Distr.: General 13 August 2018 Arabic

Original: English



# مجلس حقوق الإنسان

الدورة التاسعة والثلاثون

۲۰۱۸ أيلول/سبتمبر ۲۰۱۸

البندان ٢ و٥ من جدول الأعمال

التقرير السنوي لمفوض الأمم المتحدة السامي لحقوق الإنسان

وتقارير المفوضية السامية والأمين العام

الهيئات والآليات المعنية بحقوق الإنسان

التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة وممثليها وآلياتها في ميدان حقوق الإنسان\* تقرير الأمين العام

موجز

يُقدَّم هذا التقرير عملاً بقرار مجلس حقوق الإنسان ٢/١٢. ويسلِّط الأمين العام الضوء على التطورات الأخيرة على نطاق منظومة الأمم المتحدة وخارج نطاقها من أجل التصدي لأعمال الترهيب والانتقام في حق من يسعون إلى التعاون أو تعاونوا مع الأمم المتحدة وممثليها وآلياتها في ميدان حقوق الإنسان. ويعرض التقرير الأنشطة التي يضطلع بها الأمين العام المساعد لحقوق الإنسان بوصفه المسؤول السامي الذي يقود جهود الأمم المتحدة في هذا المجال. ويتضمن التقرير أيضاً معلومات عن أعمال الترهيب والانتقام المزعومة، بما في ذلك في إطار متابعة الحالات المسموح به، يرد المزيد من المعلومات عن حالات مختارة في المرفق الأول. وترد المعلومات بشأن متابعة الحالات الواردة في التقارير السابقة في المرفق الثاني. ويختم التقرير وجوز عن التوجهات والتوصيات في مجال التصدى لأعمال الترهيب والانتقام ومنعها.





استنسخت مرفقات هذا التقرير كما وردت.

# المحتويات

الصفحة				
٣		مقدمة	أولاً –	
٣	حدثت في التصدي لأعمال الترهيب والانتقام	التطورات التي -	ثانياً –	
٦	لسياسة العامة وأفضل الممارسات	معلومات عن ال	ثالثاً –	
٧	إلى الأمم المتحدة وممثليها وآلياتما في ميدان حقوق الإنسان	ضمان الوصول	رابعاً -	
	دة عن حالات الترهيب والانتقام بسبب التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة وممثليها وآلياتها في	المعلومات الوارد	خامساً -	
٨	لإنسانلإنسان	ميدان حقوق الإ		
٨	عامعام	ألف – تعليق		
٩	ں القضایا	باء – ملخص		
١٨	صيات	استنتاجات وتو،	سادساً –	
1	nnexes			Page
	I. Comprehensive information on alleged cases of reprisals and intimidation for cooperation with the United Nations on human rights			
	I. Information on alleged cases included in follow-up to previous reports			44

# أولاً مقدمة

1- أعرب مجلس حقوق الإنسان، في قراره ٢/١٢، عن قلقه إزاء استمرار ورود تقارير عن أعمال ترهيب وانتقام ضد أفراد ومجموعات يسعون إلى التعاون أو تعاونوا مع الأمم المتحدة وممثليها وآلياتها في ميدان حقوق الإنسان، وأعرب عن قلق بالغ إزاء خطورة الأعمال المبلغ عنها. ودان المجلس كذلك جميع أعمال الترهيب والانتقام التي ترتكبها حكومات وجهات فاعلة من غير الدول، ودعاني إلى أن أقدم إلى المجلس، في دورته الرابعة عشرة وكل عام بعد ذلك، تقريراً يتضمن تجميعاً وتحليلاً لأي معلومات قد تُتاح من جميع المصادر المناسبة عن أعمال الانتقام المزعومة فضلاً عن تقديم توصيات بشأن كيفية معالجة هذه المسألة. وهذا تاسع تقرير يُعدُّ عملاً بالقرار ٢/١٢(١).

# ثانياً التطورات التي حدثت في التصدي لأعمال الترهيب والانتقام

٧- ما زال التصدي لأعمال الترهيب والانتقام التي تستهدف المتعاونين مع الأمم المتحدة في ميدان حقوق الإنسان من الأولويات والمسؤوليات الأساسية للمنظمة ككل. وما فتئت أتلقى خلال الفترة المشمولة بالتقرير تقارير عن اتجاهات مُفزعة في أساليب الانتقام وأشكال الثأر بسبب التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة في السابق وكذا أعمال الترهيب التي ترمي إلى منع المشاركة أو التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة مستقبلاً.

7- لقد نُوقشت أعمال الترهيب والانتقام في الجمعية العامة والمجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي، ومجلس حقوق الإنسان ومجلس الأمن وفيما يتصل بالتعاون مع هذه الهيئات. وفي إطار منظومة الأمم المتحدة، بما في ذلك الأمانة العامة ومكاتبها الميدانية وبعثات السلام التابعة لها، وفي برنامج الأمم المتحدة الإنمائي (البرنامج الإنمائي)، ومنظمة العمل الدولية، ومؤتمر الدول الأطراف في اتفاقية الأمم المتحدة لمكافحة الفساد، والبنك الدولي، وصندوق النقد الدولي، واصلت جهات فاعلة متعددة معالجة القضايا مع الحكومات المعنية وقامت بمبادرات للتوعية بخطورة هذه المسألة.

3- ففي ٢٠ كانون الأول/ديسمبر ٢٠١٧ اعتمدت الجمعية العامة، في دورتما الثانية والسبعين بتوافق الآراء القرار ٢٤٧/٧٢ إحياءً للذكرى السنوية العشرين للإعلان المتعلق بحق ومسؤولية الأفراد والجماعات وهيئات المجتمع في تعزيز وحماية حقوق الإنسان والحريات الأساسية المعترف بها عالمياً، وتعزيز ذلك الإعلان. وقد دانت الجمعية العامة جميع أعمال الترهيب والانتقام التي تنفذها الجهات التابعة للدول والجهات الفاعلة من غير الدول، بما في ذلك ضد المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان والممثلين القانونيين وشركائهم وأفراد أسرهم، ودعت بقوة جميع الدول إلى إعمال حق كل شخص، منفرداً أو بالاشتراك مع آخرين، في الوصول إلى الأمم المتحدة والتواصل معها دون عوائق. وأعربت عن بالغ القلق إزاء "الأعداد الكبيرة والمتزايدة من الادعاءات والبلاغات ذات الطابع الخطير التي تتلقاها الإجراءات الخاصة" فيما يتعلق بأعمال الترهيب والانتقام.

<sup>(</sup>۱) انظـر A/HRC/14/19، وA/HRC/24/29، وA/HRC/24/29 والتعــديل ۱، وA/HRC/27/38 والتعــديل ۱، وA/HRC/27/38 و (۱) (۱) مراجد (۱) A/HRC/33/19، وA/HRC/30/29، وA/HRC/30/29، وA/HRC/30/29

٥- وفي ٢٩ أيلول/سبتمبر ٢٠١٧، اعتمد مجلس حقوق الإنسان، في دورته السادسة والثلاثين، القرار ٢١/٣٦ بشأن "التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة وممثليها وآلياتها في مجال حقوق الإنسان" الذي أكد فيه من جديد "حق كل فرد، بمفرده وبالاشتراك مع غيره، في الوصول إلى ... الأمم المتحدة، وممثليها وآلياتها والاتصال بهم بدون عائق". وفي ذلك القرار، حث المجلس جميع الدول على منع جميع أعمال الترهيب أو الانتقام والامتناع عنها، وأن تتخذ التدابير اللازمة لضمان المساءلة عن الأعمال الانتقامية. وأشار إلى تعيين الأمين العام المساعد لحقوق الإنسان، وقرر أن يُتبع عرضَ هذا التقرير في الدورة التاسعة والثلاثين بجلسة تحاور. وقد أدلت الدول والمنظمات غير الحكومية أيضاً ببيانات بشأن مسألة الأعمال الانتقامية في الدورات السادسة والثلاثين والسابعة والثلاثين والثامنة والثلاثين للمجلس.

7- وخلال الفترة المشمولة بالتقرير، استخدم الرؤساء الذين تعاقبوا على مجلس حقوق الإنسان مساعيهم الحميدة من خلال عقد اجتماعات ثنائية أو كتابةً في عامي ٢٠١٧ و ١٠١٨ لمعالجة ثماني حالات من الأعمال الانتقامية المتصلة بالمشاركة في دورات المجلس. وشملت تلك الحالات حظر السفر والاحتجاز ومصادرة جواز السفر والاعتقال والاستجواب والسجن في البلد الأصلي بعد المشاركة في دورات المجلس والتعرض للترهيب على يد ممثلي الدولة خلال أنشطة المجلس الجانبية.

٧- وتناول المفوض السامي لحقوق الإنسان مسألة الأعمال الانتقامية التي يمارسها مسؤولو الدولة في مناسبات عديدة، وبلهجة قوية، لا سيما في بيانه الافتتاحي أمام الدورة السادسة والثلاثين لمجلس حقوق الإنسان في ١١ أيلول/سبتمبر ٢٠١٧، وفي ١٨ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٨ أمام الدورة الثامنة والثلاثين للمجلس (٣). ووجّه في مناسبات عديدة الانتباه إلى السياسات والتشريعات الجديدة والمتغيرة التي ترمي إلى تقييد حركة المجتمع المدني، بما في ذلك تلك التي تضع بصورة انتقائية العراقيل في طريق جهود الدعوة الدولية التي تقوم بما منظمات غير حكومية بشأن قضايا معينة. وتشمل العراقيل التي من شأنها أن تقيد أو تمنع التمويل الأجنبي الذي تستخدمه المنظمات لتقديم البحوث والسفر لحضور اجتماعات الأمم المتحدة.

٨- وقد واصل الأمين العام المساعد لحقوق الإنسان، وهو المسؤول السامي المعين لقيادة الجهود المبذولة على نطاق منظومة الأمم المتحدة من أجل التصدي لأعمال الترهيب والانتقام، عملَه الرفيع المستوى مع الدول، لا سيما بشأن الأنماط والحالات العاجلة، وكانت له أنشطة للتشاور مباشرة مع الضحايا ومنظمات المجتمع المدني عن عمل الأمم المتحدة في التصدي للأعمال الانتقامية. وعلاوة على الاجتماعات في نيويورك وجنيف، عمل الأمين العام المساعد مع مشاركين من جميع أنحاء العالم في منتدى دبلن للمدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان الذي عقد عام ٢٠١٧ واستضافته المنظمة الدولية لحماية المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان (٤)، ومع مدافعين من ٢٠١٠ ولمنظمة الأمم المتحدة في بانكوك نظمتها مفوضية الأمم المتحدة من ٢٠١٠ بلداً آسيوياً في مشاورة إقليمية عقدت في بانكوك نظمتها مفوضية الأمم المتحدة

www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=22041 متاح على الموقع (٢)

<sup>(</sup>٣) متاح على الموقع

<sup>.</sup> www. ohchr. org/EN/News Events/Pages/DisplayNews. aspx? News ID = 23206 & Lang ID = End of the control of t

السامية لحقوق الإنسان في أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨ (٥) وفي منتدى أمن المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان لوسط آسيا الذي عقد في بيشكيك (٦).

9- وتطرق الأمين العام المساعد إلى أوضاع محددة وحالات فردية مع الدول الأعضاء في منتديات حكومية دولية وعن طريق الدبلوماسية الهادئة مع الحكومات المعنية، بما في ذلك مع الممثلين الدائمين لدى الأمم المتحدة وأثناء البعثات الميدانية. وعمل مع رئيس مجلس حقوق الإنسان، ورئيس اللجنة المعنية بالمنظمات غير الحكومية، والمكلفين بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة، بما في ذلك لجنة التنسيق بين هيئات المعاهدات، والممثلون الخاصون للأمين العام والمنسقون المقيمون، وممثلو البنك الدولي، ورؤساء البعثات الميدانية لحقوق الإنسان ومراكز التنسيق في مختلف منظمات الأمم المتحدة في المقر وفي الميدان. وعمل أيضاً مع التحالف العالمي للمؤسسات الوطنية لحقوق الإنسان على موضوع استهداف المؤسسات الوطنية لحقوق الإنسان على موضوع المتعداف المؤسسات الوطنية المؤسسات الوطنية حقوق الإنسان على موضوع المتعداف المؤسسات الوطنية المؤسسات الوطنية قديداً فيما يتعلق بالعمل مع الأمم المتحدة.

• ١٠ وفي ١٨ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٨، خلال الدورة السابعة عشرة للمنتدى الدائم المعني بقضايا الشعوب الأصلية، أكد الأمين العام المساعد الانتشار الواسع لأعمال الترهيب والانتقام الموجهة للشعوب الأصلية، بمن فيهم الأشخاص الذين يتعاونون مع الأمم المتحدة (٧). وطلب المنتدى الدائم إلى الأمين العام، عن طريق الأمين العام المساعد وبالتشاور مع آليات الأمم المتحدة الأخرى ذات الصلة، تقديم تقرير إلى المنتدى في دورته الثامنة عشرة في ١٩٠١ عن الاتجاهات المتصلة بأعمال الترهيب والانتقام التي ترتكب في حق السكان الأصليين الذين يسعون إلى المتعاون مع الأمم المتحدة (انظر ٤/١٤/١٤/١٤/١٤/١٤)، الفقرة ١٤).

11- وفي التقرير عن الاجتماع السنوي الرابع والعشرين للمقررين/الممثلين الخاصين والخبراء المستقلين ورؤساء الأفرقة العاملة في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة لمجلس حقوق الإنسان، أشار المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة إلى التدابير المختلفة المتخذة للرد على أعمال الترهيب والانتقام، التي لوحظ أنها باتت تكتسي طابعاً يزداد حدة. وشددوا أيضاً على الحاجة إلى تحليل الاتجاهات وإجراء تقييم شامل، وإلى تعزيز التنسيق مع الجهات الأخرى في منظومة الأمم المتحدة، بما في ذلك الأمين العام المساعد (انظر 7/37/37)، الفقرتان 71-77)(^).

11- وفي بيان صدر في 1 حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٨ احتفالاً بالذكرى السنوية العشرين للإعلان المتعلق بالمدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان، أكد الخبراء مجدداً أنه ينبغي أن يتمكن جميع الأفراد من التعامل مع هيئات المعاهدات بعيداً عن جميع أشكال التدخل والترهيب والاعتداء والتهديد والعنف والانتقام، أو القيود التي لا مبرر لها<sup>(٩)</sup>.

17- ويواصل برنامج الأمم المتحدة الإنمائي والمفوضية السامية لحقوق الإنسان والتحالف العالمي للمؤسسات الوطنية لحقوق الإنسان تقديم الدعم لتنفيذ المبادئ التوجيهية بشأن الأعمال الانتقامية وغيرها من أعمال الترهيب ضد المؤسسات الوطنية لحقوق الإنسان وأعضائها

www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=23106&LangID=E (٥)

www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=23109&LangID=E انظر، (٦)

www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=22955&LangID=E انظر (٧)

www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=22828&LangID=E انظر أيضاً، www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?

www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=23154&LangID=E (٩)

وموظفيها. ويشمل ذلك توثيق الحالات والتصدي لها على وجه السرعة، فضلاً عن دعم المؤسسات الوطنية المستهدفة لحقوق الإنسان بشكل جماعي.

16- وضماناً لإبراز العمل الذي يتناول أعمال الترهيب والانتقام وأنشطة الأمم المتحدة وآليات حقوق الإنسان، تجري الآن ترجمة الموقع الشبكي الذي أطلق في حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧ إلى اللغات الرسمية الست، وهناك مواد إعلامية متاحة على شبكة الإنترنت، بما في ذلك فيديو بالرسوم المتحركة ووثيقة من صفحة واحدة للمجتمع المدني عن كيفية تقديم المعلومات (١٠٠). وقد خصصت للمكلفين بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة وبعض هيئات المعاهدات أيضاً صفحات على شبكة الأنترنت (١١).

# ثالثاً - معلومات عن السياسة العامة وأفضل الممارسات

01- شهدت أساليب عمل هيئات المعاهدات وتمارساتها تطورات، ومنها ماكان في مجال تنفيذ المبادئ التوجيهية لمكافحة أعمال الترهيب أو الانتقام (مبادئ سان خوسيه التوجيهية، (HRI/MC/2015/6) التي اعتُمدت في اجتماعها في عام ٢٠١٥. وخلال الاجتماع السنوي الثلاثين، شجع رؤساء هيئات المعاهدات المقررين أو جهات التنسيق في مختلف اللجان على العمل معا فيما بين الدورات، حسب الاقتضاء، لمعالجة القضايا، وإتاحة المعلومات عن الأعمال الانتقامية على الموقع الشبكي، وللأمانة العامة حتى تقوم بإعداد وثيقة لعام ٢٠١٩ بشأن دور المقررين وجهات التنسيق، بما في ذلك الممارسات الجيدة (٨/73/140).

17 - وبدأت اللجنة المعنية بالقضاء على جميع أشكال التمييز العنصري، في دورتما الخامسة والتسعين، ممارسةً تتمثل في إرسال خطابات إلى الدول الأطراف عن الحالات المزعومة، وإتاحتها للجمهور على موقعها الشبكي. وكُلفت جهة التنسيق المعنية بالأعمال الانتقامية التابعة للجنة بوضع المبادئ التوجيهية بشأن هذه الأعمال.

17 وفي السياق الإنمائي، حققت مجموعة البنك الدولي تقدماً في وضع توجيهات بشأن التصدي للأعمال الانتقامية المبلغ عنها فيما يتعلق بالشكاوى المتصلة بمشاريعها. وفي تشرين الأول/أكتوبر ٢٠١٧، نشر مكتب المحقق/المستشار لشؤون الامتثال المبادئ التوجيهية الخاصة بالأعمال الانتقامية (٢٠١٠). وجاءت هذه الخطوات بعد أن تم في آذار/مارس ٢٠١٦ نشر المبادئ التوجيهية لمكافحة الانتقام التي وضعها فريق التفتيش التابع للبنك الدولي والتي كانت قد نشرتها لأول مرة آلية مساءلة مستقلة تابعة لمؤسسة مالية دولية (٢٠١). وأطلق حوار أولي بين الأمين العام المساعد وتلك المكاتب لتبادل المعلومات وأفضل الممارسات.

www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Solidarity/Pages/IESolidarityIndex.aspx (۱۰)

www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/SP/Pages/Actsofintimidationandreprisal.aspx (۱۱)

Office of the Compliance Advisor Ombudsman, "CAO approach to responding to concerns of threats (انظره) and incidents of reprisals in CAO operations", available at www.cao-ombudsman.org/documents/CAO-Reprisals-web.pdf 2017

World Bank, "Inspection panel guidelines to reduce retaliation risks and respond to انظر، (۱۳) retaliation during the panel process"

1 / - وتعمل آلية المساءلة العامة في برنامج الأمم المتحدة الإنمائي، ووحدة الامتثال الاجتماعي والبيئي في مكتب مراجعة الحسابات والتحقيقات على التبليغ عن حالات التحرش، والترهيب والعنف في حق الأشخاص الذين يسعون إلى التعاون أو تعاونوا فعلاً مع المشاريع التي تُنفذ بمساعدة البرنامج الإنمائي، وتقوم الآلية بوضع "مجموعة أدوات خاصة بأعمال الانتقام" لتستعملها آليات المساءلة الدولية، بالتعاون مع مصرف التنمية للبلدان الأمريكية. وتعكف الوحدة على إنجاز استعراضات الامتثال ورصد العديد من حالات أعمال الترهيب والانتقام الناجمة عن العمل مع البرنامج الإنمائي، ومنها حالات في البوسنة والهرسك، وملاوي، وبنما، وأوغندا (١٤٠).

9 - وفي سياق حماية المدنيين، عززت إدارة عمليات حفظ السلام التزاماً في السياسة العامة بمبدأ "لا ضرر ولا ضرار" في تعاونها مع المجتمعات المحلية والمجتمع المدني. حيث يجب على قادة القوة، بالتعاون مع العناصر المدنية، ضمان أن تستقي عمليات تقييم التهديدات والدراية بالوضع معلوماتها من العمل المنتظم مع الجماعات ومجموعات المجتمع المدني، مثل مجموعات الشباب والمرأة، وهو ما يؤكد مسؤوليتهم عن ضمان ألا تُعرّض هذه المشاركة أي شخص للضرر.

