



经济及社会理事会

Distr.
GENERAL

E/CN.4/2005/48/Add.2
17 December 2004
CHINESE
Original: ENGLISH

人权委员会
第六十一届会议
议程项目 10

经济、社会和文化权利

适足生活水准权所含适足住房问题

特别报告员米隆·科塔里提交的报告

增 编

对肯尼亚的访问(2004 年 2 月 9 日至 22 日)*

* 内容提要以所有正式语文分发。报告全文载于内容提要的附件中，仅以英文本分发，因此没有经过联合国有关部门的正式编辑。

内 容 提 要

本报告是根据人权委员会关于适足生活水准权所含适足住房问题的第 2004/21 号决议提出的。

特别报告员访问的基本目的是审查肯尼亚落实适足住房权和其他有关权利的状况并提出报告，同时特别注意有关男女平等和不歧视的各方面的问题，以促进在治理、决策和落实工作的各个层面纳入人权观。特别报告员还寻求与肯尼亚政府、联合国和各国际机构及民间社会开展对话，查明在实现与他的任务有关的各种权利方面的实际解决办法和最佳措施。

特别报告员认识到新政府所作的努力与表现的政治意愿和承诺。然而，政府面临着巨大的挑战，而且，在面对目前的住房和土地情况时，并没有充分处理这一问题的所有方面。鉴于遗留下来的腐败以及包括土地在内的国家和地方资源管理不当的问题，政府必须处理以下种种问题：贫民窟的扩展，其中包括撒哈拉以南非洲最大的贫民窟；特别是社会最贫穷阶层得不到饮用水、电和卫生设施等基本服务；艾滋病人和艾滋病带菌者人数庞大。在新的全国彩虹联合政府当选两年之后，肯尼亚仍处在社会和政治转折之中。新政府坚决表示，致力于保护人权，并打算纠正过去的错误。特别报告员对政府的公开承诺感到满意，但对某些问题得不到充分重视感到关切。具体而言，他提请注意对妇女的歧视问题、土地卡特尔的持续存在以及流离失所和强迫驱逐的情况。

特别报告员在通篇报告中试图突出说明所取得的进步和可以采取进一步行动的地方。为此，他提出了一系列建议，包括与下列方面有关的建议：在部门政策，住房和改善贫民窟方案以及宪法和法律框架中纳入人权观，并采用反映人权状况的指数；建立审查现有方案以及正在拟订的政策和法律的程序，以使它们面向妇女以及最贫困、脆弱和处于社会边缘的人群，如土著人民、艾滋病患者和艾滋病毒带菌者、林中居民和残疾人；采取全面办法解决强迫驱逐、土地所有权的保障、非正式住区合法化和改善贫民窟等问题；建立一个具有半司法性质的非正式住区问题法庭。

特别报告员深感鼓舞的是，民间社会运动全力投入，而且国家当局与民间社会各方在住房与土地权利问题上日益开展富有成效的互动。他对政府官员的准备工作和真诚兴趣印象很深，他希望政府与他本人一样，将本报告视作是以建设性方式推动实现适足住房权而开展的长期对话的开端。特别报告员还希望政府随时与人权事务高级专员办事处技术合作方案和其他机构联系，在拟订和落实人权方案和行动计划方面寻求协助。

Annex

**REPORT OF THE SPECIAL RAPPORTEUR ON ADEQUATE HOUSING
AS A COMPONENT OF THE RIGHT TO AN ADEQUATE STANDARD
OF LIVING, MILOON KOTHARI, ON HIS MISSION TO KENYA
(9-22 FEBRUARY 2004)**

CONTENTS

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
Introduction	1 - 5	5
I. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK	6 - 11	6
II. FINDINGS AND ISSUES OF PARTICULAR CONCERN	12 - 81	7
A. Essential services	12 - 19	7
B. Policies, strategies and legislation	20 - 23	9
C. Macroeconomic conditions and the right to adequate housing	24	9
D. Slum upgrading	25 - 33	10
E. Millennium Development Goals	34 - 37	12
F. Women and housing and land	38 - 50	12
G. Persons with disabilities	51 - 54	15
H. People living with HIV/AIDS	55 - 58	15
I. Indigenous peoples - logging in the Mau forest	59 - 62	16
J. Displacement - Kieni forest	63 - 67	17
K. Land cartels and speculation in urban slum areas	68 - 69	18
L. Evictions and demolitions	70 - 81	18
III. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	82	20

Introduction

1. At the invitation of the Government of Kenya, the Special Rapporteur on adequate housing as a component of the right to an adequate standard of living, undertook a country mission to Kenya from 8 to 22 February 2004. Since 2001, the Special Rapporteur has tried to undertake a mission to Kenya, but a political shift was required before the mission could eventually be agreed on. The fact that the new Government invited the Special Rapporteur should be seen as a positive sign, indicating its readiness to allow itself and its commitment to progress to be scrutinized.
2. The general purpose of the Special Rapporteur's mission was to examine and report on the status of realization of the right to adequate housing and other related rights in the country, with particular attention to aspects of gender equality and non-discrimination, with a view to promoting the incorporation of a human rights perspective in all levels of governance, policy-making and implementation. He also sought to engage in dialogue with the Government, United Nations and international agencies and civil society, and to identify practical solutions and best practices in the realization of the human rights related to his mandate.
3. Based on the provisions of legal instruments, the Special Rapporteur has adopted a working definition of the right to adequate housing as "the right of every woman, man, youth and child to gain and sustain a secure home and community in which to live in peace and dignity" (E/CN.4/2001/51, para. 8). Based on the interrelatedness and indivisibility of human rights, he has adopted a holistic approach to his mandate, and has sought to explore linkages with other rights and issues, such as the rights related to food, water, and health, access to sanitation, work, property, land, and the right to security of the person and security of home, and protection against inhuman and degrading treatment, in all of his activities, including country missions.
4. The Special Rapporteur met with a wide range of ministries and local authorities, including the Ministry of Roads, Public Works and Housing (hereafter Ministry of Housing), the Ministry of Water Resources, the Ministry of Local Governments, the Ministry of Land and Settlements, the Ministry for Planning and National Development, the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry of Justice. The Special Rapporteur also had the opportunity to interact with the Inter-ministerial task force on housing; the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights; the Parliamentary Select Committee on Housing, Health, Labour and Social Welfare; and representatives of the Industrial Court, the National Housing Cooperation and the Constitutional Kenya Reform Commission. The Ministry of Housing played a coordinating role. His meetings with United Nations and international agencies included meetings with the United Nations Resident Coordinator of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) as well as representatives of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) and the United Nations Development Fund for Women (UNIFEM). The Special Rapporteur also had the opportunity to meet with donor agencies in the Land Policy Development Group. He would like to thank UNDP for the valuable assistance provided in organizing the mission.
5. The Special Rapporteur met with a number of civil society groups and communities, both in urban and rural areas, including Nairobi, Mombasa, Isiolo, Makueni district, and the Mau and Kieni forests. Civic forums were organized in Nairobi, Mombasa, Isiolo and Makueni to enable civic and community groups and individuals, including the internally displaced, indigenous people, minorities and pastoralists, to submit testimonies and for the Special Rapporteur to hear

