those that were once colonized participate in the procoss of redefining the post-colonial world.

Despite che very visible and dramatic successes of the decoloniation process, we cannot yet write the concluding chapter in this unfortunate saga of the human

(Mr. Van Lierope Vanuatu)

axperience. Today, a faw tell us that colonialism has ended and that the international comanity should now shift its focus elsewhere. Let us remember that 30 years ago there were also a few who questioned the nead to make decolonisation a major intermational priority. There ware even some who questioned the role of the United Mations in the decolonization process.

Today, mo one can sericusiy question that role. It is an accepted matter of international jurisprudence that the United Nations plays a major role in the decolonisation process. Instead of guestions concerning the legitimacy of that role. we are today confronted with suggestions that the docolonisation process has been completed, or that one Territory or another does not fall within the ambit of the decolonisation procese because of ons fiction or another.

We are constantly reminded that the world has changed and that those of us who are concerned about colonialism should be realistic ad take into account the changes that have occurred in today's world. Indsed, the world has changed, and is continuing to change rapidyy. We agree that there is a need for a semse of realism in ouz delibsrations on the subject of colonialism.

Eowever, it is all of us, rather then a few of us, who should be realistic. Furthermore, the need for a sanse of realism exists on all subjects, and not onjy on the subject of colonialism. Often, those who ask for flexibility and changes in approach when the subject is colonialism are themselves somewhat less flexible and less open to changes in approach on other subjects.

In addition, we would certainly not be the first to have observed that sometimes the more things change, the more they remain the same. Political or administrative colonialism may have onded in most of the world. However, many Territories, particulariy in the Pacific and in the Caribbean, continue to be non-zelf-governing in the truest sense of the expression.

## (Mre Van Lierope Vanuaty)

It is well established that, regardless of sixe, population, abundance of reaources or geographic remotenass, the people of every Territory are entitled to the same right of self-dotermination and isdependence. This is a universal right. Whether political independence is actually shosen in every instance is a decision to be made by the people of each Territory themselyes. No one can decide for then and no one should attempt to influence their decision with false or seductive promises.

Once again, we recall that sometimes the more things change, the more they resain the same. The political or administrative dimension of colonialiam is only one facet of that political, econemic and social phenomenon. In addition, as the world has recently learned, new forms of colonialism often omerge as old forms of colonialism racede.

The inverion, occupation, and attempted unemacion of zuwait bears a striking resemblance to earlier forms of colonialism. The tragedy that has befallen Kuwait should remind us all to be vigilant and outspoken opponents of all forms of colonialism, sow or old, regardless of their cloak. Jnfortunately, too many of us ware silent in the past when other Territorios and other' countries were invaded and occupied. In those instances our silence or inaction helpad to create dangerous precedents which amboldened those who awaited opportune moments to practia their own version of colonialism. It is to be hoped that the resolution of the situation in the Persian Gulf will help to bring about a new collective respect for interastional law and a determination consistently to apply the same principles in every instance.

Selective morality is no morality at all. Principles selectively applied are no principles at all. This is as true on the subject of colonialism as it is on any other subject. Bistory, should we care to be so guided, is most instructive in this regard.

## (Ne Van Liexoge Vanuatu)

It Is for this reason that the Govarmont of Vancatu contimues to support the work of else Special Comeittee on the Implementation of the Deciaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countrien and Pooples. The Special Comenttec of 24 continues to serve useful purpose. Its work should be an ingortant focus For the International Decade for the stadication of Colonialfem. Like othera. we would like to sec the Comittee' 8 work groceed harmoniousiy and with eshanced effectiveness. Bowever, this can occur omiy if all of us reasu and reitorate our commenent to the decolonization process and work with the Comitter in an opan and constructive manner.

We should also be willing to listen to new ideas and Ereah spproaches in the ares of Cecolonisation. However, any new proposals should result from a maningful and sincere dialogue which dravs on the ideas and experiences of us all rather than on the viahes of a few.

In this regard, we have joined with others, particularly the momber states of the South Pacific Forum, in requesting that the Secretary-General of the United Macions, or a speiaily designated representative of the secrotary-Goneral, visit each of the romining Mon-Self-Governing Territories as close to the outset of the International Decade as practical. Such visita have important prectical consequances as vell as important synbolic vaiue, Vanuatu believes that each of the remaining Non-Selw-Governing Territories presents unique circumstances which shoula be carefully studied and analysed. Information on these territories should then recsive the widest possible international dissenination.

An appesi could then be issued urging those who are still administering Mon-Solf-Governing Territories to eqtablish the neceseary conditions, as soon es posisible. to enmble the peoples of those Terpitorien to gain their political and aconomic independence prior to 31 Deconber 1099. in accordasce with the principlet and practices of the United Nations. Strengthening the effectiveness and stature of United liathons bodies and specialised agencies concerned with decolonigatiow would be a necessary corollary.

Vanuatu also believas it is ingortant for tho international comaunity to requast all states to consider the adoption of mational legislation to promoto the busam rights of pooples living under colonialism and to facilitate their recourge to fudicial procesdings in order to gain econonic and social restitution. Justice will thus be better served.

As we marik the thirtieth anniversary of the histosic Declaration on the Granting of Independonee to Colonial Countries and Peoples, the world stands poised on the threshold of a nev commitment to respect the dignity and equality of all human beings. Ne have travelled a long and circuicous path to reach that Chreshold. However, no matter how difficult that peth has been, the fact remains that the final step across the threshold will he the most difficult of the entire joursay.

We must now ask ourselves whether we have the courage, the viaion and the will to take that final deciaive stop. Will we remain true to the people of New Caledonia as they attompt to reclaim their heritage? Will wo remain true to the people of Western Sahara as they attempt to determine their own future? Will we remain true to the people of everg cigher Mon-Self-Governing Territory when they look to the United Nations for guidance, is. -ation and help? Will we remain true to our respective histories, and to the future we have promised our childrea?

## (Mr. Van Lierope Vanuatu)

History's judgement awaits us. We will be judged in our entirety, by our deeds as well as by our words. Let us thus marry our deeds to our words, so that we can cross the chreshold of the third millennium free of colonialism and the comsequences of colonialism.

Man Ar MULIA (Kuwait): On this thirtieth anniversary of resolution 1514 (XV) the international community is rededicating itself to an agenda for the future. Cumnitment to the principles and goals of the Charter was the bond that led the international community 30 years ago to adopt the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples. To paraphrase a Chinese philosopher, it is like a path in the countrysides where there was no pathe one is made by those who walk there time and again. Resolution 1514 (XV) is that path to the successful psocess of decolonization.

The universal right of self-deternination must not be denied to any people. The right to embark on the path of freedom and dignity must not be withheld under any pretext, be it the size of a Territory, its geographical location, or its economic, social or political development. The decolonization process has been a collective endeavour. The latest confirmation of what a unified and resolute community of nations cas achieve is the presence of Namibia as a full-fledged Member of the world Organization. We wholeheartedly welcome that development. Ruwait pledges its supporic to this ongoing process so that we can ail eajoy by the year 2000 a world free from colonialism.

Humanity has come a long way since the Second World War in shaping a world freo from fear, from the scourge of war and from annihilation, a world that reaffisms faith in fuadamental human rights and the worth of the humer person, a world where peoples are determined to practise tolerance and live together in peace with one another as good neighbours.

(Ks._A1_Mdגac_Euvait)

These objectives, enshrined in the Praamble to the Charter, are being bolstered not only by a succeasful process of decolonization but alno by changas on the world scene. These changes are ushering in a ner order based on greater understanding anong the peoples of the world.

This march of humanity towards a better sorld is not without difficulties. Challenges, chiefly econonic ones, need to be net and resolved. But while members of the international communiey are charting their arduous course through economic and social development and, for many, survival. a neu spectre looms over that course. A apectre of the past, of darkness and evil, is obstructing the progress to a better future.

A threat to the existence of a Nomber state of the Unitad Nations is the greatest challenge of all, not oniy to Kuwait but to each and every Member of the Organisation. The blatant aggrasive policies reminiscent of pre-colonial days are a challenge that sewds to be met with the kind of resolve that could be the test for our post-world-war era.

A repository of inhuman and repressive practices, which have been unleashed across its borders, the Iragi regime is a menace to the antire world. Kuwaiti poople are its most imaediete victime, world order is its other victian. sut my people, armed with reaolve and the collective support of the international community, is fighting back. In the struggle for a better norld to overcome the challenges of the future Kuwait will spare no effort in meeting the challenges of today. United, let us break the chains not oniy of the past but also of the present - today and not tomorrow.

Mre ZAMORA RODRIGUEZ (Cuba) (interpretation from Spanish): The item that we are considering today, which concerns the report of the Special Committee on the Situation with regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, continues to be of particular importance in the work of the Organisation.

The United Nations Charter clearly embodies as one of its guiding principles the right of all peoples to exercise self-determination. In the light of this principle, throughout the last 45 years we have witnessed events that not only are landmarks in the history of the world Organization but have chinged as never before the geographical, political and economic map of our planet. One of these was the adoption of resolution 1514 (XV), which, while contributing decisively to fostering the dreans of decolonization that flourished in the decades immediately following the end of the Second World War, also framed one of the most humane tasks undertaken by the United Nations since its creation: the total elimination of colonialism from the face of the earth.

Only a few weeis ago we conmemorated in this Hall the thirtieth anniversary of the adoption of that resolution and we all, without exception, welcomed the fact that its implementation has enabled the United Nations to have today 159 Member States, most of which were formerly part of colonial empires but which, thanks to the efforts of their peoples and the support of the international community, are now part of the group of nations that make up our Organization.

This should not, however, be only a source of rejoicings it should spur us on to conclude the work that was well begun and has been well carried out so far. It is the responsibility of every one of us, without exception, to continue to struggle for the self-determination of those who, a few years before the end of the current millenium, still live under the colonial yoke.

That is why, at its forty-third session, the General Assembly, on 22 November 1988 - almost exactly two years ago - decided to proclaim, in resolution 43/A7, the International Decade for the Eradication of Colonialisin with a view to ensuring that before the end of the current millenium the practice of colonialism will have been totally eradicated. However, if that laudable proposition is to be reached, the international community must be ready, as in the past, to recognize that every nation, regardless of size, population, geographic location, economic characteristics or distance from major markets, has an absolute right to exercise self-determination and achieve full independence.

We are today considering the work of the Committee known as the Special Committee of 24. We believe that this Comsinittee continues to have a fundamental role to play in the task of decolonization being carried out by the United Nations, and that it faces greater difficulties today than in the past. Some question its actions and doubt that it may still be as useful as in the recent past, but it is undeniable that colonial Territories remain in the four corners of the earth and that we find in all of them, without exception, the identical practices that have helped to make colonialism an abomination in the age of space exploration and the scientific and technological revolution, especially when we are told that the world is awakening to a new exa.

The fact is that actions by the various metropolitan countries are increasingly leading to the deculturization of colonial Territories, leading them further and further away from their traditions, their national identity and even their language. Their territories are used as sources of raw materials and cheap labour, distorting their economies and making them ever more dependent. They are being used more and more as military bases, at times nuclear, and as cesting grounds for new weapons, which sometimes endangers the lives and health of their
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indigenous inhabitants. They have also been used and continue to be used as springboards for aggression against independent third world countries.

The Special Comittee, at its session this year, adopted draft resolutions on those various phenomena and strongly condenned them.

The year now coming to a close has witnessed an act of decolonization thet helps to demonstrate clearly the importance of our continuing to devcte every effort to the elimination of colonialism. I refer to the independence of Namibia, whose people, thanks to its efforts and resolve and to international co-operation, today enjoys freedom and severeignty and is represented in the General Assembly.

Similarly, the people of Western Sahara, also in the course of this year, has made great strides in its struggle for independence. The draft resolution recently adopted unanimously by the Fourth Committee at this session and the action taken in the Security Council with a view to the prompt implementation of the Secretary-General's plan for the holding of a referendum in that Territory are positive elements that must be walcomed.

