UNITED NATIONS



General Assembly

Distr. GENERAL

A/HRC/4/43 26 October 2006

Original: ENGLISH

HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL Fourth session Item 2 of the provisional agenda

IMPLEMENTATION OF GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION 60/251 OF 15 MARCH 2006 ENTITLED "HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL"

Note by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

The High Commissioner for Human Rights has the honour to transmit to the members of the Human Rights Council the report of the thirteenth meeting of special rapporteurs/representatives, independent experts and chairpersons of working groups of the special procedures of the Commission on Human Rights and of the advisory services programme, which took place in Geneva from 19 to 23 June 2006.

REPORT OF THE THIRTEENTH MEETING OF SPECIAL RAPPORTEURS/ REPRESENTATIVES, INDEPENDENT EXPERTS AND CHAIRPERSONS OF WORKING GROUPS OF THE SPECIAL PROCEDURES OF THE COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND OF THE ADVISORY SERVICES PROGRAMME (GENEVA, 19-23 JUNE 2006)

Rapporteur: Gay McDougall

Summary

The thirteenth annual meeting of mandate-holders was held in Geneva from 19 to 23 June 2006. Participants at the meeting elected Vitit Muntarbhorn as Chairperson and Gay McDougall as Rapporteur.

The Coordination Committee for 2006/07 will consist of Vitit Muntarbhorn (Chairperson of the thirteenth meeting), Gay McDougall (Rapporteur of the thirteenth meeting), Philip Alston (ex-officio member), Charlotte Abaka, Amada Benavides de Perez and Asma Jahangir.

Participants participated in the opening ceremony of the first session of the Human Rights Council. During the meeting they were briefed on the activities of the Coordination Committee since the twelfth meeting and discussed the role that the Committee should play in the future. Participants had before them a revised version of the Manual of the United Nations Human Rights Special Procedures. They decided that the Manual would be posted on the website for comments from all stakeholders and then revised accordingly. The new version will be presented for approval to the fourteenth annual meeting.

During the meeting, mandate-holders held meetings with representatives of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and national institutions, and a joint meeting with participants at the eighteenth meeting of persons chairing the human rights treaty bodies. Participants had an exchange with the High Commissioner, the Deputy High Commissioner and OHCHR senior managers. Mandate-holders also had an exchange with the special rapporteur on human rights defenders of the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights.

Participants discussed at length the review of mandates to be undertaken by the Human Rights Council and the role of the special procedures system vis-à-vis the universal periodic review (UPR). There was general agreement that mandate-holders, through the Coordination Committee, should be involved in related discussions. It was stressed that special procedures represented one of the greatest achievements of the Commission on Human Rights and it was necessary to ensure that the review would strengthen the system. Participants and other stakeholders also stressed the need to ensure that UPR would be based on recommendations adopted by human rights mechanisms. The Chair of the Coordination Committee had an interaction with the Human Rights Council at its first session and read a statement which had been prepared by all mandate-holders.

CONTENTS

		Paragraphs	Page
Introdu	ction	1 - 6	4
I.	ORGANIZATION OF WORK	7 - 11	4
II.	THE ACTIVITIES AND FUNCTIONS OF THE COORDINATION COMMITTEE	12 - 16	5
III.	THE SPECIAL PROCEDURES SYSTEM AND THE HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL	17 - 28	6
IV.	FOLLOW-UP TO THE ACTIVITIES OF MANDATE-HOLDERS AND COMMUNICATION STRATEGY	29 - 36	8
V.	CONSULTATIONS WITH NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS	37 - 45	9
VI.	PRESENTATION BY THE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SECRETARY-GENERAL OF CHILDREN IN ARMED CONFLICT	46 - 53	11
VII.	COOPERATION WITH THE HUMAN RIGHTS TREATY-BODIES	54 - 59	12
VIII.	MEETING WITH THE HIGH COMMISSIONER AND PRESENTATION OF THE HIGH COMMISSIONER'S STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT PLAN	60 - 68	14
Annexe	es		
I.	List of special procedures of the Commission on Human Rights a the mandate-holders invited to attend the thirteenth meeting		17
II.	Statement of the annual meeting of special procedures mandate-holders on the occasion of the establishment of the Human Rights Council		21

Introduction

- 1. Since 1994, annual meetings of special rapporteurs/representatives, experts and chairpersons of working groups of the special procedures and the advisory services programme of the Commission on Human Rights have been organized as a follow-up to the World Conference on Human Rights. The Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action underlined the importance of preserving and strengthening the system of special procedures and specified that the procedures and mechanisms should be enabled to harmonize and rationalize their work through periodic meetings (Part II, para. 95).
- 2. The thirteenth annual meeting of special procedures was held in Geneva from 19 to 23 June 2006. It had before it a provisional annotated agenda and a series of documents prepared by the Secretariat.
- 3. A list of the special procedures of the Commission on Human Rights and those attending the thirteenth annual meeting appears in annex I.
- 4. Mandate-holders participated in the opening ceremony of the first session of the Human Rights Council. During the meeting, mandate-holders held meetings with representatives of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and national institutions, and a joint meeting with participants at the eighteenth meeting of persons chairing the human rights treaty bodies. Participants further met with the High Commissioner, the Deputy High Commissioner and OHCHR senior managers. Mandate-holders also had an exchange with the Special Rapporteur on human rights defenders of the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights. The Chair of the Coordination Committee held an exchange of views with the Human Rights Council at its first session.
- 5. On the occasion of International Refugees Day, on 20 June, participants called on the international community to strengthen its support to the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Refugees and to assist post-conflict societies to guarantee respect for all human rights: civil, cultural, economic, political and social, so as to allow for a safe return of refugees to their home countries.
- 6. Participants further welcomed the entry into force of the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture.