the voices of vulnerable and/or marginalized groups. The Special Rapporteur would like to extend his sincere appreciation to the Mazingira Institute and to the Human Rights Monitoring Group (HURIMOG) for its support and coordination of many civil society activities. He also thanks Muslims for Human Rights (MUHURI), the Ilishe Trust, Kituo cha Sheria, Women Access Programme International (WAPI), Waso, the Eastern Forum of Operation Firimbi (Blow the Whistle) Campaign against public land grabbing, the Kenya Human Rights Commission and the Ogiek Welfare Council for their facilitation of civic forums and community visits. The Special Rapporteur is also grateful to all of the numerous non-governmental actors who provided input through testimonies and submissions.

I. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

6. Kenya is party to the six core international human rights treaties and has ratified the two Optional Protocols to the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Kenya has also ratified an important number of ILO Conventions, but not ILO Convention No. 169 concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries. At the regional level Kenya is a party to the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights.

7. Almost two years after the election of the new National Rainbow Coalition Government, Kenya is still in the middle of a socio-political transition. The new Government has firmly expressed its commitment to human rights and its intention to correct wrongdoings of the past. Its key priorities, expressed during the election campaign, include economic recovery, elimination of corruption, trimming the civil service, devolving powers to the regions, making primary education free and health care more affordable, fostering better incomes for the poor, improving infrastructure and maintaining a stable macroeconomic framework.

8. The Special Rapporteur was impressed by the commitment shown by ministers, deputy ministers and permanent secretaries during his mission, and, in particular, by the actions and approach taken by the Ministry of Water Resources and the integrated perspective on planning and development, based on rigorous data and analysis, evident in the work of the Ministry of Planning and National Development. During his visit, the Special Rapporteur also noted a number of positive measures being taken or in the pipeline. At the time of his visit, a new constitution was being drafted, and the Special Rapporteur welcomes indications that the constitution will eventually include a clear recognition of the right to adequate housing and an explicit commitment to the reporting obligations of the Government of Kenya under international human rights treaties.

9. Pending the adoption of a new constitution, the current Constitution of Kenya contains no direct provision recognizing the right to adequate housing. Its section 75 (1) merely recognizes the right to protection of one's property, stating that no property of any kind shall be compulsorily taken possession of, and no interest in or right over property of any description shall be compulsorily acquired except under certain conditions, conditions that are laid down in the Government Lands Act. The current Constitution further provides, in its section 70 (c), for protection for the privacy of one's home and other property and from deprivation of property without compensation. Again, the regulations related to the eminent powers of the State to acquire one's property are laid down in the Government Lands Act.

10. The complexity of the legal system governing housing and land has done little to ensure security of tenure or to facilitate realization of the right to adequate housing. Over 30 legal statutes currently deal with land and housing, some of them contradictory. New positive policies and laws on housing, land, gender and water have emerged. Note should be taken of the recognition in Sessional Paper No. 3 on National Housing Policy for Kenya of the right to adequate housing and its progressive realization. The Special Rapporteur was encouraged by the clear reference in the paper on gender policy to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women and the call for the Convention to be incorporated into domestic law. Sessional Paper No. 3 was approved by the Parliament in June 2004, paving the way for an ongoing process of formulating a comprehensive Housing Act to enable the regularization of the housing sector. The Government informed the Special Rapporteur that it intends to develop a comprehensive Land Policy by June 2005.

11. The Special Rapporteur was encouraged by the emerging governmental and institutional framework which, ideally, should facilitate addressing, directly or indirectly, housing and land rights issues in the future. He particularly welcomes the recent establishment of the Ministry of Gender, the Ministry of Justice, the Parliamentary Select Committee on Housing, Health, Labour and Social Welfare, an inter-ministerial Inter-Agency Task Force on Housing, including representatives from the civic sector, and the creation of statutory bodies like the Kenya National Commission on Human Rights, which has important potential in promoting all human rights, as well as the forthcoming Gender Commission.

II. FINDINGS AND ISSUES OF PARTICULAR CONCERN

A. Essential services

12. Kenya is among the poorest countries in the world: 56 per cent of the population lives below the poverty line of US\$ 1 per day (2002), compared to 52 per cent in 1997,¹ the majority of them women. The Kenyan economy has seen a downward trend since 1990, partly due to the suspension of foreign aid by the donor community.² In parallel, there has been a failure of the Governments in the past to respect and protect the right to adequate housing, including access to essential services such as potable water, electricity and sanitation, and to land, particularly with respect to the poorest segments of society, including in informal settlements. This failure has been accentuated by corruption, mismanagement of State and local resources, land-grabbing, increasing poverty rates and growing slums.

13. The Special Rapporteur received testimonies from slum-dwellers in Kibera, Nairobi's largest slum, about the so-called "flying toilets". Local authorities have not yet made any efforts to connect Kibera to the city sewage system. Though some latrines have been installed in the area, for a population of over half a million,³ they are far from sufficient. It has become common to use polythene bags to defecate, which are then thrown - thus "flying" - from shacks and shelters onto paths, or into rivers and garbage dumps. Similar practices are seen in other urban slums. Whereas the absence of a sufficient number of latrines was the main reason given for the existence of "flying toilets", other testimonies, particularly from women, indicated that the lack of security during the evening and at night was an additional reason for not using existing latrines.

14. According to the 1999 Kenya Population and Housing Census, access to safe drinking water continued to be limited. The Census states that “at the national level no significant improvement has been registered in access to clean water in the last decade. ... In 1999, only 30 per cent of the households had access to piped water Rural households were worse off in access to clean and safe water as compared to their urban counterparts; less than 40 per cent of rural households had access to water from piped water systems, boreholes and wells compared to over 80 per cent for urban households”.

15. Water is a prerequisite for the realization of a range of human rights, including the right to adequate housing. The Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, in its general comment No. 15 on the right to water, stated that “[t]he human right to water entitles everyone to sufficient, safe, acceptable, physically accessible and affordable water for personal and domestic uses” (E/C.12/2002/11, para. 2). As for other Covenant rights, the right to water imposes certain obligations on the State parties. The Committee states:

“Whereas the right to water applies to everyone, States parties should give special attention to those individuals and groups who have traditionally faced difficulties in exercising this right, including women, children, minority groups, indigenous peoples, refugees, asylum-seekers, internally displaced persons, migrant workers, prisoners and detainees. In particular, States parties should take steps to ensure that: ... Rural and deprived urban areas have access to properly maintained water facilities. Access to traditional water sources in rural areas should be protected from unlawful encroachment and pollution. Deprived urban areas, including informal human settlements, and homeless persons, should have access to properly maintained water facilities. No household should be denied the right to water on the grounds of their housing or land status” (ibid. para. 16).