However, we do not see similar developments in the case of other Territories still under colonial domination. On the contrary, we note with concern that some colonial Powers refuse to co-eperate in the work of the Special Comittee of 24, creating obstacles and depriving it of the sources of information on the Territories that are basic to its work. Similarly, some Powert continue to reíuse to recognize the colonial nature of Territories under their domination. That is the case with Puerto Rico, whose recognized right to self-determination and independence must be respected.

An arduous task lies ahead. We must work with all possible vigour, imagination and intelligence in preparing the plan of action for the International Decade for the Elimination of Colonialism, the adoption of which we are forced to
(Mr._Zamora_Rodriquer. Cuba)
postpone this year for the second consecutive time. In this content, we are convinced that, in addition to the full co-operation that all states in particular the colonial Powers, must provide, it is necessary to mobilize international public opinion so that the final elimination of tho practice of colonialian may be promoted by all the mesns available thus contributing to the attainmant of the goals of the Decade. As we have done in the case of other important casks of our Organisation, we should perhaps, as suggested by the Third Ministarial Conference on Information of countries members of the Movement of Mon-Alignad Countries, launch a world decolonisation campaign in which the mechanisme of the United Nations, and in particular those of the Department of Pubile Information, could play a leading rols.

In conclusion, we remain convinced that the Special Committee on the Situation with regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples has done commendable work during its years of existence and still has a very fmportant rale to play. We must not forget that the special Committee of 24 is at the same time the promoter of, and the guarantor of compliance with, one of the principles which led to the creation of our Organization - self-determination of peoples. It is therefore our collective duty to continue to work in and co-operate with the Special Committee so that we may attain our goal of starting the new millenium in a world free from colonial domination, free from the outrage of colonialism.

Mr. MARA (Fiji): The 1990s have begun in a way that is reminiscent of the comencement of the decades of the 1930s and 1940s. Will this decade also prove to be as shattering of the old order? will this decade launch a transformation process as deep in its effect and as fundamental in its scope? Certainly the early signs would suggest that a parallel process is under way. It is perhaps against this background of the world in transition that what is left of decolonization needs to be reen.

Colonialism and decolonization have long been buaz-words in the pariance of the United Nations. Undoubtedly, the decolonization revolution has been a major chapter in the annals of the first half-concury of the organisation's life, and one of its most outstaxding success stories. But that chapter is now all but over. With Namibia's independence carlier this year, what remaine are a few small Territories. The urgency and high profile of the deco?onization explosion of the 1960s ie no more. Some Territories still on the list of Non-Self-Governing Territories cannot properly be dealt with as decoloaisation questions. World attention is focused on other issues, more pressing and currently impinging on the interests and concerns of the vast majority of countries - such as the alarming
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situation in the Persian Gulf, the threat to the global climate and the environment, the debt crisis, the drug scourge, the AIDS epidemic and so on.

There rere 76 Territories listed as non-self-governing in December 1946, and thay have featured high on che zgenda of the Organization. Today there are only 18 Territories left on that list. Nearly half the present Members of the United Nations were born out of the decolonisation process. The movement has largely come of age, and it is time to take that reality into account. Most of the Territories that are still on the list are there because, for the time being, the inhabitants concerned wish it 80. Other than some unrepresentative opinions expressed by petitíoners, there is hardly any visible, broad-based clamour for immediate independence by the people of the remaining Non-Self-Governing Territories.

The occasion of the International Decade for the Eradication of Colonialism should be used to carry out a thorough stocktaking of the Non-Self-Governing Territories so that at the begianing of the new century they will all have exercisad their right to self-determination and chosen their future status. And there should be understanding by this body if, after a free and fair expression of the will of the peopls, some might opt for a status other than independence. The Non-Self-Governing Territories look to the United Nations to safeguard their interests and ensure that they are not denied their prerogative to arercise their inclianable right to sedf-determination - but they, not the United Fations, must make the choice.

Fiji's strong interest in decolonisation, derived in part from our own colonial and post-independenco oxporience. is underpinned by our firm beliof in the principles involyed. But it is also made relevant because most of the remaining Non-Self-Governing Territories are small islands. many being in the Pacific Ocean. Their small sise and other limitations, however, must not be allowed to impede the
right of the people concerned to decide on their future for themselves. As it has done so admirably in the past, the Special Committee on decolonization, the Fourth Committee and the General Assembly itself must be the custodian of the inalienable right of the people and must insist that in discharging their responsibilities the administering Powers concerned must respect these rights and enable the people of the Territories to make their decisions freely and without pressure. Furthermore, when the people have made their choice, their decision should be respected even though that might be contrary to the conventional wisdom on decolonization.

It was four years ago that the General Assembly reinscxibed New Caledonia on the list of Non-Self-Governing Territories. Since then representatives of the peoples of New Caledomia and of the administering Power lave signed the Matignon and Rue Oudinot Agreements. In the best traditions of statesmanship, confrontation and bitterness were set aside and in their place was laid the foundation of a more constructive and progressive relationship.

Although important and far-reaching advances axe bsing made, compromises have also had to be accommodated. A system of regular review has been instituted. The Special Committer on decoloniaation, the Fourth Committee and the General Assembly must closely monitor the situation in tinst Territory to onsure that the rights of the people are respected. of special concern must be the position and interests of the indigenous Kanak people. A major factor in the presant difficulties, and likely to be the basis of potential future disagreements if not addressed adequately, is the fact that the indigenous people have long been neglected and marginalized, almost totally excluded from the political, social and economic mainstream. There is much catching up to do.

Bringing Kanaks rapidly and effectively into the affairs of the Territory is the most urgeat responsibility of the administering Power. The Jnited Nations must ensure that that responsibility is carried out in accordance with accepted
principles and practices. Self-determination, after all, does not have only a political dimension: the principle applies in the oconomic and social areas as well. and these need to be catered for if the act of self-determination is to have real meaning and viability.

The seminars which were held in Vanuatu and Barbados earlier this year have provided the framework on which the remaining work of decolonization can be based. The seminars were highly successful and I must congratulate the Chairman of the Special Committee. Mr. Tadesse of Ethiopia, for his expert and skilful leadexship as well as the dedicated members of his Bureau and the hard-working Secretariat staff. As a maans of streamining and facilitating future work, the suggestion made by the Chairman of the Fourth Cormittee, the Permanent Representative of Congo, for a working group to be set up to bridge the areas of common interest between the Special Committee and the Fourth Committee would be worth pursuing.

In this final decade of the twentieth sentury the world is poised to complete a transformation which sprang out of the turmoil of the Second World War. Complemented by other evolutionary changes. it is now time to bring an and to a practice which, along with the abhorrant system of apartheide should be consigned to the dustbin of history. The declaration of the 19908 as the International Decade for the Eradication of Colonialism ic both timely and appropriate. To be successful, the secade must be used by colonisers and colonised and by the United Wations gystem, in a truiy co-operative strategy to attain the objectives. My delegation hopes that this commitment will be readily given, especialiy by the administering Powers.

Mre CISTRRNAS (Chile) (interprotation from Spanish): There is no doubt that we must begin our comsideration of this agenda item by expressing our deep and heartfelt satisfaction at seeing Namibia present as a full-fledged, sovereign Momber of the United Nations. Only a fow years ago it was difficult to predict that the outcome would be so awift and that the political structure decided upon by the people of Namibia in exercise of its sovereigncy would be so appropriate. We have witnessed an extraordinarily positive development which we all applaud.

But we well know that in the world of international relations there are no miracles. It is only the political will of the actors and players on this stage that is valid. If the Namibian people had not shown the political will to be free and to become a sovereign nation, als the efforts of the international community would not have sufficed to achieve its goals of giving that Tarritory the liberty it so desired and to free it from the hateful apmetheid régime.

In addition to this political will, however, the causes that deserve our efforts must be just; they must be good; they must be worthy of support. This is espacially true in the case of decolonisation - a subject which gives rise to worry and concern because, over and above circumstantial political considerations or oconomic and social considerations, the cause of dscolomization is first and 'foremost a matter that involves moral and ethical principlea. Therein lie the basic reasons why we wish to achieve at the carliest possibie date the goal that we have set for ourselves, so that by the year 2000 the world will be free from the effects of colonialism and all the peoples of the Earth will be able to exercise without hindrance their cherished right to self-determination.

It has been said and repsated year in and year out that one of the most fumdamental tasks of the United Hations is about to be fulfilled with extraordinary success. The efforts of the international comnunity in the area of decolonisation
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have borne spectacular fruit, as proved by the large number of countries that are today Members of the United Nations and that until recently had been colonies or protectorates.

However, it should also be emphasized that we have begun to carry out the task but have not yet fully done so. True, there are not even 20 Non-Self-Governing Territories today and they have the common feature of being geographicaliy small and sparsely populated. But, since our task is significant and has ethical and moral consequences, nothing should divert us from fulfilling our mandate.

It is precisely because we do not believe that the problems before us for consideration can be solved by miracles that we are optimistic about the result of our work. However, we are concerned in a very real sense about the future of peoples that rely on us to achieve better ways of life within institutional political frameworls that guarantee the free exercise of their fundamental rights and their access to increasingly better standards of living. We are not concerned merely over the future of the Sahraoui people and the people of New Caledonia, who live in Territories that are relatively larger in size and have more natural resources than those of other peoples still under colonial régimes: we wish freedom and justice for everyono who lives in the Non-Self-Governing Territories.

The United Nations created the Special Committee on the Gituation with regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colomial Countries and Peoples for the very claar purpose of setting in train and monitoring the implementation of the Declaration contained in General Assembly resolution 1514 (XV), which, as we have said before, has yielded auch splendid results. We have heard - especially during the recent debates in the Fourth Committee - criticism and reproaches directed against this organ of the United Nations. It is not surprising that after so many years, on the thirtieth
aniversary of the adoption of that resolution, different opinions are voiced about the activities of the Spacial Committac.

Various suggestions and proposals have been made in order to resolve the difficultios that wo are supposedly facing at chis stage, which we beliave to be the last stage in our efforts and struggles. The delegation of Chile is wilfing to consider with an open mind the criticism that has beon oxpressed and the solutions that have basn groposed in order to improve the Special Committee's framework and methods of work. Ne are convinced that we shall be ablo to achieve outstanding agreaments that will enable us to work in the best possible way and thereby not to disappoint the peoples that are awaiting the results of our delibarations with justified hopes.

But. despite our open mind in this regard, we must clearly state at this time that the proposals and efforts that may be made to change the work of our Conmittee should never, in our view, tarnish the image of the Special Committee or its members nor lead to unaccoptable limitations on its competence. The Special Committee's work should be strengthened, never weakened, if we wish to crown with success the task that 1 les ahead until the ond of this century.

From this lofty rostrum the Chilean delagation wishes to make it very clear once again that the Government of Chile idantifies fully with the cause of decolonisation and thus with the principles and purposes contained in Geavral Assembly resolution 1514 (XV). We also reaffirm our resolute support for the proposal that the period between and the year 2000 should be declared the International Decade for the Elimination of Colonialism.

Ur. ByIOY (Union of Soviet Socialist Ropublics) (interpretation from Russian): We all remember the apecial meeting that took place during the curreat sassion of the General Assembly to commomorate the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration on the Ganking of Independence to Colonial Countrien and Peoples - a significant event. Ins role of the Declaration as one of the United Rations docments on which collective accion to liberate colonial peoples is based received unanimous praise. As has bean pointed out by the Secretary-Geaeral.
"... to colonial peoples everywhere, the adoption of the Declaration by the Assembly conveyed the promise of a new world order basud on justice and equal rights for all peoples." (A<45/PV.29, pe_31)

The outstanding successes achieved by peoples on the way to decolonisation, with the active participation of the United Nations in the process. in the course of which dozens of new independent States have emergod in the world, have altered radically the very political aspect of the planet.

The recont independence of Nemibia was a significant event. Here the Onited Nations played a key role. And now we look forward to settlement of the Nestern Sahara problem.

The Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples is rightly described as historic. This description places due amphasis on the exceptional role of the document and on the need to implement all its prescriptions.

