

UNITED NATIONS  
SECURITY  
COUNCIL



Distr.  
GENERAL  
S/3252  
25 June 1954

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

---

REPORT BY THE CHIEF OF STAFF OF THE TRUCE SUPERVISION ORGANIZATION  
TO THE SECRETARY-GENERAL CONCERNING THE SCORPION PASS INCIDENT

Note by the Secretary-General: The Secretary-General has the honour to circulate for the information of the members of the Security Council the attached report from the Chief of Staff of the Truce Supervision Organization on the Ma'ale Akrabim (Scorpion Pass) incident, transmitted on 19 June 1954.

THE MA'ALE AKRABIM (SCORPION PASS) INCIDENT

Report by the Chief of Staff of  
the United Nations Truce Supervision Organization

I. The Israel Verbal Complaint

On 17 March 1954, the Israel Delegation presented a verbal complaint to the Chairman of the Hashemite Jordan Kingdom - Israel Mixed Armistice Commission concerning an attack on an Israeli passenger bus which had occurred about noon on the same day at the Scorpion Pass (MR 1632-0364) on the highway from Eilat to Beersheba. The Israel Delegation requested an on-the-spot investigation.

An emergency meeting of the Commission was held immediately in Jerusalem. It ordered an investigation of the complaint.

II. The Observations made by a United Nations Observer on 17 March

On 17 March, before the Israel complaint was received in Jerusalem, a United Nations Observer who happened to be at Beersheba on duty was informed of the incident and drove to the Scorpion Pass accompanied by an Israeli Officer. At 17.40 hours, after meeting an ambulance which carried two wounded persons, they reached the monument to the Israel Engineer Corps erected in the Scorpion Pass. Fifty metres further, down the slope, a bus was guarded by Israeli soldiers and police officers. The rear end of the bus was bent in and rested against the rocky wall on the left side of the road. Four dead bodies were outside the bus and seven in it, covered with blood. One of the four victims outside the bus, a woman, had no shoes and the ring-finger of her right hand was cut off; another victim, a man, had no shoes. The windshield of the bus and two windows on the left side were smashed; there were holes in other windows and parts of the vehicle, inside and outside. The United Nations Observer saw empty small arms cartridges outside the bus, and also behind the monument and on the left of it. He found others on the top of the rocks to the left together with a small skull-cap and a clip. Though it was getting dark, he could distinguish incoming and outgoing tracks which he estimated to be those of about 5 persons and which he followed along a narrow path on the ridge for about 300 metres in a south-eastern direction.

III. The Israeli tracking from the Scorpion Pass towards the East (18 March)

The same United Nations Observer returned to the Scorpion Pass in the early morning of 18 March, together with the Israeli representatives on the Mixed Armistice Commission who were accompanied by three trackers, three dogs and two dog-masters. At 7.00 a.m. they picked up tracks on the narrow path explored by the United Nations Observer on the previous evening. Tracks which seemed to be those of about 4 to 7 persons who had walked towards the East led to Wadi Fukra and were followed in the bed of the Wadi and sometimes, where the walls were not steep, on one of its sides until 3 p.m. At that time, after having been followed for about 17 Kilometres, the tracks were lost at approximately MR 1724-0376 (about 9 1/2 Kilometres, in a straight line, from the scene of the incident and about 11 1/2 Kilometres, in a straight line, from the nearest point on the Armistice Demarcation Line between Israel and Jordan).

From the spot where the tracks were lost Wadi Fukra continues to go down in a north-easterly direction until it opens up, over 5 Kilometres from the Armistice Demarcation Line, into the flat land of the Ghor, to the South of the Dead Sea. In addition, about 1 1/2 Kilometres from the spot where the tracks were lost, a path provides another natural exit from the Wadi towards the South, and a short distance further on there are numerous other natural exits leading to the North and to the South.

The tracks followed on the 18th were those of persons who had walked down the Wadi. Now and then, in the bed of the Wadi, near the water pools and at other places where the ground was soft, there were tracks of persons who had walked in the opposite direction.

On 19 March, the Senior Israeli Delegate was informed that tracks had been found by the Israeli trackers some eight kilometres to the South-East of the spot where the tracks had been lost on the previous afternoon. Accompanied by two United Nations Observers, he went to approximately MR 1785-0300. Tracks of apparently two persons, one of them barefooted, were followed for a few hundred metres until nightfall. The connexion between these tracks and those followed on the previous day was not established.

