Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects

30 November 2012

Original: English

2012 Session Geneva, 15–16 November 2012

Final report

I. Introduction

- 1. The Fourth Review Conference of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May be Deemed to be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects (CCW), held in Geneva from 14 to 25 November 2011, decided on An Accelerated Plan of Action on Universalization of the Convention and its annexed Protocols, as contained in annex I of its final declaration (final document, part II, CCW/CONF.IV/4/Add.1), and, in accordance with Action 9 of the Accelerated Plan of Action, to request "the CCW Implementation Support Unit to continue to report annually to the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties on the efforts undertaken towards and progress made on universalization." Furthermore, Action 10 of the Accelerated Plan of Action stipulates that 'Universalization of the Convention and its Protocols' should be established as "a standing agenda item of the annual Meetings of the High Contracting Parties under which the Chairperson-designate, High Contracting Parties, United Nations, International Committee of the Red Cross, regional organizations and other organizations would exchange information and report on their respective efforts to promote universalization."
- 2. The Fourth Review Conference also decided, as contained in decision 3 of the final declaration, "to continue the Sponsorship Programme and urge it to explore all options to promote the universalization and implementation of the Convention and its annexed Protocols in accordance with the recommendations contained in annex II of the final declaration." The Fourth Review Conference tasked "UNODA to assess alternative ways of administering the Sponsorship Programme, including by the CCW Implementation Support Unit (ISU), and to submit a report to the CCW Meeting of the High Contracting Parties in 2012, with recommendations for the administration of the Sponsorship Programme," as stipulated in paragraph 5 (e) of annex II of the final declaration.
- 3. The Fourth Review Conference also decided, as contained in decision 4 of the final declaration, "to enhance the implementation of the Compliance Mechanism for the Convention and its annexed Protocols, which was agreed to at the Third Review Conference and the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention in 2007 in accordance with the recommendations as contained in annex III."
- 4. The Fourth Review Conference decided, as contained in decision 1 of the final declaration to "convene an open-ended meeting of experts, under the overall responsibility of the Chairperson-designate of the 2012 Meeting of High Contracting Parties to the



Convention, of three days in 2012 to discuss further the implementation of international humanitarian law with regard to mines other than anti-personnel mines, and to submit a report to the 2012 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties".

- 5. The Fourth Review Conference decided, as contained in decision 5, paragraph 3, of the final document, that "a review of the CCW Implementation Support Unit's performance, staff employed and functioning should be undertaken by the High Contracting Parties to the Convention at their annual meeting in 2012, and in this regard requests the Implementation Support Unit to provide a comprehensive report in time for consideration by the High Contracting Parties."
- 6. The Fourth Review Conference decided, as contained in decision 5 (1) (vi) of the final document, to hold the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention on 15 and 16 November 2012.
- 7. The Fourth Review Conference decided, as contained in paragraph 32 of the final document, part I (CCW/CONF.IV/4), to designate Mr. Jesus S. Domingo of the Philippines as Chairperson of the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention to be held in 2012.

II. Organization of the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties

- 8. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention was held in Geneva on 15 and 16 November 2012.
- 9. The following High Contracting Parties to the Convention participated in the work of the Meeting: Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bangladesh, Belarus, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, Bulgaria, Cambodia, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Croatia, Cuba, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Greece, Guatemala, Holy See, Hungary, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy Japan, Kazakhstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Latvia, Lesotho, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Madagascar, Mali, Mexico, Mongolia, Montenegro, Morocco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Panama, Peru, Philippines Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Republic of Korea, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sri Lanka Sweden, Switzerland, Togo, Turkey Uganda Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Uruguay and Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of).
- 10. The following Signatory States to the Convention also participated in the work of the Meeting: Egypt, Nigeria and Viet Nam.
- 11. The following States which are not parties to the Convention participated in the work of the Meeting as observers: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Guinea, Indonesia, Iraq, Kuwait, Lebanon, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand and Yemen.
- 12. The representatives of the following organizations participated in the work of the Meeting: European Union, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), United Nations Mine Action Service (UNMAS), and United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA), International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) and Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining (GICHD).
- 13. The representatives of the following non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and other entities also participated in the work of the Meeting: Action on Armed Violence, Geneva Call, Human Rights Watch, International Campaign to Ban Landmines, Mines

Advisory Group, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies and Stockholm International Peace Research Institute.