16. Ironically, slum-dwellers and others residing in informal settlements - often the poorest segments of society - pay exorbitant prices for water. Scarce water points and high demand lead to constantly rising water prices. In 2001, the Habitat International Coalition (HIC) reported that residents in the Mtumba slum in Nairobi paid up to five times the price paid by their middle-class neighbours with access to piped water from the Nairobi City Council.⁴

17. Testimonies at the Mombasa Civic Forum indicated that Mombasa residents pay their water bills to the Mombasa Water Company, which in turn remits the money to Nairobi, from where water distribution and management is controlled. Allegedly due to corruption and mismanagement, disruption of water supply in Mombasa is common. Representatives from a number of non-governmental organizations also criticized the Municipality’s recently initiated “beautification project”, including the extension of road islands. They argued that if communities had been allowed to participate in planning and decision-making they would have given priority to development and improvement of city infrastructure, including drainage, sewage, electricity and transport. According to the Government, it has in the recent past given attention to the Local Authorities Service Delivery Plans (LASDAPs), which provide for participatory planning, as a prerequisite for local authorities to benefit from so-called Local Authority Transfer Funds (LATF). The Special Rapporteur understands that the LASDAPs have been in the pipeline for a considerable time and will follow their implementation with interest.

18. Testimonies from the civic forum in Isiolo also raised the seriousness of the housing situation of the Watta community and generally for former or “drop-out destitute” pastoralists, with particular emphasis on their lack of access to essential services.

19. The Government has also stated that in order to meet increasing demands for essential services, it is working on modalities to privatize and/or allow consultancy services in the physical planning process to augment existing capacities. In this context the Special Rapporteur wishes to recall that while human rights law does not prevent the provision of basic rights and services, including water, electricity, education and sanitation, through private companies as such, States have the responsibility to ensure that such privatization does not infringe on the human rights of the population.

B. Policies, strategies and legislation

20. Whereas it is a positive development that the Government is committed to the establishment of clear policies in a number of previously neglected areas such as water, housing and gender, the Special Rapporteur is nevertheless concerned that the emerging policies are not based upon the human rights obligations of Kenya, nor on the reality on the ground, despite the fact that extensive data from the 1999 Census and the Central Bureau of Statistics⁵ exist which would have permitted the elaboration of policies particularly targeted at ensuring the basic human rights for the poor and other marginalized groups of society, particularly women. The Special Rapporteur welcomes the National Housing Development Programme, the creation of Water Boards, the Economic Recovery Strategy for Wealth and Employment Creation and the elaboration of a Millennium Development Goals Responsive Plan. However, it is vital that such plans and strategies must fully incorporate all human rights obligations of Kenya.

21. In order for policies to achieve a purpose, there is a need for increased cooperation and consultation between ministries on policies with a cross-sectoral character, such as on housing, land and water. Emerging policies also need to be complemented by implementing strategies, the implementation perspective being largely absent at the time of the mission.

22. Although the development of policies and comprehensive action plans is vital, the most urgent rights and needs of the poor and other vulnerable groups should be able to be addressed even in the absence of established policies.

23. The implementation process needs to address the attainment of the minimum essential elements of the right to adequate housing. As an example, by adopting a primary education policy providing for free primary education, the Government has demonstrated its recognition in practice of the progressive realization of the right to education. A similar approach is required for housing, health and food.

C. Macroeconomic conditions and the right to adequate housing

24. The current Government has inherited a challenging economic reality. The first Millennium Development Goals - Progress Report for Kenya (2003)⁶ stated that the low economic growth from 1994 culminated in a 0.3 per cent contraction in 2000, inflation and a rise in consumer prices. The poor economic performance is largely a result of bad governance, corruption and inefficient use of public resources. Kenya is among the bottom 10 income

economies in the world. It is recognized that prevailing poverty and limited economic growth have undermined the Government's ability to ensure adequate health care, food and basic education for large parts of the population. This is equally true for the right to adequate housing and other relevant rights.

D. Slum upgrading

25. In Nairobi, over 60 per cent of the population lives in informal settlements, but the land occupied only corresponds to 5 per cent of Nairobi's surface. A slum is characterized by lack of basic services, substandard housing or illegal and inadequate structures, overcrowding, unhealthy living conditions, hazardous locations, insecurity of tenure leading to irregular or informal settlements, poverty, and social exclusion. Kibera, sub-Saharan Africa's largest slum, has over half a million inhabitants, although accurate data are difficult to come by and variations in numbers are common. According to UN-Habitat "(b)etween 1971 and 1995, the number of informal settlement villages within the Nairobi divisional boundaries rose from 50 to 134 while the estimated total population of these settlements increased from 167,000 to some 1,886,000 individuals".⁷

26. On 16 January 2003, the Minister for Roads, Public Works and Housing and the Executive Director of UN-Habitat signed a memorandum of understanding on the "Slum Upgrading Programme of Kenya". The aim of the programme is to improve housing, infrastructure, services, and livelihoods of people working in informal settlements. The programme also gives priority to ensuring that the poor are given some form of tenure security so they can participate in improvement of the urban environment. It was foreseen that work would start on slum upgrading in Nairobi and Kisumu, and that experiences from those places would be used in order to plan slum upgrading in other areas of the country.

27. Upon the signing of the memorandum of understanding it was announced that the first pilot project would be to upgrade Kibera-Soweto. According to the Government, Kibera-Soweto is to be "the starting point for city-wide and country-wide initiatives". However, the project has still not taken off. In reply to the Special Rapporteur's observations regarding the implementation of the Slum Upgrading Programme, the Government emphasized that "an institutional framework for coordination, implementation and monitoring of the programme involving all relevant Government institutions, local authorities, community-based organizations, donor and development partners has been put in place. The implementation of the programme will embrace the principles of decentralization, partnerships, consultation, stakeholder participation, consensus building, leadership and the empowerment and participation of beneficiary communities in upgrading projects". Likewise, on the issue of slum upgrading, according to UN-Habitat, "we as a United Nations organization and bilateral and multilateral organizations living in Kenya should come together in supporting efforts to create a platform of negotiation and helping the Government of Kenya in making this a story of the past".⁸

28. While it is true that a Nairobi Situation Analysis has been undertaken and other examinations of the situations in slums and squatter settlements in the capital have been done, the project has, not surprisingly, met with opposition from shack owners and rent collectors. More significantly, and of great concern, the slum-dwellers themselves have expressed criticism and fear - groundless according to UN-Habitat - of being forcibly evicted to the other side of the river. According to information received from the Government, a Settlement Executive

Committee, responsible for consultation with the community, has been elected and is operational. In stark contrast to the position of the Government and information received, testimonies gathered from slum-dwellers of Kibera-Soweto indicate that consultation has been implemented in an ad hoc, as opposed to an in-depth and comprehensive manner, and involvement in planning and decision-making has been even less prominent.