# رابعاً - ضمان الوصول إلى الأمم المتحدة وممثليها وآلياتها في ميدان حقوق الإنسان

• ٢٠ تسهم منظمات المجتمع المدني إسهاماً لا غنى عنه في عمل الأمم المتحدة وأهدافها، الأمر الذي سيكون مستحيلاً دون المشاركة في الاجتماعات الدولية التي تُعقد في مباني الأمم المتحدة وإمكانية العمل بشكل مباشر مع آليات حقوق الإنسان. وبالفعل فإن المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي يُقر بسَعة خبرات هذه المنظمات وقدرتما على دعم أعمال الأمم المتحدة (انظر القرار ١٩٩٦).

71 وقد تناول الأمين العام المساعد الشواغل المتعلقة باستخدام إجراءات الاعتماد والإجراءات الأمنية لمنع الناس من الحديث في عدد من محافل الأمم المتحدة التي تُعقد في المقر. وقد حاول بعض الدبلوماسيين منع مشاركة بعض ممثلي المجتمع المدني في أنشطة الأمم المتحدة أو الاجتماعات أو المؤتمرات، بما في ذلك محاولات لعرقلة اعتماد المنظمات غير الحكومية، لا سيما تلك التي تعمل في مجال حقوق الإنسان، باستخدام مناورات مختلفة. وعلاوة على ذلك، فقد تلقت المفوضية السامية لحقوق الإنسان مراراً تقارير تشير إلى أنه يتم تسجيل فيديوهات والتقاط صور للأفراد في اجتماعات الأمم المتحدة دون رضاهم، أو تسجيل كلماتهم خلسة في الجلسات المغلقة، وهو ما يُهيئ جواً من الترهيب يمكن أن يُثني أفراد المجتمع المدنى عن المشاركة في الإجراءات.

7٢- واستناداً إلى إدارة الشؤون الاقتصادية والاجتماعية، التي تتولى تقديم خدمات دعم الأمانة إلى اللجنة المعنية بالمنظمات غير الحكومية التي تنظر في طلبات الحصول على مركز استشاري لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي، فقد بلغ عدد المنظمات الحائزة على المركز الاستشاري ٠٠٨ ٤ في ٢٠١٨، ويبقى الطلب على هذا المركز مرتفعاً مع تزايد الطلبات الجديدة بنسبة ١٩ في المائة في عام ٢٠١٧ (انظر ٨/HRC/38/18، الفقرة ١٩). وفي أيار/مايو المحديدة بنسبة ١٩ في الملجنة في دورتها المستأنفة ٢٧٤ طلباً، منها ٢٤٤ طلباً مؤجلاً من الدورات السابقة. وأوصت بمنح ٢٠٩ منها المركز الاستشاري بينما أجلت النظر في ٢٣٣ طلباً. وأسقط ٢٧ طلباً آخر بسبب عدم إجابة أصحابها عن الأسئلة.

https://info.undp.org/sites/registry/secu/SECUPages/SECUSummary.aspx (انظر، https://info.undp.org/sites/registry/secu/SECUPages/SECUSummary.aspx (انظر، الفطر، الف

77- والمركز الاستشاري يتيح الوصول إلى الأمم المتحدة والعديد من آلياتها، وقد أعربت الكثير من الجهات ذات المصلحة عن قلقها إزاء الكمّ الكبير من التأجيلات واعتبرت ذلك نوعاً من انعدام الشفافية في القرارات المتخذة بشأن المركز الاستشاري. وفي بعض الحالات، كان الإرجاء المستمر للطلبات بمثابة رفض فعلي، واستهدف منظمات المجتمع المدني التي تعمل على قضايا تتعلق بحقوق الإنسان (انظر A/HRC/38/18)، الفقرة ٢٠).

37- لقد تناولتُ في تقريري السابق دور اللجنة المعنية بالمنظمات غير الحكومية ورحبتُ بالجهود الإيجابية التي تبذلها لزيادة الشفافية وهو ما أدى إلى إتاحة بعض مداولاتها عبر البث الشبكي. وأشيرُ أيضاً إلى المشاورة الأولى التي جرت بين أعضاء اللجنة والمنظمات غير الحكومية ذات المركز الاستشاري لدى المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي في حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٨ بشأن مساهمة المنظمات غير الحكومية في أعمال المجلس الاقتصادي والاجتماعي وهيئاته الفرعية، بما في ذلك تحسين وصول المنظمات غير الحكومية إلى الأمم المتحدة. ومرة أخرى، أدعو اللجنة إلى تطبيق معايير تقييم المنظمات بطريقة عادلة وشفافة. ففي وقت يتقلص فيه الوقت المتاح للمجتمع المدني في مختلف المجالات، من الضروري أن تشجع الأمم المتحدة العمل مع المجتمع المدني.

# خامساً - المعلومات الواردة عن حالات الترهيب والانتقام بسبب التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة وممثليها وآلياتها في ميدان حقوق الإنسان

# ألف- تعليق عام

٥٠ - يتضمن هذا التقرير حالات تستند إلى معلومات جُمعت في الفترة الممتدة من ١ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧ إلى ٣١ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨. ويتضمن أيضاً، وفقاً لقراري مجلس حقوق الإنسان ٢/١٢ و ٢٤/٢٤، معلومات عن أعمال الترهيب أو الانتقام التي تستهدف:

- (أ) الأشخاص الذي يسعون إلى التعاون، أو تعاونوا، مع الأمم المتحدة وممثليها وآلياتها في ميدان حقوق الإنسان، أو الذين أدلوا بشهادات أو بمعلومات لهذه الجهات؛
- (ب) الأشخاص الذين يستفيدون أو استفادوا من الإجراءات التي وُضعت برعاية الأمم المتحدة من أجل حماية حقوق الإنسان والحريات الأساسية، وكلَّ من قدَّم إليهم مساعدةً قانونية أو مساعدة أخرى لهذا الغرض؛
- (ج) الأشخاص الذين يقدّمون، أو قدّموا، بلاغات في إطار الإجراءات الموضوعة بموجب صكوك حقوق الإنسان وكلَّ من قدم إليهم مساعدة قانونية أو مساعدة أخرى لهذا الغرض؛
- (د) الأشخاص الذين تربطهم صلة قرابة بضحايا انتهاكات حقوق الإنسان أو بكل من قدم للضحايا مساعدة قانونية أو مساعدة أخرى.

77- وقد جرى التحقق من المعلومات التي وردت والتأكد، قدر الإمكان، من صحتها استناداً إلى مصادر أولية ومصادر أخرى. وترد الإشارة إلى مختلف منشورات الأمم المتحدة إذا كانت الحالات المدرجة في هذا التقرير قد نُشرت عَلناً. وترد أيضاً الإجابات التي قدمتها الحكومات حتى تاريخ ٣١ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٨، عن الإجراءات التي اتخذتما الجهات الفاعلة التابعة للأمم المتحدة بشأن الحالات. وبُذلت أيضاً جهود لمتابعة الحالات الواردة في التقارير السابقة عندما تكون هناك تطورات جديدة في الفترة المشمولة بالتقرير (انظر المرفق الثاني).

977 وليس الغرض من هذا التقرير تقديم قائمة شاملة بالحالات. وقد جرى التقيّد بصرامة، في إعداده، بمبدأ "لا ضرر ولا ضرار" والحصول على موافقة الضحايا المزعومين على ذكر أسمائهم، وأُجري تقييم للمخاطر فيما يتعلق بكل حالة وردت واعتُبرت موثوقة. ونتيجة لذلك، تقرر عدم إدراج الحالات التي وُجد أنها تُعرِّض أمن الأفراد المعنيين أو أفراد أسرهم وسلامتهم لمخاطر جسيمة. وعلاوة على ذلك، فقد عولج عدد من الحالات التي رُفعت إليَّ في إطار من السرية وقد لا يرد ذكرها في التقرير.

7٨- ويرد مزيد من المعلومات عن الحالات والقضايا التالية في المرفق الأول. وعندما تكون هناك تطورات جديدة في الفترة المشمولة بالتقرير عن حالات وردت في التقارير السابقة، فإن المعلومات بشأنها ترد في المرفق الثاني. وتشير عبارة "المكلفون بولاية في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة" إلى المكلفين بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة لمجلس حقوق الإنسان. ويمكن الاطلاع الآن في الانترنت على جميع البلاغات المقدمة في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة والمشار إليها في هذا التقرير وذلك بإجراء البحث باستخدام الأرقام المرجعية للقضايا التي ترد بين قوسين في جميع أجزاء التقرير (٥٠). ويمكن أيضاً الاطلاع على ردود الحكومات على البلاغات المقدمة في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة باستخدام هذه الطريقة.

# باء- ملخص القضايا

## البحرين

77- أعربت مختلف الجهات الفاعلة التابعة للأمم المتحدة عن بالغ القلق إزاء الاتجاه المستمر للمضايقات والترهيب ضد ممثلي المجتمع المدني البحريني الذين يسعون إلى التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة، وفرض حظر سفر شامل على حوالي ٢٠ شخصاً، والاعتداء الجنسي، والتعذيب وغيره من ضروب المعاملة السيئة للأفراد المستهدفين (BHR 9/2017) و BHR 9/2017). وقد بقي الحظر طويل الأمد على السفر ساري المفعول خلال الدورات المتعاقبة لمجلس حقوق الإنسان، وهو ما منع العديد من ممثلي المجتمع المدني من المشاركة في الفترة بين حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧ وحزيران/يونيه يونيه ٨١٠١. وأفاد عدد من الأفراد بأنهم تلقوا تمديدات بالعنف والترهيب النفسي بسبب مشاركتهم السابقة مع المجلس، بما في ذلك التهديد بالعنف الجسدي والتشهير أمام الملأ والاغتصاب، لثنيهم عن الحديث مرة أخرى. ويشير هذا التقرير إلى ما أفيد عن كيل تهم جنائية وبالإرهاب للعديد من المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان، بمن في ذلك أفراد أسرة السيد سيد أحمد الوداعي والسيدة ابتسام الصايغ والسيد نبيل رجب (انظر المرفقين الأول والثاني).

٣٠ وقد تناول الأمين العام المساعد لهذه الادعاءات كتابةً في ١٥ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٧،
 و ٢٩ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨. وردَّت الحكومة في ٢٥ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٨.

www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/SP/Pages/CommunicationsreportsSP.aspx انظر (۱۵)

OHCHR, "Bahrain must end worsening human rights clampdown, UN experts say" انظر أيضاً، (١٦) . (16 June 2017)

## الكاميرون

77- في ٢٦ تشرين الأول/أكتوبر ٢٠١٧، أعرب المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة عن قلقهم إزاء الطابع المهدّد بشكل متزايد للهجمات البدنية على السيدة ماكسيميليان نغو مبي، التي تنتمي لشبكة المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان في وسط أفريقيا، والسيدة أليس نيكوم من الشبكة أيضاً ومن جمعية حقوق المثليات والمثليين ومزدوجي الميل الجنسي ومغايري الهوية الجنسانية وحاملي صفات الجنسين، وتعرضهما للترهيب والمضايقة بعد مشاركتهما في استعراض الكاميرون من قبل لجنة حقوق الإنسان في جنيف (2017 CMR). وردت الحكومة على الادعاءات في ١٧ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٨.

# الصين

77- في ١٨ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٨، سلّط المفوض السامي لحقوق الإنسان الضوء، في بيانه الافتتاحي أمام الدورة الثامنة والثلاثين لمجلس حقوق الإنسان، على ما تبذله الصين من جهود متواصلة لمنع أعضاء المجتمع المديي المستقلين من التعامل مع آليات حقوق الإنسان التابعة للأمم المتحدة، بما في ذلك استعراض هيئات المعاهدات، والاستعراض الدوري الشامل لمجلس حقوق الإنسان والعديد من المكلفين بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة، وشجَّع السلطات على تمكين جميع الجهات الفاعلة من المساهمة في جميع الآليات الدولية لحقوق الإنسان. ويشير هذا التقرير إلى ما يُفيد بتعرض العديد من الناشطين والمدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان والمحامين لحظر السفر، والمراقبة، والاحتجاز، بما في ذلك الحبس الانفرادي، وإساءة المعاملة والتعذيب بسبب سعيهم للعمل مع الأمم المتحدة (انظر المرفقين الأول والثاني). وفي ٣١ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٨ ردّت الحكومة على هذه الادعاءات.

# كولومبيا

٣٣- في ١ شباط/فبراير ٢٠١٨، تطرّق المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة لادعاءات تتعلق بتهديدات بالقتل وجهتها مجموعات شبه عسكرية للسيد خيرمانغراثيانوبوسو، أحد أعضاء مجموعة سان خوسي دي أبارتادو للسلام عقب مشاركته في منتدى الأمم المتحدة المعنى بالأعمال التجارية وحقوق الإنسان في جنيف عام ٢٠١٧ (COL 1/2018).

#### کوبا

27- في ١١ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨، ذكرت المتحدثة باسم المفوضة السامية لحقوق الإنسان أن المفوضية تلقت تقارير مثيرة للقلق تفيد بأن المسؤولين في كوبا منعوا المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان وممثلي المجتمع المدني من ركوب الطائرات للسفر إلى الاجتماعات في الخارج، بما في ذلك اجتماعات الأمم المتحدة، بحجة إجراء تدقيق أكثر تفصيلاً للتحقق من الهوية الشخصية. وشمل ذلك ١٤ حالة لكوبيين أخبرهم المسؤولون أن النظام الحاسوبي يتطلب تفتيشاً إضافياً. وأسفرت هذه التدابير عن تفويت الرحلات على الركاب ومن ثم تفويت الاجتماعات. وقد أثار المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة قضايا فردية (CUB 1/2018). وفي ٤ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٨ ردّت الحكومة على الادعاءات.

٣٥ وتطرَّق الأمين العام المساعد للادعاءات المشار إليها أعلاه كتابةً في ١١ نيسان/ أبريل ٢٠١٨. وفي ١٠ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨ ردِّت الحكومة على هذه الادعاءات.

# جمهورية الكونغو الديمقراطية

٣٦- أبلغت بعثة الأمم المتحدة لتحقيق الاستقرار في جمهورية الكونغو الديمقراطية عن وقوع العديد من حوادث الترهيب والانتقام بسبب التعاون مع البعثة، لا سيما فريق الموظفين المشتركين في مجال حقوق الإنسان، فيما يتصل بالحوادث التي ارتكبتها الوكالة الوطنية للاستخبارات، والقوات المسلحة لجمهورية الكونغو الديمقراطية، والشرطة المحلية وغيرها.

#### جيبوتي

77- أبلغت المفوضية السامية لحقوق الإنسان بأن السيد كادار عبدي إبراهيم، أحد المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان، لم يتمكن من المشاركة في جولة استعراض جيبوتي التي نظمها الفريق العامل المعني بالاستعراض الدوري الشامل في ١٠ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨. وأعربت أربع من الدول الأعضاء عن قلقها لحكومة جيبوتي أثناء الدورة (انظر A/HRC/39/10)، الفقرات ٥٤، و ٨٤ و ٨٠٤).

#### بصر

77- اتخذت العديد من الجهات الفاعلة التابعة للأمم المتحدة إجراءات بشأن الاختفاء الأولي والاحتجاز اللاحق للسيد إبراهيم عبد المنعم متولي حجازي من جمعية عائلات المختفين، الذي كان في طريقه للقاء الفريق العامل المعني بحالات الاختفاء القسري وغير الطوعي في جنيف في أيلول/سبتمبر ٢٠١٧. وتطرق للقضية مراتٍ عديدة المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة (٢٠١٧- (EGY 14/2017) الفقرة ٥٦، وانظر أيضاً (٨/HRC/WGEID/114/1)، الفقرة ٥٦) والأمين العام المساعد (١٥٠).

-79 وتناول العديد من المكلفين بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة الاحتجاز المطول في الجبس الاحتياطي للسيدة حنان بدر الدين عبد الحفيظ عثمان من جمعية عائلات المختفين، وهي التي قامت بتوثيق الحالات الخاصة لصالح الفريق العامل المعني بحالات الاختفاء القسري وغير الطوعي على مدى تسعة أشهر، وتطرقوا لادعاءات بحرمانها من الرعاية الطبية في السجن (EGY 4/2018)، وفي -70 وفي -70 وفي -70 وفي -70 وفي -70 وفي -70 وقي -70

#### غواتيمالا

• ٤- في ٣٠ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر ٢٠١٧، أثار المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة بواعث قلق بشأن ادعاءات بتهم جنائية ضد السيد جيرسوناكستومول موراليس، وهو صحفي تعاون مع مفوضية الأمم المتحدة لحقوق الإنسان في غواتيمالا، وقدَّم معلومات عن حالة حقوق الإنسان في إيثابال (GTM 6/2017). وفي ٥٠ كانون الثاني/يناير ٢٠١٨ ردَّت الحكومة.

OHCHR, "UN rights experts dismayed by arrest of Egyptian lawyer EbrahimMetwallyen route to (\\Y)

.meet them" (15 September 2017)

OHCHR, "Report highlights rising reprisals against human rights defenders cooperating with the (NA) .UN" (20 September 2017)

13- وأعرب المفوض السامي لحقوق الإنسان عن تأييده للمؤسسة الوطنية لحقوق الإنسان (١٩). وجاء ذلك عقب ما زُعم أنه محاولات من الحكومة لتقويض استقلالية المؤسسة بسبب دعمها اللجنة الدولية لمناهضة الإفلات من العقاب في غواتيمالا. وواجهت أمين المظالم، السيد أوغوستو جوردان روداسأندرادي، حملات تشهير وتعرضت أسرته لتهديدات.

#### غيانا

27 في ١٨ تشرين الأول/أكتوبر ٢٠١٧، أعرب فريق الخبراء العامل المعني بالسكان المنحدرين من أصل أفريقي عن قلقه إزاء ما تردد عن ارتكاب سلطات السجن والحراس أعمالاً انتقامية في حق شخص (حجب الفريق العامل الاسم) يقبع في سجن لوزينيان، كان أعضاء الفريق قد أجروا معه لقاء أثناء زيارتهم غيانا في تشرين الأول/أكتوبر ٢٠١٧. وفي وقت لاحق، تلقوا معلومات تفيد بأن الشخص تلقى تعديداً شفوياً من سلطات السجن والحراس على تعاونه معهم (GUY 1/2017).

#### هندوراس

27- قال المقرر الخاص المعني بحالة المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان في أعقاب الزيارة الرسمية التي قام بها إلى هندوراس في ١٢ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨ إنه "قلق أيما قلق إزاء العدد المتزايد من أعمال الترهيب والانتقام في حق المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان في سياق عملهم مع الأمم المتحدة ... وتتخذ هذه الأعمال الانتقامية شكل حملات تشويه للسمعة، والمضايقة والترهيب والتهديد، والاعتداء البدني والقتل."

53- وفي ٧ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧ أعرب المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة عن قلقهم إزاء مزاعم بتوجيه تهديدات بالقتل وهجمات وأعمال انتقامية للسيدة هيدمي كاسترو، من الجمعية المواطنة التشاركية (HND 3/2017) تتصل بالتعاون مع المفوضية السامية لحقوق الإنسان ومجلس حقوق الإنسان. وفي ٢٩ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧ ردَّت الحكومة.

20 - وفي ٢٤ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٧، أعربت اللجنة المعنية بحقوق الإنسان عن قلقها إزاء تقارير عن بيانات مهينة أدلى بهاكبار المسؤولين الحكوميين في وسائط الإعلام بشأن الأفراد ومنظمات المجتمع المدين المذين قدموا معلومات للتقرير الدوري الثاني لهندوراس (انظر A/HRC/36/31)، المرفق الثاني، الفقرة ٤٢)، بما في ذلك ما يتعلق بمقتل السيدة بيرتاكاسيريس (انظر A/HRC/36/31، المرفق الثاني، الفقرات ٢٠١١)، وفي ١٨ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٨، اجتمع رئيس اللجنة مع الحكومة.

27 - وزار الأمين العام المساعد هندوراس في تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٧، وتطرق إلى عدد من الادعاءات المتعلقة بالأعمال الانتقامية مع الحكومة.