III. Work of the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties

- 14. The Meeting was opened on 15 November 2012 by the Director of the United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs, Geneva Branch, Mr. Jarmo Sareva.
- 15. The Meeting held four plenary sessions. At its first plenary meeting on 15 November 2012, the Meeting confirmed the nomination of Mr. Jesus S. Domingo of the Philippines as Chairperson.
- 16. The Meeting adopted its Agenda (CCW/MSP/2012/1), and Programme of Work (CCW/MSP/2012/2), and confirmed its Rules of Procedure (CCW/CONF.IV/2).
- 17. The Meeting noted that there were different views regarding the participation of one NGO in the meetings of the Convention, which, in the view of some delegations, departed from practice applied at previous meetings. The Chairperson will conduct informal consultations in order to find a solution acceptable to all High Contracting Parties on this issue, prior to the next Meeting of High Contracting Parties to the Convention. For that purpose, neither the modalities of this meeting, nor those of any previous one, will be considered a precedent.
- 18. At the same plenary meeting, the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties confirmed the nomination of Mr. Bantan Nugroho, Head of the Implementation Support Unit, as Secretary-General of the Meeting. Ms. Hine-Wai Loose, Political Affairs Officer, Implementation Support Unit, served as Secretary of the Meeting.
- 19. The Meeting received a message from the Secretary-General of the United Nations, Mr. Ban Ki-moon, which was delivered by the Director-General of the United Nations Office at Geneva, Mr. Kassym-Jomart Tokayev.
- 20. Prior to the general exchange of views, the Report of the Sponsorship Programme (CCW/MSP/2012/7 and Add.1 was presented by Mr. Arturas Gailiunas of Lithuania and the report on promoting universality of the Convention and its Protocols (CCW/MSP/2012/6) was presented by the Chairperson. The Chairperson reported on the implementation of the Accelerated Plan of Action on Universalization of the Convention and its annexed Protocols. The Meeting of the High Contracting Parties welcomed Burundi's accession to the Convention, Protocol II and Protocol V. The Meeting also welcomed Cuba's accession to Protocol IV and Protocol V; Montenegro's accession to Amended Protocol II; South Africa's accession to the Amendment to Article 1 and Protocol V; and Lao People's Democratic Republic and Turkmenistan's accession to Protocol V.
- 21. The following States participated in the general exchange of views: Australia, Bangladesh, Belarus, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Croatia, Cuba, Ecuador, France, Germany, Guatemala, Holy See, India, Israel, Japan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lesotho, Mexico, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Russian Federation, Switzerland, Turkey, Ukraine and United States of America. The following organisations participated: European Union, United Nations Mine Action Service (on behalf of the United Nations Inter-Agency Coordination Group on Mine Action) and the International Committee of the Red Cross. The following non-governmental organisation participated: Human Rights Watch.
- 22. At the second plenary meeting, the Friend of the Chair on Mines Other Than Anti-Personnel Mines (MOTAPM), Colonel Jim Burke of Ireland, reported on the work of the Meeting of Experts on MOTAPM. The following States participated in the debate on MOTAPM: Argentina, Australia, Belarus, China, Colombia, Cuba, France, Germany,

Greece, Ireland, Israel, Mexico, Norway, Pakistan, Russian Federation, Sweden, Switzerland and United States of America. The following non-governmental organisation participated: International Campaign to Ban Landmines.