Inasmuch as there are still about a score of assentially small Bon-Self-Governing Territories that come within the ambit of the Declaration, the document has not yet become merely a part of history but continues to be aive and operative instrument. That being the case, it, is easy to understand why the General Assembly is devoting attention to the task of its full implementation.

That is precisely the purpose bohind the General Assenbly' docigion to prociaim the period 1990 to 2000 as the International Decade for the Eradication of Coloniailem. Jealisation of the purpose of that decision calls for josnt efforts by the entire international comnunity to eliminate the remants of colonialign and to afflrm in world practice the principles of free choice the balance of interests. political realism and responsible conduct by states, as woll as the promotion of donocracy and human rights.

Ne are convinced that all states - indeed the international commuity as a whole - mast be involvad in the solution of this problem, as success in that regard will constitute an imporeant step on the way to the building of a non-violent, safer and more fust world. The radical positive charges in the international situation, the removal of the sequels oi the cold war, and mankind's movement into the post-confrontation era are producing particularly favourable opportunities for the speedy conclusion of the process of decolonisation.

Speody implemantation of the Declaration on decolonization calls for harmonisation of the approaches and actions of stetes in this and related spheres. It calls for the overcoming of the stereotypes and prejudices of confrontation. It reguixes the transfer of this activity of the United Nations into the sphere of mutually acceptable, practical solutions. In this rospect, the guidelines ares recognition of the priority of common haman values, the inaliemable right of the peoples of Mon-Self-Govorning Territories to freedom of choice, and acknowledgement of the fact that there are many and variad ways of achieving sconomic development and the universal protection of human rights.

In regard to the peopies of the last-remaining Non-Self-Governing Territories, ungualified respect for the right to self-detommination must bo an inviolable rule. The primary duty of the administering Powors and the task of the
international community is to contribute in overy way possible to the establishment of the conditions that are necessary if the peoples of these Territories are. without hindrance, to realise the right to self-determination and independence.

The path of the peopies of colonial Territories towards self-determination is strewn with nunerous obstacles. These must be set aside. of particular significance is protection of the right of the peoples of celonial Tarritories to decide how their own natural resources should be used. It is important that there be established a situation that would preclude any abuses by certain foreign economic and other circles, to the detriment of the rights and interests of the peoples of Mon-Self-Governing Territories and to the resources of their enviroment.

Much has alrsady been said about the serious barrier on the way to decolonisation constituted by the prasence of foreiga military forces in colonial Territories. The elimination of military bases and a commitment by the Administering Authorities not to establish new military bases or facilities and not to use these Territories for the testing or deployment of weapons of mass destruction would be an important step on the way to implementation of the provisions of the Daclaration on decolonisacion.

The total elimination of colonialism requires improvements in the operation of all bodies of the United Nations that deal with the problems of decolonization. It requifes more effactive use of the resources of the United Nations as a centre for harmonizine the actions of States to this end as well. The Soviot delegation has already, in statements in the Pourth Conmittee, put forward its views on this matter. As we see it, the central features should be co-operation and interaction On the part of all States, and above all co-operation by the administering Powers: the building of a global partnership; a radical turn towards a search for agreed solutions dictated by the interests of the peoples of che remaining Non-Self-Governing Territories.

The world has every opportunity to move into the tweney-first contury having rid itsalf totally of the legacy of its colonial past. These possibilities can and must be made real.

The RRESIDEIIS: We have heard the last speaker in the debate on this item.
Action on the three draft resolutions contained, respectively, in paragraph 26, part 1 , of the raport $(4 / 45 / 23)$ of the Special Comaittee and in documents $A / 45 / L .16$ and $A / 45 / L .17$, as well as on the draft decision coatained in document A/45/L.18, will be taken later this afternoon.
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 introduce the regorts of the Fourti Comitere in one statement.

Mhemanar (How Zealand). Dapportour of the Fourth Comicteos I have the honour to prement to the Gonoral Ascembly for ite consideration biz reports of the Fourth Comittee selating, zompactivoly, to itoms 18, 111, 112, 113 and 22, 114 and 115 of the agencha.

The firat report (M/45/685 and Corr.I). yalates to thos Eorritories which wore mot covered by other ftems of the gegands and which the committeo took up under agenda itom 18. Set out in the report are 18 draft remolutions, two draft consenmuses and one draft dectsion, relacing respecively toz Noxtora Sabare, Now Caledonia, Anguilis, sermuda, tho British Virgin Isiands, tho Cagman Islande. Moncserrat. Turke and Calcos Islands, Tokelau, Americam Samoa, the United gtaces Virgin IElands. Guan, Gibraitar. Pitcairn and 8t. Holens.

# (Mre_Sechere Papporteux. Equcth coomiteral 

By adopting these proposala tho Ganeral Asseably would, anong other chinga. reaffix the full appilcation of the Deciaration on the Graxtiag of Iadopeadence to Colonial Countries and Paoples to these Terrisories. and the inhereat right of cheir peaplea freely to determin their own future poiltical status. Thensembly would request the administering Powers concsrmod to take all poasible measures to expedite the process of decolonisation. The Assesably mald also urge the administering Powers, with the assistance of the spacialised agancies and other organisations of the United Mations system, to accelerate the social and economic developmant of those Territories.

In noting with appreciation the continuing co-operation of nome administering Powses in that regard, the Assembly would once again amphasise the importance of dispatching Vaited Hations visiting missions to Nom-Self-Governing Ferritorien so as to enable the United Mations to be fully apprised of the conditione prevailing in those Territories.

As rogards Mnstern Sahara, the Goneral Assembly mould, inter alis, seiterate its support for the foint good offices process initiated in Mow York on 9 April 1986 by the current Chairman of the Organisatson of african Unity (OAU) and the Secretary-General of the United Hations with a viow to promoting a just and definitive golution to the question of Western Sabara, in accordance with resolution ARG/Res.109 (XIX) of the Assembly of Heads of State and Govermant of the OAU and General Assembly resolution 40/50, of 2 Decomber 1985.

In reaffirining that the question of Western Sahara is a question of decolonization which remains to be completed on the basis of the exercise by the people of Western Sahara of their inalienable right to eglf-deterainetion and indopandence, the Assembly would welcome with satisfacsion the roport submitted by the Secretary-General to the Security Council on the situation caacerning Western

(Mirw Tembere Roppocteur. Fourth Comintere)

Sahnca, vhich was wanimously approved by Security Council resolution 658 (1990). It would alse tahe note of the dispatchiag go tho region of the techinical mission in order to rafine the administrative aspects of the outlinad pian and to obtain the nacestary infornacion for the preparation of a further report of the Secretaryngenerai to to Eecurity Coumeil containing, in particular. an estimate of the ceat of the Unftad Hations Mission for the Referendum in Nestern Gahara.

The AnsGmbly fould express lts full support for the efforts of the current Chairman of the OAV and the Secretary-Gensral to pronote a just and definitive solution to tho question of Western Sahara, in accordance with General Assembly resolution 40/50, and urge them to continue and intonsify theis efforts with a view to resolving the someinsng problens and thus to fulfil the necessary conditions for the holding of a referencun for self-doternination of the people of Weatern Sahara, without any adnimiztrative or military constraints, organised and superviged by the United Rations in co-operation vith the OAU.

In reafifirming its conviction that the direct diajogu betmeon the two parties to the conflict could contribute to the completion of the joint good offices Frosest of the current Chasrman of the OAIs and the Secretary-General, to the restoration of peace in Mestern Sahars and to stability and securicy in the whole segion, the Assembly would appeal once again to the Ringdom of Morocco and the POLISARIO Front to demonstrate the co-operation and political goodrill mocessary for the completion of the paace process with a view to a speedy settiemont of the question of Nestern Sahara.

Further, the Assembly would invite the Secretary-General of the OAU to keep the Secretary-General of the United Nations informed of the progress achioved in the implementation of the decisions of the OAU relating to Bestern Sahara.

(Nre Fombere Bapeorteur. Fourth Connittes)

With respect to Mew Caledonia, in noting the positive measures that continue to be pursued in that Territory by the French authorities ic order to provide a framework for its peaceful progress to self-determinacion, the Assembly weuld urge al the parties involved, in the interest of all the people of Kew Caledonia, to meintain their dialogue in a spirit of harmony. The Assenbly would also invite all the parties involved to continue promoting a framework for the peaceful progress of the Territory towards an act of self-determination in which all options would be open and which would safeguard the rights of all fiew Caledoaians.

The second report ( $/ / 45 / 680$ ) relates to agenda item 111, on information from Nom-Self-Govarning Territorics transmitted under Article 73 e of the charter of the United Bations. The Pourth Comittee recomends, among other thinge, that the Assembly reaffirm that, in the absence of a decision by the General Aesembly itself that a Hon-Self-Governing Territory has attained a full measure of self-govermment in terms of Chapter XI of the Charter, the administering Pownr concerned should continue to transmit in a timely manner information under Article 73 with respact to that Perritory, as well as providing the fullest possible information on political and constitutional davalopments.

The third report (A/45/681) relates to agenda item 112, on the activities of foreign economic and other interests whict ase impeding the implementation of the Declaration in colonial Territorias, Anong other provisions, the General Amsembly would condemn those activities of foreign economic and other interests in the colonial Territorles that are impeding the impleasentation of the paclaration, and call once again upon all Governments to take the necessary steps to put an and to such activities and to prevent new investments that run counter to the intereats of the inhabitants of those Torritories.

# (Mre_Romber, Ropporteur. 

 Fourth Comitere)In a separate decision on the military activities and arrangenents in colonial Territories which might be impeding the implementation of the Declaration, the Fourth Conmittee recommonds that the Goneral Assenbly call upon the colonial Powers concerned to terminate such activities unconditionally and to eliminate auch military bases, in compliance with the related resolutions of the Assembly. The General Assembly would also reiterate that colonial Territories and areas adjacent thereto should not be used for nucivar tasting, dunping of nuclear wastes or deployment of auclear and other weapons of mass destruction.

# (Mre Rentere Rapocitour. Founth Comittral 

The fourth report ( $1 / 45 / 682$ ) relates to itens 123 and 12 of the agenda, namely. implementation of the Declaration by the specialised agencies and the international institutions associated with the Unitad Mations loting the extranely fragile economies of the smali island Terricories and their vulnerability to matural disasters, the General Assembly would, anong othor provisions. request chose organisations to sender or continue to render, as ameter of urgency, all possible moral and material assistance to the colonial peoples, bearing in mind that such assistance should not only meet their inmodiate noeds but alac create conditions for development after they have exarcised their right to seli-determination.

The fifth report (A/45/683) relates to the Unitad fations Educational and Training Progranne for Southern Africa, under item 114. In expressing its appreciation to all those who have supported the programe by providing contributions, scholarshigs or places in thoir educational insticutions, the Assembly would appeal to all States, institutions, organisations and individuais to offer greater financial and other support to the Programe in ordar to secure its continuation and steady expansion.

The last report (A/A5/684) relates to offers by Member states of atudy and training facilities for inhabitants of Mon-Seif-Governing Territories, under item 115. In expressing its appreciation to those vember States that have made scholarships available to the inhabitants of such Territories, the Assembly would express its strong conviction that the continuation and expansion of offers of scholarships were essential in order to meet the inereasing nead of students from Non-Self-Governing Tersitorias for educational and training assistance。 Considering that students in those Territories should be encouraged to gyail themselves of such offers, it would also urge the agninistering Powers co take

# (Mre_Romber, Rapporteux. Fourth Comaiticee) 

sffective measures to ensure the widespresd and continuous dissemination in the Tersitories under their administration of information relating to such offers made by States.

On behalf of the Fourth Comittec. I should like to comend these reports to the attention of the General Assenbly.

Before concluding, I should like to thank the Chairman of the Fourth Comaittee, Anbassador Martin Adouki of the People'a Republic of the Congo, for his leadership and for the advice and guidance he has given to me in connoction with my tasks as Repporteur of the Fourth Comittee.