IV: Action taken by the Jordan Authorities, following the Israel verbal complaint of 17 March

A United Nations Observer who, on 19 March, went to the Jordan side of the Armistice Demarcation Line, south of the Dead Sea, was informed by the responsible officers of the measures they had taken. The District Commander of Kharak stated that, on receiving information about the bus incident in the evening of 17 March, he immediately moved forces on patrol under the command of several officers. The border area on the Jordan side, from the south end of the Dead Sea to Wadi Ein el Fedan, in the south, was being checked by Jordan patrols in transport, on horseback or on foot. The District Commander added that his efforts were co-ordinated with those of the officer in charge of the area to the south.

The same United Nations Observer was informed by the officer in charge of the Desert Patrol, one of those directing the search, that he had been instructed by the Chief of Staff of the Arab Legion to search for any strangers or suspects, or any evidence in relation to the bus incident. His men had arrived in the area at about 2.00 a.m. on 18 March and had patrolled the region south of the Dead Sea on horseback, in transports or on foot. So far, no evidence had been found. It would, in his opinion, be very easy to arrest anyone coming from Israel-controlled territory: the terrain was salt marsh land and because of the type of people living in the area it was not difficult to detect anything unusual.

On 19 March, the Chief of Staff of the Arab Legion offered the services of his Beduin trackers to the United Nations Observer on the Jordan side in order that he might take them to the spot in Israel where the tracks had been lost and attempt to continue tracking therefrom. The Israel Chief of Staff agreed to allow Jordan trackers to go to the point in Israel up to which the tracks had been followed so far, in order to attempt to continue the tracking to the Demarcation Line together with Israeli trackers, on the understanding that the joint tracking would be continued in Jordan territory as well.

V. The Israel-Jordan joint tracking (20 and 21 March)

On the following day (20 March), the two Jordanian trackers put at the disposal of the Mixed Armistice Commission by the Chief of Staff of the Arab Legion went to Wadi Fukra together with two United Nations Observers and the Israeli investigating team. They found no tracks between MR 189-048 on the Israel-Jordan Armistice Demarcation Line and MR 171-038 where there were, on an area of two square metres, tracks of probably four persons who had walked to the south-west (in the direction opposite to that of the Demarcation Line). These tracks were thought by the trackers of both sides to be approximately six days old. The party checked the walls of Wadi Fukra at several points and also several small Wadi lead-offs that could have been exits from Wadi Fukra. No tracks were seen.

On the following day (21 March) the two Jordanian trackers again accompanied a United Nations Observer and the Israeli investigating team to Wadi Fukra. At Ein Fukra (MR 1665-0337), the Jordanian trackers were shown the tracks which had been seen on the 18th. The Jordanian trackers stated that they saw the tracks of three men going down the Wadi and of two men going up. They were the same persons. Some 75 metres to the south-east, the Jordanian trackers saw the tracks of the same men (three men going in both directions). The tracks, in their opinion, were approximately 6 days old. The Jordanian trackers were then taken to Ein Quseib (approximately MR 1759-0325) along the path leading from Wadi Fukra to the south. Nothing was found near this spring.

VI. The Testimony of the survivors

On 19 March, a United Nations Observer and an Israeli officer heard statements from and put questions to the two adult survivors who had escaped unscathed - an Israeli army sergeant and a girl. It was also possible to put a few questions to a wounded girl - a private in the Israel army - and to a small child.

The following extracts from their statements relate to:

- (a) the way in which the attack was carried out;
- (b) the number and description of the attackers;
- (c) the extent to which the actions of the attackers threw any light on the object of the attack.

According to the Israeli army sergeant, who was in charge of the two soldiers escorting the bus, the latter - an unscheduled bus with 16 passengers returning from Eilat - was fired at "first from the monument, automatic first, through the front window, and then from the hill to the left of the road and from a promontory to the right of the road". The first burst of automatic fire killed the driver instantly. While the bus was reversing about 20 metres, down the slope, the attackers continued to shoot. An Israeli soldier got hit when loading his rifle, which he handed over to the sergeant. The latter fired three rounds at four attackers whom he saw on a hill through the left window of the bus. When the bus came to a standstill, the sergeant tried to jump out of the rear door, taking with him a small boy, but he was seen by two other attackers standing outside, who started shooting, hitting the boy in the head. The sergeant lay down, holding the boy in his arms, and the two men entered the bus. They took the weapons of the Israeli escort and went to the rear of the bus where the sergeant heard four or five shots. The two men stayed in the bus about one minute. He heard them walking around the bus before they left. They seemed to be in a hurry. One of them said all the time: "Yalla, yalla" ("Hurry up" in Arabic). In his opinion, the attackers were gone not more than ten minutes after the first shot. The survivors of the massacre remained in the bus for about an hour, until a jeep arrived.