- 23. The Meeting welcomed the Report of the Meeting of Experts on MOTAPM and expressed its appreciation for the work carried out by Colonel Jim Burke of Ireland for his role as Friend of the Chair on MOTAPM.
- 24. The Meeting noted that concerns had been raised by some High Contracting Parties under Protocol III about the offensive use of white phosphorous against civilians, including suggestions for further discussion on this matter. The Meeting noted that there was no agreement on various aspects of this matter.
- 25. The Meeting noted the concerns raised by some High Contracting Parties regarding the implementation of existing international humanitarian law, in particular the use of explosive weapons in populated areas.
- 26. In accordance with the decision of the Fourth Review Conference on the decision to enhance the implementation of the Compliance Mechanism for the Convention and its Protocols, the Meeting had before it national annual reports on compliance from the following High Contracting Parties: Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belarus, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Canada, Colombia, Croatia, Cuba, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Holy See, Hungary, India, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Lithuania, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Portugal, Philippines, Romania, Russian Federation, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom and United States of America.
- 27. During the course of the Meeting, the following documents were considered: CCW/MSP/2012/1 to CCW/MSP/2012/8, and CCW/MSP/2012/WP.1 to CCW/MSP/2012/WP.5, as listed in annex III. The official documents of the Meeting of the High Contracting Parties are available in all official languages through the Official Document System of the United Nations at http://documents.un.org/, and the official CCW website as part of the website of the United Nations Office at Geneva at http://www.unog.ch/ccw.

IV. Conclusions and recommendations

- 28. The Meeting welcomed the report on promoting universality of the Convention and its Protocols and reaffirmed their commitment to the Accelerated Plan of Action on Universalization. The Meeting emphasized the importance of achieving universal adherence to, and compliance with, the Convention, the amendment to its Article 1, and its Protocols.
- 29. The Meeting recommended that the Secretary-General of the United Nations and the Chairperson-designate of the 2013 Meeting of High Contracting Parties to the Convention to exercise their authority to achieve the goal of universality of the Convention and its Protocols. To this effect, the Meeting requested the Chairperson-designate to consider reporting to the sixty-eighth session of the General Assembly of the United Nations on his endeavours.
- 30. The Meeting expressed its appreciation for the report of the CCW Sponsorship Programme, the Guidelines on the Administration of the Programme and the continuing role of the Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining in administering the Programme. The Meeting recognized the value and importance of the Sponsorship Programme within the framework of the Convention for promoting universalization of the Convention and its Protocols, strengthening the implementation of the Convention and its

Protocols, enhancing the cooperation and exchange of information among the High Contracting Parties and States not yet party, and ensuring broader geographical representation at meetings of the Convention. The Meeting called upon those States in a position to do so to contribute to the Sponsorship Programme.

- 31. The Meeting emphasized once again the importance of compliance with the provisions of the Convention and its Protocols by all High Contracting Parties, their commitment to fully implement the provisions enshrined therein, and their determination to consult and cooperate with each other in order to facilitate the full implementation of the obligations contained in the Convention and its Protocols to which they are party, thereby promoting compliance.
- 32. The Meeting reaffirmed its commitment to the Compliance Mechanism of the Convention and its Protocols. Further, the Meeting reiterated the call for all High Contracting Parties to submit national compliance reports in accordance with the decision taken at the Fourth Review Conference to enhance the implementation of the Compliance Mechanism. In this respect, it was recalled that the deadline for submission of the national reports on compliance was fixed by the Fourth Review Conference at 31 March of each calendar year.
- 33. The Meeting expressed its appreciation for the report of the Implementation Support Unit and the work carried out by the Unit since the Fourth Review Conference. The Meeting requested the Implementation Support Unit to continue to report annually on its activities, including on its estimated costs and actual costs.
- 34. The Meeting noted that some States questioned the usefulness of the summary records with regard to their cost. The Chairperson-designate will conduct informal consultations prior to the 2013 Meeting of High Contracting Parties to the Convention in order to find a solution acceptable to all High Contracting Parties.
- 35. The Meeting noted that the Chairperson will take action in relation to paragraph 17 of the final document.
- 36. The Meeting decided that:
 - The next Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention will be held on 14 and 15 November 2013 at Geneva, and adopted its estimated costs (CCW/MSP/2012/5).
 - The Seventh Conference of the High Contracting Parties to Protocol V on Explosive Remnants of War will be held on 11 and 12 November 2013 at Geneva.
 - The Fifteenth Annual Conference of the High Contracting Parties to Amended Protocol II will be held on 13 November 2013 at Geneva.
- 37. The Meeting decided to designate Ambassador Jean-Hugues Simon-Michel of France as Chairperson of the 2013 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention
- 38. At the same plenary meeting, the Meeting adopted its final report, as contained in document CCW/MSP/2012/CRP.1, as orally amended, which is being issued as document CCW/MSP/2012/9.