#### هنغاريا

27- في ٢١ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧، تطرق المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة إلى استهداف منظمة "فاليديتي" غير الحكومية الدولية المعنية بحقوق الإعاقة التي تتخذ من بودابست

<sup>(</sup>١٩) البيان الذي أدلى به المفوض السامي لحقوق الإنسان في نهاية البعثة التي قام بها إلى غواتيمالا (١٩ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر ٢٠١٧).

مقراً لها (مركز الدفاع عن الإعاقة العقلية سابقاً) بعد إصدار تقرير عن مزاعم بحدوث انتهاكات لحقوق الإنسان في مؤسسة الرعاية الاجتماعية في توبحاس (هنغاريا ٢٠١٧/٣)، وهي المزاعم التي تناولتها لجنة حقوق الإنسان في آذار/مارس ٢٠١٨ (انظر CCPR/C/HUN/CO/6)، الفقرة ٢١، وقرى منظمة فاليديتي أن استهدافها يعود في جزء كبير منه إلى أنشطة الدعوة التي تقوم بها مع الأمم المتحدة وآليات حقوق الإنسان.

24 وأفادت التقارير أن من بين اعتراضات الدول الأعضاء على مشاركة المنظمات غير الحكومية في مؤتمر الدول الأطراف في اتفاقية الأمم المتحدة لمكافحة الفساد في فيينا في تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر ٢٠١٧ كانت هناك محاولة لعرقلة مشاركة منظمة "كي مونيتور"، وهي منظمة غير حكومية هنغارية لمكافحة الفساد، وذلك في إطار الجهود الرامية إلى وضع العقبات في طريق مشاركة المنظمات التي تثير قضايا الفساد المتعلقة بالسلطات. وصوّت المكتب ضد اعتراض الدولة (انظر CAC/COSP/2017/14)، الفقرة ٢٥) وتمكنت منظمة "كي مونيتور" من استئناف مشاركتها.

93 - وقد استُهدفت منظمتان شاركتا في استعراض هنغاريا الذي أجرته اللجنة المعنية بحقوق الإنسان في آذار/مارس ٢٠١٨، هما لجنة هلسنكي الهنغارية ومنظمة العفو الدولية في هنغاريا، وذلك، في جزء منه على الأقل، بسبب دفاعهما عن حقوق المهاجرين في الأمم المتحدة. وفي ٣ آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٨ ردّت الحكومة على هذه الادعاءات.

#### الهند

• ٥٠ في ٩ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر ٢٠١٧، أعرب المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة عن القلق إزاء استخدام قانون (تنظيم) المساهمات الأجنبية لعام ٢٠١٠ لتقييد عمل المنظمات غير الحكومية المتعاونة مع الأمم المتحدة، وذلك بعدم تجديد الرخص أو عدم منحها على سبيل المثال، حيث شمل الأمر السيد هنري تيفان من مركز تشجيع الاهتمام بالشواغل الاجتماعية (OTH 2/2017 وOTH 2/2018). وقد تم الإبلاغ أيضاً عن مسائل مماثلة تتعلق بالسيد نوبوشيكورأويرخيمبام من مركز التنمية الاجتماعية.

01 - وفي ٢٠ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧، أعرب المقرر الخاص المعني بحالة المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان عن قلقه إزاء التقارير المتعلقة بالانتقام من عضو في ائتلاف المجتمع المدني لجامو وكشمير، السيد كارتيك موروكوتلا، بسبب التعاون مع آليات حقوق الإنسان التابعة للأمم المتحدة (IND 4/2017).

٢٥ - وفي ٧ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٨، تناول الأمين العام المساعد الادعاءات كتابةً. وفي ٢
 تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٨ ردَّت الحكومة.

# إسرائيل

٥٣- في أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨، لم يجدد وزير الداخلية الإسرائيلي تصريح العمل لمدير منظمة هيومن رايتس ووتش، عمر شاكر، وأمر بترحيله (٢٠). وما زال السيد شاكر في البلد، لأن أمر الترحيل ما زال قيد الاستعراض لدى المحكمة المحلية. وقد استند الأمر، من بينما ما استند إليه،

OHCHR, "UN experts urge Israel not to deport Human Rights Watch official Omar Shakir" (7.)
.(18 May 2018)

إلى اداعاءات تفيد بأن السيد شاكر قد يدعّم مقاطعة إسرائيل. ومن بين الادعاءات توجد بيانات يدعم بحا السيد شاكر قاعدة بيانات تضعها الأمم المتحدة للمؤسسات التجارية التي تعمل في المستوطنات الإسرائيلية، وفقاً لقرار مجلس حقوق الإنسان ٣٦/٣١.

# قيرغيزستان

30- في ٢٥ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٨، راسلت اللجنة المعنية بالعمال المهاجرين الحكومة بشأن ما اعتبرته منظمتان من منظمات المجتمع المدني، هما مركز "ميموريال" لمكافحة التمييز وحركة "بير دوينو قيرغيزستان" لحقوق الإنسان، في بلاغهما مواد متطرفة وردت في تقرير بديلٍوفع إلى اللجنة قبل استعراضها المتعلق بقيرغيزستان في نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٥. وفي أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨، أثار الأمين العام المساعد موضوع الادعاءات مع الحكومة خلال زيارة قام بما إلى قيرغيزستان.

#### ملديف

00- في ٢٠ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٨، أثار المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة بواعث قلق بشأن التحقيق مع شاهيندها إسماعيل من الشبكة الديمقراطية المالديفية، بدعوى استخدام تويتر ومشاركتها في نشاط جانبي في دورة مجلس حقوق الإنسان في حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧ (ملديف ٢٠١٨). ولا تزال السيدة إسماعيل تتلقى تمديدات وتتعرض لعنف قائم على نوع الجنس عن طريق الانترنت، بما في ذلك تمديدات بالاغتصاب. وردت الحكومة على الادعاءات في ٢٣ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٨.

## مالي

0- استناداً إلى مهمة الأمم المتحدة المتكاملة المتعددة الأبعاد لتحقيق الاستقرار في مالي (البعثة)، فقد حدثت حالات من أعمال الانتقام ارتكبتها الجهات الفاعلة التابعة للدولة والجماعات المسلحة من غير الدول في حق الأفراد الذين يتعاونون مع البعثة، بما في ذلك شعبة حقوق الإنسان والحماية (٢٢). ويعد الترهيب والتهديد بالقتل من الاستراتيجيات التي تستخدمها الجماعات المسلحة الإرهابية والمتطرفة لتهديد السكان بشأن أي تعاون مع القوات الوطنية والدولية، بما في ذلك مع البعثة.

#### المغوب

0٧ - في ١٥ تشرين الثاني/نوفمبر ٢٠١٦، خلصت لجنة مناهضة التعذيب إلى أن المغرب مسؤول عن انتهاكات الاتفاقية في قضية النعمة أسفاري ضد المغرب، التي كان المشتكي فيها هو السيد أسفاري، وهو مدافع صحراوي عن حقوق الإنسان يوجد حالياً قيد الاحتجاز (CAT/C/59/D/606/2014). ومنذ صدور قرار اللجنة، أفادت التقارير أن معاملة السيد أسفاري في

<sup>(</sup>٢١) انظر البلاغات المقدمة من منظمات المجتمع المدني إلى الدورة الثانية والعشرين للجنة المعنية بالعمال المهاجرين.

MINUSMA and OHCHR "Droits de l'homme et processus de paix au Mali (janvier 2016–juin (۲۲) د على الرابط الإلكتروني: (7013) (February 2018)

 $<sup>\</sup>label{lem:https://minusma.unmissions.org/malgr%C3%A9-la-mise-en-%C5%93uvre-de-l%E2%80%99accord \\ \cdot -pour-la-paix-la-situation-des-droits-de-l%E2%80%99homme-demeure$ 

الاحتجاز تـدهورت. ولم يُسـمح لزوجته دخـول المغـرب في أربـع مناسـبات. وفي ١٣ شـباط/ فبراير ٢٠١٨، أودع السيد أسفاري الحبس الانفرادي حتى ١٣ آذار/مارس ٢٠١٨. وفي ١٣ تموز/ يوليه ٢٠١٨، وجه مقرر اللجنة المعني بالأعمال الانتقامية والمتابعة مراسلة إلى الحكومة في هذا الشأن.

#### ميانمار

٥٨ - خلال الإحاطة التي قدمها أعضاء مجلس الأمن بشأن مهمتهم في ميانمار أفيد بأن قوات الأمن في ميانمار هددت القرويين الروهنجيا بالانتقام إذا تحدثوا إلى أعضاء الوفد خلال الزيارة، وقالوا إن القرويين الذين فعلوا ذلك باتوا مطلوبين. وأشار عضو في مجلس الأمن إلى أنه من غير المقبول أن يشعر أي شخص بالخوف من الحديث إلى أعضاء مجلس الأمن (انظر S/PV.8255)، ص ٦).

90- وأبلغت المقررة الخاصة المعنية بحالة حقوق الإنسان في ميانمار مجلس حقوق الإنسان في مارس/آذار ٢٠١٨ بأنها تلقت معلومات عن أعمال انتقامية عنيفة قامت بما القوات المسلحة في حق المدنيين الذين التقت بمم بعد زيارتما ولاية راخين في كانون الثاني/يناير ٢٠١٧ (انظر، ٨/HRC/37/70) الفقرة ٦٣).

-7- وأفاد مجلس إدارة منظمة العمل الدولية في ٧ شباط/فبراير ٢٠١٨ أنه ما زال يشعر بالقلق إزاء حالتي انتقام واضحتين من مشتكيين في قضتي عمل قسري، تتعلقان بالسيد أونغكوهتو والسيد خينغميو هتون (انظر GB.332/INS/8)، الفقرتان ١٥-١٦)(١٦)، وهما الحالتان الثارة ما أيضاً المقررة الخاصة في تقريرها إلى مجلس حقوق الإنسان في آذار/مارس ٢٠١٨ (انظر ٨/HRC/37/70)، وقد تناول الأمين العام المساعد هذه الادعاءات كتابةً في ٢ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٨.

## الفلبين

71- في ٢ تشرين الأول/أكتوبر ٢٠١٧، أعرب المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة عن قلقهم من البيانات العلنية التي تهدف إلى التشهير باللجنة الفلبينية لحقوق الإنسان، وأعضائها ورئيسها، السيد سيتوفاسكون، وتعديدهم، (PHL 12/2017)، ويرجع ذلك في جانب منه إلى التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة. وتقبع الرئيسة السابقة للجنة، السيدة ليلى م. دي ليما، في السجن منذ شباط/فبراير ٢٠١٨ بتهم تتعلق بالمخدرات، اعتبر العديدُ من المكلفين بولايات بأنها ذات "دوافع سياسية" (PHL 5/2017).

77 وقد تطرّقت جهات فاعلة تابعة للأمم المتحدة للأعمال الانتقامية ضد المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان وممثلي الشعوب الأصلية الذين أُدرجوا بحكم الأمر الواقع في قائمة الإرهابيين في شباط/ فبراير 7.1. وكان عدد من هؤلاء الأفراد شركاء منذ أمد طويل للأمم المتحدة وأفادوا بأنهم يعتقدون أن إدراجهم في القائمة يعود في جزء منه إلى تعاونهم مع الآليات. وتناول الأمين العام المساعد هذه الادعاءات كتابةً في ٤ أيار/مايو 7.1، وعلناً في 11 أيار/مايو 11 وغير 11 وغير الإجراءات الخاصة شواغلهم مع الحكومة (PHL 5/2018).

ILO, Follow-up to the resolution concerning remaining measures on the subject of Myanmar (۲۳)

.adopted by the Conference at its 102nd Session (2013), 7 February 2018

OHCHR, "Human rights advocates in Asia under attack," (18 May 2018) (7 5)

# الاتحاد الروسي

77- في ١٠ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨، راسل الرئيس وجِهةُ التنسيق المعنية بأعمال الانتقام التابعةُ للجنة القضاء على التمييز العنصري الحكومة بشأن ادعاءات بمضايقات وتحديدات وترهيب من جانب السلطات ضد السيدة ياناتاناغاتشيفا والسيد فلاديسلاف تاناغاتشيف وعائلتيهما، وهما مدافعان عن حقوق الإنسان عمِلا مع اللجنة في آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٧ للدفاع عن حقوق شعب الشور الأصلى في الجنوب السيبري(٢٥).

#### رواندا

77- في ٢٠ تشرين الأول/أكتوبر ٢٠١٧، أعلنت اللجنة الفرعية لمنع التعذيب على الملأ ألها علّقت زيارتها إلى رواندا بسبب عرقلة الوصول إلى بعض أماكن الاحتجاز، وسرية المقابلات ومخاوف من أعمال انتقامية محتملة. وفي شباط/فبراير ٢٠١٨ أعلنت اللجنة الفرعية عزمها استئناف الزيارة إلى رواندا(٢٠١٠. وفي ١ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٨، راسل الأمين العام المساعد الحكومة بشأن عدم وجود ضمانات للجنة الفرعية بألا يتعرض الأشخاص الذين بُحري معهم مقابلات أثناء الزيارة أو الاتصال بحم إلى أعمال الترهيب والانتقام. وفي ١٨ حزيران/ يونيه ٢٠١٨، أعرب المفوض السامي لحقوق الإنسان عن القلق إزاء تعليق الزيارة. وأثناء الجلسة السرية التي عقدتها اللجنة الفرعية في جنيف في الفترة من ١٨ إلى ٢٢ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٨ قررت اللجنة الفرعية إنهاء الزيارة بسبب عدم تعاون السلطات من أجل استئناف الزيارة (٢٢).

# المملكة العربية السعودية

-70 في ٢٨ شباط/فبراير ٢٠١٨، حُكم بست سنوات سجناً، والمنع من السفر ومن استخدام وسائط التواصل الاجتماعي طيلة المدة نفسها بعد الإفراج على السيد عيسى النخيفي، وهو مدافعٌ عن حقوق الإنسان في كانون الأول/ديسمبر ٢٠١٦ تمت استشارته بشأن الأعمال التحضيرية لبعثة المقرر الخاص المعني بالفقر المدقع وحقوق الإنسان إلى المملكة العربية السعودية في كانون الثاني/يناير ٢٠١٧، وموضوعُ بلاغ من المكلفين بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة (SAU 2/2017).

77 وفي ١ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧، أصدر الفريق العامل المعني بالاحتجاز التعسفي رأياً بشأن الاحتجاز التعسفي للسيد سالم عبد الله حسين أبو عبد الله، الذي أُلقي عليه القبض في كانون الأول/ديسمبر ٢٠١٤ دون مذكرة توقيف ولم يُقدَّم سببُ لاعتقاله (٨/HRC/WGAD/2017/10) الفقرات ٣١-٣٣). ومنذ صدور الرأي، أفيد بأن السيد أبو عبد الله أودع مراراً في الحبس الانفرادي فتراتٍ طويلةً، وحُرم من الاتصال بأسرته على سبيل الانتقام من قيام الفريق العامل بالنظر في قضيته (انظر ٨٤٠١٥/ الفقرة ٢٨). وفي ٢٤ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٨ ردّت الحكومة على هذه الادعاءات.

https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/Treaties/CERD/Shared%20Documents/RUS/INT\_CERD\_RLE\_RUS\_ انظــر (۲۰)

OHCHR, "UN torture prevention experts announce resuming visit to Rwanda," 28 February 2018 ( ) ( )

OHCHR, "UN torture prevention body to visit Burundi, Costa Rica, Senegal and Switzerland; (۲۷)

.terminates Rwanda visit", 4 July 2018

## جنوب السودان

77- في تقرير صدر في شباط/فبراير ٢٠١٨، أشارت بعثة الأمم المتحدة في جنوب السودان (البعثة) والمفوضية السامية لحقوق الإنسان إلى القيود التي تفرضها السلطات الوطنية على الأفراد الذين تُعتبر آراؤهم منتقدة للحكومة أو أن فيها مساساً بسمعة البلد وتعاونوا مع الأمم المتحدة من خلال حضور الاجتماعات، وتبادل المعلومات المتعلقة بانتهاكات حقوق الإنسان، وتيسير وصول البعثة إلى السكان المتضررين (انظر، 5/2017/505، و5/2017/1014)، و5/2017/1014).

7A- ووجه الأمين العام المساعد، في إطار متابعة زيارته التي أداها إلى جنوب السودان في شباط/فبراير ٢٠١٧ (٢٠١٧)، نظر الحكومة في ٢١ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٧ إلى ادعاءات بأعمال الترهيب والتهديد في حق أفراد بسبب تعاونهم مع البعثة وغيرها من كيانات الأمم المتحدة خارج جنوب السودان، بما فيها حالات الأفراد الذين أُجبروا على مغادرة البلد.

#### تايلند

79 - في ٣٠ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧، أثار المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة شواغل بشأن المضايقات والتهديدات بالقتل ضد السيد ميتري شمروانسوكساكول، وهو مدافع عن حقوق شعب لاهو الأصلي، وذلك في أعقاب اجتماع مع المقرر الخاص المعني بحالة المدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان خلال زيارته إلى تايلند في أيار/مايو ٢٠١٧ (THA 4/2017).

· ٧٠ وفي آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٧، اتهمت السيدة سيريكانشاروإنسيري من منظمة المحامين التايلنديين من أجل حقوق الإنسان بتقديم معلومات زائفة عن جريمة جنائية، الأمر الذي قد تكون له صلة مباشرة بتعاونها مع آليات حقوق الإنسان التابعة للأمم المتحدة. وسبق أن وبهت لها تهمة إثارة الفتنة (THA 2/2017).

٧١- ووجَّه الأمين العام المساعد خلال زيارته إلى تايلند في آذار/مارس ٢٠١٨ نظر الحكومة إلى مجموعة ادعاءات وأتبعَ ذلك برسالة في ٢٧ نيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٨.

# ترينيداد وتوباغو

٧٧- في ٢١ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٧ أعرب المكلفون بولايات في إطار الإجراءات الخاصة عن شواغل بشأن حرمان السيد ظهير سيبرساد في مستشفى سانت آن للأمراض العقلية وأشخاص آخرين من ذوي الإعاقة النفسية الاجتماعية من الحرية (TTO 2/2017) كما أعربوا عن القلق البالغ إزاء استمرار تعرض السيد سيبرساد للمضايقة والترهيب والتهديد بسبب عرض شكواه على الفريق العامل المعني بالاحتجاز التعسفي لينظر فيها (انظر ٨/HRC/WGAD/2017/68).

## تركيا

٧٧- وردت معلومات تزعم أن الموقع الإلكتروني الذي تديره شبكة حقوق السكن والأرض - التحالف الدولي للموئل تعرض في يوم ٢٠ آب/أغسطس ٢٠١٧ لسلسلة هجمات إلكترونية، تكررت في أيلول/سبتمبر ٢٠١٧، ونيسان/أبريل ٢٠١٨، وتعتقد الشبكة أنها عمل انتقامي في أعقاب ما أشيع عن تقريرها المقدم إلى مؤتمر لأمم المتحدة المعنى بالإسكان والتنمية الحضرية المستدامة (الموئل الثالث).

OHCHR, "South Sudan: Senior UN human rights official condemns deplorable rights لنظر، (۲۸) .situation, calls for perpetrators to be held to account" (17 February 2017)

9/4 وكان كورسات شفيق، وهو مفوض شرطة تركي، موضوع رأي أعتمد في ١٦ حزيران/ يونيه ٢٠١٧ من الفريق العامل المعني بالاحتجاز التعسفي، وقامت على إثره وسائط الإعلام الموالية للحكومة التركية بتعميم معلومات تشوه رأي الفريق العامل وتتضمن العديد من الاتحامات للسيد شفيق الذي تفيد التقارير أيضاً بأنه تعرض لأعمال انتقام في مكان احتجازه (انظر، ٨٨-٢١). وفي ٣٦ تموز/يوليه ٢٠١٨ ردّت الحكومة على هذه الادعاءات.

## تركمانستان

٥٧- في ١٨ أيار/مايو ٢٠١٨، خلال اجتماع إقليمي عقد في قيرغيزستان، عمل الأمين العام المساعد مع مدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان من أربعة بلدان في آسيا الوسطى، ولكنه أعرب عن أسفه لأن الأمم المتحدة غير قادرة على دعوة ممثلين من تركمانستان إلى هذا الاجتماع خشية أن يواجهوا أعمال الترهيب أو الانتقام على يد الحكومة بسبب التعاون مع المنظمة (٢٩).