I. ORGANIZATION OF WORK

- 7. The meeting was opened by Philip Alston, the Chairperson of the twelfth annual meeting, who emphasized the importance of the thirteenth meeting as a crossroads for the special procedures in their relationship with the new Human Rights Council.
- 8. Vitit Muntarbhorn was elected Chairperson and Gay McDougall was elected Rapporteur of the thirteenth annual meeting. It was suggested that ahead of the fourteenth annual meeting consultations be undertaken for the nomination of the Chair and Rapporteur of the meeting. The desirability of including an interaction with United Nations agencies in the programme of the fourteenth meeting was flagged.

- 9. It was agreed that the Coordination Committee for 2006/07 would consist of: Vitit Muntarbhorn (Chairperson of the thirteenth meeting), Gay McDougall (Rapporteur of the thirteenth meeting), Philip Alston (ex-officio member), Charlotte Abaka, Amada Benavides de Perez and Asma Jahangir.
- 10. The next annual meeting is scheduled to take place from 18 to 22 June 2007.
- 11. The participants adopted the present report on 23 June 2006.

II. THE ACTIVITIES AND FUNCTIONS OF THE COORDINATION COMMITTEE

- 12. The outgoing chair of the Coordination Committee briefed participants on the activities of the Committee. He reported on an exchange held by members of the Committee with the High Commissioner the previous day, during which the role of special procedures in the context of the Human Rights Council and the universal periodic review (UPR) was addressed.
- 13. Concerning the Manual of the United Nations Human Rights Special Procedures, which was adopted by the annual meeting in 1999, the Coordination Committee had worked on an initial revision and updated the Manual to reflect the evolving practice of special procedures. The Manual is intended to be a living document to be updated regularly. It is meant to provide guidance to mandate-holders. It was decided that after a preliminary discussion at the present annual meeting, mandate-holders, in a spirit of transparency, would call for comments on the draft from Governments, civil society organizations, treaty bodies' experts and all other stakeholders in a period of four months. The Committee would then go through the different comments to revise the Manual accordingly. It would also issue an explanatory memorandum on major approaches in the comments, as well as reactions to them. The new draft would be circulated a month ahead of the fourteenth meeting, which should adopt the new Manual. The new Manual would be made public for reasons of transparency. Some participants stressed that the circulation of the Manual would also serve educational purposes and would raise awareness about the role of special procedures.
- 14. The majority of participants expressed support for a stronger and more proactive role for the Coordination Committee which would facilitate the interaction between special procedures and the Human Rights Council and increase synergies between mandate-holders. It would also ensure that mandate-holders speak with one voice, which is particularly important at a time where fundamental reforms, which could have an impact on the role of special procedures, are being discussed. Some participants stressed that, while it was agreeable that there should be a mechanism of coordination, this should not lead to conformity.
- 15. There was general agreement on the following points: the outgoing chair of the Coordination Committee, or another member, should become an ex officio member of the Committee; due consideration should be given to geographical representation and gender balance in the elections of members; there should be a rotation system; the Committee should be appointed for a one-year term; in case of vacancies, these should be filled through electronic

consultations with all mandate-holders; the Committee would as appropriate seek advice and assistance from other mandate-holders; the Committee should not be a substitute for bilateral consultations between mandate-holders and other institutions; the Committee should respect the independence of mandate-holders; and the Committee should circulate updates on its activities through e-mail.

16. In terms of the functions to be performed by the Coordination Committee, several proposals were made, including: the participation of the Committee in consultations regarding the review of mandates; bringing into the discussion with the Human Rights Council the concerns of mandate-holders, including the issue of cooperation with special procedures; advocating for more resources for special procedures in the context of the reform; identifying innovative ways to raise awareness about special procedures and their work; taking action in a proactive manner to ensure that the "rationalization" of mandates does not result in an erosion of the effectiveness of the system.

III. THE SPECIAL PROCEDURES SYSTEM AND THE HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL

- 17. Participants welcomed the fact that the timing of the thirteenth annual meeting coincided with the first session of the Human Rights Council. Participants were briefed by the Secretariat on latest developments at the Council. They were informed of existing proposals concerning the extension of mandates and the consideration of reports submitted by mandate-holders at the sixty-second session of the Commission.
- 18. Under this agenda item, participants discussed the relationship of the special procedures system with the Council, including in the context of the UPR and of the review of mandates. All mandate-holders unequivocally stressed the need to ensure that a system of special procedures is maintained and strengthened. Special procedures were indicated repeatedly as a fundamental pillar of the international human rights machinery.
- 19. The need to ensure that special procedures would be central to the UPR system while at the same time maintaining their autonomy and independence was strongly underscored. In this context, participants emphasized the role that the Coordination Committee should play as an interlocutor of the special procedures in their relations with the Human Rights Council.
- 20. Participants agreed to draft a statement to be read by the Chairperson during its interaction with the Council (see annex II). In the statement, participants welcomed the establishment of the Council as a window of opportunity to enhance the promotion and protection of human rights. They also welcomed General Assembly resolution 60/251 on the Human Rights Council, calling for the maintenance of a system of special procedures and expressed the wish to be actively involved with the process of review that will take place this year.
- 21. Mandate-holders requested the Council to directly and effectively interact with the special procedures by expeditiously examining the mission reports submitted by mandate-holders; responding swiftly to early warnings of impending egregious violations; providing, during its plenary sessions, for at least a one-hour interactive dialogue with each mandate-holder; effectively involving special procedures mandates-holders in early-warning

initiatives as well as in thematic debates and emergency sessions; and encouraging its members to respond to each specific recommendation made by special procedures mandate-holders in their reports.