29. There is a government commitment to address the growing slums in urban areas, particularly in Nairobi. According to the City Council, a water distribution system was installed in Kibera in 2000, and street lighting has been provided for in other informal settlements. However, in the past, as stated by the Government itself, such initiatives have been ad hoc and uncoordinated. With respect to slum upgrading, reference is repeatedly made to its cooperation with UN-Habitat in this respect. It should be made clear, however, that the initiative to undertake upgrading of Kibera-Soweto is limited in scope, and will not provide the ultimate solution to the problems of slums in Nairobi or other urban areas in Kenya. Slum upgrading cannot take place in isolation. There is an urgent need for a comprehensive citywide strategy and action plan, based on consultation and participation, to identify geographical housing alternatives that will not only enable those relocated to sustain their livelihoods but also create dignified housing and living spaces for slum-dwellers.

30. Strategies for slum upgrading need to take into account the regimes related to security of tenure. Tenants, so-called squatters, internally displaced persons, slum-dwellers, the minority Nubian community and others all face different obstacles to the realization of their right to adequate housing. However, the regimes governing security of tenure have not been sensitive to different needs and rights, nor has the diversity of situations and actors been taken into account.

31. In light of the above, it is therefore somewhat worrying that in parallel with the slow progress in implementation of the slum-upgrading programme for Kibera-Soweto, forced evictions from road reserves and from structures built under power lines and near railway lines in Kibera were initiated at the time of the Special Rapporteur's visit, yet another indication that a comprehensive strategic city action plan is needed (see sect. I).

32. Since the time of his mission, the Special Rapporteur has been informed that several components of the Kibera-Soweto slum-upgrading project have been undertaken, including social-economic mapping of the Kibera slum, physical planning of the settlement, and identification of the decanting site. The project was ultimately launched by the President on World Habitat Day on 4 October 2004.

33. Examples from the past should serve as lessons. A slum-upgrading project funded by contributions from Germany had a negative impact on the intended project beneficiaries. The Mathare slum dwellings were turned into housing units, but since many of the previous slum-dwellers were unable to pay the demanded rent, they had to leave and move into other slums, some of them in worse condition than Mathare. In their place, families who could pay the necessary rent moved in. Examples like this have led to mistrust among slum-dwellers with regard to slum-upgrading projects. To overcome such reluctance on the part of slum-dwellers, it is of particular importance that all slum-upgrading projects be done in close consultation with those affected at the planning stage and with respect for the right to participation in decision-making.

E. Millennium Development Goals

34. During the Special Rapporteur's official meetings, especially with the Ministry for Planning and National Development, the commitment of the Government of Kenya to the Millennium Development Goals was made evident. Among the Goals of particular significance for housing rights are the global commitments to achieve a significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum-dwellers by 2020, and to halve the proportion of people without access to safe drinking water by 2015. Also, at the World Summit for Sustainable Development, States agreed to halve the proportion of people without access to adequate sanitation by 2015.

35. The need for elaborating indicators and monitoring tools to measure the development process from a human rights perspective has become more pertinent with the emergence of the Millennium Development Goals. Developing rights-based indicators and monitoring tools could contribute both to more effective implementation of the Goals and to the realization of relevant human rights. The Government claims that it is in the process of generating statistics and developing indicators necessary to monitor the Goals. Given that the human rights and Millennium Development Goals discourses were to a large extent distinct, the Special Rapporteur wishes to underline that it is important that such indicators reflect the human rights principles that underlie the development process, such as accountability, non-discrimination, the rule of law, gender equality and progressive realization of economic, social and cultural rights (see also the 2003 report of the Special Rapporteur, E/CN.4/2003/5, paras. 51-62). Applying the human rights principles requires an effort to establish a clear link between the duty holder(s) and their actions, on the one hand, and the corresponding goals towards the progressive realization of the human right, on the other.

36. The Millennium Development Goals are important benchmarks for the realization of relevant rights. As such, the goals and principles contained in the Millennium Development Goals should not be interpreted in a narrow sense but as a way to capture the normative contents of the rights and to contribute towards the overall realization of all human rights. The absence of such an approach may result in two parallel, distinct and sometimes contradictory processes, one devoted to the realization of the Millennium Development Goals in a narrow sense and one devoted to the implementation of ratified international human rights treaties. The commitment to eradicate slums in Nairobi and other urban areas is an illustrative example. Whereas efforts to decrease slum dwellings may comply with Millennium Development Goals targets, the process should take obligations under human rights law into account.

37. The Special Rapporteur is of the view that the implementation of the Millennium Development Goals could be enhanced and facilitated by embracing a human rights framework and approaches which are consistent with existing State obligations under the human rights instruments.⁹

F. Women and housing and land

38. The discrimination faced by women with respect to land, property and inheritance, and their being denied access and control have a direct and negative impact on their right to adequate housing. The current Constitution, in its article 82 (1) and (3), states that no one should be subject to discrimination on the basis of sex. Policies, programme strategies and regulations are also found in the Economic Recovery Strategy on Wealth and Employment Creation, and in the

Sessional Paper on Housing Policy. However, article 82 (4) of the Constitution provides for an exception with respect to certain personal and customary laws. Exemption is explicitly made “with respect to adoption, marriage, divorce, burial, devolution of property on death or other matters of personal law” and for “the application in the case of members of a particular race or tribe of customary law with respect to that matter which is applicable in the case of other persons”.¹⁰ Since access, control and ownership of land in Kenya is governed by both statutory and, to a large extent, customary laws, discrimination in customary law with respect to women’s property and inheritance rights negatively affects their right to adequate housing. The adoption of a new constitution will hopefully ensure full respect for the principle of non-discrimination.

39. Under statutory law, any Kenyan above the age of 18 may own property. However, the *Kenya Human Development Report 1999* estimates that less than 5 per cent of Kenyan women own land. Married women are registered under their husbands’ names and single women are rarely given title. Women are similarly denied property ownership with regard to housing. Even if a woman builds or pays for a house herself, tradition often dictates that it belongs to her husband. Without a woman’s name on the title deed, her husband is legally allowed to mortgage the property without her knowledge or consent.