The girl who escaped unscathed saw firing at the bus from the left, but could not say if it came also from other directions. The driver was killed first and the bus stopped. Maybe it reversed a little. Everyone was lying down on the floor of the bus, dead or alive. The Israeli soldiers did not return the fire, "they had no time". It happened very quickly, in about one minute. Then two men entered the bus and shot at everyone inside. They remained in the bus for

about three minutes. Then she heard the attackers "digging". This "sound of scratching" stopped after about an hour, and two or three minutes later a jeep and a command car arrived.

The wounded girl described the attack as "shots from all around". The shooting stopped when "five" attackers entered the bus.

As indicated above, the Israeli sergeant was the only one who stated that he saw attackers outside the bus; four on the top of a hill and two whom he saw standing outside before they entered the bus. He thought, however, that they must have been "about 13 to 15, according to the fire". He also thought that more than two entered the bus, because he "heard voices inside" it.

The sergeant called the attackers "Arabs". Those he saw on the top of the hill appeared to be wearing some kind of khaki dress. He did not distinguish it clearly. Some had a kefia on their head - he believed of red colour - one had a skull cap. The faces of the two he saw outside the bus were light brown. One wore a kefia. When they entered the bus he saw only the lower part of their bodies. They were wearing trousers of some kind of khaki drill, "usual kind of trousers of the kind we wear, not the Arab type". He assumed they were shod. He heard their footsteps on the floor. They spoke Arabic. He did not understand Arabic. He could, however, distinguish it by its sound, because some of his soldiers spoke Arabic among themselves.

In her statement, the Israeli girl who escaped unscathed said: "Two soldiers entered the bus and shot at everyone". She saw the lower part of their bodies. They were in khaki - in what seemed to be beige overalls - she could see two breast-pockets. The trousers were ordinary, like those of soldiers. One of the men wore a red belt with a knife stuck in it. It was not a belt in which you can carry ammunition. She could hear them walking in the bus as if they had leather shoes. She saw one face - milk-coffee brown, with a thin black mustache. She heard the two men talking in a language which she did not know, but which she had heard very often at the place where she worked. "There are people from Iraq, Egypt and Persia, and they talk like that". It was that kind of language, but she thought the accent was a little different.

The above descriptions of the two attackers and of the language they spoke, by the two unscathed adult survivors, do, it seems, tally. On the other hand, the wounded woman soldier stated that she saw "five" men enter the bus, stealing weapons. One also went to the roof of the bus to steal something. They all wore long robes with white and dark blue stripes. They had brown leather belts with pockets for bullets. They wore keffiyehs and agal. Their faces were dark; some had straight mustaches, some had beards. When asked if she heard them talk, the girl, who is from Egypt and understands Egyptian Arabic, said she heard only "Hurry up", in Arabic, but did not remember how they said it.

The little girl of five who escaped unscathed was positive that the men who entered the bus wore red belts and shoes.

#### VII. The Written Israel Complaint

The following written Israeli complaint was received on 20 March 1954:

Date and time of incident: 17 March 1954, at 1145 hrs.

Place: MAALE AKRABIM - MR 1632-0364

Statement of Facts: At the above date, time and place, an Israel bus carrying fifteen passengers, including women and children, on its way from Eilat to Beersheba, was ambushed by a Jordanian unit, which had crossed the Demarcation Line into Israel.

From well-chosen positions on both sides of the road, the murderers opened machine-gun and rifle fire at the bus from comparatively short-range, killing the driver and some of the passengers outright, and wounding most of the others. Not content with this, two of the killers entered the bus, intent on leaving not a single man, woman or child alive. When they thought they had accomplished their purpose, these vicious murderers returned to Jordan territory. This outrageous attack on a main road deep inside Israel, and the slaughter of innocent people by a well-organized and highly trained Jordanian unit, which had crossed the Demarcation Line from Jordan into Israel, are a flagrant breach of Article III, paragraph 2, of the General Armistice Agreement.