- 22. Mandate-holders also requested that the work of the special procedures be central (among others) to the system of universal periodic review (UPR), inter alia by ensuring that the measure of cooperation given to special procedures is a central criteria used to assess States in the spirit of cooperation and constructive dialogue called for in General Assembly resolution 60/251 on the Human Rights Council.
- 23. Mandate-holders invited the Council to encourage States to strengthen cooperation with special procedures by calling on States to: extend standing invitations; promptly provide substantive replies to special procedures' communications; promptly respond to requests for country visits; and implement the recommendations submitted after the visit.
- 24. Finally, mandate-holders requested the Human Rights Council to become an active participant in monitoring and urging State implementation of recommendations emerging from mandate-holders' mission reports and communications and to recognize the fundamental role of NGOs, regional and national human rights institutions as key partners of the Council and special procedures.
- 25. During the exchange of views with the Human Rights Council, which took place on 23 June 2006, almost all delegations reiterated that special procedures were an essential element of the human rights system and that the Council would greatly benefit from their expertise and expert advice. It was repeatedly stated by Governments' delegations as well as NGOs that the reform should be guided by the principles of impartiality, independence and expertise. A number of NGOs urged States to ensure that review would focus on strengthening the special procedures.
- 26. The crucial importance of maintaining the independence of the special procedures system was highlighted. Some delegations also stressed that special procedures, in order to function effectively, needed the cooperation of States, in particular in the context of country visits and responses to communications. In this connection members of the Council were called upon to extend open invitations to all special procedures. It was stressed that follow-up to special procedures' findings and recommendations should represent an important element of UPR. The need to ensure closer cooperation between procedures, including in the context of country visits, so as to ensure broader geographic coverage, was flagged.
- 27. A number of delegations stressed the need to ensure that the review of mandates addressed issues such as selectivity, politicization and double standards. The need to avoid duplications was also emphasized. The revised Manual was welcomed by delegations as a positive step. The need to ensure more coherence in the methods of work and to ensure independence from all stake-holders was raised by some delegations. The need to improve the appointment procedures was also raised.
- 28. Several delegations welcomed the involvement of the Coordination Committee in the discussions on the reform. It was suggested that periodic meetings between the Committee and regional groups should be held.

IV. FOLLOW-UP TO THE ACTIVITIES OF MANDATE-HOLDERS AND COMMUNICATION STRATEGY

- 29. Under this agenda item, participants addressed the issues of follow-up to their activities and of a strengthened communication strategy. Discussions were based on recommendations adopted by the twelfth annual meeting.
- The Secretariat briefed participants on efforts undertaken to improve the communication 30. strategy, including through the establishment of a Communication Section at OHCHR. Efforts to increase awareness of special procedures' activities were directly linked to the promotion of follow-up, through ensuring that special procedures' output are known and available to key players and are used as advocacy and programming tools. In this respect the Secretariat had been working on a number of initiatives. They included the preparation of country analysis and trends based on the activities of all special procedures to feed into the overall OHCHR country engagement strategy; the preparation of thematic trends and statistics for internal analysis, as well as circulation to partners in the field; the preparation and circulation among mandate-holders and OHCHR staff of weekly briefing notes on the activities of mandate-holders and monthly bulletin and statistics on communications, as well as "success stories"; the preparation of quarterly bulletins, posted on the OHCHR website and distributed to a network of partners, on the activities of mandate-holders. The Office was also working on a training module for different partners, including OHCHR staff, and United Nations partners; NGOs; professional groups with the objective to build capacities to cooperate with the special procedures system. OHCHR maintained websites for special procedures as a system and for each mandate-holder.

A. Communications strategy

- 31. Participants emphasized the need to ensure broad circulation of press releases, as well as the desirability of having interviews with United Nations radio services during country missions to make their findings widely known. It was pointed out that it would be useful to assess the extent to which the work of special procedures was covered by the media. The need to ensure that the information on the findings of visits filters down to the rights-holders was raised, as well as the need to ensure that country visit reports, as soon as available, are broadly circulated to all partners and key players at the national level. Some good practices were reported in this regard, including Governments' cooperation in translating reports into national languages and ensuring dissemination through various channels.
- 32. In order to make the website more informative, it was suggested that the information included in the internal analytical tools developed by the Secretariat be posted on the web. It was suggested that a medium-term study be undertaken by OHCHR to envisage ways to make the wealth of information produced by special procedures more easily accessible.
- 33. In order to ensure that the mandate-holders can always be reached by relevant partners it was suggested that general e-mail addresses should be created for each mandate. It was also suggested that access should be given to such accounts to mandate-holders in order to allow them to be more closely involved in the communications with partners.

B. Follow-up

- 34. When discussing "follow-up", the need for streamlined procedures for sending communications was raised by several mandate-holders. The need for a closer cooperation with United Nations country teams was pointed out as a crucial element to ensure follow-up and implementation of recommendations, as well as the need to ensure that prior to country visits key players and interlocutors are identified. One country rapporteur reported that the United Nations country team had organized a follow-up workshop on her report during which key national players discussed the recommendations and developed a plan of action based on them. The need of a system whereby mandate-holders are informed by United Nations agencies of activities to implement their recommendations was flagged.
- 35. Other possible ways to enhance the effectiveness of special procedures by promoting follow-up to their recommendations and actions were mentioned. Among them, there was a proposal to rethink the period during which communications remain confidential, especially given the changing periodicity of the Council. Making the communications known soon after the deadline for responding has expired would allow national actors to follow up on them when they are still relevant. The need to draft communications in a more effective way was also raised. Participants also stressed the need to ensure that the recommendations contained in their reports be specific, targeted and prioritized.
- 36. Participants congratulated the five mandate-holders who had worked on the report on the Guantánamo detention centre (E/CN.4/2006/120): the Chairman-Rapporteur of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, Leila Zerrougui; the Special Rapporteur on the independence of judges and lawyers, Leandro Despouy; the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, Manfred Nowak; the Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief, Asma Jahangir; and the Special Rapporteur on the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health, Paul Hunt. Participants noted with satisfaction that the report had prompted a series of reactions that led to encouraging indications about the possible closure of the detention centre. The group called upon the relevant mandate-holders to continue to contribute in every constructive way possible for the resolution of the issue.