40. Women’s entitlement to land, property and adequate housing becomes a contentious issue particularly in connection with divorce and inheritance. There are five recognized marriage regimes in the country, civil, Christian, Hindu, Muslim and customary marriage regimes.¹¹ Divorce, or judicial separation, is governed by the Matrimonial Causes Act and the Subordinate Courts (Maintenance and Separation) Act, but these acts are only applicable to civil, Christian and Hindu marriages. No legal provision assumes co-ownership of land and property for married couples. The woman’s right to a share of matrimonial property is calculated on the basis of her contribution to it. However, since women are frequently involved either in the informal sector or contribute with extensive work on the property itself, courts have had to define the meaning of contribution. The last decade has seen a growing jurisprudence taking into account non-monetary contributions.

41. Divorce under Islamic law can be obtained either unilaterally by the husband or by mutual consent, or through a court decision if the wife wishes to divorce. The right of the woman after the divorce is limited to a period of three months. In theory, Islamic law allows women to own and inherit property regardless of marital status.

42. Inheritance is regulated by the Law of Succession Act, adopted in 1972. It gives widows, including widows from a polygamous marriage, certain rights to inheritance. Following an amendment in 1990, Muslims are not covered by the Act.

43. Despite existing laws, the Special Rapporteur received numerous testimonies regarding challenges faced by women in ensuring their right to adequate housing. It was repeatedly underlined that men traditionally control both access to and ownership of land, even though women have the main burden in developing and maintaining the house. Accounts were frequent of cases where a husband decides to leave his wife, takes another one or passes away, and the wife is chased out of the home by her in-laws. She leaves with nothing, not even her personal belongings. Although such testimonies were more frequent with respect to rural women, the practice does seem to exist also in urban areas.

44. Some customary traditions preclude women from inheriting property. A widow may be forced to marry a male relative in order to ensure her and her children's economic and social protection. In addition to wife inheritance, "cleansing" practices exist in certain communities. In order to "cleanse" the widow of her husband's spirit, she is forced to have sexual intercourse with a social outcast, who is paid for his "services". This is also often a condition for the widow to be able to retain her house and belongings. The contrary is also true; women who refuse to take part in the cleansing ritual are chased away by their in-laws.¹² The choice is often to return to their parents' home or to move to urban areas, where it is not uncommon to find such women in slum settlements.

45. Female-headed households in urban slums live in poorer housing than their male-headed counterparts and struggle to provide for their needs. As a coping mechanism, single mothers often turn to unsafe occupations, including commercial sex work (increasing exposure to HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases) and bootleg alcohol sales (see section H).¹³ Further, lack of proper sanitation in slum areas is a security hazard for women. Without facilities nearby (or in existence at all), women have to leave their homes at night, putting themselves at risk of sexual assault or other personal attacks. There is little legal protection against violence if it occurs in the home. Perpetrators of domestic violence rarely end up in court, and when they do, sentencing is typically lenient and hardly serves as a deterrent. Violence against women and housing violations are inextricably linked as causes and consequences of each other. Just as inadequate housing in the slums leads to risk of violence, a situation of domestic violence can lead to a woman being deprived of housing.

46. In the absence of a national legal aid scheme, access to courts is restricted. Women are less likely than men to be able to afford a lawyer. Non-governmental organizations attempting to provide legal services are hampered by resource constraints. This impairs the Kenyan woman's ability to defend her housing, land and property rights, in particular in cases of divorce, inheritance and domestic violence.

47. The draft 2002 constitution proposed several progressive measures for women and housing. The right to adequate housing is explicitly recognized in article 59 (1). Further, women are specifically given the right to inherit property and the tradition of deferring to customary law on the subject of inheritance is disallowed. This is consistent with Commission on Human Rights resolution 2003/22, in which the Commission encouraged "Governments to support the transformation of customs and traditions that discriminate against women and deny women security of tenure and equal ownership of, access to and control over land and equal rights to own property and to adequate housing."¹⁴

48. The draft constitution obliged the State to ensure that married women's and widow's interests become law. The draft was the direct result of advocacy by numerous rights groups and constitutional entrenchment of these provisions is welcomed.

49. Legal changes are a significant step forward, but are in no way sufficient to ensure women's right to adequate housing. In his 2003 study on women and housing, the Special Rapporteur urged States "to pay particular attention to the need to bridge the gap between legal and policy recognition of women's right to adequate housing and implementation."¹⁵

50. Responding to the Special Rapporteur's concern during his mission regarding women's rights, the Government stated that "an analysis of the forms of discrimination faced by women and other vulnerable groups will be undertaken; and programmes put in place to address this issue in order to gauge the magnitude of the problem and sensitize and empower women on their rights". The Special Rapporteur is looking forward to interacting continuously with the Government in its endeavour.

G. Persons with disabilities

51. Persons with disabilities (physical, intellectual or sensory, medical or mental) in Kenya suffer an additional disadvantage in the areas of land, housing and property due to the country's lack of coordinated policy and law on housing and land. Cultural bias and disability-insensitive laws have been the key contributing factors in curtailing disabled persons' access to land and property.

52. Persons with disabilities are perceived as unworthy or incapable of owning and managing property. Thus, property is allotted to and registered in the name of others in the family or clan, ostensibly to assist the person(s) with disability, even in a case where the latter has rightfully earned the property. In many communities, mentally/intellectually challenged persons are not allowed to own or inherit any property. More generally, persons with disability rarely inherit land or property. They are also unlikely to be able to afford such purchases as 93 per cent live below the poverty line.¹⁶

53. Women with disabilities experience multiple disadvantages with respect to inheritance. Family or clan members are always the beneficiaries of land and property; this often leads to expulsion of the disabled widow.

54. The Persons with Disabilities Act 2003, while a great achievement for advocates, is silent on housing and property rights for persons with disabilities, and therefore fails to address the above concerns.

H. People living with HIV/AIDS

55. An estimated 2.5 million people in Kenya live with HIV/AIDS, over 1 million being orphans. According to information received from the Inter-agency Committee on Housing, HIV/AIDS prevalence in urban centres is 10 per cent, compared to 6 per cent in rural areas. According to the broad national strategic plan designed to guide the country's response to the epidemic, the Ministry of Roads, Housing and Public Works is expected to design projects to create environments within which communities can combat its effects. The challenge is enormous, as illustrated by the alarming testimonies illustrating how discrimination and stigmatization vis-à-vis people living with HIV/AIDS has had an adverse impact on their right to an adequate standard of living, including adequate housing.