# فنزويلا (جمهورية - البوليفارية)

٧٦- وفقاً للمعلومات الواردة، هناك مزاعم عن قيام ممثلي حكومة فنزويلا بتهديد ومضايقة ممثلي المجتمع المدني الذين شاركوا كأعضاء في حلقة نقاش عُقدت في إطار نشاط جانبي أثناء الدورة الخامسة والثلاثين لمجلس حقوق الإنسان في ٦ حزيران/يونيه ٢٠١٧.

٧٧- وفي ١٩ كانون الثاني/يناير ٢٠١٨، تناول الأمين العام المساعد الادعاءات كتابةً.

# سادساً استنتاجات وتوصيات

٧٧ عندما خاطبت مجلس حقوق الإنسان في فبراير/شباط ٢٠١٨، أكدت أنه ينبغي علينا جميعاً أن نشعر بالصدمة والغضب من مدى معاناة الجهات الفاعلة في المجتمع المدني من أعمال الانتقام والترهيب والهجوم بسبب عملها، بما في ذلك عندما تعمل مع منظومة الأمم المتحدة. (SG/SM/18912-HRC/26). ومثلما يتبين من عدد الادعاءات الواردة في هذا التقرير، فإن ما أبلغ عنه من أعمال ترهيب وانتقام أرتكبت في حق من يسعى إلى التعاون مع منظمة الأمم المتحدة بشأن حقوق الإنسان، أو من تعاون معها، ما زال يحدث ويبقى مدعاة للقلق الشديد. وفي الوقت نفسه، تدرك المنظمة أن حالات أعمال الترهيب والانتقام الواردة في التقرير ليست سوى نزر يسير من تلك التي تحدث بانتظام. وهناك عدد من الحالات التي محجبت لأسباب أمنية وحرصاً على الفرد أو المنظمة المعنية، ويعتقد أن الكثير من الحالات لا يتم الإبلاغ عنها.

9٧- وأعمال الترهيب والانتقام لا تؤثر في المتضررين من الأفراد والجماعات مباشرة فحسب، ولكنها تثير القلق أيضاً بسبب الرسالة التي تبعث بما إلى الجهات الفاعلة الأخرى والأفراد، سواء من الحكومة أو المجتمع المدين، التي ترغب في العمل مع الأمم المتحدة والتعبير عن آرائها بحرية. ومن المؤسف أن نرى أدلة على ممارسة الرقابة الذاتية في جميع المناطق فيما يتعلق بالعمل مع المؤسسات على الصعيد المحلى والوطني والإقليمي والدولى.

www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=23109&LangID=E انظر (۲۹)

ولا يبدو أثرُ الخوف من الأعمال الانتقامية واضحاً للعيان في الميدان حيث كثيراً ما يقابل موظفو الأمم المتحدة أناساً خائفين جداً من التحدث إليهم، بل إنه جلي أيضاً في المقر في نيويورك وجنيف سواء بسواء.

• ٨- وقد أفادت المكاتب الميدانية أيضاً بوجود اتجاهات مثيرة للقلق في أعمال الترهيب والانتقام التي تعوق عملهم. وفي حالات النزاع، يشكل الخوف من الانتقام عائقاً يعترض أداء الأمم المتحدة ولايتها في مد يد المساعدة الإنسانية وحماية المدنيين. فعلى سبيل المثال، أفاد الزملاء، على صعيد المجتمع المحلي، بأضم عندما وصلوا إلى موقع لم يجدوا سوى السكان المحليين الذين إما أضم لم يكونوا يرغبون في الكلام أو تغيبوا عن الجلسة المقررة حتى لا يُرَون وهم يُدلُون بمعلومات للأمم المتحدة. والاستهداف لا يقتصر على أفراد المجتمعات المحلية فحسب، بل يشمل أيضاً الممثلين القانونيين، والوسطاء، والشهود، والمترجمين الشفويين. وفي السياق الإنجائي، غالباً ما تفيد التقارير بوجود بيئة معادية في العديد من البلدان لأفراد المجتمعات المحلية الذين يعملون في المشاريع المتصلة بالأراضي والموارد. ولا تزال الشعوب الأصلية، بوجه خاص، تواجه أعمال الانتقام في سعيها إلى المشاركة في العمليات الإنمائية.

١٨- ويتضح أيضاً من الحالات التي أبلغت إلى الأمم المتحدة أن النساء والمثليات والمثليان ومزدوجي الميل الجنسي ومغايري الهوية الجنسانية وحاملي صفات الجنسية. يتعرضون لعراقيل وتحديدات وعنف يتصل بالاعتبارات الجنسانية أو الميول الجنسية. وأبلغت النساء المتعاونات مع الأمم المتحدة عن تحديدات بالاغتصاب وتعرُّضهن لحملات التشهير على شبكة الإنترنت. وقد أبلغ عن حالة اعتداء جنسي واحدة على الأقل أثناء الاحتجاز في العام الماضي. ويتعرض أفراد هذه الفئات أيضاً للتفتيش البدين والمعاملة المهينة والحاطة بالكرامة. وتدرك الأمم المتحدة أن مثل هذه الحوادث لا يتم الإبلاغ عنها بسبب العوائق ذات الصلة بالاعتبارات الجنسانية التي تحول دون ذلك. ويفيد العديد من هؤلاء الذين يواجهون أعمالاً انتقامية بأهم يُنبذون في مجتمعاهم المحلية وتتعرض أسرهم للتهديد. ويجب على الأمم المتحدة أن تبذل المزيد من الجهود حتى يتم توثيق التجارب وتصنيفها وتحليلها على الوجه الصحيح، بغية ضمان عدم تعرضهم لمزيد من المخاطر.

٥٨٠ ويبقى طيف الترهيب والانتقام واسعابل يتخذ في كثير من الأحيان شكل عقبات قانونية وسياسية وإدارية. وإلى جانب إجراءات، من قبيل حظر السفر، والاعتقال والاحتجاز التعسفين، والمراقبة، وحملات التشهير، يلاحظ وجود تدابير مثل تقليص الميزانيات، فضلاً عن القوانين التي تطبق بصورة انتقائية أو التشريعات الجديدة التي تقيد عمليات المنظمات التي يُرجح تعاولها مع الأمم المتحدة. والتدابير التي تقوض المشروعية القانونية للمنظمات أو القدرة على الحصول على التمويل، لا سيما التمويل من جهات مانحة أجنبية، تُضعف قدرها على العمل مع الأمم المتحدة. ويمكن لهذه التدابير أيضاً أن تردع المنظمات عن العمل مع الأمم المتحدة وتسهم في تقليص مجال العمل المدني.

٨٣- وهناك اتجاه مقلق في استخدام الدول حجج الأمن القومي واستراتيجيات مكافحة الإرهاب لتبرير عرقلة وصول المجتمعات المحلية ومنظمات المجتمع المدني إلى الأمم المتحدة. ففي العام الماضي، وُصم عدد من المنظمات غير الحكومية والمدافعين عن حقوق الإنسان والناشطين والخبراء من حكوماتهم بأنهم "إرهابيون". وتشمل الحالات المبلغ عنها الأفراد أو المنظمات المتهمين رسياً بالإرهاب، أو المتهمين بالتعاون مع كيانات أجنبية أو بالإضوار بسمعة الدولة أو أمنها.

3/- وكثيراً ما تتذرع الدول بمكافحة الإرهاب باعتباره السبب الذي ينبغي أن تُمنع بموجبه منظمة أو فردٌ من المشاركة في أنشطة الأمم المتحدة. ومع ذلك فإن خطر الإرهاب العالمي الحقيقي مسألة يجب أن تُعالج دون المساس باحترام حقوق الإنسان، بما أن السيادة الوطنية وحقوق الإنسان متسايرتان ولا تناقض بينهما. وكما أكدتُ من قبل، فإن الإرهاب هو في الأساس إنكار لحقوق الإنسان وتدمير لها، ولن تنجح مكافحة الإرهاب أبداً بإدامة نفس الإنكار والتدمير. وعندما نحمي حقوق الإنسان فإننا نعالج جذور الإرهاب أن نفس ولا يمكن لاستراتيجيات مكافحة الإرهاب أن تضفي الشرعية على عرقلة وصول بعض الأفراد والمنظمات إلى الأمم المتحدة، على أساس مزاعم بحتة بوجود صلات بالإرهاب.

٥٨- وتوضِّح غالبية الحالات التي وردت في هذا التقرير أن أعمال الترهيب والانتقام يرتكبها موظفو الدولة، أو على الأقل تتغاضى عنها الدولة. وفي الوقت نفسه، يجب أن تؤخذ الانتهاكات التي ترتكبها جهات فاعلة من غير الدول على محمل الجد. وأؤكد من جديد وجوب أن تضع الدول حدّاً لهذه الأعمال، وتُجري تحقيقات في جميع الادعاءات، وتتيح سبل انتصاف فعالة وتعتمد تدابير وقائية وتُنفذها لمنع التكرار. وأدعو جميع الدول إلى متابعة الحالات الواردة في هذا التقرير والتقارير السابقة وتقديم ردود موضوعية عن الحالات العالقة. ويجب خضوع المواطنين العاديين والجهات الفاعلة من الشركات والجماعات من غير الدول للمساءلة أيضاً.

7\lambda وتبذل الأمم المتحدة جهوداً لتحسين الاستجابة على نطاق المنظومة، ولكن لا بد من بذل مزيد من العمل. وأدعو جميع كيانات الأمم المتحدة إلى اليقظة بشأن عرقلة وصول شركائها، والإبلاغ عن هذه الحالات على الفور. ويجب على الأمم المتحدة تعزيز جمع المعلومات المتعلقة بأعمال الترهيب والانتقام من خلال تشجيع جميع مؤسسات المنظومة على تبادل المعلومات المتعلقة بهذه الحالات على نحو أكثر انتظاماً وعلى اتخاذ التدابير المناسبة. وبالإضافة إلى ذلك، فإنني أشجع جميع أصحاب المصلحة على الإبلاغ عن الادعاءات المتعلقة بالتعرض لأعمال الترهيب والانتقام بسبب التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة في الإجراءات المناسبة. فهذه الحالات على نطاق المنظومة كافة تساعد على لفت الأنظار أكثر إلى هذه الحالات، وتشجع الحكومات على التحرك بصورة إيجابية.

٨٧- وكما أكدتُ في تقريري السابق (A/HRC/36/31)، فإن ارتكاب أي عمل من أعمال الترهيب أو الانتقام في حق الأفراد أو الجماعات الذين يسعون إلى التعاون أو تعاونوا مع منظمة الأمم المتحدة في ميدان حقوق الإنسان هو أمرٌ غير مقبول على الإطلاق. إن العالم مدين لهؤلاء الشجعان الذين ينبرون للدفاع عن حقوق الإنسان، ويتجاوبون مع طلبات تقديم معلومات إلى الأمم المتحدة والتعاون معها، ومن واجبه أن يضمن احترام حقهم في المشاركة. إن معاقبة الأفراد على التعاون مع الأمم المتحدة ممارسة شائنة يجب على كل شخص أن يبذل المزيد من الجهد للقضاء عليها.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Counter- انظر، الخطاب الذي ألقاه الأمين العام في كلية الدراسات الشرقية والأفريقية، جامعة لندن، بعنوان: -counter- انظر، الخطاب الذي ألقاه الأمين العام في كلية الدراسات الشرقية والأفريقية، جامعة لندن، بعنوان: -terrorism and human rights: winning the fight while upholding our values" (16 November 2017)

#### Annex I

# Comprehensive information on alleged cases of reprisals and intimidation for cooperation with the United Nations on human rights

#### 1. Bahrain

- 1. Ten special procedure mandate holders expressed grave concern about an ongoing trend of harassment and intimidation against Bahraini civil society representatives seeking to cooperate with the United Nations. Reprisals have taken the form of sweeping travel bans for at least 20 selected individuals, and the arrest, detention, sexual assault and torture and other forms of ill-treatment of other targeted individuals (see 4 July 2017, BHR 8/2017 (the Government responded on 2 August 2017); 13 July 2017, BHR 9/2017 (the Government responded on 2 August 2017); and 13 December BHR 13/2017 (at the time of writing the Government had not responded).
- 2. Long-standing travel bans remained in effect for many civil society representatives during successive sessions of the Human Rights Council, preventing them from participating between June 2017 and June 2018.<sup>2</sup> A number of individuals have also reported the use of intimidation because of their past engagement with the Council to discourage them from speaking out again, including threats of physical violence, public defamation and rape.
- 3. Three family members of Mr. Sayed Ahmed Al-Wadaei, of the Bahrain Institute for Rights and Democracy who has engaged with the Human Rights Council, were sentenced on terrorism-related charges on 30 October 2017. In March 2017, while Mr. Al-Wadaei was attending the 34<sup>th</sup> session of the Human Rights Council in Geneva, Mr. Al-Wadaei's brother-in-law Mr. Sayed Nazar Al-Wadaei, cousin by marriage, Mr. Mahmoud Marzooq Mansoor and mother-in-law Ms. Hajar Mansoor Hassan were arrested in Bahrain, subjected to different forms of ill-treatment and torture, and faced terrorism-related charges. Bahraini authorities also reportedly targeted Mr. Al-Wadaei's wife, Ms. Duaa Al-Wadaei in March 2018 when she was sentenced in absentia to two months prison for "insulting a police officer." It was reported that Ms. Hajar Mansoor Hassan faced further reprisals in her place of detention, the Isa Town Prison Center, based on the raising of her and her family's case and conditions in the Prison Center by civil society at the Human Rights Council on 2 July 2018 and at the review of Bahrain by the Human Rights Committee from 2 to 4 July 2018.
- 4. The Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights addressed allegations in writing to the Government of Bahrain on 25 July 2017 and 29 May 2018. On 25 June 2018 the Government responded to the allegations of travel bans that freedom of movement in Bahrain is guaranteed by law, and that Ms. Ebtesam Al-Alsaegh, Mr. Nabeel Rajab, Ms. Neda Al-Salman (see Annex II of the present report), and the family members of Mr. Al-Wadaei's were not subject to reprisals for cooperation with the United Nations but rather responsible for criminal offenses.
- 5. With regard to Mr. Sayed Ahmed Al-Wadaei's family members (Mr.Nazar Al-Wadaei, Mr. Mahmoud Marzooq Mansoor, and Ms. Hajar Mansoor Hassan), the Government stated they were faced reprisals for committing criminal offences and not because of Mr. Al-Wadaei's cooperation with the United Nations. Regarding Mr. Nazar Al-Wadaei, according to the Government, two persons who investigated in relation to a 30-person attack on a public order patrol on 3 January 2017 confessed that Mr. Nazar Al-

<sup>1</sup> OHCHR, "Bahrain must end worsening human rights clampdown, UN experts say," 16 June 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Individuals included in the travel ban include: Mr. Mohamed al Tajer, Ms. Enas Oun, Mr. Ahmed al-Saffar, Ms. Fatima al-Mutawa, Ms. Rula al-Saffar, Ms. Jalila al-Salman, Ms. Nidal al-Salman, Mr. Radhi al-Musawi, Ms. Fatima al-Halwachi, Mr. Ebrahim Sharif, Mr. Ahmed Radhi, Mr. Mohamed Jawad, Dr. Taha al-Durazi, Mr. Faisal Hayat, Mr. Munthur al-Khour, Ms. Masooma al-Sayed, Ms. Rihanna al-Musawi, Sayed Talal al-Musawi and Mr. Ali al-Ghadeer, among others.

Wadaei was involved. The Office of the Prosecutor referred the case to the court, and Mr. Al-Wadaei was sentenced to seven years in prison. The decision is awaiting appeal at the Supreme Appeal Court, to resume on 5 June 2018.

6. Regarding Mr. Nazar Al-Wadaei, Mr. Mahmoud Marzooq Mansoor and Ms. Hajar Mansoor Hassan, according to the Government they were arrested for planting explosives in public places on 28 January 2017 and confessed to committing the act. Regarding Mr. Nazar Al-Wadaei and Mr. Younes Abdel Aziz, they were arrested for planting explosives in public places on 8 March 2017. According to the Government, Mr. Nazar confessed that he was instructed by Mr. Younes to commit the act. On 29 November 2017, Mr. Al-Wadaei and Mr. Abdel Aziz received a three-year prison sentence. On 8 February 2018, the Appeal Court accepted the case on a procedural basis, and the session took place on 13 June 2018. Regarding Ms. Duaa Al-Wadaei, the Government stated that she was not arrested because of her husband's activities, but arrested and charged with insulting a public servant. According to the Government, when Ms. Al-Wadaei was leaving the country, when the passport officer asked for her boarding pass she threw her boarding pass in a provocative manner and spoke to the officer in a demeaning manner. On 21 March 2018, the Court sentenced Ms. Al-Wadaei in absentia to two months of imprisonment.

#### 2. Cameroon

- On 26 October 2017, five special procedures mandate holders expressed concern about allegations of physical attacks, intimidation, and harassment against Ms. Maximilienne Ngo Mbe and Ms. Alice Nkom, following their participation in the review of Cameroon by the Human Rights Committee (CMR 5/2017). Ms. Ngo Mbe is the Executive Director of a coalition of Central Africa Human Rights Defenders - Network, and Ms. Nkom, is President of a Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender and Intersex (LGBTI) persons association, and also a member of the Network. It is alleged that both women are being targeted for their human rights advocacy - Ms. Ngo Mbe in relation to her efforts to bring attention to human rights violations committed in the English-speaking areas of southwest and northwest Cameroon, and Ms. Nkom for her advocacy against the criminalization of homosexuality in Cameroon. Both women human rights defenders have been the subject of previous communications by the special procedures, on 8 April 2010 an urgent appeal concerning Ms. Ngo Mbe (CMR 1/2010), on 5 August 2011 an urgent appeal concerning Ms. Ngo Mbe (CMR 1/2011), on 5 November 2012 an urgent appeal concerning Ms. Nkom (CMR 5/2012), on 13 August 2013 an urgent appeal concerning Ms. Ngo Mbe and Ms. Nkom (CMR 3/2013), and on 27 April 2015 an urgent appeal concerning Ms. Ngo Mbe and Ms. Nkom (CMR 1/2015). At time of writing, the Government has not responded to the special procedures' urgent appeals.
- Both women had contributed to a joint alternative report on Cameroon for its review to the Human Rights Committee, and the special procedures expressed serious concerns about the increasingly threatening nature of the physical attacks, acts of intimidation and harassment against them, and the further risk of reprisals as a result of their meetings with the Committee.. On 11 July 2018, the Government responded to the special procedures' communication of 26 October 2017, stating that the complainants should provide detailed evidence justifying the allegations, in order to allow and facilitate action by Cameroon. The Government emphasized that Cameroon is a state of law and not a police state, with regard to measures aimed at ensuring the full enjoyment of their freedom of association, including protective measures against any form of reprisal for their cooperation with the human rights mechanisms. According to the Minister of External Relations neither the gendarmerie nor by the police have ever been questioned Ms. Ngo Mbe and Ms. Nkom in relation to their human rights activities or their cooperation with human rights mechanisms. According to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, it is a priority of Cameroon to ensure the protection of all persons and all individuals living on its national territory in accordance with the principle of equality of before the law, therefore it is the Government's view that neither Ms. Ngo Mbe and Ms. Nkom can benefit from sui generis protection. During the universal period review of Cameroon on 16 May 2018, one Member State recommended that the Government take all necessary measures to enable human rights defenders and civil society to conduct their legitimate activities without fear of reprisal (see A/HRC/39/1, para. 121.125)..