V. CONSULTATIONS WITH NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS

- 37. Under this agenda item participants had an open discussion with representatives of the civil society on the role of the special procedures in the context of the Human Rights Council.
- 38. In their statements several NGOs stressed that the reform process and the review of mandates represented great opportunities to strengthen the special procedures system, but also great risks. All NGOs expressed their strong support to the special procedures system and to mandate-holders. They emphasized the need to work together to ensure that the review process to be undertaken by the Human Rights Council would result in a strengthened role of thematic and geographic mandates.

- 39. It was highlighted that the Human Rights Council would have to address not only each individual mandate but also the nature, structure and functioning of the system. It was argued that the Council should not embark on the review of mandates until it had established sound objective criteria on the basis of which such a review should be undertaken.
- 40. Concerning the numerous and complex issues to be addressed in the context of the review, representatives of civil society would be presenting concrete proposals to the Council and share already existing documents with mandate-holders. It was stressed that mandate-holders should also have a collective position with regard to these fundamental issues and embark in a dialogue with the Council with a view to strongly defending the fundamental principles that have successfully guided the special procedures system. In this context the role of the Coordination Committee was emphasized by many participants. Mandate-holders were in particular invited to think about ways in which they would like the Human Rights Council to consider their reports, and the type of interaction which would be desirable during the Council's sessions; the periodicity of reports; and the type of outcome of the discussions of the latter. It was reiterated that in the context of the review of mandates it was crucial to consider the issue of follow-up and implementation, in particular it had to be considered how special procedures could assist the Council in follow-up and vice versa.
- 41. The importance of ensuring the integration of special procedures' findings and recommendations into the work of the Human Rights Council was repeatedly raised. The work of special procedures should in particular not only be integrated in UPR, but also into the other activities of the Council, including when it addresses gross human rights violations or, provides emergency responses and technical cooperation. For special procedures' work to be able to feed into the work of the Human Rights Council there was, on the one hand, a need to ensure cooperation from Member States in allowing special procedures' visits and responding promptly to their communications and, on the other, a need for special procedures to adjust their methods of work so as to be able to provide regularly qualitative and quantitative assessment of cooperation on communications and on visit requests, as well as to formulate strategic and precise recommendations indicating a time frame for implementation. It was suggested that, in order to ensure that the wealth of information produced by mandate-holders is properly utilized by all stakeholders, there should be a compilation of communications submitted by special procedures by country.
- 42. A representative of a national human rights institution (NHRIs) stressed how beneficial the cooperation between special procedures and NHRIs is for both actors and provided concrete examples of such cooperation. In particular they emphasized the role that NHRIs can have in ensuring outreach during and after country visits and in providing follow-up to special procedures' recommendations.
- 43. The following issues were stressed by various representatives of the civil society as deserving specific attention from special procedures: human rights violations based on sexual orientation and gender identity; gender equality; the rights of indigenous peoples; and women in prison and children of imprisoned mothers.
- 44. Numerous mandate-holders intervened to express deep appreciation for the support received in the performance of their duties by the civil society and to thank NGOs for their partnership for human rights protection and assistance in granting access to those affected.

Mandate-holders warmly thanked representatives of the civil society for the fruitful suggestions brought forward during the debate. In response to such suggestions some mandate-holders stressed that there was a need to work together to ensure that United Nations reform and the review of mandates would result in a strengthened special procedures system. To this end the desirability of more regular consultations was flagged.

45. Mandate-holders also referred to their efforts to strengthen their methods of work and emphasized that they welcomed constructive criticism from civil society partners. Representatives of civil society were informed that mandate-holders would soon make a call for comments on their revised Manual. One mandate-holder invited NGOs to work together and in a holistic manner on issues such as the reform and the role of special procedures and civil society within the Human Rights Council. NGOs were repeatedly invited to assist mandate-holders in disseminating their reports and findings. Some mandate-holders proposed to formulate a comprehensive position paper addressing the role of special procedures within the Council and addressing all the issues mentioned by participants in this exchange.

VI. PRESENTATION BY THE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SECRETARY-GENERAL ON CHILDREN AND ARMED CONFLICT