I should also like to express my gratitude to my colleagues the members of the Fourth Comaittee for the co-operation and assistance they extended to ma so williagly during the session, as well as to the Secretary of the Cormittee and his colleagues in the Secretariat. As the Perma iont Representative of the Congo, Ambassador Adouki, indicated in his own statement to the General Assembly yesterday, it was a particulariy significent year for the Conenittee in which meabers devoted considerable attention not only to the substance of the items before them but also to the manner in which the Comittee could begt work in the Iuture.

The PRESTDEAT: If there is $x 0$ proposal under rule 66 of the rules of procedure, I take it that the General Assembly decides not to discuss the reports of the Fourth Comittee winich are before the Assembly today.

It was so decided.
The PRESIDERI: Statementa will therefore be iimited to explanations of vote.

## (The Eresident)

The positions of delegations regarding the various recomeadations of the Fourth Comittee have been made clear in the Comittee and are reflected in the relevant official records.

May I remind members that under paragraph 7 of decision 34/401, the General Assembly agreed that

When the sams draft resolution is considered in a Main Commitece and in plonary meeting, a delegation should, as far as poswiblo, explain its vote only once, i.e.. either in the Comitcee or in plenary meting, unless chat delegation's vote in plenary meeting is dizferent from its vote in the Committee".

May I remind delegations that in accordance with that decision explanations of vote are 1 imited to 10 minutes.

Before we begin to take action on the recomendations contained fin the reports of the Fourth Committee. I should like to advise sepresentatives that we ghal proceed with the voting in tho same manner as was done in the Fourth Conalttee. This means that where recorded votes were taken we shall do the sane. Also. I hope that we may proceed to adopt without a vote those recommendations chat were adopted without a vote in the Fourth Committee, unless delegations heve already notified the Secretariat otherwise.

The General Assembly will now consider the report (M/45/880) of the Fourth Committee on agenda item 111, entitied "Information from Non-Self-Goveraing Territories transmitted under Article 73 of the Charter of the United Nations".

The General Assembly will now take a decision on che draft resolution recommended by the Fourth Committee in paragraph 7 of the report.

A recorded vote has been requested.

## A.recorded yote man taken.

In fayour: Afghanisean, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladeah, Barioados, Bolgium, Belise, Benin, Bhutan, Bolivia, Botswans, Brasil, Bruxil Darusealam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Canada, Cape Yerde, Central African Republic, Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Comoros, Congo, Cote d'Ivoire, Cuba, Cyprus, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Ecuador. Egypt, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Germany, Ghana, Greece. Grenada, Guatemala, Guisea, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Haitż, Honduras, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Ropublic of). Irag, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kumait. Lao Peoplo's Democratic Rapublic, Lebsnom, Lesotho, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Liechteastein, Luserabourg, Madagascar, Malawi, Malayzia, Maldives, Mali. Malta, Mauritania. Mauritius, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Momanbigue, Myanmar, Mepal, Metherlands, New Zealand, Micaragua, Migoz, Nigeria, Morway, Oman, Pakistan, Panama, Papua Hew Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadiner. Eamoa, Eaudi Arabia, Senegal. Seychellea, Sierra Leone, Singapory, Solomon Ialands, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Surineme, Swaziland, Smeden, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Ropublic, Union of Soviet Socialist Repubilics, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tansania, Vruguay, Vanuatu, Venazuela, Viet Mam, Yamen, Yugosiavia, Zaire, Zambia, Zimbabve

Agninate Kone

## 3hataining: France, United Fingdom of Great Britain amd Northern Irelamd, United States of Amorica

The draft regolution mes adopted ty 145 yoten to mono. Hith 3 ubetentions (resolution 45/16).*

The pRESIDERE: That concludes our consideration of agends item 111.
The Assembly will now turn to the report ( $\mathrm{A} / 45 / 681$ ) of the Fourth Committec on agenda item 112, ontitled "Activities of foreign economic and othor intereste which are impading the implementation of the Declaretion on the Gronting of Independence

[^0]
## (The President)

to Colonial Countries anc Peoples in Tacritories under colonial dominatioa and efforta to eliminate colonialism, aparthoid and racial diacrimination in southern Africa".

The General Assembly will first take a decision on the draft resolution recomended by the Fourth Comittee in paragraph 9 of the report.

A recorded vote has been requested.

## A recorded rote mas taken.

In favour: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belise, Benin, Bhutan, Bolivia, Brasil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Eyelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Canaroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Comores, Congo, Cuba, Cyprus, Djibouti, Dominican Repubiic, Ecuador, Egypt. El Salvador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Gabon, Ghana, Grenads, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Iran (Isianic Republic of). Irag. Jamaica, Jordan, Kuvait, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Lesotho, Libyan Arab Janahiriya, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Myamar, Namibia, Nepal, Hicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, Onam. Pakistan, Papua Hew Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Gremadines, Samoa, Saudi drabia, Senagal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Sxi Lanka, Sudan, Surineme, Swasiland, Syrian Arab Repubilc, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviat Socialist Ropublic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Enirates, United Republic of Tansania, Uruguay, Vanuatu, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugosiavia, Zaire, Zambia, Zimbabwe

AqAint: Belgium, France, Germany, Iarael, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg. Notherlands, Portugal. United Ringdom of Great Britain and Sorthern Ireland: United States of America

Abstaining: Australia, Austria, Botawana, Bulgaria, Canada, Côte diIvoire, Csechoslovakia, Denmark, Finland, Graece, Hungary, Iceland. Ireland, Liechtenstein, Malawi, Malta, Hew Zealand, Horway. Panana, Poland, Romania, Spain, Sweden, Turkey<br>The draft rasolution in paragraph of document $\lambda / 45 / 681$ was adopted by 113 rotene to 12 c with 2A abstontions (resolution 45/17).*

The PRESIDEAP: The Assembly will now take action on the draft decision recomended by the Fourth Comittee in paragraph 10 of its report (A/45/581).

A recorded vote has beon requested.

[^1]
## A_recordad vote man enken.

In fayour: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angoia, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladeah, Barbados, Belise, Benin, Bhutan. Bolivia, Botswana, Brasil. Brungi Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Sociailst Republic, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Chad, Chile, China, Colonbia, Conoros, Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, Cyprus, Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Gabon, Ghana, Grenada, Guatcmala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Eaiti, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Ropublic of). Irag, Jamaica, Jordan, Kuwait, Lao People's Damocratic Republic, Lebanon, Lesotho, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Malaysia. Maldives, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Myanmar, Hamibia, Hepal, Micaragua, Kiger, Migeria, Oman, Pakistan, Panama, Papue Kow Gusinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Gremadines, Samoa, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Seycheiles, Sierra Leone. Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Smasiland, Syrian Arab Republic, Tmailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia. Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Rapublic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Bmiraces, United Republic of Ianmania, Uruguay, Vanuatu, Venezuela, Viet kam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia, Zinbabwe

Aghingst Belgiun, Canada, France, Germasy, Israel, Italy, Luxembourg, Motherlands, Portugal, United Singdom of Great Britain and Horthern Ireland. United States of Anerica

Abstinining: Australie, Austria, Bulgaria, Contral African Republic, Crechoslovakia, Demark, Finland, Greace, Hurgary, Icsland, Ireland, Japan, Liechtenstein, Malawi, Malta, New Zealand, Horway. Poland, Romamia, Spein, Sweden, Turkey

The draft dociaton_in paraquoph_10 of docymat A/45/6el was adopted by 115 voteste 11 . with 22 abstentione.*

The PRestprigit That concludes our consideration of agenda item 112 ,
We turn now to the report (A/45/682) of the Fourth Concittoe on agenda item 113, entitled "Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Irdependence to Colonial Countries and Peoples by the specialised agencies and the international institutions associated with the linited Nations", and agenda item 12. entitled "Report of the Economic and Eocial Council".

[^2]
## (The President)

The Asembly will take a decision or the draft resolution recomanded by the Fourth Comentte in paragraph 8 of its report ( $N / 45 / 682$ ).

A recorded vote hae been requested.
A.ragorifd yotemat takon.

In fnvove: Afghanistus, Albania, Algeria, Angoia, Anelgua and Barbuda, Argentias, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladenh, Barbados, Bolize, Benin, Bhutan, Bolivia, Botswana, Erasil, Brunei Daruasalan, Buskina Faso. Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Ropubile, Cemeon, Cape Varde, Central African Ropublic. Chad, Chile, China, Colonbia, Comoros, Congo, Cubs, Cyprus, Djsbouti, Dominican Repubilc, Geuador, Bgypt, EL Salvador, Ethiopla, Fijs, Gabon, Ghana, Grenale, Guatenala, Guinea, Guinea-Biseau, Guyana, Eisiti, Eomduras. India. Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Repubic of), Irag, Jamaica, Jordan, Kuwait, Lao People's Denocratic Repubife, Lebanon, Eesotho, Libyan Arab Jamahixiye, Malaysia, Aaldives, Mail, Mansitania, Meuritius. Mosico, Mongolia, Morocco. Mosambique, Myanar, Manibia, Hopal, Micarggua, Higer, Higeria, Cman, Bakistan, Pansma, Papua How Guinea, Paraguay, Peru. Philippines, Qatar, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincont and the Gremadines, Samoa, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Eeycheliez, Eierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Eri Hanka, Sudan, Suriname, Swasiland, Syrian Arab Repubilc, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Eocialise Republic. Union of soviet Socialist Iepubilct. Bnited Arab Smirates, United Ropubilc of Tansania. Uruguas, Vanuatu, Venesuela, Viet Mam, Yemen, Yugosiavia, Zaire, Zambia, Zimbabo

AqPines Belgiun, Canada, Denmark, France, Germany, Inrael, Italy, Lurembourg, Notherlands, Portugal. United Eingiom of Great Eritain and Horthern Ireland. United States of Amorica

Abtininimg Australia, Auseria, Buigaria, Cote d'Ivoira, Csechosiovakia, Finland, Greece, Eungary Iceland, Ireland, Japan, Hiochtongecin, Malta, Hew Zealand. Morway. Poland, Romania, Epain. 8wedon, Turkey
 115 yotin to 12 with 20 abtentiong (resolution 45/18).

The RRFSTMFIF: I call on the representative of Now zaaland, who wishen to oxplain his of vote.

[^3]Mrentrati (Mos Zealand): Ny delegation abstained on the draft resolution fust adopted on the role of the apaciailsed agencies and international isstitutions in the implemontation of the Declaration on decolonisation. Like a number of other delegations which have also abstained this year, the Naw zealand delegation had bean pleased to be able to support a similar draft resolution on the epecialised egencies when it came before the General Assembly last year. our abstention this yoar was made in the knowledge that the agoncies and institutions havo played a full and vital role in the self-determination procese over recent decades. How Zsaland welcomes their continuing participation.

Indeed, this your ${ }^{\circ}$ s resolution incorporaces some valuabie changes. It acknowledges the important contribution of the Declasation on apartingid adopted by Consensus lage Decomber. It also includes paragraph of especial fignificamce on the Iragility of the economies of small island Territorias and their valuerability to matural dasasters.

However, it is matter for considerable regret that in other paragreghe this resolution has returned to the rhetoric of the past, in a way that strikes nis as irrelevant to the real needs of the fon-SelfoGoverning Territories and in sone casen, covering matcers that are already the mubject of othar agonda itome. Hntortunatejy, ehis han been a characteristic of certain other draft resolutions conuldered this year by the Pourth Comititee.

How Zaaland is opposed to the practice of selective nam-calling and rould have voted against the fourteonth preambular paragraph and operative paragraph 17 had eqparate votos bean taken. Thege references were all the more unacceptable given thoir total inopplicability to the work of the specialised agencien in the Mon-Eelf-Governing Territories.

As tio Permaneat Ropresentative cit the People's Republic of tho Congo and Chairasn of the Fourth Comenttee. Ambassador dobuk, indicated in his statement to

## (Mremenher. Heatentond)

the General i csambly yesterday, this has been a particularly significane gear gox the Comnittee. Nith 30 years of decolonisation experisnce bemind the Unized Nations and a 10-yaar gool for the conciusion of this task, atock-taking of working methods would seem to be appropsiate.

