



General Assembly

Distr.
GENERAL

A/44/456/Add.1
10 October 1989

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

Forty-fourth session
Agenda item 139

MEASURES TO PREVENT INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM WHICH ENDANGERS OR TAKES INNOCENT HUMAN LIVES OR **JEOPARDIZES** FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS AND STUDY **OF THE** UNDERLYING **CAUSES OF THOSE FORMS OF** TERRORISM AND **ACTS** OF VIOLENCE WHICH LIE IN **MISERY**, FRUSTRATION, GRIEVANCE AND DESPAIR AND WHICH CAUSE SOME PEOPLE: TO **SACRIFICE** HUMAN LIVES, INCLUDING THEIR OWN, IN AN ATTEMPT TO **EFFECT** RADICAL **CHANGES:**

- (a) REPORT **OF** THE SECRETARY-GENERAL)
- (b) CONVENING, UNDER THE AUSPICES **OF** THE UNITED NATIONS, **OF** AN INTERNATIONAL **CONFERENCE** TO DEFINE TERRORISM AND TO DIFFERENTIATE IT **FROM** THE STRUGGLE OF PEOPLES FOR NATIONAL LIRERATION

Report of the Secretary-General

Addendum

CONTENTS

	Page
II. REPLIES RECEIVED FROM GOVERNMENTS	
Austria	2

AUSTRIA

[Original: English]

[19 September 1989]

1. The position of the Government of Austria *on* international terrorism has been firm and unequivocal as expressed on numerous occasions within and outside the United Nations. Austria is committed to the combat of international terrorism at the national, regional and global levels and encourages international co-operation to the widest possible extent in order to prevent acts of terrorism and, if such acts have in fact occurred, to bring the perpetrators to justice. It remains the firm conviction of Austria that acts of terrorism cannot, under any circumstances, be justified, whatever the goals might be the perpetrators claim to pursue.

2. Austria stresses its commitment to the various international instruments concluded with the aim of combating international terrorism and urges all States which *have* not yet done so to become Parties to these instruments. In this connection Austria recognizes that the lack of an agreed definition of what constitutes an act of terrorism might with some justification be considered a disadvantage from a juridical point of view. At the same time, however, Austria believes that the practical application of the international legal instruments referred to above has not been hampered by the fact that the international community has not agreed on a definition of the term "terrorism". In fact, these agreements *have* proven effective in practice and it is Austria's belief that further agreements on specific subjects relating to the combat of international terrorism should be elaborated as soon as possible.

3. Austria has serious doubts *that* an international conference convened with the aim of arriving at an agreed definition of terrorism could under the present circumstances be a meaningful exercise with some probability of success. If the circumstances should, however, change to an extent that agreement could be reached *on* the basis *on* which to hold such a conference and consensus on the elements of a definition of terrorism emerge, Austria would certainly be ready to reconsider its position in the light of such developments.