- 46. Under this agenda item, participants were briefed by the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on children and armed conflict, Radhika Coomaraswamy, on the activities of her mandate and discussed possible avenues of cooperation and mutual support.
- 47. The Special Representative reported that the mandate was created in 1997 by the General Assembly to look at the impact of armed conflict on children. The mandate reports to the Human Rights Council, the General Assembly and the Security Council. The Special Representative reported that, according to available statistics, some 300,000 minors were being exploited as child soldiers in 30 areas of conflict around the world, while 10 per cent of world armed forces continued to be children. The International Criminal Court (ICC) Statute provides for the prosecution for acts of forced recruitment and the Special Representative's Office is cooperating with ICC on a number of prosecutions.
- 48. The Special Representative referred to Security Council resolution 1539 (2004) listing grave violations against children during armed conflicts: the recruitment and use of child soldiers by parties to armed conflicts; killing and maiming of children; rape and other sexual violence mostly committed against girls; abduction and forced displacement; denial of humanitarian access to children; attacks against schools and hospitals; and trafficking, forced labour and all forms of slavery. The resolution also provides for the Secretary-General's report to contain two lists, those of parties to conflict engaged in recruitment of children that are in the Security Council agenda and those that are not. The resolution also provides for the possibility to move towards punitive actions, including targeted sanctions, if these parties do not conform.
- 49. Security Council resolution 1612 (2005) further set up a monitoring and reporting mechanism. In each of the countries where parties are listed, there is a task force chaired by UNICEF or OHCHR collecting information on violations against children in the context of armed conflicts. It includes also NGOs and NHRIs. The information can then be channelled

through the Office of the Special Representative to the Secretary-General and through him to the Security Council. A Security Council's working group meets every two months to look at the reports from the Office of the Special Representative. The Working Group can suggest punitive action against non-complying parties to the Security Council. The need to ensure that due process be guaranteed in the context of the procedure was stressed.

- 50. The Special Representative invited mandate-holders to assist in the described monitoring and reporting process, especially during country visits, in terms both of information sharing and advocacy.
- 51. Mandate-holders welcomed the briefing by the Special Representative and plead for close cooperation with her. They stressed the importance of ensuring coordination and mutual assistance. In this context it was suggested that a mechanism be established to consistently alert the Special Representative's Office when mandate-holders undertake visits to countries where there are listed parties. The desirability of looking at the monitoring mechanisms adopted by the Security Council, as a concept to be possibly replicated in other areas, was also flagged.
- 52. The special rapporteur on human rights defenders of the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, Reine Alpini Gansou, also addressed the meeting. In her presentation she stressed the importance of her close cooperation with the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on human rights defenders. She referred to the fact that the African Commission has currently five rapporteurs: detention in prisons; freedom of expression; women's rights; refugees and IDPs; and human rights defenders. Her mandate was tasked with seeking, receiving, examining and acting upon information on the situation of human rights defenders in Africa; submitting reports at every ordinary session of the African Commission; cooperating and engaging in dialogue with Member States, national human rights institutions, relevant intergovernmental bodies, international and regional mechanisms for protection of human rights defenders, human rights defenders and other stakeholders; developing and recommending effective strategies to better protect human rights defenders; raising awareness and promoting the implementation in Africa of the United Nations Declaration on Human Rights Defenders.
- 53. Mandate-holders warmly welcomed the presence of the special rapporteur of the African Commission and flagged the idea of establishing networks of regional mechanisms working on similar or related issues and strengthening cooperation and coordination with them. The possibility of considering the participation of such partners in future annual meetings, as well as of establishing institutional links to ensure joint activities were also mentioned.

VII. COOPERATION WITH THE HUMAN RIGHTS TREATY BODIES

- 54. Under this agenda item, participants had an opportunity to meet with the Chairpersons of treaty bodies and to exchange views on the current reform system.
- 55. Representatives of both special procedures and treaty bodies expressed satisfaction about the existing level of mutual cooperation and coordination. Specific examples of cooperation with regard to exchange of information on specific countries, as well as concerning the

formulation of general comments/recommendations by treaty bodies were reported. The importance of building on each other's recommendations was stressed by many participants. The possibility for special procedures mandate-holders of submitting written information and proposing issues for consideration to treaty bodies prior to their sessions was flagged by one mandate-holder.

- 56. Participants emphasized how the creation of the Human Rights Council called for even increased complementarity of the work of the two mechanisms. The need to ensure increased personal interaction was stressed, including by providing for relevant mandate-holders to address the treaty bodies directly on the occasion of the review of reports of States in which they have a specific interest. The role of the Secretariat in ensuring exchange of information between treaty bodies and special procedures was highlighted. In this regard, it was suggested that a compilation of good practices be prepared annually.
- 57. Concerning the proposal for a unified standing treaty body, some participants expressed concerns that this might dilute the specificities of the work of the individual committees. However, other participants saw the creation of a unified standing treaty body as a positive step towards the creation of a world court of human rights. Other participants expressed the view that, while continuing to take measures to harmonize and streamline treaty bodies' procedures, such as the common guidelines for the submission of reports, there was a need to maintain substantive specificities. One proposal in this sense was to have a unified standing body to deal with individual complaints, so as to reduce delays in consideration of complaints and ensure a consistent jurisprudence, while maintaining the existing committees to review reports and to undertake inquiries.
- 58. It was repeatedly stressed that one of the main shortcomings of both the special procedures and the treaty bodies' systems was the lack of follow-up to their findings and recommendations, especially by the main political bodies of the United Nations. The Human Rights Council was seen as a window of opportunity to remedy this shortcoming. In this connection several participants stressed that the Universal Periodic Review should be understood not as States assessing the human rights situation in other States, but rather as States assessing the degree to which other States implement the decisions and recommendations of independent expert bodies. The Council should count on existing human rights mechanisms to perform fact-finding and normative assessment functions, while focusing on implementation. This would address the issues of possible duplication of UPR with the work of treaty bodies and also prevent UPR from becoming an appeals body for those States whose compliance with human rights treaties has already been reviewed by relevant treaty bodies. The role of the High Commissioner in promoting UPR as a forum for follow-up was emphasized.
- 59. It was recommended that a joint letter be prepared calling upon the Human Rights Council to ensure that UPR has as at least one of its core sources of information the findings and recommendations of treaty bodies and special procedures, and to develop a review system that strengthens the implementation of those recommendations.