56. Whereas stable, permanent and medically appropriate housing is often crucial in order to maintain care, there are, according to testimonies from civil society, numerous examples of widows being evicted from their homes following their husbands' death of an HIV/AIDS-related illness.¹⁷ Similarly, orphaned children are at risk of being chased away from their homes, or seeing their houses burned and locked. Reports of landlords evicting HIV-positive tenants are

common. According to the Government, HIV/AIDS testing as a prerequisite for either insurance or mortgage has been outlawed, but there are still reports alleging denial of people living with HIV/AIDS from accessing mortgage and other housing funds.

57. One result of discrimination and stigmatization has been that people living with HIV/AIDS increasingly find themselves forced to find shelter in slums. In Nairobi, the majority of people living with HIV/AIDS reside in slum areas. Overcrowding and poor sanitary conditions often impede proper care for those living with HIV/AIDS. Similarly, inadequate housing and living conditions, including high density and lack of hygiene in large urban slums, increases the risk of outbreaks of HIV/AIDS-related illnesses, disease and infection, including the spread of tuberculosis.

58. Reports and testimonies revealed that customary traditions and practices affecting women's right to adequate housing and property might also contribute to the spread of the epidemic. "Cleansing" practices in certain communities, involving unprotected sex, put women at risk of becoming infected with HIV/AIDS.

I. Indigenous peoples - logging in the Mau forest

59. The marginalization and disadvantage experienced by indigenous communities in Kenya is largely a result of the history of colonization and the shift by successive post-independence Governments from communal land ownership to individualized, private land ownership. The British colonial Government turned large amounts of land owned by indigenous peoples into Crown land thereby freeing arable land for the new colonial settlers, whereas indigenous peoples were sent to reserves. The expropriation of indigenous lands continued after independence well into the 1990s.

60. The Special Rapporteur met with representatives from the Ogiek community in the Mau forest in Nakuru district. The Ogiek, an estimated 15,000-20,000 individuals,¹⁸ were traditionally hunters and gatherers who since the colonial period have faced repeated evictions from their settlements. Ancestral land of the Ogiek in the Mau forest was declared a protected forest area by colonial authorities in 1942, and communities living in the area were resettled to other lands. The Ogiek nevertheless remained or returned to their ancestral land from resettlement areas in Sururu, Likia and Teret. Under Kenya's Forest Act, no cutting, grazing, removal of forest produce or disturbance of the flora is allowed, except with the permission of the director of forestry; permission shall only be given with the object of conserving natural flora and amenities of the reserve. The lack of a comprehensive approach is illustrated by the fact that while previous Governments have argued that the Ogiek threaten the forest environment and called for their eviction, nearly 60,000 hectares in the area were opened up for private use in 2001. In addition to the settlers who arrived, often through collusion with public authorities, from other parts of the country, logging companies, including Pan African Paper Mills, Raiply Timber and Timsales Ltd., are active in the area, and what used to be a vast forest and an important water reservoir has become hectares of tree stumps. According to testimonies received and site visits made by the Special Rapporteur, the Ogiek, deprived of their traditional sustainable livelihood, are now increasingly forced to become labourers at new settlers' farms to survive in the area, or to leave their traditional homes and move elsewhere.

61. The right to adequate housing is also interlinked with the right to health, food, and a safe environment. The issue of logging in Mau forest illustrates the need to adopt an inclusive approach to the right to housing. Continuous logging in Mau forest has affected all these rights of the indigenous Ogiek community and if not stopped, threatens to further destroy their cultural identity and the community as a whole.

62. Faced with strong local, national and international criticism from environmental organizations, the previous Government announced a ban on logging in July 2001. Despite official declarations to the contrary, the Special Rapporteur himself witnessed extensive logging. If continued, this will threaten not only the livelihoods and survival of the Ogiek, but other communities that rely on water from Lake Nakuru and connected streams that are drying up as a result of logging and drought.

J. Displacement - Kieni forest

63. As a result of politically instigated clashes between 1992 and 1993, Kieni forest was occupied by over 3,000 people - either survivors of the clashes or squatters from the area. The former Government allocated them a limited area of land in the forest to carry out farming activities. Owing to subsequent overexploitation of forest resources, the former Government issued a seven-day eviction notice. As a result, some of those subjected to evictions started camping along the nearby roadside while others moved away to unknown destinations. The people found on the roadside were later approached by government officials and promised land plots in Kieni forest. The plots were to measure 100 x 100 feet. It was also announced that the settlement was merely a temporary solution and that the population would eventually be allocated permanent land elsewhere. The received land plots, however, only measured 10 x 10 feet, and the people of Huruma village have been living in Kieni forest for over 10 years under constant threat of eviction and without access to any basic services.

64. The Special Rapporteur visited Huruma village and the Kieni Community Committee during his mission. A total of 520 makeshift shacks of 10 x 10 feet are built side-by-side in rows. The shacks cannot be expanded despite the population growth. The shacks do not provide adequate protection from the cold and they leak, so that when it rains families have to share the "best" shacks. The Special Rapporteur witnessed and received testimonies of malnutrition and starvation. The population, particularly the children, shows signs of infection and disease; tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS are spreading rapidly.

65. Huruma village has been abandoned by the authorities as far as services and assistance are concerned. According to testimonies, the population is experiencing constant harassment by forest guards. The community is not allowed to make use of the land in or around the settlement, not even to grow crops. They have to travel long distances to bury their dead. With no easy access to public transport, this means that corpses are kept - contrary to custom - in the shack before a burial can take place. A week before the Special Rapporteur's visit a 3-year-old girl had passed away; her body was still lying in one of the homes when the Special Rapporteur arrived.

66. Forest guards are allegedly making the community pay for using the forest for grazing animals and collecting firewood. Women, who have the main responsibility for collecting

firewood, when caught with it have allegedly been subjected to sexual abuse and severe beatings by the guards. One woman was detained in the nearest town and sentenced to three months' imprisonment.

67. The population in Huruma village is living in extreme poverty, and in such an emergency situation, **the Special Rapporteur recommends that the Government establish an emergency assistance programme to ensure that immediate steps are taken to remedy this and similar situations.**

K. Land cartels and speculation in urban slum areas

68. In cities, most prominently in Nairobi, powerful individuals are involved in malpractices such as land speculation, the running of "land cartels" and illicit land markets. Most owners of structures and dwellers in slums like Kibera or Korogocho pay a fee to the local administration, including to chiefs, village elders and police officers, to receive official permission to occupy structures and shelters. Practices include illegal allocation of private or public land, and contravene the law. Furthermore, slum-dwellers run the risk that persons who are not fully aware of the illegal practices will invest in housing. The innocent and poor must be protected and practices fuelling land speculation must be curbed urgently.