On the basis of discussion in the Conmittee this year, Ambasador Adouks suggosted the formation of an informal working group, in whick yew zeadand, in its capacity as member of the Fourth Comitter Bureau, would participate. Hevivg itself once been responsible to the Unitgd Mations for neveral Mon-\&alf-Governiag Territories, but having seen in the course of the last 30 gesrs self-dotermination exercised ir all but one of thege. Hem zealand stands ready to aseist fn any appropriate way with the processes of self-determination that remain withsa the purview of the United Nations.

The PREFEDPRIT That conciudes our consideration of agenda item 113 and of chapters I and VI (section D) of the report of the Economis and social Council under agenda item 12.

We turn now to the report ( $M / 45 / 683$ ) of the Fourth Comittee on agenda Item 114, ontitled "United Mations oducational and training programe for southern Africa"。

The Assombly will take decision on the draft resolution recomimedod by the Fourth Committee in paragraph 8 of itw report ( $\mathrm{M} / 45 / 683$ ).

The Fourth Comaictes adopted the draft resolution without objection. Mag I consider that the General Assembly wishes to do the same?

The draft resolution in_paragraph 8 of dacument M45/683 was adopted (resolution 45/19).

Tha PRESIDEAT: That comcludos our consideracion of ageada item il4.
We tura now to the report (AR45/684) of the Fourth Comaitese on agenda itmin 115, ontitled "OEfers by Momber statea of stady and training facilities for inhabitants of Non-Self-Governing Territories ${ }^{\circ 1}$.

The Assembly will now take a docision on the draft resolution racommended by the Fourth Comatter in paragraph 7 of its report (A/45/684). The Fourth Comaittee adoped that draft reaolution without objection. May I consider that the General Assembly wishes to do the sarme?

The draft renolution in paragraph 7 . of tocurent A/45/684 was adonted (resolution 45/20).

The PRESIDENE: That concludes our consideration of agenda item 315.

## (The President)

Ne ghall now consider the report of the Fourth Committee on agenda item 10 (M/45/685 and Corr.1) concernisg the chapters of the report of the Special Comittee on the situation with regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples relacing to specific Territories not covered by other agenda items.

The Assembly will proceed to take decisions on the various recommendations of the Fourth Commitee. I shall put the recommendations to the Assembly one by ons. After all the votes have been taken, representatives will have the opportunity to oxplain their vote.

We tuin firgt to the 12 draft resolutions recommended by the Fourth Committee in paragraph 24 of its report.

Draft resolution I is entitled "Question of Nestern Sahara". The Assembly will now take decision on that draft resolution. As stated in paragraph 14 of the report, the Fourth Comnittee adopted this draft consensus resolution without a vote. May I consider that the General Assembly wishes to adopt draft resolution I?

Draft resolvtion I wes adopted (resolution 45/21).

The PRFFIDFNY: Draft resolution II is entieled "Question of New Caledonia". The Fourth Committee adopted it without objection. May I consider that the General Assembly wishes to adopt draft resolution II?

Draft rasolntion TI Mas adopted (resolution 25/22).

The PRESTDFER: Draft resolution III is entitled "Question of Anguilla". The Fourch Cominictee adopted it oithout objection. May I consider that the General Assenbly wishes to do the same?

Draft resolufion III mas adopted (resolution 45/23).

The PRESTDPNT: Draft resolution IV is entitled "Question of Bermuda". The Fourth Comittee adopted it without objection. May I consider that the General Assernbly wishes to adopt draft resolution IV?

Draft reselution IY was adepted (resolution 45/24).
The PRESIDENT: The Assembly will turn neat to draft resolution $v$. entitled "Question of the British Virgin Islands". This draft resolution was adopted by the Fourth Committee without objection. May I consider that the Gemeral Assembly wishes to do the same?

Drsft resolution $V$ was fidoptad (rasolucion 45/25).
The PRESIDENT: Draft resolution VI, entitled "Question of the Cayman Islands", ias adopted by the Fourth Committee without objoction. May I conzider that the General Assembly wishes to adopt araft rasoiution VI?

Draft rasolution yI wes adopted (resolution 45/36).
The PRESIDENT: Draft resolution VII is entitled "Question of Montserrat". The Fourth Committee adopted it without objection. May I considar that the General Assembly wishes to adopt draft resolution VII?

Draft xesplution VII was zdopted (resolution 45/27).
The PRESIDEAT: Draft resolution VIII is entitled "Queation of the Turks and Caicos Islands". The Fourth Comnittee adopted it without objection. May I consider that the General Assembly wishes to adopt draft resolution VIII?

Draft resoluticn VIII was adopted (resolution 45/28).
The PRESTDENT: Draft resolueion IX is entitled "Question of Tokelau". The Fourth Comittes adopted it without objection. May I consider that the General Assembly wishes to adopt draft resolution IX?

Draft resolution IX was adopted (resolution 45/29).

The PRESTDSHI: Draft resolution $X$ is entitled "Question of American Samoa". The Fourth Comittee adopted the draft resolution without objection. May I considsr that the General Assembly wishes to do the same?

Draft resolution $X$ ras adopted (resolution 45/30).
The PRESIDERP: Draft resolution XI is entitled "Question of the United States Virgin Islands". The Fourth Committee adopted it without objection. May I consider that the General Asserbly also wishes to do so?

Draft resolution XI was adopted (resolution 45/31).
The PRESIDEAP: Draft resolution XII is entitled "Question of Guam". A recorded vote has been requested on this draft resolution.

Arecorded yote wae taken.
In Envour: Afgharistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belize, Benin. Bhutan, Bolivia, Botswana, Brasil, Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burundi. Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic. Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, Cyprus, Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt. El Salvador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Ghana, Grenada, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Iren (Islamic Republic of), Irag, Jamaica, Jordan, Kuwait, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Lesotho, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Malta, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Myannar, Namibia, Nepai, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Niyer, Nigeria, Oman, Pakistan, Papus Hew Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Saudi Arabia, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Irlands, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Surinama, Syrian Arab Republic. Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Uganda, Ukrainion Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tanzanis, Uruguay, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia, Zimbabwe

Against: Israel, United States of America, Vanuatu

Abstaining: Australia, Austria, Bahamas, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Finland, France, Gabon, Germany, Greece. Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Liechtenstoin, Luxembourg, Hietherlands, Horway, Panema, Poland, Fortugal, Romania, Samoa, Spain, Swedm, Jurkey, United Ringdom of Great Britain and Forthern Ireland

Deaft resolution XII ras adppted by 210 yotes so 3 . with 31 abstontiong (draft resolution 45/32).*

The RRESIDRAT: I now invite representatives to turn to the draft Eonsensuses recommended by the Fourth Committee in paragraph 25 of its report (A/45/685 and Corr.1).

Draft consensus I is entitled "Question of Gibraltar". The Fourth Committee adopted it without objection. May I consider that the General Assembly wishes to adopt draft comsensus I?

Draft consensus I was acopted.
The PRESIDENT: Draft consensus II is entitled "Question of Ritcairn". The Fourth Comenittee adopted it without objection. May I consider that the General Assembly wishes to do the ame?

Draft consensus II was adopted.
The PRESIDENY: Wo turn next to the draft decision entitied "Question of St. Helena" recommended by the Fourth Committee in paragraph 26 of its report (A/45/685 and Corr.1). A recorded vote has been requested on this draft decision.

[^4]A recordad rotienas takes.

| In fivour: | Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Ancigua and Barbuda. Argoneina, Bahamas, Eahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belise, Benin, Bhutan, Bolivia, Botswana, Brasil, Brupei Darussalevi, Surkina Faso, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cape Verde, Central African Republic. Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Cubs, Cyprus. Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Ecuader, Egypt, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Gabon. Ghana, Grenada, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bisaau, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Indja, Indonssia, Iran (Ialamic Republic of), Irag, Jamaica, Jordan, Kuwait, Lae People's Democratic Republic. Lebanon, Lesotho, Kibyam Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Maritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mosambique, Myanmar, Namibia, Nepal. Nicaragua, Kiger, Nigeria, Oman, Pakiztan, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Paru, Philippines. Qatar, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saudi Arabia, Seychelles. Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Gyrian Arab Ropublic, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Sogialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tanmania, Uruguey, Vanuatu, Veneauela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia, Zimbabwe |
| :---: | :---: |
| Agnimges | United Ringdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America |
| Abstaining: | Australia, Austria, Bolgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Czechosiovakia, Demmark, Fiji. Finland, Erance, Germany, Greece, Fungary, Iceland, Ireland, Israed, Italy, Jepan, Liechtenstuin, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Now Zealand, Norway, Panama, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Samoa, Spain, Sweden, Turkey |

\% Subsequently, the delegation of Kenya advised the Secretariat that it had intended to vote in favour: the delegation of Lebanon had intended to abstain.

## AGENDA ITEM 18 (continued)

IMPLENLATATION OF THES DECLARATION ON THS GRANEING OF IMDEPEADENCE TO COLOAYAL COMNTRIRS AKD PROPLES
(a) REFORT OF THE SPECIAL CONQTTIEE ON THE SITUATION WITE REGARD TO THE IMPLENENTATIOA OF THE DECLARATION ON THE GRANTING OF EXDEPENDENCE TO COLONIAL COUNTRIES AND PEOPLES (M/45/23; N/AC. $109 / 1015$ and Corr.1. A/AC. 109/1016-A/AC.109/1021, M/AC. $109 / 1023$ and Corr. 1 and Add. 1. A/AC.109/1024-A/AC.109/1036. N/AC.109/1038, A/AC.109/10\&1 and Corr.1. M/AC.109/104s and A/AC.109/1048 and Corr.1/Rev.1)
(b) REPORT OF TEHS SECRETARY-GENERAL (A/45/644 and Corr. 1)
(c) DRAFP RESOLUPIONS (A/45/23 (part II) paxa. 26: M/45/L.16. M/45/L.17)
(d) DRAFI DECISION (A/45/L.18)
(e) REPORT OF THE FIFTE COMGITMEE (A/45/686)
(f) LETYERS FROM PAFUA NEW GUINEA (A/A5/723, A/45/724)

The PRESTDFNY: The debate on this item was concluced earlier this afternoon.

The Assembly will now turn to the recommendations contained in paragraph 26 of part II of the report of the Special Committee (A/45/23), to draft resolutions M/45/L.16 and $\lambda / 45 / \mathrm{L} .17$ and to draft decision $\mathrm{A} 45 / \mathrm{L} .18$.

## (The Prosident)

I call on the representative of the United Kingdon, who wishes to explain his vote before the voting.

May I remind delegations that, in accordence with General Assembly decision 34/301. explanations of vote are 1 imited to 10 minutes and should be made by delegations from their seats.

Mr. RICHARDSON (United Kingden): In ous statement to the Fourth Committee and in explanations of vote my delegation has been obliged to object to the outdated language of many of the resolutions which we have had to consider. We have not enjoyed doing so, but we believe that the resources and work of the United Nations are being misdirected. We think it is time to take a hard look at the practices and procedures of the United Nations in the field of decolonization. We need to sliminate repetition and duplication and to adopt instead a streamlined. pragmatic approach that responds better to the present-day needs of the peoples of the few small remaining dependent Texritories.

The United Nations facos new challenges and damands, but its ability to respond is hampered when a dsproportionate share of its resources is devoted to perpetuating work which should be consigned to the archives. The draft resolutions on which we are about to jote are good examples. Draft resolutions $\mathrm{M} / 45 / \mathrm{L} .16$ and A/45/L.17 differ depressingly little from their predecessors. Like them, they are couched in the tired rhetoric of previous decades. Thoy have nothing of relevance to say to the self-governirg peoples of the British dependent Parritories.