VIII. MEETING WITH THE HIGH COMMISSIONER AND PRESENTATION OF THE HIGH COMMISSIONER'S STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT PLAN

A. Exchange with the High Commissioner for Human Rights

- 60. In her opening remarks, the High Commissioner expressed her appreciation and admiration for the courage and achievements of special procedures. The High Commissioner stressed that, while in the past couple of years the main focus had been on the establishment of the Human Rights Council and on devising a strategy for her Office, "this would be the year of special procedures" and during the year to come the focus would switch to them. The High Commissioner stressed that all efforts would be made to ensure that the necessary resources would be made available to special procedures.
- 61. In the context of the current reform, the High Commissioner stressed that special procedures had her full support. She called on mandate-holders to collectively make the case not just for the preservation, but also for the improvement, of the special procedures system. There was a widespread view that the system of special procedures needed to be strengthened to ensure more coherent and consistent working methods. Mandate-holders needed to respond to these and other general concerns. In this connection, the High Commissioner greeted as a positive and timely development the establishment of the Coordination Committee. There was in fact a need for mandate-holders to work cooperatively and to speak with a collective voice on institutional issues. The High Commissioner encouraged mandate-holders to be fully involved in the process of review so as to anticipate possible challenges and be able to provide sophisticated and well analysed responses to them.
- 62. Mandate-holders warmly thanked the High Commissioner for her presence and words of support and complimented her work. Questions were raised concerning: potential areas of cooperation between special procedures and OHCHR and the extent to which special procedures can be involved in the initiatives of the High Commissioner; the desirability that the entire Office provide substantive and intellectual support to special procedures on specific thematic issues; the need to ensure an equal level of support and opportunities of cooperation to all mandate-holders; the desirability of special procedures and OHCHR devising joint strategies on specific situations; the need to take into account special procedures' views in the formulation of country engagement strategies and programmes. It was also pointed out that often coherence depends on outside factors such as the procedure to appoint mandate-holders and the resources available to them. The support of the High Commissioner on these and similar issues was encouraged.
- 63. The High Commissioner reiterated that she cheered the institutional independence and personal liberty of special procedures, as well as that of her Office, and stressed the need to preserve the prerogative for both of them to use their professional judgement in all situations. On these premises, the High Commissioner stressed that there was scope for mutual support and cooperation in many areas. To this end, there was a need to ensure the existence of an established system of communication between special procedures and OHCHR at large. The High Commissioner referred to current efforts to strengthen the thematic expertise of the Office,

which would also support the work of special procedures. The High Commissioner further stressed that UPR should be embraced and efforts not be spared to make it a very meaningful addition to the system of promotion and protection of human rights. The High Commissioner said she was committed to enhance the visibility and impact of the work of special procedures.

B. Exchange with the Deputy High Commissioner and senior officials of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

- 64. Participants had an opportunity to meet with OHCHR senior managers. During this session mandate-holders were provided with an opportunity to ask questions and raise issues with: the Deputy High Commissioner, Mehr-Khan Williams; the Chief of the Treaties and Commission Branch, Bacre Ndiaye; the Chief of the Special Procedures Branch, Soussan Raadi-Azarakhchi; the Chief of the Capacity-Building and Field Operations Branch, Fabrizio Hochshild; the Chief of the Research and Right to Development Branch Ibrahim Wani; the Chief of the Communication Section, Susan Curran; and the Chief of the Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation Unit, Michael Fruhling.
- 65. Among the issues raised by mandate-holders were: explanations on the rationale for grouping all thematic mandates under one branch; the need to ensure that support to mandates be even; the desirability of looking at ways in which OHCHR could give follow-up to special procedures' recommendations and findings; the need to strengthen the communication capacity of OHCHR and its media outreach to raise the visibility of special procedures' findings and make sure that reports do not "sink without trace"; the need to ensure that the special procedures' individual web pages are more personalized and the information in it more easily accessible; the role of field presences in raising awareness and disseminating special procedures' findings and recommendations at the national level, as well as in providing advice to special procedures on countries to prioritize; the importance of the translation of reports into local languages; and the need for intellectual leadership of the Office.
- 66. The Deputy High Commissioner emphasized the need for better communications between mandate-holders and OHCHR, so as to increase the understanding of each other's capacities and create an environment conducive to strengthened cooperation. The High Commissioner's Strategic Management Plan was a result-based, two-year plan which addressed many of the issues raised by special procedures.
- 67. Recently, a Communication Section had been created at OHCHR and more human resources would be devoted to it to strengthen its capacity. Consultations would be undertaken by the Communication Section with mandate-holders through the Coordination Committee to envisage ways to respond to the need of ensuring better outreach and dissemination of their work, as well as understanding of their role and functions. Efforts to strengthen the website were under way, but for the time being, OHCHR had a limited capacity in this regard.
- 68. It was stressed that the transfer of all thematic procedures to the Special Procedures Branch would ensure even and coherent support to all procedures and cross-fertilization between different mandates. Efforts were under way to ensure the same level of human resources to all

A/HRC/4/43 page 16

mandates, although the recruitment process had been slow. OHCHR was also expanding its geographic desks and field presences, especially regional ones. This would ensure better cooperation in the preparation and conduct of, and follow-up to, special procedures' country visits. The country engagement process would ensure the dissemination of special procedures' recommendations and findings and would facilitate their implementation. Furthermore, technical substantive interaction would be organized between mandate-holders and substantive staff of the Office to identify thematic areas where the Office could be of support to special procedures.