69. According to research done under the Government/UN-Habitat Collaborative Slum Upgrading Programme on rents in informal settlements, owning structures in slum areas is a highly lucrative and exploitative business. No maintenance, improvement of structures or provision of basic services is required. Given the irregularity of the market, there is a virtual absence of accountability of structure owners. According to information received by the Special Rapporteur, some shack owners collect revenues from over 1,000 shacks. Public officials are allegedly some of the biggest shack owners. Thugs not only collect rent from shack tenants but also forcibly evict those who are too poor to pay, or those who major shack owners and land cartels wish to displace. Ordinary slum-dwellers thus find themselves at the mercy of these powerful actors and their agents.

L. Evictions and demolitions

70. The Special Rapporteur has continuously stressed the need for Governments to stop forced evictions, and, where evictions are necessary, to strictly apply human rights standards in carrying them out. Forced evictions and relocation have a fundamental impact on the lives and livelihoods of the people affected, particularly on the very poor who are often the main targets of such practices. Recent planned large-scale evictions and demolitions in Kenya clearly illustrate the need for coordination, consultation and respect for existing human rights obligations.

71. During previous regimes, land and house allocation was frequently used as a means of rewarding those loyal to the Government and ensuring such loyalty in the future. Also, in recent decades informal settlements have grown in slum areas, near railway and power lines, and on land earmarked for road reserves intended for road bypasses. The new Government has clearly declared that it intends to address previous mismanagement and illegal allocations. It also has an ambitious plan to clear settlements within 100 feet of either side of the railway line, under power lines and on road reserve land.

72. In late 2003, the Ministry of Housing initiated evictions and demolition of structures on road reserves. The affected structures belonged mainly to the affluent and were perceived as having been built on land received through the patronage of the former regime; the evictions therefore met with limited opposition. In early January 2004, the Kenya Railways Corporation and the Kenya Power and Lighting Corporation issued eviction notices for those staying on land reserved for their use. The Nairobi City Council, acting on the directions of the Ministry of Local Government, issued 48-hour eviction notices for those living on structures on City Council land. Whereas the Ministry of Housing had initially targeted the affluent, the evictions and demolitions moved to slum areas, mainly Kibera. On 8 February, 2,000 structures were demolished and thousands of people were left homeless. The Special Rapporteur has tried to investigate the fate of those evicted, but there are no records to show their current whereabouts.

73. Additional evictions in Kibera were announced in the week following the Special Rapporteur's visit. According to information received from non-governmental sources, over 150,000 people would have been made homeless by the evictions and 17,600 structures destroyed. An estimated 330,000 people living in Kibera would be affected, including by displacement. According to the Government, these figures are exaggerated, although the Special Rapporteur has not received any alternative official estimates.

74. While the Special Rapporteur does not necessarily argue with the announced underlying reasons for evictions and demolitions, he strongly opposes their implementation without the provision of adequate alternative solutions. They would only worsen conditions in slum areas and other informal settlements. It should also be noted that the land in question has been occupied for decades with the knowledge and consent of previous Governments and that, according to testimonies and other information received, people occupying plots near the railway line have paid a fee to Kenya Railways Corporation for "official permission" to live there.

75. The announcement created fear and confusion among the inhabitants of the affected areas. The confusion was reportedly increased by an announcement made on 29 February by the Minister of Roads, Public Works and Housing at a rally in Kibera, that the President had ordered demolitions on road reserves, near railway and power lines, and on public land to be suspended, pending the identification of suitable alternative relocation areas. However, that announcement was subsequently withdrawn with the clarification that the suspension did not apply to demolitions and evictions from road reserves.

76. A complaint was eventually filed against the Kenya Railways Corporation in the High Court. A court order was initially issued on 27 February 2004 allowing for a 10-day suspension of the evictions. On 8 March the High Court ordered that the evictions be further postponed until 30 March 2004 and that the Kenya Railways Corporation and the plaintiffs were to negotiate a possible time frame.

77. On 16 March, the Special Rapporteur addressed a letter to the Government of Kenya, in which he drew attention to the negative impact on the poorest and already marginalized groups of society. He also underlined that forced evictions need to be seen in light of the expressed commitment of the Government to address the growing slums in urban areas, particularly in Nairobi, and of its cooperation with UN-Habitat in this respect. The Special Rapporteur reiterated that in order for slum upgrading to achieve its ends, it must be done within a city- or

countrywide strategy and action plan based on consultation and participation, in order to identify geographical housing alternatives that would enable those relocated to sustain their livelihoods.

78. In a reply dated 11 May 2004, the Government informed the Special Rapporteur that it intended to “sensitize all citizens and stakeholders on procedures for evictions”. In the meantime, owing to local, national and international pressure, the Government has announced a temporary halt to evictions. The reason given was that although the decision to evict was irreversible, the Government is working on a more organized and systematic plan for its implementation.

79. Despite the temporary halt to evictions, the Special Rapporteur wishes to express his concern that the Government is not respecting the human rights of those affected by these evictions. The situation illustrates the need for the Government to comply with procedures stipulated by the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in its general comment No. 7 on the right to adequate housing: forced evictions (1997), which reads:

“15. Appropriate procedural protection and due process are essential aspects of all human rights but are especially pertinent in relation to a matter such as forced evictions which directly invokes a large number of the rights recognized in both the International Covenants on Human Rights. The Committee considers that the procedural protections which should be applied in relation to forced evictions include: (a) an opportunity for genuine consultation with those affected; (b) adequate and reasonable notice for all affected persons prior to the scheduled date of eviction; (c) information on the proposed evictions, and, where applicable, on the alternative purpose for which the land or housing is to be used, to be made available in reasonable time to all those affected; (d) especially where groups of people are involved, government officials or their representatives to be present during an eviction; (e) all persons carrying out an eviction to be properly identified; (f) evictions not to take place in particularly bad weather or at night unless the affected persons consent otherwise; (g) provision of legal remedies; and (h) provision, where possible, of legal aid to persons who are in need of it to seek redress from the courts.”

80. Authorities have only focused on prior notice, which in itself is an inadequate measure in the light of the above procedure. The Special Rapporteur was not made aware of any attempts by authorities to identify, protect and provide redress to those affected by demolition of houses, including people from the poorest segments of society.