Last year my delegation made a statement in the Assembly on the draft resolueion on marking the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration on decolonization. My delegation voted against that draft resolution. We found it incomprehensible that additional efforts and resources should be devoted to the work of decolonization at a time when United Mations tasks in this field are

## (Mre Richardaon Unitad_Kingdom)

diminishing and yet the cemands upon the resources of the United Mations are greater than ever before. This year's draft resolution om the observance of the thirtieth anniversary is no better than last year's. It is a compilation of all the irrelevant rhetoric of the past - and I an thinicing in particular of operative paragraph 9. It is a cause for despair that we have to vote on auch a document, which can only bring diacredit upon the magnificent achievaments of the past in the fisld of decolonisation. We remind the Assembly oncs again that the right to self-determination does not necessarily msan full independence. The peoples of our remaining dependent Territories exercise their right eo self-determination every time they go to the polle. Whether they decide to assunc the responsibilities of full independence is ontirely a mattor for them. Wo respect their decisions. I could have made much the same speech at any time in the past 10 years or so. But I should like to conclude on a slightly more hopeful note. We are aware that the Special Comittee is reconsidering its approach to questions of decolonization now that Namibia has at last taken fits place anong us as an independent state, and now that, more clearly than befors, we can see that what we are talking about is the future of a fow small island Territories. I pay a tribute to the Chairman of the Special Comnitres for his interest in the matter, and I have also noted with interest the remarks made by the Chairmsn of the Fourth Comittee. I can only hope that next year the Assembly and the Pourth Comitteo will not have to consider a multitude of draft resolutions that fail to reflect realities and do nothing to advance the wis' , of the people of the remaining dependent Territories. After all, it is to advance their wishes that we are here in the first place.

The PRESIDENT: The Assembly will now take a decision on the recommendations contained in paragraph 26 of document $A / 45 / 23$ (part II), draft
(The Prosident:)
reaolutions $\mathbb{N} 45 / \mathrm{L} .16$ and $\lambda / 45 / \mathrm{L} .17$ and the draft decision in document $\mathrm{N} / 45 / \mathrm{L} .18$. The report of the Fifth Comittee on the programe budget implications is coatained in documont $\mathbf{N} 45 / 686$.

I have to announce the following additional sponsors of draft resolutions N/45/L. 16 and $\lambda / 45 / \mathrm{L} .17 \mathrm{~s}$ Durkina Faso, Cyprus, the Isianac Republic of Iran, the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, the Ukrainian Soyiet Socialist Republic and Vaneaucla.

The Aasembly will now bagin the votiag process and vote first on the draft resolueion antitled "Thirtioth Anniversary of the Declaratior on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples", which appears in paragraph 26 of part II of the report of the Special Comittee (A/45/23).

A recorded vote has been requested.
A recorded yote mas takem.
In_farour: Afghenistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Antigra and Barbuda, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahamas, Bahraia, Bangladesh, Barbados, Benin, Bhutan, Bolivia, Botswasa, Brasil. Brunei Darusaaiam, Buigaria, Burkima Faso, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Comeroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic. Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Comoros, Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, Cyprus, Crechoslovakia, Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Ethilopia, Fiji, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Grenada, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Zepublic ofl. Irag, Ireland, Jamaica, Jordan, Kuwait, Lao People's Democratic Republic. Lebanon, Lesotho, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Malta, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Mongolia, Morocco, Mosambique, Myanmar, Namibia, Hepal. New Zealand, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigexia, Oman, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Qatar, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Samoa, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Seychelles. Sierra Leons, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Swasiland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey. Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Uniom of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tansania, Uruguay, Vanuatu, Venesucla, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, zaire, Zambia, Zimbabwe

Againgt: Unitad Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United Stakes of America

| Abstaining: | Belgium, Belise, Canada, Denmark, Yinland, France, Germany, |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Hungary, Iceland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Liechtenstein, |
| Luxembourg, Fetherlands, Norway, Panara, Poland, Portugal, |  |
| Romania, Sweden |  |

Tha draft resolution in paragraph 26 of documenc $M / 45.23$ (part II) was adopted by 124 votes to 2 . With 21 abstentiong (resolution 45/33).*

The PRREIDENT: The Ausembly will now vote on draft resolution Ar45/L.16,
entitled "Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to
Colonial Countries and Recples".
A recorded vote has been requested.
A recorded vote vas token.
In fayour: Afghenistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Antigue and Barbuda, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belize, Benin, Bhutan, Bolivia, Botawana, Brazil, Brunei Daruszaldm, Burkina Faso, llurunds. Byolorussian Sovint Socialist Repubiic, Cameroom, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Congo, Côte diIvoire. Cuba, Cyprus, DGnmark, Djibouti. Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egype, El Salvador, Bthiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Grenada, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissam, Guyana, Haiti. Honduras, Iceland, India. Indonesia, Iran (Islanic Republic of). Iraq, Ireland, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kuwait, Lao Poople's Democratic Republic, Lebenon, Lesotho, Libyan Arab Janahiriya. Madagascar, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Malta, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Mongolia, Norocco, Mozanbique, Myanmar. Namibia, Nepal, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Onan, Pakistan, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saint Viacent and the Grenadines, Samoa, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka. Sudan, Suriname, Swaziland, Swaden, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Repubilc, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Rapublic of Tanzania, Uruguay, Vanuatu, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia, Zimbabwe

Againet: United Ringdom of Groat Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America

[^5]Ahstaining: Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Csachoslovakia, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Italy, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Malawi, Netharlands. Poland, Romania<br> (resolution 45/34).*

The PRESTDEN: The Assembly will now vote on draft resolution A/45fL.17,
entitlod "Dissemination of information on decolonization".
A recorded vote has been requested.
A recorded vote mas taken.
In favour: Afghanistan, Albania, Algaria, Angola, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bahames, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belize, Benin, Bhutan, Bolivia, Botewana, Brazil. Brunei Darussalam, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Camoroon, Canada, Cape Verde, Central African Republic. Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Comoros, Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Cuba, Cyprus, Denmark, Djibouti, Dominican Republic. Ecuador, Egypt, El Saivador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Finland, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Grenada, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Icelend, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Repuklic of). Iraq, Ireland, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kuwait, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Lesotho, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Malta, Mauxitania, Mauritius, Mexico, Mongolia, Morcceo, Mosambique, Myammar, Namibia, Nepal, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Higer, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Qatar, Rwande, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Sanoa, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Swasiland, Swaden, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Togo, Frinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tansania, Uruguay, Vanuatu, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia, zimbabwe

Agsinat: United Ringdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland United States of America

[^6]
# Abstsining: 

Beigium, Bulgaria, Csechoslovaksa, France, Germiny, Hungary, Issael. Italy, Liecheenstein, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Panama, Poland. Romamia

Deaft realution $2451 L_{\text {e }} 17$ vas adopten by 133 yotes to 2 with 14 abstentions (resolution 45/34). \%

The PRESTDEXI: The Assembly will now vote on the draft decision in document A/85/L.18, entitled "International Decade for the Eradication of Colonialism".

A recorded vote has been requested.
A racorded vote was taken.
In_feyour: Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Australia, Bahamas, Eahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Bonin, Bhuton, Bolivia, Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Byelorusaian Soviet Socialist Republic, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Chile, China, Colombia, Comoros, Congo, Cate d'Ivoire, Cuba, Cyprus, Caechoslovakia, Djibouti, Dominican Republic, Ecuador. Egypt, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Fiji, Gabonp Ghana, Greaada, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras. Eungary. India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of). Iraq, Jamaica. Jordan, Kuwait, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lobanon, Lesocho, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Madagascar, Malawi, Malaysia, Maldives. Mali, Malta, Maritania, Mauritius. Mexico, Mongolia, Morccco, Nosambique, Myanmar, Mamibia, Nepal, New Zealand, Hicaragua, Miger, Nigeria, Oman, Pekistan, Panama, Papua Mew Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines. Samoa, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Seychellez, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviot Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republica, United Arab Emirates, United Republic of Tanzenia. Uruguay, Vanuatu, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yemen, Yugoslavia, Zaire, Zambia, Zimbabwe

Agrainge:
Hone

[^7]Abstaining: Austria, Belgium, Bolise, Canada, Demart, Fh́alend, France, Germany, Greece, Icaland, Ireland, Isran, Italy. Japan, Liechtenstein, Lumembourg, Metherlands, Morwag, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, United Eingdom of Great Britain and Forthern Irelamd, Unitad States of Anerica

The dratt dacinion in dogunent ALA5/F. 18 mas adorted by 126 yoter to none. rith 23 abstantions.t

Fubsequently the delegation of Kenya advised the Secretariat that it gad intended to vote in favour.

The RRESFDEAR I call mow on represuntatives who wish to explain their vote. I remind delegations that, in accordance with General Assembly decision 34/401, explanations of vote are limited to 10 minutes and should be made by delegations from their seats.

Mre FIER (Morway): I have the honour to speak on behalf of the five Mordic countries 1 Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Swedon axid sorway.

The Bordic countries continue to attach the utnost importance to the Enalienable right of all peoples in Mon-Self-Governing Territories to self-determination. We melcome, therefore, the accomplishments in the decolonisation process, which has now very mearly run its course.

The Fordic countries voted in favour of draft resolutions $1 / 45 / \mathrm{L} .16$ and L .17. Regrettably, however, could not do so without cortain reservations.

Draft resolution A/45/b. 16 contains formulations to which we cannot give our consent. Operative paragraphs 4 and 11 contain wording which is contrary to the principle in the Charter that the Uaited Bation should always encourage peaceful solutions. We also find operative paragraphs 9 and 10 to be too categorically formulated.

As regards draft resolution $\lambda / 45 / L .17$, our vote on operative paragraph 1 , regarding the chapter of the report of the special Comenittee on decolonizetion relating to the dissuination of information, should not be interpreted as approval of all apecific parts of that chapter. Furthermore, the fourth preambular paragraph, regarding measures of consorship in Seuth Africa, is at variance with current realities, in view of the sigaificent developments that have taken place in that country over the past year.

The Nordic countries abstained on draft resolution $\$ / 45 / \mathrm{L} .18$ as a consequence of their vote two years ago on the resolution on the International Decade for the Bradication of Colonialism.
(My. FiEf, Noxway)
Moreover, the Nordic countries regret that they had to abstain on the new draft resolution relating to the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples. In our opinion it contradices the view that has been held consistentiy by the Nordic countries, anong others, on the need to concentrate and rationalize the texts relating to decolonization. This text is much too long. What is more, it contains formulations that do not take into account positive developments that have taken place in southern Africa. I refer here inter alia, to operative paragraphs 4 and 20. Namibia having attained independence, we also feel that the references to apgrtheid contained, inter glia, in the seventeenth preambular paragraph and cperative paragraph 9. do not really belong any more in the context of the decolonization process. Concerning operative paragraphs 15 and 16, relating to military activities, we feel that they are too categorical. Moreover, operative paragraph 7 contains ambiguous language as far as encouraging peaceful solutions is concerned.

The Nordic countries warmly welcome and feel encouraged by the proposal made by the Chairman of the Fourth Committee, Ambassador Adouki, Permanent Representative of Congo, that working group be established to take a closer look at the structure and language of the texts pertaining to decolomization.

Mr. KEMBER (New Zealand): My delegation voted in favour of the draft resolution on the thirtieth anniverery of the Declaration on decolonization. We did so because of our basic wish to be as forthcoming and supportive as possible on decolonization issues. Our record on this is clear.

We fully understand the need for resolutions frs seflect the past. It is essential, as we indicated earlier in the session, for us also to look forward in ways that are constructive and positive and that keep uppermost the interests of those peoples which have yet to exercise self-determination. The regional semingrs

# (Mr. Xember. Now Zoaland) 

on decolonization held earlier this year in Port Vila and Bridgetown amply demonstrate the value of continued dialogue with the inhabitants of the Non-Self-Goverming Territories. This was point made forceifully by the special representative of Tokelau earlier this year when he told the Sub-Cominittee on Small Territories of the Comittee of 24 that Tokelau itself relied on the United Nations to help voice its aspirations, and its fears, to the international community.