ANNEXES

Annex I

LIST OF SPECIAL PROCEDURES OF THE COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE MANDATE-HOLDERS INVITED TO ATTEND THE THIRTEENTH MEETING

I. Thematic mandates

1.	Working Group on Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances	Member, Mr. S. Corcuera*
2.	Working Group on Arbitrary Detention	Chairperson-Rapporteur Ms. L. Zerrougui*
3.	Working Group on Mercenaries	Chairperson-Rapporteur Ms. A. Benavides de Pérez*
4.	Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions	Mr. P. Alston*
5.	Special Rapporteur on the independence of judges and lawyers	Mr. L. Despouy*
6.	Special Rapporteur on the question of torture	Mr. M. Nowak*
7.	Representative of the Secretary-General on the human rights of internally displaced persons	Mr. W. Kälin
8.	Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief	Ms. A. Jahangir*
9.	Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression	Mr. A. Ligabo*
10.	Special Rapporteur on contemporary forms of racism, racial discrimination, xenophobia and related intolerance	Mr. D. Diène*

^{*} Attended the meeting.

A/HRC/4/43 page 18

11.	Special Rapporteur on the sale of children, child prostitution and child pornography	Mr. J.M. Petit*
12.	Special Rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and consequences	Ms. Y. Ertürk*
13.	Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Children and Armed Conflict	Ms. R. Coomaraswamy*
14.	Special Representative of the Secretary-General on the situation of human rights defenders	Ms. H. Jilani*
15.	Special Rapporteur on the adverse effects of the illicit movement and dumping of toxic and dangerous products and wastes	Mr. O. Ibeanu*
16.	Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants	Mr. J. Bustamante*
17.	Independent expert on the effects of economic reform policies and foreign debt	Mr. B.A. Nyamwaya Mudho*
18.	Special Rapporteur on the right to education	Mr. V. Muñoz*
19.	Special Rapporteur on adequate housing as a component of the right to an adequate standard of living	Mr. M. Kothari*
20.	Special Rapporteur on the right to food	Mr. J. Ziegler*
21.	Independent expert on minority issues	Ms. G. McDougall*
22.	Special Adviser of the Secretary-General on the Prevention of Genocide	Mr. J. Méndez
23.	Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights and fundamental freedoms of indigenous people	Mr. R. Stavenhagen*
24.	Independent expert on the question of human rights and extreme poverty	Mr. A. Sengupta

25.	Special Rapporteur on the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health	Mr. P. Hunt*			
26.	Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent	Chairperson-Rapporteur: Mr. P. Kasanda*			
27.	Special Rapporteur on trafficking in persons, especially women and children	Ms. S. Huda*			
28.	Special Rapporteur on terrorism and human rights	Mr. M. Scheinin*			
29.	Independent expert on human rights and international solidarity	Mr. R. Rizki			
	II. Country mandates				
1.	Personal Representative of the High Commissioner for Human Rights on the situation on human rights in Cuba	Ms. C. Chanet			
2.	Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in Myanmar	Mr. P.S. Pinheiro*			
3.	Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Palestinian territories occupied since 1967	Mr. J. Dugard*			
4.	Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in the Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mr. T. F. Pacéré			
5.	Independent expert on the situation of human rights in Burundi	Mr. A. Okola*			
6.	Special Representative of the Secretary-General for human rights in Cambodia	Mr. Y. Ghai			
7.	Independent expert on the situation of human rights in Somalia	Mr. G. Alnajjar*			
8.	Independent expert on the situation of human rights in Haiti	Mr. L. Joinet*			

A/HRC/4/43

page 20

9. Independent expert on technical Ms. C. Abaka* cooperation and advisory services in Liberia Independent expert on the situation of 10. Ms. S. Samar* human rights in the Sudan Special Rapporteur on the situation of 11. Mr. V. Muntarbhorn* human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea Special Rapporteur on the situation of 12. Mr. A. Severin* human rights in Belarus 13. Independent expert on the situation of Ms. M. Picard* human rights in Uzbekistan

Annex II

STATEMENT OF THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SPECIAL PROCEDURES MANDATE-HOLDERS ON THE OCCASION OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL

We, the mandate-holders of the special procedures, welcome the establishment of the Human Rights Council. We see the establishment of this new institution as a window of opportunity to reinvigorate efforts to promote and protect the global human rights system. We look forward to contributing actively to this collective effort.

As the Secretary-General recalled in his statement to the first session of the Human Rights Council, "these mechanisms - most of which take the form of individual people, chosen for their expertise and serving without pay - constitute the frontline troops to whom we look to protect human rights, and to give us early warning of violations. By raising the alarm and then investigating, they keep the spotlight of world attention focused on many of our most pressing human rights dilemmas". Special procedures "give a voice to the voiceless victims of abuses, and their reports provide a starting point for discussion on the concrete measures that Governments need to take to put a stop to violations, and to ensure that human rights are protected in future".

Over the years, that voice has brought credibility and integrity to the human rights machinery, providing daily protection to victims of human rights violations in all parts of the world and working with Member States to make human rights protection more effective.

The mechanism of special procedures - which encompasses Special Rapporteurs; Special Representatives of the Secretary-General; Representatives of the Secretary-General; Representatives of the Commission on Human Rights; independent experts; and Working Groups - was created by the United Nations human rights system to bring objectivity, expertise and credibility to the process of monitoring human rights protection.

Their independence from external political or other influence is the crucial element which enables them to fulfil their functions with true impartiality. To retain this unique quality, it is important that potential mandate-holders are in no way perceived to be partisan. Therefore it is required that independent human rights experts appointed as these special procedures are not in decision-making positions within the executive or legislative branches of their Governments. Special procedures include thematic mandates which investigate the situation of human rights in all parts of the world. Other mandates deal with the overall human rights situations in a specific country. This requires them to take the measures necessary to monitor and respond quickly to allegations of human rights violations against individuals or groups, either globally or in a specific country or territory, and to report on their activities to the United Nations.