81. Reportedly, the Government also intends to remove communities from forest lands. Thus, the current practice has created a great deal of insecurity amongst poor Kenyans, including internally displaced people, forest dwellers, indigenous peoples such as the Ogiek, and slum-dwellers. This is affecting the credibility of the Government in the eyes of its own people and the international community.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

82. **Whereas it is a positive development that the Government is committed to the establishment of clear policies on a number of previously neglected areas such as water, housing and gender, the Special Rapporteur is concerned that emerging policies are not fully based upon the human rights obligations of Kenya, nor the reality on the ground.**

While the Special Rapporteur has attempted to incorporate some recommendations throughout his report, he would like to conclude by submitting the following additional general recommendations:

(a) It is essential that there be full incorporation of the human rights perspective, including a clear commitment to non-discrimination and gender equality, at all levels of governance, policy-making and implementation. The integration of human rights perspectives in sectoral policies, housing and slum-upgrading programmes, and the constitutional and legal framework, and the application of human rights-sensitive indicators would be a first step towards the implementation of international human rights standards and the realization of the relevant Millennium Development Goals;

(b) The Special Rapporteur recommends that the Government further review existing programmes as well as policies and laws being developed, in order to orient them towards the poorest, vulnerable or marginalized segments of the population, such as indigenous peoples, persons living with HIV/AIDS, disabled persons, the Watta community,¹⁹ other formerly or currently destitute pastoralists, and forest dwellers. The Special Rapporteur recommends that the Government establish an emergency assistance programme for extreme cases of humanitarian crisis, such as the community in Huruma village in Kieni forest, who are being denied the right to adequate housing;

(c) A comprehensive approach needs to be adopted to address the issues of forced evictions, security of tenure, legalization of informal settlements and slum upgrading, and to ensure close consultation with those affected at the planning stage and with respect for the right to participation in decision-making in these areas. With respect to evictions, the Government should immediately put into practice the procedure called for under general comment No. 7 of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. There is need for a clear evictions policy and specific legislation in this regard, such as a National Act on Evictions. In the meantime, an absolute moratorium on forced evictions should be implemented. United Nations agencies and programmes, as well as the donor community, are encouraged to play a more active role in ensuring that international human rights law is respected in slum-upgrading schemes and other development programmes, including processes that may lead to forced evictions;

(d) Human rights education, particularly on economic, social and cultural rights, should be improved. The Special Rapporteur is encouraged by the establishment of the National Human Rights Commission, and recommends that its capacity to undertake training and awareness-raising activities to this end be strengthened. A particularly useful model which could be considered for cities and provinces of Kenya is the “Human Rights Cities” initiative developed by the People’s Movement for Human Rights Education (PDHRE) which is currently being coordinated jointly by PDHRE and UNDP;

(e) The Government must give particular attention to existing inequalities when elaborating policies and strategies in order to ensure that women’s rights are fully recognized. Although the Ministry of Gender has an essential role to play, the gender dimension is cross-cutting and all ministries need to become more involved and sensitized;

(f) The Government should consider the establishment of a tribunal on informal human settlements as a quasi-judicial body. The tribunal could be instituted under a relevant statute, such as the Government Lands Act, to provide remedies to the intractable problem of allocation of public land by the administration and authorities through illegal and irregular practices, including land speculation and land-grabbing. Such a tribunal should be empowered to investigate and determine the validity and merit of claims to property rights by settlers, and be guided by the principles of equality and non-discrimination. It should stipulate conditions for granting security of tenure over public land to deserving settlers and ensure that public land remains a common use resource;

(g) The Government could approach the OHCHR Technical Cooperation Programme and other institutions for assistance in the development and implementation of human rights programmes and action plans;

(h) The authorities should enhance their cooperation with United Nations agencies and international institutions, in particular UN-Habitat and OHCHR, to adopt and integrate human rights in developing indicators and benchmarks for implementing and monitoring the Millennium Development Goals.²⁰ The Government is also encouraged to work in partnership with NGOs and other civil society organizations proposing alternative development plans.

Notes

¹ Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), African Outlook - Country Study: Kenya, 2003, p. 186.

² HIC-Housing and Land Rights Committee, Report of the Habitat International Coalition (HIC), Fact Finding Mission to Kenya on The Right to Adequate Housing, 2001, p. 5.

³ Community federations and city upgrading: the work of Pamoja Trust and Muungano in Kenya, "Environment and Urbanization", vol. 16, No. 1, April 2004. It should be noted that the figures with respect to the number of inhabitants in Kibera vary significantly from one source to another.

⁴ HIC-Housing and Land Rights Committee, Report of the Habitat International Coalition (HIC), Fact Finding Mission to Kenya on The Right to Adequate Housing, 2001, p. 13.

⁵ Central Bureau of Statistics "Geographic Dimensions of Well-Being in Kenya: Where are the poor? From districts to locations", 1999.

⁶ Millennium Development Goals - Progress Report for Kenya, Government of Kenya, Ministry of Planning and National Development and United Nations, Kenya, 2003, p. 10.

⁷ The Challenge of Slums: Global Report on Human Settlements 2003, UN-Habitat.

⁸ Kenya: Grappling with the burden of expanding slums in Nairobi, IRIN NEWS, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs on www.irinnews.org.

⁹ See also the joint statement on MDGs adopted by CESCR and the special rapporteurs on economic, social and cultural rights (in E/2003/22-E/C.12/2002/13).

¹⁰ Human Rights Watch, *Double Standards: Women's Property Violations in Kenya*, vol. 15, No. 5 (A), March 2003.

¹¹ UN-Habitat, *Rights and Reality - Are women's equal rights to land, housing and property implemented in East Africa?* April 2002, pp. 159-171.

¹² Human Rights Watch, *Double Standards: Women's Property Violations in Kenya*, vol. 15, No. 5 (A), March 2003, p. 16.

¹³ Mazingira Institute, *Questionnaire on Women and Adequate Housing: The Case of Kenya*, Nairobi, November 2002, p. 9.

¹⁴ Commission on Human Rights resolution 2003/22, *Women's equal ownership, access to and control over land and the equal rights to own property and to adequate housing*, operative paragraph 5.

¹⁵ Kothari, Miloon. Study by the Special Rapporteur on adequate housing as a component of the right to an adequate standard of living, and on the right to non-discrimination, in accordance with Commission resolution 2002/49. Commission on Human Rights (Fifty-ninth session, E/CN.4/2003/55).

¹⁶ United Disabled Persons of Kenya (UDPK), *Land and Housing Rights for Persons with Disabilities (PWDs): Submission to Civic and Community Sector Forums Nairobi*, Thursday, 12 February 2004, Mazingira Institute.

¹⁷ See report from the African Regional Civil Society Consultation on Women and Adequate Housing on <http://www.ohchr.org/english/issues/housing/docs/nairobi> or on www.unhabitat.org.

¹⁸ Report of the African Commission's Working Group of Experts on Indigenous Populations/Communities, submitted in accordance with the "Resolution on the Rights of Indigenous Populations/Communities in Africa", adopted by the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights at its twenty-eighth extraordinary session, p. 8.

¹⁹ For relevant steps to be followed see the general recommendation of the Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination No. 29 on article 1 (1) regarding descent (2002).

²⁰ See also the joint statement on MDGs adopted by CESCR and the Special Rapporteurs on economic, social and cultural rights (in E/2003/22-E/C.12/2002/13) and the 2003 report of the Special Rapporteur, United Nations document E/CN.4/2003/5, paras. 51-62.

- - - - -