#### 3. China

- 9. On 18 June 2018, in his opening statement to the thirty-eighth session of the Human Rights Council, the High Commissioner for Human Rights highlighted the continuing efforts of China to prevent independent members of civil society from engaging with United Nations human rights mechanisms, including treaty body reviews, the universal periodic review, and many special procedures mandate holders. The High Commissioner encouraged the authorities to enable all actors to contribute to all the international human rights mechanisms and to cooperate with them in a spirit of open and mutual partnership.<sup>3</sup>
- 10. In July 2017, police officially lifted bail conditions on Ms. Wang Yu, a Chinese lawyer working in defense of the rights of Chinese citizens, including high profile human rights defenders cooperating or seeking cooperate with the United Nations. Ms. Wang had reportedly been targeted for her legal representation on several sensitive cases, including her role in the case of Ms. Cao Shunli, a human rights defender who died in custody in 2014 following engagement with the second universal periodic review cycle of China (see A/HRC/33/19, para. 39; A/HRC/27/38, paras. 17-19; and A/HRC/30/29, Annex, para. 1).<sup>4</sup>
- 11. In July 2015, Ms. Wang was at the centre of the "709" incidents concerning human rights lawyers, legal assistants and law firm staff, and activists across the country, named for the date on which it took place (9 July 2015) and her situation was addressed in a prior communication by four special procedures mandate holders (CHN 6/2015) and in a statement by the High Commissioner for Human Rights. Upon her arrest Ms. Wang at first disappeared, then was subsequently charged with inciting "subversion of state power." In the early hours of 9 July 2015, police reportedly abducted Ms. Wang from her home in Beijing and, in January 2016, following six months of incommunicado detention in "residential surveillance at a police-designated location," Ms. Wang's family received a notice stating she had been formally arrested and was being held at Tianjin No. 1 Detention Center. Ms. Wang was reportedly tortured in custody and forced to confess to criminal behaviour. According to Ms. Wang, a police officer referenced the situation of Ms. Shunli's death during her own interrogation, noting that if she died in custody, she would become "another Cao Shunli."
- 12. After a video was released on 1 August 2016 where Ms. Wang gave a reportedly coerced televised confession, she and her family were held under house arrest in an apartment in Inner Mongolia, with 24-hour police guards and escorts if they left the residence. She was subsequently released on bail. In July 2017, police officially lifted bail conditions on Ms. Wang and her husband, but the family reportedly continues to live under surveillance.
- 13. According to information received, on 11 May 2018 Mr. Qin Yongmin, democracy activist and dissident, was prosecuted in part for his advocacy of the use of United Nations human rights mechanisms amongst civil society in China, including the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, and for promotion of the implementation of United Nations human rights treaties to which China is a party. Mr. Qin had also appealed to the special procedures to intervene on behalf of his wife, Ms. Zhao Suli, who has been held incommunicado while under "residential surveillance" (de facto house arrest) since February 2018, following over three years of enforced disappearance in police custody. Like Mr. Qin, Ms. Zhao has been in State custody since January 9, 2015, when they were both disappeared by police in Wuhan.
- 14. The Wuhan City Intermediate People's Court in Hubei Province charged Mr. Qin for "subversion of state power" (Criminal Law, Article 105(1)), and on 11 July 2018 sentenced him to 13 years in prison. The criminal indictment against Qin, which was issued by Wuhan City People's Procurorate on 17 June 2016, states that Qin was being prosecuted due to his promotion of engagement with United Nations human rights mechanisms, and that his

OHCHR, "Opening statement and global update of human rights concerns by UN High Commissioner for Human Rights Zeid Ra'ad Al Hussein at 38th session of the Human Rights Council," 18 June 2018.

OHCHR, "Deadly reprisals: UN experts deplore the events leading to the death of Chinese human rights defender Cao Shunli, and ask for full investigation," 18 March 2014.

OHCHR, "UN Human Rights Chief deeply concerned by China clampdown on lawyers and activists, 16 February 2016.

"fundamental method of his [advocacy] work is based on using the Constitution and various UN human rights treaties, leading those around him to strive for human rights protections, organizing them in accordance with the law, uniting various spontaneously created organizations, and coordinating the work on various fronts," as a way to allegedly form a "powerful political opposition group."

- 15. According to information received, Guizhou activist Mr. Mi Chongbiao and his wife, Ms. Li Kezhen have been forcibly disappeared since April 2018. They were detained incommunicado by State agents in May 2012 in Guiyang City, Guizhou Province, after Mr. Mi posted online a complaint that he had submitted to the United Nations Human Rights Council about rights violations that his family has suffered. Officers from Guizhou Public Security Bureau have mainly held the elderly couple (Mr. Mi is currently 78 and Ms. Li is around 67) in "black jails," makeshift facilities used to illegally detain dissidents, activists, and petitioners. Mr. Mi has reportedly been subjected to ill-treatment and torture. Ms. Li has not been involved in human rights advocacy and is being persecuted solely on the basis of her relationship to Mr. Mi.
- 16. On 31 July 2018 the Government responded to the allegations. Regarding the case of Ms. Wang, the Government stated that in July of 2015, she was "lawfully subjected to criminal detention on suspicion of troublemaking and inciting the subversion of State power, and was subsequently put under residential surveillance in accordance with the law." Regarding the case of Mr. Mi Chongbiao, the Government stated that in May of 2012, he was "lawfully subjected to criminal detention on suspicion of troublemaking, which was subsequently changed to residential surveillance that was lifted in August 2012. The Government further noted that allegations of "disappearances" or "arbitrary detentions" are at odds with the facts.
- Pertaining to Mr. Qin, the Government stated that in March of 2015, he was "lawfully subjected to criminal detention on suspicion of subverting State power; his arrest was approved by the procuratorial authorities in May of 2015, and [his case] was referred for prosecution in June of 2016." The Government noted that the Wuhan Municipal Intermediate People's Court held an open trial on 11 July 2018, which held that Mr. Qin had committed the crime of subverting State power and lawfully sentenced him to 13 years' fixed-term imprisonment and three years' deprivation of political rights. The Government stated that, "following his release on the completion of his term of imprisonment [for that crime], and motivated by his dissatisfaction with State power and the socialist system, he continued to engage in activities subversive of State power, advocating his ideas on subverting State power and proposing the goal, strategies and methods of subverting it through written essays, published books and the use the Internet and foreign media." The Government stated that to "achieve the goal of subverting State power, Mr. Qin sought out members, drafted regulations and established the structure of an unlawful organization that he set up with himself as its head, and raised funds by levying membership fees, soliciting donations and accepting financial subsidies, to be used for undertaking activities subversive of State power." The Government did not address the allegation of reprisals.

#### 4. Colombia

18. On 1 February 2018, five special procedures mandate holders addressed allegations of death threats by paramilitary groups to Mr. Germán Graciano Posso, a member of the Peace Community of San José del Apartadó, following his participation in the United Nations Forum on Business and Human Rights in Geneva in 2017 (COL 1/2018). On 27 and 28 November 2017, Mr. Graciano Posso gave two speeches as a panellist at the Forum, where he denounced the incursions, aggressions, and repeated death threats from paramilitary groups against members of the Peace Community, because of their work highlighting the illicit financing of Chiquita Brands by paramilitary groups. On 29 December 2017, five paramilitaries broke into a warehouse with the intention of assassinating him. Three of the attackers managed to flee, and two were captured and handed over by the community to government authorities who reportedly released them 24 hours after the event, whereby they continued to threaten the community in retaliation for the events that took place. At the time of writing the Government had not responded to the special procedures' urgent appeal.

#### 5. Cuba

- 19. On 11 May 2018, the spokesperson for the High Commissioner for Human Rights stated that OHCHR had received worrying reports that officials in Cuba have prevented a number of human rights defenders and civil society representatives from boarding flights to travel to meetings abroad on the pretext of requiring more detailed identity checks. Those measures have resulted in passengers missing their flights, and therefore the meetings, which in many cases, were organized by a United Nations entity. At the time of writing OHCHR had received direct information relating to 14 cases of Cuban human rights defenders who were told by officials that the computer system required extra screening.
- 20. There have also been reports that dozens of other people may have been stopped in this way from travelling, allegedly with no explanation by the Cuban authorities as to why they were held up nor on whose orders. Civil society organizations reported that the numbers of such instances have increased since 2016 and some human rights organizations were even informed that they would be banned from travelling outside Cuba until June 2018.
- 21. The spokesperson called on the Cuban authorities to respect everyone's right to freedom of expression and to freedom of movement, and to ensure that human rights defenders and civil society representatives are not unjustifiably prevented from travelling, including those planning to attend United Nations meetings, in particular its universal periodic review on 16 May 2018 in Geneva.
- 22. On 9 February 2018, two special procedures mandate holders expressed their concern to the Government about allegations of interrogation, threats and unofficial travel bans in individual cases, including Mr. José Ernesto Morales Estrada of Consejería Jurídica e Instrucción Cívica (CUB 1/2018). Mr. Morales Estrada has collaborated with the United Nations on different occasions, mainly the Human Rights Council and treaty bodies. Mr. Morales Estrada travelled to Geneva at the end of November 2017 to take part in the 94th session of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination and the 10th session of the United Nations Forum on Minority Issues.
- 23. On 18 December 2017, Mr. Morales Estrada received a summons to appear before the local police in Pinar del Río. During his interrogation, he was allegedly threatened and informed that from that day he would be prohibited from traveling outside of Cuba due to his human rights advocacy with the United Nations. The official-in-charge is alleged to have said that his participation in United Nations forums had negative effects for Cuba at the international level. In a letter dated 6 April 2018, the Government categorically rejected the allegations, stating that Cuba does not detain, threaten or harass people for peacefully exercising their rights and that Mr. Morales Estrada is free to leave the country.
- 24. According to information received on 18 February 2018, Ms. Dora L. Mesa, of Asociación Cubana para el Desarrollo de la ducación Infantil (ACDEI), was advised at the passport office (Oficinas de la Dirección de Inmigración y Extranjería) that an indefinite travel ban had been imposed on her due to 'public interest.' Ms. Mesa has no past criminal or judicial charge pending, and there is concern that this *de facto* travel ban has been imposed as a reprisal in relation to her previous engagement with United Nations human rights mechanisms and to prevent her from engaging with the universal periodic review. She has reportedly been subject to surveillance and harassment at her home.
- 25. Ms. Mesa, Mr. Juan Antonio Madrazo Luna and Ms. Marthadela Tamayo González, had planned to participate in pre-meetings related to the universal periodic review. On 7 April 2018, Mr. Madrazo Luna and Ms. Tamayo González both members of the Comité Ciudadanos por la Integración Racial (CIR), were subject to intense scrutiny at the airport by customs and immigration officials, and were prevented from being able to board the plane to travel to Geneva. On 12 May 2018, Mr. Madrazo Luna was traveling to attend the universal periodic review session and intercepted at Havana airport and detained by the police for two hours for a "verification of (his) documents" which ensured he missed his flight to Geneva (via Madrid). It was also reported that the taxi driver driving Mr. Madrazo Luna to the airport was fined by the police, detained and driven to a police station where he was interrogated. During the universal periodic review of Cuba in May 2018, a Member State recommended that Cuba allow human rights defenders and civil society to engage with the United Nations and its mechanisms (see A/HRC/39/16, para. 24.158).

26. The Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights addressed these allegations in writing to the Government of Cuba on 11 April 2018. On 10 May 2018 the Government responded that the individuals mentioned in the letter do not merit the categorization of 'human rights defender' because of the large monetary sums received for their work from undue foreign influence intent on regime change. The Government stated that these individuals should be more appropriately called 'foreign agents,' and rejected categorically the allegations of reprisals.

#### 6. Democratic Republic of the Congo

- 27. The United Nations Stabilization Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (MONUSCO), reported that on 25 September 2017, in Lubumbashi, Haut-Katanga province, a human rights defender who had sent a letter alleging human rights violations committed in Kambove territory, became a victim of threats and harassment by an Agence Nationale de Renseignement (ANR) provincial agent. It is alleged that the agents formally reprimanded the human rights defender for sending such a letter to MONUSCO.
- 28. On 28 October 2017, in Luebo, Kasai province, a United Nations team of joint human rights officers, accompanied by their MONUSCO military escort, was threatened by soldiers of the Forces Armées de la République Démocratique du Congo (FARDC) soldiers. FARDC soldiers and Police Nationale Congolaise agents were posted outside the hotel where the United Nations team stayed, presumably to monitor the team's movement and to identify persons coming to visit the team. It is alleged that two persons who wanted to speak with the United Nations team were arrested by FARDC soldiers and released the following day. A note verbale was sent by MONUSCO to the Congolese authorities addressing this particular incident.
- 29. On 20 December 2017, in Nyiragongo, North Kivu province, a representative of a local development NGO was abducted by unknown individuals who mistreated and hit him while asking questions. He managed to flee after nine days in captivity. This incident is allegedly linked to a World Bank visit in early 2017 when the NGO representative denounced the embezzlement of World Bank funds by the NGO's coordinator and agents of the Fonds Social de la République, an institution attached to the Presidency of the Republic in charge of managing funds for development projects brought by international partners such as the World Bank. Since the World Bank's visit, the NGO representative has been receiving threats through anonymous calls and text messages. In a meeting in March 2017 with the Antenna (sub-office) of the Fonds Social de la République in North Kivu, the coordinator of the NGO and his collaborators allegedly threatened the NGO representative if he continued to denounce their misbehaviour, and fired him.
- 30. On 24 April 2018, in Kimpese, Kongo central province, a human rights defender was allegedly threatened by a police commissioner following his advocacy for the release of six detainees, including one child. The police commissioner, who was armed, allegedly intimidated him publicly for sharing reports on allegations of human rights violations with MONUSCO.

#### 7. Djibouti

31. In April 2018, Mr. Kadar Abdi Ibrahim, a professor, journalist and human rights defender, conducted advocacy activities in Geneva, and presented a joint NGO submission prior to the universal periodic review of Djibouti. On 15 April 2018, two days after returning from Geneva, it was reported that he was briefly detained and had his passport confiscated by Secret Service agents who raided his home. The Secret Service agents gave no reason for his arrest and confiscation of his passport. Mr. Ibrahim has since been unable to leave the country. As a result, he was unable to participate in the review of Djibouti by the Working Group on the universal periodic review held on 10 May 2018. Four Member States expressed their concern to the Government of Djibouti during its examination by the universal periodic review in May 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Report of the Working Group on the Universal Periodic Review Djibouti (see A/HRC/39/10, paras. 54 (Croatia), 64 (Germany), 84 (Ireland) and 104 (The Netherlands)).

#### 8. Egypt

- 32. Various United Nations actors addressed the situation of Mr. Ebrahim Abdel Moneim Metwally Hagazy, one of the founders of the Association of the Families of the Disappeared. Mr. Metwally was traveling on 10 September 2017 from Cairo to Geneva to attend a meeting with the United Nations Working Group on Enforced and Involuntary Disappearances on 15 September 2017.7 Mr. Metwally had submitted a complaint on 3 April 2016 to the Working Group on behalf of his son, Mr. Amr Ibrahim Abdel Moneim Metwally, who was arrested on 7 August 2013 in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate by police and army security forces and who has been reported as disappeared (see A/HRC/WGEID/109/1, para. 35). The Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights addressed this case in writing to the Government on 15 September 2017 and to the Human Rights Council on 20 September 2017.8 On 3 October 2017, seven special procedures mandate holders expressed concern about his arrest and incommunicado detention (EGY 14/2017). The Government of Egypt replied to the Working Group (see below) and addressed the Human Rights Council on 20 September 2017.
- 33. Mr. Metwally was charged with founding and leading an illegal terrorist organisation, conspiracy with foreign entities or organizations to harm state security, and spreading false information. He was detained in Aqrab Prison for 15 days, pending the investigation, and reportedly subjected to ill-treatment and torture in detention. The Government responded to the special procedures on 8 November 2017, available online, but information has been received that he is still being held in solitary confinement in pretrial detention and cannot exercise his right to *habeas corpus*.
- 34. The Working Group on Enforced and Involuntary Disappearances expressed its continued concern that the cases and charges against Mr. Metwally may relate to his documentation of cases of enforced disappearance in Egypt, including for submission to the Working Group, and requested an update from the Government on outstanding questions raised, including whether an OHCHR letter confirming a meeting with the Working Group is part of the criminal file of Mr. Metwally and is being used as evidence against him (see A/HRC/WGEID/114/1, para. 56). The Government replied that it was not yet possible to confirm whether Mr. Hegazy had been holding a letter from OHCHR as the items found in his possession at the time of his arrest were still being inspected, and the Working Group on Enforced and Involuntary Disappearances has subsequently requested an update on this matter.
- 35. On 31 July 2018 the Government provided an update to OHCHR, reiterating its previous communications with the Working Group, including its response to special procedures (EGY 14/2017) on 8 November 2017. The Government noted that Mr. Metwally was charged with leading a terrorist group (in association with the Muslim Brotherhood) and spreading false news, statements and rumours abroad about the internal situation in the country. It noted the case is still being investigated as Mr. Metwally is still being interrogated and his seized assets are being examined. The examination report of Mr. Metwally's e-mail, phone, and CDs is still pending. The officer who conducted the investigation and who was responsible for his arrest and search still needs to be interviewed. The Government noted that Mr. Metwally was presented upon his request more than once to the prison hospital, where he was subjected to medical examination and care, was allowed to telephone his family and was given clothes, food, and medicine in his cell. The Government did not address the allegations of reprisals.
- 36. On 21 February 2018, five special procedures mandate holders addressed the prolonged nine-month pre-trial detention of Ms. Hanane Baderraddine Abdalhafez Othman, of the Families of the Forcibly Disappeared Association, as well as allegations of her being denied medical attention while in prison (EGY 4/2018; and see A/HRC/WGAD/2017/78, paras 89-93). Ms. Othman began to advocate for justice for victims of enforced disappearance and their families after her husband, Mr. Khaled Mohamed Hafez Mohamed Azzedine, disappeared on 27 July 2013 following his arrest by state security forces during a

OHCHR, "UN rights experts dismayed by arrest of Egyptian lawyer Ebrahim Metwally en route to meet them," 15 September 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> OHCHR, "Report highlights rising reprisals against human rights defenders cooperating with the UN," 20 September 2017.

demonstration in Nasr City, Cairo district. She has documented cases of enforced disappearances for submission to the Working Group on Enforced and Involuntary Disappearances.

- 37. Ms. Othman was the subject of a previous communication by four special procedures mandate holders on 6 July 2017 (EGY 9/2017) that concerned her arrest on 6 May 2017 at the Al Qanater Al Khayriyah Prison in the Governorate of Qalyubiya, where she went to enquire about the fate and whereabouts of her husband. Following her arrest, she was brought to the Public Prosecutor of Shubra El Kheima in the Governorate of Qalyubiya, and charged with "belonging to a banned group" and "forming a women's organization." She is currently held at the Al Qanater Al Khayriyah Prison for women in reportedly inhuman conditions. The Government responded to the special procedures' communication of 6 July 2017 on 30 October 2017. However, nearly six months after being detained, Ms. Othman remains in pre-trial detention, without being charged. There is concern that her detention may be an act of reprisal for her cooperation with the Working Group on Enforced and Involuntary Disappearances.
- 38. During its eightieth session in November 2017, the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention rendered its opinion that the detention of Ms. Othman and other individuals was arbitrary, and requested the Government of Egypt to immediately release her and others and accord them an enforceable right to compensation and other reparations. The Working Group also referred the case to the Coordinating Committee of special procedures and the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights (see A/HRC/WGAD/2017/78, paras. 89-93). There has been no Government response to the communication of the special procedures of 21 February 2018.
- 39. In an update to OHCHR on 31 July 2018, the Government noted that the investigations indicated that the accused is involved in a number of women's groups, which aim to monitor officers and individuals, as well as the cars they use for transportation for the purpose of targeting them through terrorist operations. The Government confirmed that the accused is currently in Qanatar prison for women and is charged with joining a terrorist organisation in case number 5163 of 2017, Administrative Qanatar Khayreya Police Station. She has been provided with medical treatment. The Government did not address the allegations of reprisals.

#### 9. Guatemala

- 40. On 30 November 2017, five special procedures mandate holders raised concerns regarding allegations of criminal charges against Mr. Jerson Xitumul Morales, a journalist who regularly collaborated with OHCHR Guatemala by providing information on the human rights situation in Izabal (GTM 6/2017). On 11 November 2017, Mr. Xitumul Morales was arrested in the city of El Estor, and accused of threats, instigation to commit a crime, illicit association, illicit meetings and demonstrations, damages and illegal detention. These accusations were related to demonstrations in May 2017 organized by fishermen from El Estor to protest against the alleged pollution of Lake Izabal by the mining activities of the Guatemalan Nickel Company (CGN). The participation of Mr. Xitumul Morales in the protests was limited to covering the events in his capacity as a journalist, narrating the facts and denouncing alleged excessive use of force.
- 41. The arrest of Mr. Xitumul Morales took place four days after personnel from the OHCHR office in Guatemala met with the mayor of El Estor to discuss the problems of the protests against mining activity in the region. During the meeting, the mayor reportedly accused Mr. Xitumul Morales, another journalist and seven fishermen of being part of organized crime.
- 42. At the end of his visit to Guatemala on 12 November 2017, the High Commissioner expressed support for the national human rights institution (Procuraduría de Derechos Humanos). The statement followed reprisals faced by the human rights Ombudsman (Procurador de los derechos humanos), Mr. Augusto Jordán Rodas Andrade, allegedly due to his support to the International Commission against Impunity in Guatemala (CICIG). In

OHCHR, Statement by UN High Commissioner for Human Rights Zeid Ra'ad Al Hussein at the end of his mission to Guatemala, 12 November 2017.

particular, following the President's declaration of the head of the Commission as *persona* non grata in August 2017, Mr. Rodas Andrade filed an injunction order to prevent his removal from the country. Subsequently, Mr. Rodas Andrade became the victim of smear campaigns, including by authorities in the executive and legislative branches, and there have been attempts to remove him from his position. Mr. Rodas Andrade and his family have received threats and on 27 October 2017 they were granted precautionary measures by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights. The Commission concluded that they are in a serious and urgent situation, with their rights to life and personal integrity at risk.