We note that cooperation with special procedures was a factor taken into account in the elections of the present States Members of the Human Rights Council. We encourage the Council to further strengthen this approach by calling on its current and future members to extend full cooperation to special procedures, including by extending standing invitations to visit the country. We welcome the ongoing collaboration and constructive dialogue between special procedures and current members of the Council, 17 of which have extended standing invitations

to all special procedures. We hope that the remaining States Members of the Council will consider doing so. We strongly urge that all those countries that have failed altogether to respond to requests for visits, including those which have extended standing invitations, should do so as a matter of priority.

We urge that political commitment now be transformed into substantive action. For our part, we have collectively and individually resolved to take up the challenge of ensuring that the work of the special procedures is even more effective and that values of human rights reach every corner of the world. In this context, we strongly urge the Council to play its lead role and provide the maximum space for all human rights mechanisms to flourish in an enabling system.

We welcome the General Assembly resolution 60/251 on the Human Rights Council, which called for the maintenance of a system of special procedures and we wish to be actively involved with the process of review, called for by the resolution, that will take place this year. This should lead, inter alia, to the strengthening of the Special Procedures system and to a greater synergy between the latter and the rest of the United Nations system for the protection of human rights.

Any review should start with a full conceptualization of what role the special procedures should play as independent experts advising and supporting the new Human Rights Council, above all in the universal periodic review, to "address situations of violations of human rights, including gross and systematic violations, and make recommendations thereon" and to "respond promptly to human rights emergencies" (General Assembly resolution 60/251, paras. 3 and 5 (f)). Only then should a review of the present mandates be undertaken, which could cover some of the following issues: selection process designed to ensure independence of action, complementarity and gaps, capacity to fulfil the mandate and related resources, enhancement of follow-up mechanisms and emerging new issues in human rights.

Through consultations over the past year both at our annual meeting and during the informal consultations held in Geneva in October 2005 we have committed ourselves to enhance and strengthen our methods of work in order to carry out our mandates in the most effective way.

We are committed to continue enhancing our working methods in an open and transparent manner. We have two concrete examples illustrating this commitment: we established a Coordination Committee of special procedures last year at our annual meeting, and we updated the Manual on our working methods. We will post the current draft on the Internet and invite comments from all our partners before its finalization and adoption. Both these initiatives can facilitate the involvement and active participation of special procedures in defining the designs for their reinforcement and in the process of review of mandates that follows, as well as in the universal periodic review.

At this moment of change, challenges and hope within the international human rights community, we call upon the Council to recognize the essential role of the special procedures in strengthening human rights protection by taking the following steps:

- 1. Recognize the special procedures system as an indispensable mechanism for the promotion and protection of human rights;
- 2. Provide an opportunity to special procedures to directly and effectively interact with the Council by:
- (a) Expeditiously acknowledging and responding to the mission reports submitted by mandate-holders;
- (b) Responding swiftly to early warnings of impending egregious violations and systematically involving special procedures mandate-holders in the Council's early-warning initiatives as well as in thematic debates and emergency sessions;
- (c) Providing, during its plenary sessions, for at least one-hour interactive dialogues with each mandate-holder; and
- (d) Encouraging its members to respond to each specific recommendations made by special procedures mandate-holders in their reports;
- 3. Make the work of the special procedures central to the system of universal periodic review, which will be undertaken by the Human Rights Council, by:
- (a) Focusing the universal periodic review on assessing States' effective implementation of the findings and recommendations of independent expert bodies, rather than duplicating their efforts;
- (b) Making the findings and recommendations of the special procedures and treaty bodies (monitoring committees established by human rights treaties) an essential element of the universal periodic review;
- (c) Ensuring that the measure of cooperation given to special procedures is a central criterion used to assess States in the spirit of cooperation and constructive dialogue called for in General Assembly resolution 60/251 on the Human Rights Council; and
- (d) Involving effectively special procedures mandate-holders in the process of universal periodic review.
- 4. Encourage States to strengthen cooperation with special procedures by calling on States to extend invitations to special procedures; to promptly provide substantive replies to their communications; to promptly respond to requests for country visits, facilitating access to victims, rights-holders and non-governmental organizations; and to implement the recommendations submitted after the visit.
- 5. Become an active participant in urging States' implementation of recommendations emerging from mandate-holders' mission reports and communications, including enhancement of follow-up mechanisms.

- 6. Ensure the active participation of special procedures in the review of mechanisms through the participation of the Coordination Committee which serves as the contact group with the mandate-holders on issues of collective nature and should be associated *ab initio* and with a consultative status to all reforms undertaken.
- 7. Request the Secretary General, in cooperation with the High Commissioner for Human Rights, to provide special procedures with human, material and financial resources that will enable them to respond actively to the challenges and expectations that arise in the context of the reform process.
- 8. Recognize the fundamental role of NGOs, regional and national human rights institutions as key partners of the Council and special procedures. We urge that civil society access and participation is maintained and enhanced as an indispensable element of the Council's effectiveness.

We believe that all of these elements are essential for States to fulfil the great expectations they have raised by creating this new body. They are now expected to take advantage of the pool of experience and knowledge that existed in the former Commission on Human Rights and at the same time shedding the approach which often undermined the Commission's work. Special procedures stand ready to take up the challenge to respond to these increased expectations for the benefit of all, in particular the victims of human rights violations, in all parts of the world who continue to look upon them for effective protection of their rights.