Annex I

Provisional agenda of the 2013 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties (as recommended by the 2012 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties at its fourth plenary meeting on 16 November 2012)

- 1. Opening of the Meeting
- 2. Confirmation of the nomination of the Chairperson of the Meeting
- 3. Adoption of the agenda
- 4. Confirmation of the rules of procedure
- 5. Confirmation of the nomination of the Secretary-General of the Meeting
- 6. Organization of work, including that of any subsidiary body of the Meeting.
- 7. General exchange of views
- 8. Mines other than anti-personnel mines (MOTAPM)
- An Accelerated Plan of Action on Universalization of the Convention and its annexed Protocols
- 10. Consideration of the report of the CCW Sponsorship Programme
- 11. Status of implementation of and compliance with the Convention and its Protocols
- 12. Consideration of the report of the Implementation Support Unit
- 13. Consideration and adoption of the final report
- 14. Other matters
- 15. Closing of the Meeting

Annex II

High Contracting Parties to the Convention and its annexed Protocols (including those which have consented to be bound by the following Protocols)¹

		Amended Article 1 ²	Protocol I ³	Protocol II ⁴ (92)	Amended Protocol II ⁵ (98)	Protocol III ⁶ (106)	Protocol IV ⁷ (101)	Protocol V ⁸ (81)
State Party			(110)					
Albania	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Antigua and Barbuda	\checkmark		\checkmark			✓	\checkmark	
Argentina	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Australia	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓
Austria	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓
Bangladesh	✓		\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	
Belarus	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Belgium	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Benin	✓		\checkmark			✓		
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Brazil	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓
Bulgaria	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Burkina Faso	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	
Burundi	✓			✓				✓
Cambodia	✓		\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	

¹ As at 16 November 2012.

² Expanding the scope of application of the Convention to apply to all armed conflicts, including non-international armed conflicts.

³ Protocol on Non-Detectable Fragments.

⁴ Protocol on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Mines, Booby-Traps and Other Devices.

⁵ Protocol on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Mines, Booby-Traps and Other Devices, as amended on 3 May 1996.

⁶ Protocol on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Incendiary Weapons.

⁷ Protocol on Blinding Laser Weapons.

⁸ Protocol on Explosive Remnants of War.

	CCW (115)	Amended Article 1 ²	Protocol I ³	Protocol II ⁴	Amended Protocol II ⁵	Protocol III ⁶	Protocol IV ⁷	Protocol V ⁸
State Party	(113)	(76)	(110)	(92)	(98)	(106)	(101)	(81)
Cameroon	✓				✓		✓	✓
Canada	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Cape Verde	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	
Chile	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark		✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
China	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Colombia	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	
Costa Rica	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Croatia	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Cuba	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Cyprus	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Czech Republic	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Denmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Djibouti	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		
Dominican Republic	\checkmark	✓			✓		\checkmark	\checkmark
Ecuador	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
El Salvador	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Estonia	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark		✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Finland	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
France	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Gabon	\checkmark		\checkmark		✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Georgia	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark
Germany	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark
Greece	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	
Guatemala	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Guinea-Bissau	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark
Holy See	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark
Honduras	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark
Hungary	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark
Iceland	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark

	CCW (115)	Amended Article 1 ²	Protocol I ³	Protocol II ⁴	Amended Protocol II ⁵	Protocol III ⁶	Protocol IV ⁷	Protocol V ⁸
State Party	(110)	(76)	(110)	(92)	(98)	(106)	(101)	(81)
India	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ireland	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓
Israel	\checkmark		✓	✓	\checkmark		\checkmark	
Italy	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Jamaica	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Japan	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	
Jordan	\checkmark		✓		\checkmark	✓		
Kazakhstan	\checkmark		✓			✓	\checkmark	
Lao People's Democratic Republic	✓		✓	✓		✓		✓
Latvia	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Lesotho	✓		✓	✓		✓		
Liberia	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Liechtenstein	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Lithuania	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Luxembourg	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Madagascar	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Maldives	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	
Mali	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Malta	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Mauritius	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		✓	\checkmark	
Mexico	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		✓	\checkmark	
Monaco	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark			
Mongolia	\checkmark		\checkmark	✓		✓	\checkmark	
Montenegro	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	
Morocco	✓			✓	✓		\checkmark	
Nauru	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	
Netherlands	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓
New Zealand	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Nicaragua	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark		✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