The Israel authorities view with extreme concern this latest unprovoked attack on Israel, the responsibility of which rests squarely on the shoulders of the Government of Jordan, and which surpasses all other acts of aggression committed by Jordan since the end of the War of Liberation.

We request an on-the-spot investigation and an emergency meeting for the immediate discussion of this latest Jordanian attack and to ensure that Jordan put an end forthwith to the continual and ever increasing aggressions committed by its citizens and Armed Forces against Israel."

VIII. Agreement to give the Scorpion Pass incident top priority on the agenda of the Mixed Armistice Commission

Before the Scorpion Pass incident occurred, on 17 March, the Chairman of the Israel-Jordan Mixed Armistice Commission had agreed to hold emergency meetings to deal with three other complaints (two Israeli and one Jordanian). However, in view of the gravity of the Scorpion Pass incident, the Jordan Delegation accepted the suggestion that it should be given top-priority. The Mixed Armistice Commission met on the afternoon of 22 March, as soon as the reports of the Observers were ready.

IX. Statements to the MAC by the two Delegations

In opening the examination of the complaint by the MAC on 22 March, the Israeli Delegation laid stress upon the evidence which, in their view of the case, argued the military character of the attack. They found that it involved not casual marauding in quest of loot, but a well planned and organized military ambush carried out by a group carefully trained and under military discipline, and specifically aimed at a vital artery of the internal communications of Israel. They referred to the lack of Arab settlements in the vicinity of the Scorpion Pass, even on the Jordan side, so that it could not have been the work of Jordan civilians; to the deployment of the men in a manner to take military account of the possibilities of the terrain; to the extreme accuracy of the shooting; to the depth of the penetration into Israel; and to the use of Wadi Fukra for approach and retreat - the only effective route, including the use of the bed of the Wadi when they approached by night and the use of the fighting ridge of the road close to the wadi when they retreated by day - another indication of military training.

In their reply on 23 March, the Jordan Delegation emphasized that had Jordanian forces wished to attack the bus the logical place would have been in the stretch of the road northward from Eilat where it skirts the demarcation line, sometimes within a few metres, for 160 Kms. The spots inhabited by Jordanians nearest to the Scorpion Pass were 50 Kms. away to the east, 70 Kms. to the north, with difficult desert between. As to the alleged planning of the attack, the bus was an unscheduled one. The various sets of tracks found presented many dissimilarities, as to age, number, and identification - too slight a piece of evidence to justify so grave a charge against Jordan, the more so when they stopped 12 Kms. as the crow flies from the demarcation line, and some 20 Kms. by ordinary routes of travel in the area. No track was discovered starting from Jordan, and the Israeli authorities did not permit the Jordan trackers to begin from the scene of the crime. Witnesses' descriptions of dress were conspicuously lacking in uniformity. Altogether the evidence suggested that the murderers did not come from Jordan and did not leave Israel. Moreover, the Jordan Government had made available trackers, officers, and an aeroplane for the search; sent forces to search the adjacent Jordan area, and offered a large monetary reward for the apprehension of any suspicious newcomers from the Negev.

X. Israeli Information concerning the alleged criminals

On 22 March, when the meeting was about to begin, the Israel Delegation gave the Chairman the names of three individuals alleged to be the leaders of the group which had attacked the bus. They were said to belong to the Arab es Saidin tribe and to have entered Israel from the Safi area, south of the Dead Sea. The Israel Delegation allowed the Chairman to pass the names of the three individuals to the Jordanian Authorities, while specifying that such passing of information to Jordan should remain separate from the discussion in the MAC, whose duty it was to establish the responsibility of the country involved.

The Chairman telephoned the information given by the Israel Delegation to the Chief of Staff of the Arab Legion who promised to take immediate action. By 10.00 p.m. on the same day the Chief of Staff of the Arab Legion informed the Chairman that so far it had been impossible to find in the Arab es Saidin tribe men bearing the names of the alleged criminals. However, the investigation was being continued.

On 23 March, the information that the names of the alleged criminals had been given to the Mixed Armistice Commission was published in the Israel press. During the continuation of the discussion of the Israel complaint in the Mixed Armistice Commission on that day, the Chairman referred to the information published in the Israel press and explained how the investigation by the Chief of Staff of the Arab Legion was started and was continuing. He suggested that the Mixed Armistice Commission should adjourn, assign United Nations Observers to the Safi area and, with the help of Arab Legion officials, complete the investigation. He did not think the Commission should take a decision while there remained an element of doubt and at the same time a possibility of establishing the identity of the actual criminals.