We must register our disappointment, therefore, that a draft resolution commenmorating an important anniversary was not couched in terme that could have resultad in consensus. We are disappointed also to see fuxther examples of outmoded language and hackneyed phrases irrelevant to the context and superseded by events. Our support for the draft resolution is therefore guarded. New approaches and mew language are essential in future years if the rasolutions are to have asy relevance and utility as the beacon for decolonization in this Internetional Decade. Accordingly. Wew Zealsnd welcomes the decision of the Special Comittee of 24, to which its Rapporteur referred yesterday, to re-examine its work and resolutions next year. New Zealand looks foxward to working with the Committee of 24 to this end, both as an administering Power and through its membership of the Bureau of the Fourth Comittee for the forty-fifth session of the General Assombly. Mre_GREEN (Canada): Cansda abstained on draft resolution A/45/E. 16 and on the draft decision contained in docwment $A / 45 / L .18$. These abstentions ars in keeping with our votes on similar texts at pxevious sessions of the Genersl Assembly.

While we supported draft resolution $\mathrm{A} / 45 / \mathrm{L} .17$, there remain some inappropriate references to gpartheic and colonialism which we would hope to see removed or amended in Euture.

## (Mr. Greon, Cenada)

Canada supported the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Coloxial Countries and Peoples 30 years ago and it is with regret that we are obliged to abstain on the commemorative resolution contained in document $\mathrm{A} / 45 / 23$ (Part II) paragraph 26. As we have made clear on several occasions during this session of the General Assembly, the independence of Namibia has, in our view, removed the question of apartheid from the decolonization agende. We do not believe. therefore, that it is appropriate for draft resolutions under this agenda item to contain language on aparthoid. There are other, more appropriate, forums within the United Nations system for dealing with this question.

We are disappointed, therefore, to see that the drafters of these draft resclutions have not seized the opportunity to amend their texts to take into account recent developments in southern Africa, particularly in the light of the independence of Namibia.

Canada hopes that at the forty-sixth session of the General Assembly such inappropriate and outdated language, including unnecessary and confrontational mane-calling, will have been removed from our consideration of draft resolutions under this agenda item.

In this regard, we welcome the suggestion made yesterday by the Chairman of the Fourth Committe for the creation of a working group to assist in the process of developing draft resolutions on decolonization relevant to the needs of the 1990s.

Mr. SLABY (Czechoslovakia): Ny delegation has on several occasions declared its support for the businesslike work of the United Nations decolonization bodies. However, the draft resolutions we have just adopted are of a confrontational nature and do not reflect developments in the world. They contain
many paragraphs whose wording has little to do with the process of decolonisation. and others which go beyond the mandate of the Committee of 24 and beyond the limits of decolonization.

That, unfortunately, is the case with regard to the draft resolutions on which we have just taken decisions. That is why my delegation abstained in the voting on draft resolutions $\lambda / 45 / L .16$ and $\lambda / 45 / L .17$.

With regard to the draft resolution on the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration on the Granting of Indopendence to Colonial Countries and peoples, my delegation would like to note the following. Csechoslovakia has declared on several occasions its adherence to the pxinciples of the Declaration on decolonization, which contains such imporiant principles as those of the right of all peoples to self-determination and independent economic, social and cultural development and the inadmissibility of the subjugation of nations to foreign domination and exploitation and of the violation of national unity and territorial integrity. Guided by those principles and those solemn goals, my delegation voted in favour of the text commomating the thirtieth anniversary.

I will go further. My delegation is of the opinion that this symbolic draft resolution rominding $u$ of the goals of decolonization should have been adopted by consensus. This position is another signal by our delegation, but at the same time we fully understand the reasons of those delegations that were not able to support the draft. Those that were unable to aupport the draft resolution are not responsible for the lack of a consensus.

Mreman (Bulgaria): My delegation voted in favour of the draft resolution on tho thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peopies guided by an understanding of the need to pay tribute to the internetional comnunity for its tireless offorts in the field of decolonization and to reaffirm our commitment to the full implementation of the Declaration.

At the same time, mg delegation would havo preferred a more updated resolution. Moreover, the text also contains paragraphs that deal with spartheid.

(Mr. Savoy Bulgaria)

As the Permanent Representative of BuIgaria stated this morning. my delegation maintains the view that after the independence of Namibia the issues of gporthofd should no longer be subject to consideration on the decolonization aganda. There oxist a number of appropriate United Nations organs in which all aspects of gpartheid should be dealt with.

It was on this same ground that my delsgation abstained in the yoting on draft resolutions A/45/L. 16 and A/45/L. 17.

Ms, BIRD (Australia): Australia voced in favour of the three draft resolutions and the draft decision just adopted under this agenda item. Our decision to vote in favour was not taken either lightly or easily. If does not imply that Australia agres with all that is contained in the teats considered undex this item; far from it.

As my delegation has already had occasion to observe in the Fourth Committee, many of the draft texts that have come to us from the Conmittee of 24 contain languago that is incraasingly outdaced and irrelevant. Language that may have baen appropriate in the 1960 is no longer so today. Ne must resiat the temptation simply to recycle language from year to year. We note. for erample, that the references to South Africa in these texts take no account of significant changas that have taken place in that country in the past year.

The main factor motivating Australia to vote in favour of the texts is our strong support for the continuing role of the Unsted fations in the decolonizacion process. Australia supported the designation of the 19908 as the International Decade for the Gradication of Colonialiem, and we otrongly support the goal of esadicating colonialism by the year 3000, if not earlier.

To this end we must focus clearly on the work left to be done. Nost of the remaining 18 Non-Self-Governing Terpitories on the United Fations 1ist are small

## (HB_Bird Australia)

island States in the Pacific and Caribbean. If we are to achieve the aims of the Decade it is vital that the work of the United Nations be relevant and targeted on the specific needs of the remaining Hon-Self-Governing Territories. Resolutions that recycle increasingly outdated or irrelevart language do not serve the purposes of those we are supposed to be assisting.

By voting in favour of the terts under this agenda item my delegation wishes to stress its willingness to work with all dalagations to find a common approach to an area of United Nations work on which we should all be able to agree. Ne need unity of purpose rather than divisive language if the goals of the Dacade are to be achieved.

In this connection we note with interest the suggestion mede yestarday by the Chairman of the Fourth Committee for the establishment of an informal working group to holp facilitate progress towerds resolutions relevant to the 19908. Ne 100k forward to morking with other Unitsd Nations Members over the remainder of the decade to ensure that we axe working in unison, with the collective goal of ridaling the woxld of colonialisu by the yost 2000.

Mre gayte (Ireland: As in the case of similar draft resolutions in the past, my delegation voted in favour of draft resolutions $A / 45 / 4.16$ and $\lambda / 45 / L .17$. We also voted in favour of the draft resolution in document $\boldsymbol{N} / 45 / 23$ (Part II). on the thirtieth annivarsary of the Declaration on the Granting of Indopendence to Colonial Councries and Peoples.

My delegation voted in favour of these draft resolutione because of the importance wettach to the inalienable right to self-determination of all peopiss. Ireland has alweys fully supported this right.

None the less, the draft resolutions we have supported contain some formulations with which we do not agrea. This is especially true of the draft resolution on the thirtioth anniverssry. Im particular, Ireland does not believe

(Mre_Hayen. Ireland)

that the situation in South Africa should be covered in decolonisation texts. Moreover, the texts do not, in our viaw, reflect the changing circumstamess in southern Airica.

Mre_HNHOCDI (Austria): Austria considors that the process of decolonization constitutes one of the outatanding achievements of the United sations. Austria hes therefore consistently supported the efforts of the United Nations in this regard.

It is because of its sincere commitment to the process of decolonisacion and to the right of peopise to self-datermination that Austris has supported draft resolutions $\operatorname{M/A5/L.~} 16$ and $A / 45 / L .17$ and the draft resolution in document $\lambda / 45 / 23$ (Part II). Howevar, that should not be interpreted as approval of all the provisions costained in these texts; we havo reservations with regard to some of them.

I should like to mention in particular operative paragraph $\&$ of draft resolution A/45/L. 16 and osphasize that Austria understands that paragraph as referring exclusively to the struggle by paceful means, since Austria, in accordance with the United Hations Charter, is fimmy convinced that the necessary change should be brought about by peaceful means alone.

My delegation abstained in the voting on the draft decision in document A/45/L. 18.

With regard to the draft resolution on the thirtieth anniverasery of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colondal Countries and Peoples. Austria regrets that the text contains some controversial passages that pravented the adoption of this draft resolution by consensus, which, in our view, would have been appropriate to the occasion.

# (Mr. Hajnoczi. Austria) 

Namibia's attainment of independence is a particular joy for us and a major achievement in the process of decolonization. A significant process of change is under way in South Africa. To our regret the texts on which we have just voted do not sufficiently reflect the important developments that have occurred since last year. They seem all the more untimely as the General Assembly itself has already adopted by consensus new formulations at the special session on spartheid last December and, most recently, at its resumed forty-fourth session. Austria is definitely in favour of updating our consideration of decolonization matters and, in this context, I want to express our appreciation and support for the proposal made by Ambassador Adouki, who so ably chaired the Fourth Committee, to establish a working group that would lead to reform, thereby strengtheaing the impact of our work with regard to decolonisation.

In conclusion, let me reaffirm Austria's continued and unequivocal support for the process of decolonization.

Mre DICTAKIS (Greece): Greece has consistently supported the efforts of the international community in the field of decolonization, which have brought about epectacular results over the past decades. The contribution of the united Nations in this field is of very great importance. In this spirit, my delegation voted in favour of draft resolutions $A / 45 / L .16$ and $A / 45 /[.17$, as it has consistently done in the past on similar draft resolutions, and of the draft resolution contained in document $\lambda / 45 / 23$ (part II), concerning the thirtieth enniversary of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.

However, we wish to express reservations on certain provisions of those resolutions which we believe are not in consonance with the spirit of the resolution and the goals contained therein. Although Greece unswervingiy condemns apartheid, we are particularly concerned that references to it in these resolutions

## (Mre_Dictakis. Greece)

sdopted since Banibia acceded to independonce nay give grounds for misincerpretation. Furthermore, we bolieve that the parts of those resolutions concerning the region of southern Africa do not fully reflect the recent developments there.

Mr. DA COSTA PEREFRA (Portugal): Portugal considars that the process of decolonization is one of the gistoric achievements of the United Nacions and we hope that this process can soon be successfully complsted.

Portugal fully supports the right of peoples to self-determination and independence in accordance with the very principles of the Chartar of the United Hations, and therefore we voted in fevour of draft resolutione $A / 45 / L .15$ and M/45/L. 17 .

The draft rosolution (A/45/23 (part II), para. 26) relating to the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples contains formulations to which we give our full support namely. operative paragraphs 11 and 13. However, I must say that my delagation has Derious reservations about operative paragraphs and 9. Portugal reiterates its opposition to the continued reference to apartheid in the context of decolonisation matters. Such references are out of place and the language contained in those paragraphs does not take into account the encouraging and positive developments in South Africa. That is why ny delegation abstained on that draft resolution.

Mre sERRANO (Spain) (interpretation from Spanish): As in the case of similar draft resolutions in previous years, my delegation voted in favour of the draft resolutions contained in docunents $M / 45 / \mathrm{L} .16$ and $\mathrm{A} / 45 / \mathrm{L} .17$. This is furcher proof of the importance my country attaches to the oxerciac by all peoples of their inalienable right to self-determination and of the support we alwaye demonstrate on this guestion.

Nevertheless, the texts wo have before us contain some Iangusge and formulations which are too categorical and which wo therefore cannct endorse. In some cases they could be interpreted as being inconsistent with the principle of the peaceful settlement of disputes, while in others they do not take sufficiently Into account the changes that have occurred, is southern Africa in particurar.

Guided by the same spirit my delegation supported the draft resolution on the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, despite the fact that scme of the same objections could apply here. My delegation believes that overy effort shoula be made to avoid pointless repetition in all such resolutionz.

Mr. GIJEN (Turkey) (interpretation from Freach): In keeping with our policy of supporting efforts to dismantle apartheid we voted in savour of draft resolution $/ / 45 / \mathrm{L} .16$. However, that vote should not be interpreted to mean that we approve of everything in the resolvtion. I refer in particular to cperative paragraphs 4 and 7, which are too categorical. For the record I wish to state our reservation on operative paragraph 9 of the resolution. We believe its wording is not satisfactory.

