Preparatory Committee for the 2010 Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons

3 May 2007

Original: English

First session

Vienna, 30 April-11 May 2007

Security assurances

Working paper submitted by Italy

- 1. Non-nuclear-weapon States party to the NPT can legitimately claim to receive security assurances from the five nuclear-weapon States as defined by article IX of the NPT. Such assurances can play an important role: they can serve both as an incentive to forgo the acquisition of weapons of mass destruction and as a deterrent. Such assurances have also propitiated the adhesion of many States to the NPT.
- 2. Security assurances are already contemplated by the engagements by the five nuclear-weapon States as defined by article IX of the NPT undertaken in 1995 and noted by the United Nations Security Council in its resolution 984 (1995). The five NPT nuclear-weapon States should reiterate their commitment and affirm or reaffirm its legally binding nature.
- 3. Legally binding negative security assurances are also contemplated within the framework of the six declared nuclear-weapon-free zones: Treaty of Tlatelolco, Treaty of Pelindaba, Treaty of Bangkok, Treaty of Rarotonga, Antarctic Treaty and Treaty of Semipalatinsk. Entry into force of these treaties and finalization of negative security assurance provisions contained therein should be achieved as a matter of priority after appropriate consultations.
- 4. Not all NPT non-nuclear-weapon States have the same status with regard to security assurances. A numerical survey could be made on countries that: (a) already enjoy security assurances; and (b) are susceptible to receiving security assurances.
- 5. Several countries have requested the conclusion of a legally binding instrument on security assurances. Further efforts should be made to explore the possibility that existing security assurances may be complemented by a multilateral legally binding instrument.
- 6. Some countries have expressed the wish to receive security assurances on a bilateral basis. It would be useful to explore the possibility of establishing legally binding security assurances on a unilateral, bilateral, plurilateral or regional basis.