The Chairman's suggestion was not accepted by the Senior Israel Delegate, on the grounds that the duty of the Mixed Armistice Commission was only to establish the responsibility of the country involved while the apprehension of the criminals was an internal Jordanian police matter.

#### XI. The Draft Israeli Resolution

The Israel Delegation submitted the following draft resolution:

"1. The Mixed Armistice Commission deeply deplores the loss of innocent lives incurred as a result of the attack on an Israeli bus carried out by a Jordanian armed and organized gang, near Ma'ale Akrabim, on 17 March 1954.

2. The unprovoked attack from an ambush on a passenger bus on the main highway from Eilath to Beersheba, carried out on 17 March 1954 by an organized and armed Jordanian gang, which had crossed the Demarcation Line into Israel, resulting in the cold-blooded murder of nine men and two women passengers and the serious wounding of two other passengers, a young woman and a nine year old boy, constitutes a most flagrant violation by Jordan of the General Armistice Agreement, in particular of Article III, paragraph 2 thereof.

3. The Mixed Armistice Commission finds Jordan responsible for this outrage, condemns in the strongest terms this latest Jordanian aggression, and calls on the Jordanian Authorities:

- (a) to terminate all warlike or hostile acts of any type whatsoever against Israel;
- (b) to take the most effective measures to terminate all incursions from Jordan across the Demarcation Line and to prevent any other violation of the General Armistice Agreement in the future;
- (c) to apprehend the culprits; and,
- (d) to mete out the severest punishment to the perpetrators of this crime and to those responsible for its non-prevention."

The voting on the above draft resolution was as follows:

<u>Paragraph 1:</u>	Israel	- 2 votes for
	Jordan	- 2 votes against
	Chairman	- 1 abstention
<u>Paragraph 2:</u>	Israel	- 2 votes for
	Jordan	- 2 votes against
	Chairman	- 1 abstention

The draft resolution was not adopted.

#### XII. The Chairman's Statement after the Vote

The Chairman made the following statement:

"On Wednesday of last week, the Mixed Armistice Commission was shocked by the news of an attack on an Israeli bus near Ma'ale Akrabim. United Nations Military Observers were sent immediately to the scene of the incident and their initial reports were graphic in describing this horrible crime. Since that first day, most of the Military Observers assigned to the HJK-Israel Mixed Armistice Commission have been working on this case. These Observers, working with members of the Israel Delegation to the Mixed Armistice Commission, Israeli Police and Army officials, dog handlers with highly trained tracking hounds, expert Israeli trackers, joined later by experienced Bedouin trackers from

Jordan, have worked almost beyond endurance to establish the guilt for this crime. At no time during the past years of the Armistice Agreement has there been a more intensive investigation carried out. Even so, the evidence brought out is far from being conclusive. I do regret the Israel Delegation's refusal to allow the Mixed Armistice Commission the opportunity to completely investigate Israel's claim of knowledge concerning the actual perpetrators of this crime. The possibility of Jordanians being responsible for this crime still exists; however, persons from outside Jordan could also be guilty of this outrage. True, there were tracks found, perhaps connected to this crime, but they were lost approximately 10 kilometres in a straight line from the Demarcation Line. The empty cartridges found at the scene of the incident do not point conclusively to any one group. The testimony of the witnesses indicates Arabs were involved; however, the description of the two men who allegedly entered the bus leaves a doubt as to whether they were all Arabs. And the establishment of the fact that Arabs were involved does not in the least connect this crime to the inhabitants of any one country. This Mixed Armistice Commission will always avoid condemning a government on inconclusive evidence.

My abstention, in this case, must not be construed as a lack of sympathy for those who suffered by this crime. On the contrary, those many people have my deepest sympathy. I firmly believe this Mixed Armistice Commission should consider itself obligated to continue relentlessly its efforts to have those responsible for this crime brought to justice. I will expect the Jordan and Israel Delegations to keep this Commission informed of any further developments in this case.

It is quite important at this time for the Parties to guard against any inflammatory actions or statements that will only aggravate an already tense situation. You should realize the perpetrators of this crime are enemies, not only of both countries, but all humanity. You should co-operate in your efforts to ferret out these killers."