#### 10. Guyana

43. On 18 October 2017, the Working Group of Experts on People of African Descent sent an urgent appeal to the Government of Guyana concerning alleged reprisals by prison authorities and guards against an individual [name withheld by the Working Group] incarcerated at Lusignan Prison (GUY 1/2017). The Working Group had interviewed the individual on 4 October 2017, and heard allegations that he was verbally threatened by prison authorities and guards for having cooperated with them during their official visit to Guyana from 2 to 6 October 2017. The Working Group expressed serious concern at the safety and well-being of the individual and requested the Government, as a matter of urgency, to investigate the allegations and ensure that no detainees would be subjected to harm, threats, harassment or punishment for being in contact with the Working Group's urgent appeal.

#### 11. Honduras

- 44. Following the end of his official visit to Honduras on 12 May 2018, Mr. Michel Forst, the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, was "extremely concerned with the increasing number of acts of intimidation and reprisals against human rights defenders in connection with their engagement with the United Nations and its human rights mechanisms or with regional human rights organizations. These reprisals take the form of smear campaigns, harassment, intimidation, threats, physical attacks and killings." <sup>10</sup>
- 45. On 7 June 2017 four special procedures mandate holders sent a joint communication to the Government concerning allegations of death threats, attacks and reprisals against Ms. Hedme Castro (HND 3/2017), of the Asociación para una Ciudanía Participativa (ACI-PARTICIPA). The allegations related to surveillance and interference with her online correspondence, and her being held on 2 March 2017 at Toncontin international airport in Tegucigalpa, which prevented her from being able to board a plane to Geneva for a Human Rights Council side-event. Airport security allegedly conducted a "random" inspection of her luggage and interrogated her as to the purpose of her visit to Geneva, as well as to why she was carrying information regarding the situation of human rights in the country.
- 46. On 21 April 2017, a car without plates and with tinted windows was allegedly parked outside the office of ACI-PARTICIPA. On 1 May 2017, Ms. Castro and other members of ACI-PARTICIPA were reported to have been verbally and physically attacked during a demonstration by members of a company that operates in a region where ACI-PARTICIPA is helping the local indigenous community who are opposing actions by the company.
- 47. On 29 June 2017, the Government responded to the special procedures' communication of 7 June 2017, noting that no request was found by the Department of Human Rights in the Ministry of Security to implement protection measures for Ms. Castro or other members of ACI-PARTICIPA, but the Human Rights Defenders section, Special Attorney for Human Rights is investigating her and others' concerns regarding the airport security forces. The Government said it was impossible to contact Ms. Castro because she had left the country, therefore no risk assessment could be made on her behalf.

OHCHR, End of mission statement by Michel Forst, United Nations Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders said at the end of his visit to Honduras, 12 May 2018.

- 48. On 24 July 2017, the Human Rights Committee raised concern about reports that senior government officials made disparaging statements in the media about individuals and civil society organizations who had submitted information for the second periodic report of Honduras (see CCPR/C/HND/CO/2, para. 42). In July 2017 Honduran defenders from Coalición contra la impunidad travelled to Geneva to take part in the review of Honduras by the Human Rights Committee. The defenders provided information to the Committee regarding the murder of well-known environmental and human rights defender, Ms. Berta Cáceres in March 2016. In response the head of the Honduran delegation discredited the information and later made public statements, including to Honduran media outlets, that the information provided by civil society to the Human Rights Committee on the death of Ms. Cáceres was false and misleading.
- 49. On 6 July 2017, the President of the Supreme Court of Justice read a public statement on behalf of the Government, whereby it accused 50 civil society organizations<sup>11</sup> of having delivered false information to experts of the Human Rights Committee on the progress in the investigation of Ms. Cáceres' murder, and that it does not accept, "that bad Hondurans and national and foreign organizations...bring false or misrepresented information to damage the country with dangerous interests." The same communication was delivered by the Ministry of the Presidency in Honduras on 6 July 2017. On 18 July 2018 the Chair of the Committee met with the Government, who assured the Chair that no reprisals would occur.
- 50. The Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights visited Honduras in July 2017 and raised allegations of reprisals with the Government.

#### 12. Hungary

- 51. On 21 June 2017, three special procedures mandate holders addressed the alleged targeting of Budapest-based international disability rights non-governmental organisation Validity (formerly Mental Disability Advocacy Centre) following the release of a public report in May 2017 on allegations of human rights violations at the Topház social care institution (HUN 372017). Validity also brought these issues to the attention of OHCHR and to the Human Rights Committee when it considered the sixth periodic report of Hungary held in March 2018 (see CCPR/C/HUN/CO/6 para. 21 and CCPR/C/SR.3464 and 3465. Since the release of the report, the Government has reportedly tried to delegitimize the work conducted by Validity, threatening the organization that it would open criminal proceedings as well as intimidating its staff members. On 17 July 2017 a Validity representative was reportedly summoned by police in connection with the investigation. Validity are of the view that their targeting is related in large part to their advocacy with the United Nations human rights mechanisms.
- 52. Further, it was reported that among objections by Member States to non-governmental organisations participation in the Conference of States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption in Vienna in November 2017, was an attempt to block the participation of K-Monitor, a Hungarian anti-corruption non-governmental organisation. There were reported efforts to place obstacles in the way of the participation by the conference of organizations working on issues related to corruption by authorities. The Bureau of States Parties of the Conference, voted against the objection of the State and applied rule 17 paragraph 2 of the Rules of Procedure of the Conference in relation to the

Coalición Contra la Impunidad integrated by: Asociación Arcoiris - Asociación de Jueces por la Democracia (AJD) - Asociación Intermunicipal de Desarrollo y Vigilancia Social de Honduras (AIDEVISH) - Asociación por una Ciudadanía Participativa (ACI-PARTICIPA) - Centro de Derechos de Mujeres (CDM) - Centro de Estudios de la Mujer Honduras (CEM-H) - Centro de Investigación y Promoción de Derechos Humanos (CIPRODEH) Colectivo Gemas - Colectivo Unidad Color Rosa - Comité de Familiares de Detenidos Desaparecidos de Honduras (COFADEH) - Comité por la Libre Expresión C-Libre - Comunidad Gay Sampedrana para la Salud Integral - Convergencia por los Derechos Humanos de la Zona Nor Occidental Crisálidas de Villanueva - Equipo de Reflexión, Investigación y Comunicación (ERIC) - Feministas Universitarias Frente Amplio del COPEMH - Foro de Mujeres por la Vida - Foro Social de la Deuda Externa y Desarrollo de Honduras (FOSDEH) - Movimiento Amplio por la Dignidad y la Justicia (MADJ) - Movimiento de Mujeres por la Paz Visitación Padilla - Red de Mujeres Jóvenes de Cortés Red de Mujeres Unidas de Colonia Ramón Amaya Amador - Red Nacional de Defensoras - Tribuna de Mujeres contra los Femicidios.

- participation of non-governmental organizations (see CAC/COSP/2017/14, para. 25). While K-Monitor was able to resume their participation, there are concerns that the objection seemed to be a reprisal for cooperation with the United Nations in regard to its anti-corruption advocacy.
- 53. It was reported that two organizations that participated in the review of Hungary by the Human Rights Committee during the consideration of the Government's periodic report in March 2018, the Hungarian Helsinki Committee and Amnesty International Hungary, have been targeted, at least in part, for their advocacy on migrants' rights at the United Nations.
- 54. It was reported that the Governing Fidesz party have used language such as calling for "cleaning out [the country]" with reference to civil society. In 2018, there has been a targeted campaign of putting stickers on the doors of NGOs reading 'organization supporting illegal migration,' reportedly carried out by coalition partner KDNP (Christian Democrats) or Fidelitas (the youth wing of Fidesz). The Hungarian Helsinki Committee received a sticker on their door on 27 June 2018, while Amnesty International received such a sticker on 12 June 2018.
- 55. Further, on 12 April 2018, *Figyelő*, a publication in Hungary, published more than 200 names of people part of a group regarded by Prime Minister Orbán as "mercenaries paid by George Soros to topple the Government." The list included members of human rights and anti-corruption organizations, refugee advocates, investigative journalists and faculty and officials from the Central European University, a number of which have cooperated with the United Nations and have been publicly intimidated for reporting to or about the United Nations. A number of media outlets have accused some of the names on this list of making complaints to the United Nations against the Government. The campaign by the ruling party Fidesz prior to the April 2018 elections featured hostile rhetoric and billboards against civil society and the United Nations, in particular with regard to the ongoing consultations around the Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration.
- 56. On 3 August 2018 the Government responded to the allegations. It noted that the Mental Disability Advocacy Centre (Validity) was not authorized to prepare and publish the documentation they made at the unit of Pest County Social Institution (Topház) without the guardians' permission. As several photographs of the report were published on the internet, the "Pest County Police Headquarters launched an investigation procedure on account of the criminal report of the state authority responsible for the maintenance of the Institution," which the Government should not be regarded as a form of retaliation in connection with any monitoring activity. The Government referred to its detailed position on the subject matter in response to the 21 June 2017 communication by special procedures (HUN 372017), available online.
- 57. Pertaining to the participation of K-Monitor in the 2017 Conference of States Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption, the Government stated that the objection was related to the organization's non-compliance with Hungarian legislation, and that making objections in compliance with the provisions of the Conference's Rules of Procedure are legitimate and should not be considered a reprisal.
- 58. Regarding the targeting of the Hungarian Helsinki Committee and Amnesty International Hungary, the Government notes it is of the position that "putting stickers on the doors of NGOs does not in any way prevent organizations from availing themselves of UN procedures in the field of human rights." Further, the Government notes that in the review of the Human Rights Committee, the Minister for Foreign Affairs and Trade of Hungary only remarked that "serious debates on this issue [migration] were taking place with non-governmental organizations, which exerted their voices in the international space" and that this should not be interpreted as a "call for cleaning out the country" with reference to civil society.
- 59. With regard to the allegations attributed to the publication *Figyelő*, the Government affirms that the "impugned acts are not attributable to the Government" given that *Figyelő* is not a publication of the Government, and that it "does not see how reporting about the activity of an NGO by the independent press would prove that the *Figyelő* publication is a result of the complaints filed with the UN." Further, the Government notes that the domestic courts are available for redress in the case of infringements by media outlets. Regarding the Government's rhetoric in the election campaign, in particular the ongoing

consultations around the Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration, the Government notes that its "rhetoric did not target any organization or individual for cooperating with the UN, but took a position and shared its view on the draft of a UN document related to migration."

#### 13. India

- 60. On 9 November 2017 two special procedures mandate holders expressed concern at the use of the Foreign Contribution Regulation Act of 2010 to restrict the work of non-governmental organizations who seek to cooperate with the United Nations, for example, by refusing to renew or grant licenses (OTH 27/2017).
- 61. They drew attention to the revocation of the license of the Centre for Promotion of Social Concern (also known as People's Watch) under the Foreign Contribution Regulation Act, which was also addressed by three special procedures mandate holders on 31 May 2018 (IND 14/2018). On 29 October 2016 the Ministry of Home Affairs reportedly refused to renew the organization's license to receive foreign funding under Article 6 of the FCRA and CPSC's bank accounts were frozen. The refusal was subsequently upheld by the High Court of New Delhi in January 2017. The case is still pending before the court following a 13 April 2018 hearing, and has been adjourned to 31 August 2018.
- 62. The Executive Director of the Centre for Promotion of Social Concern, Mr. Henri Tiphagne was accused of using foreign contributions in his international advocacy "to the detriment of India's image," including in his engagement with United Nations special rapporteurs to whom he submitted information "portraying India's human rights record in negative light." Mr. Tiphagne has also made recommendations to the universal periodic review. The special procedures mandate holders noted that the non-renewal of CPSC's license is a clear case of reprisal for his cooperation with the United Nations (IND 14/2018).
- 63. Additionally, on 1 January 2018, it was reported that the Centre for Social Development, which promotes the land and resource rights of indigenous peoples in Manipur, received a six months suspension. According to reports, the suspension was based on claims that the Centre for Social Development violated the Foreign Contribution Regulation Act by using foreign funding for purposes other than intended by the law, including drawing attention to Uranium mining in Meghalaya at "several global platforms." The Centre for Social Development submitted a report in October 2017 to the United Nations Working Group on Business and Human Rights and to the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination which included inquiries related to uranium mining and cement factories in Meghalaya. According to the Centre for Social Development, it has submitted nine reports to the United Nations since 2006 concerning violations of the rights of indigenous peoples in northeast India in relation to large-scale development projects, mining operations, and implementation of the Armed Forces (Special Powers) Act. They have requested the Committee's action under its early warning procedure.
- 64. It is alleged that the Centre for Social Development has been targeted by Indian authorities since August 2017, when surveillance of its premises and staff's movements began. The offices of the organization were reportedly visited by the Central Reserve Policy Force and others to question the staff about their work, and staff have been harassed. One staff member was physically attacked on 18 August 2017. In November 2017, one staff member and two volunteers of the organization were called in for questioning by the police.
- 65. The Secretary of the Centre for Social Development, Mr. Nobokishore Urikhimbam, has been surveyed by military intelligence officials from the State of Manipur as well as those outside of the state at his office premises and at his home in Imphal, Manipur. When he travelled to Shillong, State of Meghalaya in January 2018, the Intelligence Department of Meghalaya contacted the hotel and interrogated its staff about his actions and contacts. The hotel staff was asked to provide detailed information on his activities, including a list of the people he interacted with. These incidents were reportedly brought to the attention of the Superintendent of Police, Imphal East District and Patsoi Policy Station, Imphal West District, to no avail.
- 66. On 20 June 2017, Mr. Michel Forst, the Special Rapporteur on human rights defenders expressed his concern about reports of reprisals against a member of the Jammu

and Kashmir Coalition of Civil Society, Mr. Kartik Murukutla (IND 4/2017). Mr. Murukutla represents victims of human rights violations before local courts and engages with United Nations human rights mechanisms, particularly the universal periodic review and the special procedures. In September 2016, while traveling to Geneva, Mr. Murukutla was informed that he was subject to a "Look Out Circular," a measure taken where a case has been registered against an individual by a police authority in order to verify whether a travelling person is wanted by the police. They are used by the police authorities to prevent and monitor the entry or exit of persons who may be required by law enforcement agencies, and there is concern that this measure was taken against Mr. Murukutla as a reprisal for his cooperation with United Nations human rights mechanisms in Geneva. It was reported in May 2018 Mr. Murukutla was not subject to restrictions during his most recent travels, but he had not been informed about the status of the Look Out Circular nor its implications for his future travel.

67. On 7 June 2018 the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights addressed the allegations of intimidation and reprisals to the Government of India. On 2 July 2018 the Government responded that the Foreign Contribution Regulation Act of 2010 prohibits acceptance and utilization of foreign contribution for activities detrimental to national interest. It noted that the revocation of the license for the Centre for Promotion of Social Concern before the Delhi High Court, is adjourned until 31 August 2018, and that the Centre for Social Development "needs to conform to the legal framework and the requirements under FCRA." The Government noted that Mr. Khurram Parvez's detention is well grounded according to the provisions of the Jammu and Kashmir Public Safety Act (1978) based on his activities prejudicial to public order. At the time of writing, the Government had not responded to the communications by special procedures of 9 November 2017 (OTH 2/2017), 20 June 2017 (IND 4/2017), or 31 May 2018 (IND 14/2018).

#### 14. Israel

68. In May 2018, the Minister of the Interior in Israel did not renew the work permit of the Director of Human Rights Watch, Mr. Omar Shakir, and ordered his deportation. Mr Shakir remains in the country, as the order is under review by a district court. According to information received, the revocation was based on a dossier compiled by the Ministry of Strategic Affairs and Public Diplomacy alleging that Mr. Shakir supports a boycott of Israel. Among the allegations cited are statements by Mr. Shakir supporting the creation of a database of businesses that operate in Israeli settlements, pursuant to Human Rights Council resolution 31/36. The Government highlighted this allegation in its 77-page response to a lawsuit filed by Mr. Shakir and Human Rights Watch challenging the deportation decision. An amicus brief filed by the group NGO Monitor and accepted by the court also points to social media posts highlighting Human Rights Watch's support for the database and their more general advocacy at the United Nations Human Rights Council. The allegations were discussed at a first court hearing in June 2018.

#### 15. Kyrgyzstan

- 69. On 25 June 2018 the Committee on Migrant Workers (CMW) addressed the Government regarding the designation as extremist material of a submission by civil society organizations Anti-Discrimination Centre Memorial and Bir Duino Kyrgyzstan. The decision came from the Oktyabrsky District Court in Bishkek following their submission of an alternative report to the Committee ahead of its review of Kyrgyzstan in April 2015. The report addressed the obligations of the Government to protect the rights of Kyrgyz migrant workers.
- 70. In May 2018 during a visit to Kyrgystan the Assistant-Secretary General raised the allegations with the Government.

#### 16. Maldives

71. On 20 April 2018, four special procedures mandate holders raised concerns about the launching of investigations against Ms. Shahindha Ismail, of the Maldivian Democracy Network, for the legitimate exercise of her freedom of expression on Twitter and for having

participated in a side event at the 35th session of the Human Rights Council in June 2017 (MDV 3/2018). On 2 April 2018, the police summoned Ms. Ismail to question her for criticising Islam "with the intention to cause disregard for Islam" under Section 617 (a) 1 and 2 of the Penal Code, which prescribes up to four months and 24 days of imprisonment for first time offenders. She was also accused by the police of attempting to "disrupt the religious unity and create religious discord in the Maldives" through Twitter. Ms. Ismail has categorically denied the accusation. Ms. Ismail has been, and continues to be, subject to online threats and online gender-based violence, including rape threats. A Twitter account has repeatedly tweeted to Ms Ismail saying that they would rape her and violently harm her if they saw her on the road. The special procedures expressed concern that the investigations launched against her may constitute acts of reprisals for her cooperation with the Human Rights Council, and for her human rights work through the exercise of her right to freedom of expression.

72. The Government addressed the allegations on 23 July 2018 and confirmed that the Maldives police service launched a criminal investigation against Ms Ismail for allegations of attempting to disrupt the religious unit of the citizens of Maldives and conversing and acting in a manner likely to cause religious segregation amongst the people. After completing the investigation, the police forwarded the case to the Prosecutor General's office where the Office determined that they could not find enough grounds to pursue a criminal charge against Ms Ismail or to have the charge proven in a court of law. The case has since been filed by the police. With regard to the allegations of death threats and intimidation through social media, a police investigation is ongoing and the case is being treated as serious, but the investigation is facing difficulties in obtaining the information because the Facebook and Twitter accounts were fake. The Government also reported that Ms Ismail is no longer being provided with personal security services by the Internal Security Command of the Maldives Police Service pursuant to her request in writing of 11 March 2018.