	CCW (115)	Amended Article 1 ²	Protocol I ³	Protocol II ⁴	Amended Protocol II ⁵	Protocol III ⁶	Protocol IV ⁷	$Protocol\ V^8$
State Party	(115)	(76)	(110)	(92)	(98)	(106)	(101)	(81)
Niger	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Norway	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Pakistan	✓		\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Panama	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Paraguay	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Peru	✓	\checkmark	✓		✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Philippines	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	
Poland	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Portugal	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Qatar	✓		\checkmark			✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Republic of Korea	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark		✓			\checkmark
Republic of Moldova	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Romania	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Russian Federation	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Saudi Arabia	✓		\checkmark			✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Senegal	✓				✓	✓		\checkmark
Serbia	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	
Seychelles	✓		\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	
Sierra Leone	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark		✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Slovakia	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark
Slovenia	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
South Africa	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark
Spain	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓
Sri Lanka	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
Sweden	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Switzerland	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Tajikistan	\checkmark		\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark

State Party	CCW (115)	Amended Article 1 ²	Protocol I ³	Protocol II ⁴ (92)	Amended Protocol II ⁵ (98)	Protocol III ⁶ (106)	Protocol IV ⁷ (101)	Protocol V ⁸ (81)
	(' ')	(76)	(110)					
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Togo	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		
Tunisia	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Turkey	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓		\checkmark		\checkmark	
Turkmenistan	✓		✓	✓	\checkmark			\checkmark
Uganda	✓		✓	✓		✓		
Ukraine	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
United Arab Emirates	✓		✓			✓		✓
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
United States of America	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Uruguay	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓
Uzbekistan	✓		\checkmark	✓		✓	\checkmark	
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		

States signatories to the Convention

Afghanistan, Egypt, Nigeria, Sudan and Viet Nam.

Annex III

List of documents

Symbol	Title
CCW/MSP/2012/1	Provisional agenda. Submitted by the Chairperson-designate
CCW/MSP/2012/2	Provisional Programme of work. Submitted by the Chairperson-designate
CCW/MSP/2012/3	Food-for-thought on Mines Other Than Anti-Personnel Mines (MOTAPM). Submitted by the CCW Implementation Support Unit (ISU) on behalf of the Chairperson-designate of the 2012 Meeting of High Contracting Parties
CCW/MSP/2012/4	Report of the 2012 Meeting of Experts on Mines other than anti-personnel mines (MOTAPM)
CCW/MSP/2012/5	Estimated Costs. 2013 Meeting of the High Contracting Parties to the Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons Which May Be Deemed to Be Excessively Injurious or to Have Indiscriminate Effects. Note by the Secretariat
CCW/MSP/2012/6	Promoting universality of the Convention and its Protocols.
CCW/MSP/2012/7 and Add.1 [English only]	Report. CCW Sponsorship Programme. Submitted by the Steering Committee of the Sponsorship Programme
CCW/MSP/2012/8	Report. CCW Implementation Support Unit. Submitted by the CCW Implementation Support Unit
CCW/MSP/2012/9	Final report
CCW/MSP/2012/WP.1	Rules of international humanitarian law applicable to anti-vehicle mines. Submitted by the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC)
CCW/MSP/2012/WP.2	The Humanitarian Impact of Mines Other Than Anti-Personnel Mines (MOTAPM). Submitted by the International Committee of the Red Cross
CCW/MSP/2012/WP.3	Understanding detectability. Submitted by Australia

Title
The humanitarian impact in Cambodia. Submitted by Cambodia
Issue brief. Submitted by the Geneva International Centre for Humanitarian Demining
List of participants
Summary record of the 1st meeting
Summary record of the 2 nd meeting
Summary record of the 3 rd meeting
Summary record of the 4 th meeting
Draft final report
Provisional list of participants