We voted also in favour of the draft resolution in paragraph 26 of document ג/45/23 (part II). Despits oux support for this draft resolution we bedieve that some of its paragraphs should have been drafted in a more subtle vay in order to reflect the current spirit of co-operation and understanding within the United Nations.

The PRFSTDENT: I call now on the Permanent Representative of Ethiopia, the Chairman of the Special Committee on the situation with regard to the Implamentation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.

Mr. TADESSR (Ethiopia), Chairman of the Specsal Committee on the Situation with Regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Coloxial Countries and Peoples (Special Committee of 24): As the General hssembly is about to conciude consideration of item 18, on the implementation of the Declaration, I wish, on behalf of my colleagues of the Special Comittee, to thank all delegations for the valuable contribution they have once agoin made to the cause of decolomization.

Neodless to say, we have listened attentively to the comments and suggestions that were made throughout the debate on decolonization this year. Those relevant comments and recomendations will be studied very carefully and we shall spare no effort to take them into account in charting our sourse of action for the future.

As I have already stated, the Special Comittee will also endeavour to continue to take due consideration of the views expressed by the representatives of the remaining Territorics at the two seminars that it organized earlier this year and in oticer relevant forums.

The adoption once again by the General Assembly of the resolutions on the implemancation of the Declaration and the dissemination of information on decolonisation is further proof of the importance the international community continues to attach to the remaining tasks.

(Mr. Tadosse. Chairman.<br>Special Committou of 3a)

Our mandate, as outlined by those resolutions, is to ascertain that the peoples of all the remaining Hon-Self-Governing Territories are given the opportunity to determine freely their future political status, and that the administering Powers fulfil their Charter responsibilitics for political, economic and social development in those Territories.

In the course of the debate in the current session certain delegations have expressed the view that the process of decolonization has reached a stage at which there is no longer any need for the United Nations to devote as much energy and resources to this question. For the majority, including the members of the special Committee of 24, however, the remaining tasks still merit the unstinted efforts of the international community. Indeed, this is also the expressed view of the peoples concernod.

During the two regional seminars held in Vanuatu and Barbados in connection with the thirtieth anniversary of the Declaration representatives of the Non-Self-Governing Territories were unequivocal and united on the question of self-determination. Despite the stage of their development or the different approaches they may wish to pursue to realise their right to self-determination, they wer unanimous on the meed to enhance the United Hations effort and the role of the Special Committee in the struggle for complete decolonisation. They reaffirmed this common position without losing sigit of the fact that an act of self-determination should involve a full range of options, as provided for in resolution 1541 (XV), and the frcely expressed wishes of the peoples concerned. We are gratified that the views expressed by the overwhelming majority in the Assembly coincide with those of the peoples of the colonial Territories.

Among the observations made in the Fourth Committee this year and in this debate concerning the work of the Special Committee, concern has been voiced by

(Mr. Tadessa, Chairman. Special Comnittec of 24)

some delegations about what they perceive to be outdated approaches to modern proslems by both the Special Comittee and the Fourth Committee. The truth is that colonialism itself is an anachronistic phenomenon.

What is needed to obviate the necessity of continuing to deal with this outdated question of colonialism, the Committee believes, is for us all to work towards the attaiment of the objective of the International Decade for the Eradication of Colonialism, namely, that of abolishing the anachronistic system of colomial relations, and with it eliminating the issue from the Assembly's agenda, by the year 2000. Until then, not only flexibility of approach but also increased determination are required of all concerned.

As regards observations that our resolutions should reflect the realities obtaining in the Hon-Self-Governing Territories, it should be noted that our recommendations are based on information available to the Committee. Despite the Comittee's continued efforts over the last four years, it has nor ". on possible to send visiting missions to the Territories withis its purview. Its only source has thus beon the limited information provided by some of the administering Powers under Article 73 of the Charter and secondary sources. In order to ensure that resolutions more accurately reflect conditions in the Non-Self-Governing Territories, it is of paramount importance not only that administering Powers provide the Secretary-General with adequate, up-to-date information on the Territories under their administration, as called for under the Charter, but also that they co-operate in receiving United Mations missione to the Terricories. The current situation notwithstanding, it may be noted that the Comittee's recommendations have not only reflected the expressed wishes of the representatives of the Territories but have also included such concerns of our time as the dangers arising from the use of the Territoriec for drug-trafficking, money-laundering,
dumping of toxic wastes and drift-net fisining, as well as abuse of natural resources, which also cause damage to the ecology and environment. As these relatively recent activities pose a threat to the remaining Non-Self-Governing Territoriss, the Committee intends to continue to drav the attention of she administersng Powers and the international community to these problems.

While the Special Ccmmittee has not underestimated the positive role that foreign economic activities may play in the economic and social advancement of the peoples of the Territories, it continues to emphasize the apprehension of the Territories over the dangers of some ruthless foreign aconomic interests that cause impediments to the decolonigation process. On the other hand, the Special Committee has repeatedly called for economic development as an important catalyst in the self-utetermination procses.

The world in which we live today is indeed undergoing tremendous changes. These events are the culmination of the desire express d by the Governments and peoples of the world to see a new international order based on increased frecdom, peace, justice and co-operation. At this juncture we cannot do less than maintain the momencum attained thus far in the exercise of the inalienable right of colonized peoples and bring the process of decolonization to its logical conclusion. Let us therefore take advantage of this fortunate momantum to sustain the consensus on a course of action that will best serve the interests of the peoples of the remaining dependent Territoriss.

The PRTETDENP: I shall now call on those representatives who wish to apeak in exercise of the right of reply.

## (The Presidente)

I remind members that, in accordance with General Assembly decision 34/401, statements in exercise of the right of reply are limited to 10 minutes for the first intervention and co five minutes for the second and should be made by delegations from their seats.

Mre KADRAT (Iraq) (interpretation from Arsbic): I do not wish to speak at lengeh, but the representative of Vanuatu referred to the Guif crisis and apparently he is not well informed regarding the besis and background of the situation there; nor are his remarks related to the problems of the region as a whole. What he said has nothing at all to do with the problem of decolonization, now before the Assembly.

Iraq has always advocated the settlement of international problems, inciuding the problems of the vidale East, through dialogue and by other peacerin means. This would lead inevitably to peace and security in the region on a basis of juatice and equality. Such problems should mever be adiressed with double standards, as is the case now.

## (Mr. Kadrate Jrag)

The international community must realize that the presence of half a milion soliders in the region means nothing but the desire to wage war and leads co nothing but the undermining of Irag's and the whole region's security. Moreover, there is the deliberate effort to deprive the Iraqi people of food and medicines, in violation of all humanitarian norms and values and in contravention of international covenants.

Iraq, as everyone knows, has put forward many peace initiatives, foremost among which was the initiative by our President on 12 August 1990 with a view to resolving justiy all the problems of the region that have been kept in abeyance for years, and the initiative designed to make the whole region a nuclear-weapon-free zone.

Ms. AL MULLA (Kuwait): The representative of Iraq raised two points. Ee chose to single out the representative of Fanuatu - but although my delegation also mentioned the eggression against and the occupation and annexation of kurait - and said that that subject has no relationship whatsoever to the aubject-matter under consideration. I should like to address this first point.

The Iraqi aggression against Kuwait on 2 August and Irag's occupation and annexation of a Member State of the United Nations is an action unprecedented in contemporary history. Irag's aggression and its continued repressive practices run counter to the spirit and letter of everything for which this Organization stands, among which is the Declararion on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.

The delegation of Iraq chose to vote for the resolution on che thirtieth amniversary of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples, which we have just adopted. Its actions, on the other hand, are a stain on this resolution. Its actions against human beings, civilians, rup counter to the resolution adopted this afternoon. There is the linkage.

On the other point addressed by the representative of the Iraqi régime, which is outside the contert of the subject we are discussing here - that is, the eradication of colonialism by the year 2000 - he speaks of the settlement of conflicts by peaceful means. That is what we are trying to onsure, through the adoption of resolutions that assist Non-Self-Governing Territories in their developneat towards that goal.

He speaks of initiatives that came after the aggression carried out in the early dam of 2 August. These are the peaceful ways of the Iraqi régime. The peaceful way of that régime is to use human beings as shielas around its installations. That is the humanity, the peaceful means, of the Iraci régime.

The humanity and the peaceful nature of the Iraqi régime are seen in its preventing the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) from getting into Kuwait to distribute medicine and preventing nationals of third countries from peacefully leaving Iraq and Kuwait. The humanity and peaceful means used by the Iraqi régime consist in tricking out the hostages distributed all over kuwait and Iraq as if they were gifts that Irag can present to their nations of origin. That is the peaceful solution that Iraq envisages.

Mr. VAN LIRROP (Vanuatu)s It is very sad and unfo:tunate truth that history often has a way of repeating itself. Thus very early in the decolonization process those that would have contimued to assert ownership of other countries and peoples mada two crucial mistakes. The first was to think that the population of as occupied Territory would for ever acquiesce in its occupation. The second, and permaps the reost fatal, was to think that they could come to international forums and attempt publiciy to defead the indefensible and distort and misrepresent history.

In our statement this afternoon we did allude to the invasion, occupation and attempted annexation of Kuvait as bearing a striking resemblamce to earlier forms of colonialism. We repeat that statement now, because we firmly believe that in our hearts, and there is ample evidence that the overwhelming majority of the countries and peoples of the world believe likewise.

The representative of Iraq, a friendly country, a country with which we have never before had a quarxs, said that we do not understand the situation in Kuwait and that our statement had nothing to do rith the subject of colonialism. It is one thing to be blind to injustice; it is another thing to be silent in the face of injustice that is very plain and visible for all to see. If we do not understand the situation in Kuwait, I an afraid that we share that lack of knowledge nd understanding with just about every other member of the international commanity and, again, with the overwhelming majority of the people who inhabit this planst.

We would merely reiterate our view that selective morality all, that principles selectivaly applied are no principles at al: and that this is as true on the subject of colonialism as it is on any other subject. It is our belief that the people of Kuwait are just as entitled to determine who they are and what they wish to be as are any other people. Therefore, it is our belisf that, just as with the decolonisation process in every other counery, Kuwait is an independent country, and therefore the case for Kuwait is even stroagsr. We would suggest that the people of Kuwait be allowed to decide for themselves who they are and what they wish to be.

The PRESTDENX: I have to inform the Assembly that, by letters dated 12 and 15 November addressed to the Frasident of the General Assembly and contained in docwnents $\boldsymbol{A} / 45 / 723$ and $\lambda / 45 / 724$, the Permanent Representative of Papua New Guinea has requested that Papua New Guinea be admitted to membership of the special

## (The President)

Committee on the Situation with regard to the Implementation of the Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples.

In this connection, the President of the General Assembly is carrying out consultations with the Chairmen of regional groups. The President intends to resume consideration of agenda item 18 once these consultations regarding the appointment of member to the Special Committee of 24 are completed.

We have concluded this stage of our consideration of agenda item 18.

The meeting rose at 6,40 p.n.


[^0]:    * Subsequently the delegations of Reaya and Mamibia advised the Secretariat that they had intorded to vote in favour.

[^1]:    - Subsegueatly, the delegation of Kenya advised the Secretariat that it had intended to vote in favour.

[^2]:    - Subsequently, the delegation of Renya advised the Secretariat that it had intended to wote in favour.

[^3]:    Subsequentiy, the delegation of Renga advised the secrotariat that it had intended to vote in tavour.

[^4]:    * Subsequently, the delegations of Renya and Vanuatu advised the Secretariat that they had intended to vote in favour.

[^5]:    * Subsequently the delegation of Kenya adyised the Secreteriat that it had intended to vote in favour.

[^6]:    * Subsequextly the delegation of Xenya advised the Secretariat that it had intendse to vote in favour.

[^7]:    * Subsequently the delegation of Renya advised the Secretariat that it had intended to vote in favour.