#### 17. Mali

- 73. According to the United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in Mali (MINUSMA), there have been cases of reprisals perpetrated by state actors as well as non-state armed groups against individuals who collaborate with the United Nations. <sup>12</sup> Intimidation and death threats are strategies that have been used by terrorist and extremist armed groups to threaten populations for any collaboration with national and international forces as well as State actors, including MINUSMA.
- 74. On 26 October 2017, the chief of Boulkessi village (close to the Burkina Faso border, Mondoro commune, Douentza cercle, Mopti region) who is a source of information for the MINUSMA Human Rights and Protection Division, reported that he had received threats relating directly to his cooperation with them regarding an investigation into allegations of extra-judicial executions committed by Forces Armées Maliennes (FAMa). It is alleged that he received three threatening phone calls from unknown callers whose voices were disguised, and who referred to his collaboration with the investigation. The chief had reported the location of a mass grave containing bodies of four individuals killed by armed forces in an extrajudicial execution then he began to receive these threats.
- 75. On 2 December 2017, six unidentified armed elements shot and killed the Secretary-General of the Mayor of Dioungani commune (Koro cercle, Mopti region), and it is believed that the victim may have been targeted for being an informer to the armed forces and/or international forces, including MINUSMA. At the time of writing an investigation was ongoing.
- 76. Between 9 and 11 April 2018, MINUSMA conducted a special mission to Ménaka to meet with representatives of civil society and victims of human rights abuses during "counter-terrorism operations" conducted by elements of the Mouvement pour le Salut de

MINUSMA, "Malgré la mise en œuvre de l'Accord pour la paix, la situation des droits de l'homme demeure préoccupante au Mali, Bamako, le 1er février 2018".

l'Azawad (MSA) and the Groupe autodéfense touareg Imghad et alliés (GATIA), in the region since June 2017. The village chief of Akabar who met with the MINUSMA team reported that on 13 April 2018 he was contacted by the MSA Chief of Staff and was questioned and intimidated because of his cooperation with MINUSMA.

#### 18. Morocco

77. In a decision of 15 November 2016 (CAT/C/59/D/606/2014) the Committee against Torture found that Morocco was responsible for violations of Art. 1 and 12 to 16 of the Convention against Torture in the case of *Naâma Asfari v. Morocco*, in which Mr. Ennaâma Asfari, a Sahrawi human rights defender currently in detention, was the petitioner. Since the decision by the Committee Mr. Asfari's treatment in detention has reportedly deteriorated. His wife has been denied entry into Morocco on four occasions. On 13 February 2018, Mr. Asfari was placed in solitary confinement until 13 March 2018. On 13 July 2018 the Committee's Rapporteur on Reprisals and Follow-up wrote to the Government emphasizing the need to refrain from reprisals (G/S0 229/3MAR(8) 606/2014). On 31 July 2018 the Government responded, and the Committee decided to keep the follow-up dialogue on this case open, emphasizing the need for the full implementation of remedies requested. Another meeting was suggested during the Committee's session from 12 November to 7 December 2018.

#### 19. Myanmar

- During a briefing by members of the Security Council on their mission to Myanmar, it was reported that Myanmar security forces had threatened Rohingya villages with reprisals if they talked with the Security Council delegation during the visit and told the villagers that those who had done so were being looked for. A Security Council member noted that it was unacceptable that anyone should feel intimidated about speaking with the Council (see S/PV.8255, page 6).<sup>13</sup> Reports indicate that Rohingya villagers from Pan Taw Pyin, Rakhine State who met with the delegation on 1 May 2018, have been forced into hiding after being targeted by Myanmar's security agencies. Tatmadaw, including members of Military Security Affairs, reportedly requested local authorities to submit a list of all the villagers who had spoken with the delegation. While young villagers were interacting with the delegation, members of the military reportedly took video footage of the youth and then chased them and scolded them after the interviews. Villagers who participated in the meeting reportedly fear intimidation, harassment, and arrests. Furthermore, before the Security Council delegation visited Rakhine, authorities in Maungdaw township had reportedly warned the Rohingya in the surrounding villages against telling members of the Security Council anything adverse about the government or security forces.
- 79. Ms. Yanghee Lee, United Nations Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in Myanmar, reported to the Human Rights Council in March 2018 that she had received information about violent reprisals committed by the armed forces against civilians who she had met with following her visit to Rakhine State in January 2017 (see A/HRC/37/70, para. 63). These include a killing, beatings, and rape. The Special Rapporteur received credible information that the armed forces attacked a village in Rakhine a couple of days after her 2017 visit as a reprisal against those in the community who spoke to her. The armed forces reportedly gathered the village men and women together, and subjected them to severe mistreatment, beatings and assaults, and in another village, one man was killed.
- 80. The Governing Body of the ILO reported on 7 February 2018 that it remained concerned about two cases of apparent reprisal against complainants in forced labour cases (see GB.332/INS/8, para. 15). The two cases were also raised by the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in Myanmar, in her March 2018 report to the Human Rights Council (see A/HRC/37/70, para. 15).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> UN Security Council, Briefing by Security Council mission to Bangladesh and Myanmar (28 April to 2 May 2018), 8255<sup>th</sup> meeting, S/PV.8255m 14 May 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> ILO, Follow-up to the resolution concerning remaining measures on the subject of Myanmar adopted by the Conference at its 102nd Session (2013), 7 February 2018.

- 81. The ILO expressed concern about the detention on 18 August 2017 of Mr. Aung Ko Htwe, who had been forcibly recruited into the army in 2005 at age 14 (see GB.332/INS/8, para. 16). He receives continued protection according to the 2007 agreement between the ILO and Myanmar that gives victims the right to lodge complaints alleging the use of forced labour and to seek redress without "retaliatory action." In 2009, Mr. Htwe's family filed a complaint about his underage recruitment with the ILO and, under its agreement of Supplementary Understanding, is entitled to continued protection from reprisals related to his forced recruitment complaint. At the time he was serving a commuted 10-year prison sentence for allegedly being implicated in a murder with two other child soldiers when he was attempting to flee the army in 2007, a case that had been addressed by the ILO to no avail.
- 82. A month after his release in July 2017, Mr. Htwe was re-arrested by Myanmar security forces after he gave an interview to Radio Free Asia detailing his forced recruitment into the army as a child, and charged under section 505(b) of the Penal Code for speaking publicly about his experience. The ILO appealed for the charges to be dropped and noted that in the past several years, other underage recruits have spoken publicly about their experience without facing such reprisals, which has helped to reinforce the Government's policy to end underage recruitment and forced labour. On 9 October 2017, the Government responded to the ILO indicating that it had previously responded to ILO in 2010 that it had taken measures to close the case. However, on 28 March 2018, the Dagon Seikkan Township Court sentenced Mr. Aung Ko Htwe to two years in prison with hard labor, despite his status as a complainant with ILO.
- 83. Human Rights Council resolution of 18 March 2018 (see A/HRC/RES/37/32, para. 22) expressed serious concern about reported cases of reprisal for cooperation with the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in Myanmar, and emphasized that no one should face reprisals, monitoring, surveillance, threats, harassment or intimidation for cooperating or speaking with the special procedures of the Human Rights Council, including the Special Rapporteur, the independent international fact-finding mission or the United Nations. The Human Rights Council called on the Government of Myanmar to take appropriate measures to prevent such acts and to combat impunity by investigating promptly and effectively all allegations of intimidation and reprisal in order to bring perpetrators to justice and to provide victims with appropriate remedies.

#### 20. Philippines

- 84. On 2 October 2017, five special procedures mandate holders expressed concern about the defamatory and intimidating public statements directed at the Commission on Human Rights of the Philippines (Commission), its members and its Chairperson Mr. Chito Gascon (PHL 12/2017), because of its human rights monitoring work and cooperation with the United Nations. The Commission submitted information to the universal periodic review of the Philippines in 2017 (see A/HRC/WG.6/27/PHL/3, paras. 2-9), 2012 (see A/HRC/WG.6/13/PHL/3, paras. 1-13) and 2008 (see A/HRC/WG.6/1/PHL/3, paras. 1-18). The Commission has received threats of being defunded or abolished and has been vilified in the media as a hindrance to the implementation of government policies. When commissioners travel for work purposes they are reportedly monitored, which has affected their engagement with the United Nations. There has been no Government response to the communication of the special procedures of 2 October 2017.
- 85. The Commissioner's former Chairperson, Ms. Leila M. de Lima, has been in prison since February 2017 on allegations of drug-related charges. In March 2018 it was reported that Ms. De Lima had not been arraigned in any of the three most serious drug-related cases for which she was charged. On 27 March 2017, six special procedures mandate holders raised concerns that her arrest may be "politically motivated" (PHL 5/2017). In addition to her arrest and detention, Ms. De Lima has been subject to intimidation, threats and judicial harassment in connection with her criticism of government policies surrounding the war on drugs, such as the extrajudicial killings of suspected criminals and drug users and President Duterte's proposal to reinstate the death penalty, including when she was Chair of the Commission.

<sup>15</sup> ILO, Supplementary Understanding between the Government of Myanmar and ILO, 2007.

- 86. Multiple actors have expressed concern at the February 2018 petition of the Department of Justice to a Manila court in February 2018, seeking to declare the Communist Party of the Philippines (CPP) and the New People's Army (NPA) as "terrorist" organizations under the Republic Act 9372 or the Human Security Act 2007 (the anti-terrorism law). The petition includes a list of over 600 individuals who are labelled as *de facto* "terrorists." It is reported that among these are least 80 recognized human rights defenders, indigenous peoples' representatives, and representatives of community-based organizations. This is the first time the Human Security Act of 2007 has been used against numerous activists.
- 87. A number of these individuals have been long-standing partners of the United Nations who believe their inclusion on this list is in part due to their international advocacy with the United Nations, including the Human Rights Council, the universal periodic review, the treaty bodies, and the special procedures. Among this list are past and current human rights defenders of the Karapatan Alliance for the Advancement of People's Rights, which includes a national alliance of human rights organizations, institutions and individuals in the Philippines, <sup>16</sup> which was the subject of a joint communication by three special procedures, regarding vilifying and threatening public statements made by President Rodrigo Duterte (PHL 4/2018).
- 88. Several indigenous peoples' representatives and human rights defenders advocating for the rights of indigenous peoples in the Philippines are on this list. In addition to the above-mentioned United Nations human rights mechanisms, several of these individuals have engaged with the former United Nations Working Group on Indigenous Populations, the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples, and the United Nations Forum on Business and Human Rights.<sup>17</sup>
- 89. In a letter to the Government on 4 May 2018, the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights expressed concerned that placing these individuals on a "terrorist" list may constitute a reprisal for their engagement with the United Nations human rights system, and he also addressed the matter publicly on 18 May 2018. On 8 May 2018 during its 95<sup>th</sup> session, the Committee for the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, under its early warning and urgent action procedures, urged the Government to remove indigenous leaders and human rights defenders, including incumbent and former United Nations special procedures mandate holders from the petition list, and recommended that the Government adopt effective measures to prevent acts of violence against indigenous peoples, defenders

These include Ms. Elisa Tita Lubi, member at large of the Karapatan National Executive Committee and former interim Regional Coordinator of the Asia Pacific Forum on Women, Law and Development (APWLD); Mr. Arnold Evangelista and Erlindo Baes, officers of the Batangas Human Rights Alliance -Karapatan chapter, QRT; Ms. Zara Alvarez, Research and Advocacy Officer of the Negros Island Health Integrated Program (NIHIP) and former Campaign and Education Director of Karapatan-Negros chapter; and Mr. Sherwin de Vera, Regional Coordinator of DEFEND Ilocos, member of the Save The Abra River Movement (STARM) and former Secretary General of the Ilocos Human Rights Alliance-Karapatan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> These include representatives associated with the Cordillera People's Alliance, an independent federation of organizations promoting indigenous communities' rights in the Cordillera Region, Philippines: Ms. Joan Carling, an indigenous leader from the Kankanaey Igorot people of the Cordillera Region, current Member and Co-Convenor of the Indigenous Peoples Major Group for the Sustainable Development Goals, former Secretary-General of the Asian Indigenous Peoples' Pact (AIPP), former member of the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, former Chairperson of the Cordillera Peoples Alliance and current member of the CPA Advisory Council; Ms. Beverly Longid, an indigenous leader from the Kankanaey Igorot people of the Cordillera Region, current global coordinator of the International Indigenous Peoples Movement for Self-Determination and Liberation (IPMSDL), and former CPA Chair and current Advisory Council member; Mr. Jose Molintas, an Ibaloi human rights lawyer, former Asia representative to the UN Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (EMRIP) and former CPA Chair and current Advisory Council member; Ms. Joanna Cariño, an Ibaloi member of the CPA Advisory Council and Co-Chair of the SANDUGO Movement of Moro and Indigenous Peoples for Self Determination; Mr. Windel Bolinget, a Kankanaey-Bontok who is the current Chairperson of the CPA and National Co-convenor of KATRIBU national alliance of indigenous peoples; and Ms. Jeanette Ribaya-Cawiding, a Kankanaey, former Chairperson of CPA-Tongtongan ti Umili and current Regional Coordinator of the Alliance of Concerned Teachers - Cordillera.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> OHCHR, "Human rights advocates in Asia under attack," Andrew Gilmour, 18 May 2018.

of the rights of indigenous peoples and other human rights defenders. It requested the Philippines to provide information no later than 16 July 2018 (see CERD Decision 1/95). On 8 June 2018, five special procedures mandate holders raised their concerns (PHL 5/2018). At the time of writing there had been no response from the Government.

#### 21. Russian Federation

- 90. On 10 May 2018, the Chair and the Focal Point for Reprisals for the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination wrote to the Government about allegations of reprisals by the authorities against Ms. Yana Tannagasheva and Mr. Vladislav Tannagashev, human rights defenders advocating for the rights of the Shor indigenous people of southern Siberia. They had engaged with the Committee in relation to the twenty-third and thirty-fourth periodic reports of the Russian Federation in August 2017.
- 91. Following their collaboration with the Committee, Ms. Tannagasheva and Mr. Tannagashev were subject to harassment and intimidation by authorities of the Kemerovo district and representatives of Yuzhnaya coal company. They were urged by the police to renounce their activities, and were placed under surveillance by the police and security service, and had their phones tapped. Threats were made by security service agents concerning their children, and their relatives and friends were summoned for questioning. Further, Ms. Tannagasheva was dismissed from her job as a teacher at a local school and her house was burned down in a suspected arson attack, following which no investigation took place. As a result, both fled the country with their children out of fear for their safety. The Committee expressed their grave concern for the allegations of reprisals against Ms. Tannagasheva and Mr. Tannagashev, which appear to be a direct consequence of their engagement with the Committee. At the time of writing the Government had not responded to the Chair and the Focal Point for Reprisals of the Committee.

#### 22. Rwanda

- 92. On 20 October 2017, the Subcommittee on the Prevention of Torture (SPT) announced publicly that it had suspended its visit to Rwanda "due to a series of obstructions imposed by authorities, such as accessing some places of detention, confidentiality of certain interviews and over concerns that some interviewees could face reprisals."<sup>20</sup> The Subcommittee reported that during its visit the experts encountered an especially difficult environment to undertake private interviews with detainees and many detainees expressed to them a fear of reprisals for cooperating with them. In some cases, detainees refused to be interviewed at all for fear of subsequent retaliation against them. Before the suspension of the visit, the Subcommittee and OHCHR Secretariat made several attempts to address the above-mentioned concerns with the Ministry of Justice, to no avail. According to its mandate under the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture (OPCAT), the Subcommittee can make unannounced visits at any place where people are or might be deprived of their liberty in countries which are a party to the Protocol, including prisons, police stations, detention centers for migrants, juveniles' detention centers, interrogation facilities, and psychiatric hospitals.
- 93. At its thirty-fourth session in February 2018, the the Subcommittee announced its intention to resume the visit to Rwanda. However, due to the lack of cooperation of the authorities in the resumption of the visit, at its thirty-fifth session in June 2018, the Subcommittee decided to terminate the visit as there was no prospect of the visit being successfully resumed or concluded. It is the first time in 11 years and more that 60 country visits that the Subcommittee had to terminate a visit before its completion. However, the subcommittee had to terminate a visit before its completion.

<sup>19</sup> See http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/Treaties/CERD/Shared%20Documents/RUS/ INT CERD RLE RUS 8683 E.pdf.

OHCHR, "Prevention of Torture: UN human rights body suspends Rwanda visit citing obstructions," 20 October 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> OHCHR, "UN torture prevention experts announce resuming visit to Rwanda," 28 February 2018.

OHCHR, "UN torture prevention body to visit Burundi, Costa Rica, Senegal and Switzerland; terminates Rwanda visit", 4 July 2018

94. On 1 June 2018 the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights wrote to the Government expressing concern about the lack of assurances given to the SPT that those interviewed or contacted during the visit would not face intimidation and reprisals for cooperating with the SPT members and OHCHR Secretariat, and requesting information on the welfare of the detainees involved in the visit. On 18 June 2018 the High Commissioner for Human Rights expressed concern by the suspension of the visit, and called on Rwanda to provide full cooperation so that the Subcommittee can fulfil its mandate. On 27 June 2018 the Government responded to the Assistant Secretary-General that the SPT had "full and unimpeded access to places of detention and detainees" and has put in place "prevention and investigatory measures to respond to allegations of reprisals. The Government noted that it had underwent its own investigation into the conditions that led to the suspension of the visit, including the allegations of reprisals, and found them without base. The Government further referred to its letter of 23 October 2017 to the SPT which noted that technical issues that arose during the five-day visit were resolved.

# 23. Saudi Arabia

- 95. It was reported that on 28 February 2018, Mr. Essa Al Nukheifi was sentenced to six years in prison by the Specialized Criminal Court. Mr. Al Nukheifi is a human rights defender with whom Mr. Philip Alston, the Special Rapporteur on extreme poverty and human rights consulted in December 2016 during the preparations of his mission to Saudi Arabia in January 2017. Mr. Al Nukheifi was also banned from travelling and using social media for an equivalent amount of time after his release. He had been the subject of a previous communication by four special procedures (SAU 2/2017) and there is concern that his current detention is related to his cooperation with the Special Rapporteur and that he expressed his willingness to meet with the mandate's team. On 20 April 2017 the Government replied that Mr. Al Nukheifi was arrested in December 2016 on criminal charges and was afforded due process.
- 96. In August 2017 Mr. Al Nukheifi was charged with "seeking to destabilise the social fabric and national cohesion" (Royal Decree 16820 see para. 8), "communicating with, and receiving money from foreign groups considered to be enemies of the state" (Royal Decrees 16820 and A/44) and "using a personal phone and the internet to store and transfer information considered harmful to the public order" (article 6 of the Anti-Cyber Crime Law, Royal Decree M/17). On 30 December 2016 Mr. Al Nukheifi had been transferred to Mecca General Prison where he remains in detention. It is alleged that he does not have a bed to sleep on and must sleep on the cold floor without any blanket, that he has been repeatedly threatened with torture, and that he is not allowed to receive visits from his family.
- 97. On 1 June 2017 the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention issued an opinion about Mr. Salim Abdullah Hussain Abu Abdullah (A/HRC/2017/10), who was arrested on 11 December 2014 without an arrest warrant and not provided with a reason for his arrest. He was taken to Dammam Central Prison and held incommunicado for six months and placed in solitary confinement. He was reportedly subjected to severe psychological and physical torture, and forced to sign a statement he was not allowed to read beforehand. In addition, some family members of Mr. Abu Abdullah were reportedly intimidated and threatened. The Working Group considers the detention of Mr. Abu Abdullah to be arbitrary and requested the Government to take steps to remedy the situation (see A/HRC/WGAD/2017/10, para. 31-33). At the time of writing, more than three years after his initial arrest, he has not been officially charged and, no court date has been set for a trial. Since the opinion was issued, it has been reported that Mr. Abu Abdullah has repeatedly been placed in solitary confinement for prolonged periods of time and been denied regular contact with his family, as an act of reprisal for having his case considered by the Working Group (see A/HRC/39/45, para. 28).
- 98. On 24 July 2018 the Government responded to the allegations. Concerning the case of Mr. Al Nukheifi, the Government stated he was arrested on 18 December 2016 and

OHCHR, "Opening statement and global update of human rights concerns by UN High Commissioner for Human Rights Zeid Ra'ad Al Hussein at 38th session of the Human Rights Council," 18 June 2018.

charged under articles 2 and 112 of the Statute of Criminal Procedures after his wife called the police claiming he had threatened to kill her and that he is affiliated to Daesh. s. The Government reported that he was allowed to call a lawyer and that his family visited him on 24 February 2017 (26/5/1438 Hijri). The General Prosecutor referred his case to the relevant court which ruled that Mr Al Nukheifi committed crimes involving national security, spying for foreign entities, receiving financial support and cyber crimes. The court of first instance sentenced him to six years imprisonment with a travel ban for the same amount of time as his release. His case is still under consideration with the court.