XIII. The Withdrawal of the Israel Delegation from the Mixed Armistice Commission

After the Chairman's statement, the Israeli Delegation announced its withdrawal from the Mixed Armistice Commission in the following terms:

"I have to express my extreme regret and concern over the vote taken just now by HJK and the Chairman. Such a vote is contrary to the evidence produced before the Mixed Armistice Commission and to the nature of the case, as well as any other information produced by the Observers during the investigation.

The decision should have actually served to assure no further incidents of this nature, but the vote taken is actually or will actually encourage further Jordan aggressions and attacks.

The Israeli Delegation is not in a position, under the present circumstances, to continue its participation in the Israel-HJK Mixed Armistice Commission."

Since 23 March, the Israel Government has severed all connexions with the Mixed Armistice Commission. It has also discontinued attendance at the Local Commanders' meetings provided under a separate Israel-Jordan agreement. Israel communications referring to alleged violations by Jordan of the General Armistice Agreement have been addressed to the Secretary-General of the United Nations with the request that they should be circulated to the members of the Security Council. The Chief of Staff of the Truce Supervision Organization in Jerusalem has been informed of such alleged violations of the General Armistice Agreement only on receiving from New York a copy of the Security Council document. The non-co-operation of the Israel Government has prevented their investigation in conformity with the provisions of the General Armistice Agreement.

Emergency meetings of the Mixed Armistice Commission have been held in the absence of the Israel Delegation to deal with Jordanian complaints about incidents the gravity of which had been ascertained by United Nations Observers.

In a communication which I addressed to the Prime Minister of Israel on 20 April, I expressed the hope that the Israel Government would instruct its Delegation to return to the Israel-Jordan Mixed Armistice Commission. So far, my hope has not been fulfilled.

The continued non-co-operation of a Party in a Mixed Armistice Commission enhances, it seems, the importance of one of the decisions taken by the Security Council in its resolution of 11 August 1949. It then re-affirmed, pending the final peace settlement, the order "to observe an unconditional cease-fire", contained in its resolution of 15 July 1948. Such a decision had been suggested by the Acting Mediator for Palestine in his report to the Security Council of 21 July 1949 on the conclusion of the Armistice Agreements (S/1357). In part III, (paragraph 2) of his report, the Acting Mediator suggested that the Council's:

"action might declare it unnecessary to prolong the truce provided for in the Security Council resolution of 15 July 1948. It might, at the same time, reaffirm the order in that resolution to the Governments and authorities concerned, pursuant to Article 40 of the Charter of the United Nations, to desist from further military action, and might also call upon the parties to the dispute to continue to observe an unconditional cease-fire. Action along some such lines would be consistent with the realities of the present situation and would at the same time fully safeguard the basic objective of the Security Council that fighting in Palestine shall not be resumed."

In its 11 August 1949 resolution, the Security Council further requested:

"The Secretary-General to arrange for the continued service of such of the personnel of the present Truce Supervision Organization as may be required in observing and maintaining the cease-fire, and as may be necessary in assisting the Parties to the Armistice Agreements in the supervision of the application and observance of the terms of those Agreements..."

Replying on 9 November 1953 to the fourth question put to me by the Representative of France on the Security Council, I made the following statement (page 12 of the Annex to the 635th meeting of the Security Council:

"In accordance with the Security Council resolution of 11 August 1949, adopted after the conclusion of the several Armistice Agreements, the personnel of the Truce Supervision Organization performs two functions. The first is 'observing and maintaining the cease-fire' ordered by the Security Council on 15 August 1949). The second function is 'assisting the parties to the Armistice Agreements in the supervision of the application and observance of the terms of those agreements'. With regard to the observance and maintenance of the cease-fire, the powers of the Chief of Staff of the Truce Supervision Organization are derived directly from the Security Council resolution, and United Nations Observers acting under my instructions may take measures to observe and maintain the cease-fire. Should an incident involving a breach of the cease-fire occur, observers will be sent immediately to the spot, the authorities of the respective parties will be contacted, and every effort made to bring an end to the incident."

I should like to add that United Nations Observers sent by the Chief of Staff of the Truce Supervision Organization to observe and maintain the cease-fire ordered by the Security Council should, in my opinion, be granted the same co-operation and the same freedom of movement as Observers sent by the Chairman of a Mixed Armistice Commission to investigate a complaint according to the provisions of a General Armistice Agreement.

-----