99. Regarding the allegations about Mr. Abu Abdullah, the Government stated a warrant for his arrest was issued pursuant to articles 35 and 103 of the Statute of Criminal Procedures and he was accused of committing many crimes, including killing several persons, shooting security officers, possessing arms and providing terrorists with weapons. Mr. Abu Abdulla's arrest was pursuant to article 2 of the Statute on Terrorism Crimes and Funding of 2013 and his detention was extended pursuant to article 5 of the same statute, and his case is referred to the specialized criminal court. According to the Government he was not subjected to torture or ill-treatment nor forced to confess, and was provided medical treatment. Additionally he was not forced to sign a confession. His case is still pending.

## 24. South Sudan

100. In a report in February 2018,<sup>24</sup> the United Nations Mission in South Sudan (UNMISS) and OHCHR noted instances of restrictions imposed by national authorities, including the National Security Service and pro-government forces as well as among the personnel of Central and State administration, against individuals whose opinions were perceived as critical of the Government or the reputation of the country and who cooperated with the United Nations by attending meetings, sharing information on human rights violations, and facilitating access of UNMISS to affected populations (see S/2017/505, S/2017/784, S/2017/1011, and S/2018/133).<sup>25</sup>

101. UNMISS received reports of at least 12 incidents which included arbitrary arrests and detentions, restrictions of movements and acts of intimidation and harassment, mostly targeting human rights defenders cooperating with the United Nations. Some individuals were ordered by security officials to cease sharing information with the United Nations. In addition, UNMISS received credible reports of intimidation against three South Sudanese human rights defenders living in neighboring countries or travelling outside the country who were accused of collaborating with the United Nations and being critical of the human rights situation in South Sudan. Human rights defenders were also reportedly threatened by government representatives after holding meetings with United Nations personnel in Upper Nile and Equatoria regions, in September and November 2017, respectively. At least two victims have sought refuge elsewhere in the country and abroad.

102. In follow up to his visit to South Sudan in February 2017, the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights sent a letter to the Government on 21 July 2017 and stressed the absolute need to avoid reprisals and threats against human rights defenders, including those who have cooperated with the United Nations. <sup>26</sup> In the letter he addressed specific cases of intimidation and threats against individuals for having cooperated with UNMISS and other United Nations entities outside South Sudan, including cases of individuals who were forced to leave the country.

#### 25. Thailand

103. On 30 June 2017, three special procedures mandate holders raised urgent concerns about allegations of intimidation against Mr. Maitree Chamroensuksakul, a Lahu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> UNMISS and OHCHR, "Report on the Right to Freedom of Opinion and Expression in South Sudan since the July 2016 Crisis", February 2018,.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Report of the Secretary-General on South Sudan, February 2018.

OHCHR, "South Sudan: Senior UN human rights official condemns deplorable rights situation, calls for perpetrators to be held to account," 17 February 2017.

indigenous human rights defender from a hill tribe group in the border between the north of Thailand and Myanmar (THA 4/2017). According to information received, Mr. Chamroensuksakul was subject to harassment and death threats following a meeting he had with Mr. Michel Forst, the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, on 27 May 2017during his academic visit to Thailand. Mr. Chamroensuksakul had been documenting and publicly raising concerns of human rights violations committed against the Lahu community by law enforcement officers. In particular, he was seeking justice for the killing of Chaiyaphum Pasae, a 17-year old Lahu youth activist who was shot dead by military personnel on 17 March 2017 during an alleged anti-drug operation in Ban Kong Phak Ping village. Mr. Chamroensuksakul posted a photo of himself with the Special Rapporteur on his Facebook page.

104. On 29 May 2017, two days after Mr. Chamroensuksakul met with the Special Rapporteur, the police from Provincial Region 5 and Chiang Mai conducted a joint large-scale search operation of Ban Kong Phak Ping village, with a warrant issued by Chiang Mai Provincial Court, supposedly aimed at searching for drugs. The authorities searched nine houses, including Mr. Chamroensuksakul's house, which they raided while he was not home. Two of his family members were arrested and charged with drug possession, and both were denied access to a lawyer during their interrogation by the police. At the time of writing the Government had not responded to the special procedures' urgent appeal of 30 June 2017.

105. It was reported that in August 2017, Ms. Sirikan Charoensiri, of Thai Lawyers for Human Rights, was charged with the offences of giving false information regarding a criminal offence. Four special procedures mandate holders had raised concerns on 11 April 2017 that the charges she received, sedition and gathering five or more people for political purposes under the criminal code, may be directly linked to her cooperation with the United Nations, particularly her participation in the thirty-third session of the Human Rights Council in September 2016 (THA 2/2017). It is reported that, if found guilty, she could face up to 15 years in jail and could be tried in a military court for a sedition charge.

106. Ms. Charoensiri also participated in the March 2017 session of the Human Rights Committee, where she publicized her legal advocacy efforts. She had represented 14 student activists arrested by the Thai authorities for their alleged participation in peaceful protests in June 2015, following the military coup in May 2014. She is also engaged in awareness-raising on law and human rights issues related to the enforcement of martial law and the orders of the National Council for Peace and Order (NCPO). In February 2016, Ms. Charoensiri had been charged with the offences of refusing to comply with the order of an official and concealing of evidence and, in September 2016, upon return from the Human Rights Council, she was further charged under the National Council for Peace and Order and with sedition. The Government responded on 10 August 2017 to the special procedures' communication of 11 April 2017, stating that Ms. Charoensiri was not charged due to her capacity as a lawyer or human rights defender, but on the basis of the possibility of her being one of the principals or accomplices in committing alleged offenses under article 12 of the NCPO Order No. 3/2015 and article 16 of the Criminal Code.

107. During his visit to Thailand in March 2018, the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights addressed allegations of intimidation and reprisals to the Government, and wrote a follow up letter on 27 April 2018. At the time of writing no response had been received from the Government.

# 26. Trinidad and Tobago

108. On 21 July 2017, three special procedures mandate holders raised concerns about the deprivation of liberty of Mr. Zaheer Seepersad in St. Ann's Psychiatric Hospital and other patients living with a psychosocial disability (TTO 2/2017). Mr. Seepersad was born in 1987 with dystonia, a physical impairment due to a neurological movement disorder. On 20 November 2017, the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention issued Opinion No. 68/2017 concluding that the detention and subsequent internment of Mr. Seepersad in St. Ann's Psychiatric Hospital on 8 January 2015 for a period of two months, and on 4 May 2016 for 16 days, were arbitrary without any legal basis justifying the deprivation of liberty, and was made purely on the basis of his physical impairment, constituting a

violation of international law on the grounds of discrimination based on disability (see A/HRC/WGAD/2017/68, paras. 34-35).

- 109. The Working Group on Arbitrary Detention expressed its most serious concern at allegations of reprisals, such as persistent harassment, intimidation and threats which Mr. Seepersad has been subjected to, for bringing his claims to their attention. The Working Group requested the Government to ensure that all acts of intimidation against Mr. Seepersad cease and that an impartial and effective investigation is carried out in relation to such acts and those responsible brought to justice. The Working Group recommended that the Government remedy the situation and provide compensation to Mr. Seepersad, and referred the case for further action to the focal point on reprisals of the Coordination Committee of Special Procedures and to the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights (see A/HRC/WGAD/2017/68, paras. 34-35, 37-39, 41).
- 110. On 6 September 2017, the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention transmitted the allegations to the Government under its regular communications procedure. The Working Group did not receive a response from the Government nor request for an extension of the time limit for its reply. It is reported that Mr. Seepersad still remains under pressure to dissuade him from engaging with regional or United Nations mechanisms to seek a legal remedy.

## 27. Turkey

- 111. Information was received that on 20 August 2017, the web pages administrated by the Housing and Land Rights Network of Habitat International Coalition (HIC-HLRN), suffered a series of alleged cyber-attacks over two weeks, which were repeated on 25 September 2017 for two days and on 16 April 2018. The attacks disabled its websites www.hic-mena.org and www.hlrn.org for two weeks, and obliged the organization to deploy exceptional human and financial resources for two months in order to ensure the web pages' security and data protection. The Network believe that the cyber-attacks were a reprisal following the publicity of their report at the United Nations Conference on Housing and Sustainable Urban Development (Habitat III), which took place in Quito, Ecuador, October 2016.
- 112. In October 2016, when HIC-HLRN presented a report "Turkey: Forced Eviction and Urban Transformation as a Tool of War" at Habitat III, it is alleged that the Turkish delegation scolded HIC-HLRN officers. The report was also distributed to numerous United Nations special procedures and to OHCHR.
- 113. The origins of the attacks are unknown. The first attack to the HIC-HLRN web page was reportedly perpetrated by a hacking group self-identified as Yarma Security Team and the second attack to their Middle East/North Africa website was perpetrated by an unknown hacker. The second cyber-attack displayed a political message in the hacked frontal page reading "Hayali Kürdistan Olanın Mekanı Kabristan Olur" (The Imaginary Place Kurdistan becomes a Cemetery). HIC-HLRN had been monitoring the expropriations, evictions and demolitions taking place in Diyarbakır, in the Kurdish region of southeast Turkey.
- 114. Mr. Kursat Çevik, a Turkish police superintendent, was the subject of an opinion adopted on 16 June 2017 by the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention. Mr. Çevik was arrested, together with 15 of his colleagues, on 21 July 2016. He is allegedly suspected of being a member of a terrorist organization (the Gülen movement) and of treason, and is classified as an opponent to the Justice and Development Party. However, Mr. Çevik is being held in secret, without a confirmed charge, and his lawyer does not have access to his file. The Working Group noted in its opinion that it considered his detention to be arbitrary as it had not received convincing information that Mr. Çevik was informed of the charges against him after his arrest, nor was he informed promptly after the judicial order that justified his detention, was issued (see A/HRC/WGAD/2017/38, para. 73-76).
- 115. Following the issuance of the opinion, Turkish pro-Government media circulated information distorting the opinion of the Working Group containing various accusations against Mr. Çevik. On 4 August 2017, the Turkish pro-government media accused Mr. Çevik of having acted as an intelligence officer for the French government, and accused "the United Nations" of requesting his release "because he had been set to leave Turkey for

holidays" on 16 July 2016. The Working Group opinion also triggered reprisals at Mr. Çevik's place of detention, where his cell was reportedly moved to separate him from his friends and colleagues, and he was denied food and purchases from the prison mess (A/HRC/39/45).

116. On 31 July 2018 the Government responded to the allegations. With regard to the reported hacking of the websites of the Housing and Land Rights Network - Habitat International Coalition, the Government noted that the "perpetrators and/or sponsors of the attacks in question could be any source, based anywhere in the world" and that Turkish authorities are not in the possession of any previous record or information about the "Yarma Security Team." The Government further noted that it has committed to international efforts to identify cyber threats and build a more secure network, and will continue to work with United Nations agencies to this effect.

117. Pertaining to the case of Mr. Kursat Çevik, the Government affirmed it had submitted to the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention information on the procedures and remedies available, and that it rejected the conclusion that his detention was arbitrary. It further noted that Mr. Çevik was moved from Mardin Penitentiary to Sanhurfa Penitentiary on 17 July 2016, before the release of the report on 16 June 2017, and that subsequently "his cell was changed three times, in accordance with administrative needs." The Government stated he was not subject to solitary confinement, separated from other detainees with similar charges, or denied food or purchases from the prison mess. The Government further noted that there is no government media outlet in Turkey other than the Turkish Radio and Television Corporation, and any publication or broadcast by private media outlets reflect their own opinion.

#### 28. Turkmenistan

118. On 18 May 2018 at the end of his visit to Kyrgyzstan, the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights attended the Human Rights Defender Platform Security Meeting for Central Asia and engaged with human rights defenders from four countries, but said he regretted that the OHCHR regional office had not felt able to invite representatives from Turkmenistan for fear that they might face intimidation or reprisals from their Government for cooperation with the United Nations.<sup>27</sup>

## 29. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

119. According to information received, representatives of the Government of Venezuela allegedly threatened and harassed four civil society representatives at a side event of the thirty-fifth session of the Human Rights Council on 6 June 2017. Mr. Alonso Medina Roa (Foro Penal), Mr. Julio Henriquez (Refugee Freedom Program), Ms. Laura Louza (Acceso a la Justicia) and Ms. Mercedes De Freitas (Transparencia Venezuela) were participating in a panel co-sponsored by the United States of America and were reportedly threatened that their passports would be confiscated.

120. Several civil society representatives are alleged to have been targeted by Mr. Diosdado Cabello Rondon, then member of the National Constituent Assembly and Vice-President of the United Socialist Party of Venezuela (PSUV). According to the allegations received, Mr. Diosdado Cabello Rondon has used his programme "Con el Mazo Dando," a weekly broadcast on Venezuelan public television, to launch a smear campaign against human rights defenders, including those that have cooperated with the Human Rights Council and OHCHR.

OHCHR, "Kyrgyzstan: Ethnic minorities need equal treatment, says senior UN official; salutes Central Asian rights defenders," 18 May 2018.

# Annex II

# Information on alleged cases included in follow-up to previous reports

# 1. Algeria

- 1. The case of Mr. Rafik Belamrania was included in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 20 and Annex I paras 1-3). Mr. Belamrania, founding member of the Association pour les enfants des disparus forcés en Algerie Mish'al, is the author of communication No. 2157/2012 against Algeria to the Human Rights Committee regarding the abduction, torture and summary execution of his father, Mr. Mohammed Belamrania, by the Algerian army in 1995. Three special procedures mandate holders raised concerns with the Government over alleged reprisals against Mr. Belamrania for publishing on Facebook on 14 February 2017, the decision of the Human Rights Committee, regarding the summary execution of his father in 1995 (A/HRC/36/25, DZA 2/2017). He was charged with "apology of terrorism," and accused of circulating photos and expressing his support for terrorist organizations, including Daesh.
- 2. On 15 November 2017, Mr. Belamrania was sentenced to five years in prison for "incitement to terrorism" and fined 100,000 Algerian dinars (860 USD). On 5 February 2018, he was sentenced on appeal to one year in prison followed by a two-year suspended sentence, and released on 16 February 2018. He was not granted any reparation or rehabilitation.
- 3. On 6 December 2018 the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights addressed the allegations of reprisals to the Government, who responded on 12 February 2018, reiterating their response of 29 May 2017 to special procedures that the allegations of arbitrary detention of Mr. Belamrania were unfounded as he was indicted for the "criminal offense of apology of terrorism," and that he benefited from all guarantees during his hearing and while in custody. The Government did not address the allegations of reprisals.

#### 2. Bahrain

- 4. The case of Ms. Ebtesam Al-Alsaegh, of SALAM for Democracy and Human Rights, was included in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, Annex, para. 7) related to a travel ban imposed on her and others for their cooperation with the Human Rights Council and the universal periodic review, in particular their participation in the thirty second session in June 2016 (A/HRC/34/75, BHR 4/2016).
- 5. On 20 March 2017, after attending the Human Rights Council, Ms. Alsaegh was detained for several hours at Bahrain International Airport by agents of the National Security Agency and interrogated at length about her participation in the Council; she was searched, threatened and had her passport subsequently confiscated. On 26 May 2017, she was allegedly subjected to seven-hours of physical and psychological torture while she was interrogated by officers of the National Security Agency, and sexually assaulted. On 4 July 2017, two special procedures mandate holders raised concerns regarding her alleged arbitrary arrest and incommunicado detention in an apparent reprisal for her cooperation with United Nations human rights mechanisms (BHR 8/2017).
- 6. On 13 July 2017 four special procedures mandate holders raised urgent concerns when information was received that on 4 July 2017, Ms. Al-Saegh was again detained by security forces who raided her home (BHR 9/2017). She was reported to have been held in solitary confinement at Isa Town women's prison and transported daily to an unknown location where she was interrogated for up to 14 hours without access to a lawyer. There were fears she would be tortured and sexually abused. While in detention she went on a hunger strike on 12 July 2017. On 18 July 2017, she was charged under the anti-terrorism law and subsequently released, although the charges have not been dropped. On 8 August 2017 the Government responded that an investigation into the activities of Ms. Al-Saegh noted that she was associated with establishing the "Manama Human Rights Observatory" and was "hiding behind human rights activities" while supporting an operation that

impeded law enforcement activities and promoted the commission of acts of terrorism, including colluding with the Alkarama Foundation to which she was sending false and misleading information to bring the Kingdom of Bahrain into international disrepute. The Government notes that Ms. Al-Saegh was arrested on 4 July 2017 in accordance with the law and charged with "membership in an unlawful terrorist group." The Government detailed the procedures of arrest, detention and treatment of Ms. Al-Saegh, including that she had been sent to the prison clinic in relation to the hunger strike. The Government did not address the allegations of reprisals.

- 7. On 25 June 2018 the Government, in a reply to the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights, stated that Ms. Al-Alsaegh was charged with six criminal offences: affiliation and participation in acts of terrorist organization, spying for a foreign terrorist organization to commit terrorist acts, accepting donations from a foreign terrorist organization for committing terrorist acts in the Kingdom, funding and donating to organizations which knowingly commit terrorist acts, spreading false news that may harm national security and public order, and participation in a demonstration with the intention of assaulting security forces, public property and destabilising public security. A travel ban was issued against her on 19 April 2017 and lifted on 13 July 2017.
- 8. During the thirty-eighth session of the Human Rights Council in June 2018 it was further reported that Ms. Al-Saegh published a series of tweets highlighting a range of human rights concerns in Bahrain. An account called @godkingcountry responded to her tweets by making threats against her, including referencing the sexual assault that she suffered previously during her arrest and torture and threatening further rape should she not end her human rights work on social media and with international mechanisms. Following the responses from @godkingcountry on Twitter, a second account (@turkialmajed7) began sending additional threatening messages to Ms. Al-Saegh on Instagram's direct messenger service, which was sent to a third party who was told to forward the messages to Ms. Al-Saegh. The messages claimed responsibility in the death of Ms. Al-Saegh's neighbor and threatened Ms. Al-Saegh that she would share his same fate. The messages also explicitly noted to "inform Ebtesam" that they would publish videos taken of her while in security custody in May 2017 when security officers filmed her in various states of undress during her interrogation, sexual assault, and torture.
- Mr. Nabeel Rajab, of the Bahrain Center for Human Rights, was mentioned in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 23 and Annex, para. 6). On 21 June 2016, the Spokesperson of the High Commissioner for Human Rights expressed deep concern that Mr. Rajab was arrested on 13 June 2016 for "spreading false news and rumours about the internal situation in a bid to discredit Bahrain." He had been subject to a travel ban since at least January 2015. The High Commissioner for Human Rights expressed concern that these and subsequent actions against Mr. Rajab were connected to his engagement with the Human Rights Council. He was reportedly denied medical treatment by prison administrations while he awaited the results of his appeal trial in illhealth until a 5 June 2018 hearing which decided on an additional five-year sentence. He is now expected to pursue a final appeal before Bahrain's Court of Cassation. On 25 June 2018 the Government stated that freedom of movement in Bahrain is guaranteed by law, and that Mr. Nabeel Rajab was not subject to reprisals for his cooperation with the United Nations, but that he is responsible for criminal offenses. Regarding the claims that Mr Rajab has not received medical care during his prison sentence, the Government stated that the Kingdom ensures and guarantees the safety and health of all citizens.
- 10. On 19 December 2017, three special procedures mandate holders expressed concern that Ms. Nedal Al-Salman, of the Bahrain Center for Human Rights, was prevented from leaving Bahrain multiple times in 2017 due to travel bans, including while on her way to speak at meetings related to the Human Rights Council (BHR 13/2017). On 19 September 2017 Ms. Al-Salman was summoned and charged by the Public Prosecution of Bahrain for "illegal gatherings" under charges stemming from the Anti-Terrorism Law, and placed under a formal travel ban. In August 2016, Ms. Al-Salman was prevented from travelling to Geneva to participate in meetings during the 33rd session of the Human Rights Council, and was the subject of an allegation letter on 25 November 2016 by three special procedures mandate holders (BHR 7/2016). At the time of writing the Government had not responded to the special procedures' communications of 25 November 2016 or 19 December 2017.

11. On 25 June 2018 the Government responded to the letter of the Assistant Secretary-General and stated that Ms. Al-Salman was banned from travel in 2016 according to the procedure of investigations of the General Prosecutor, and that the ban was lifted immediately once the investigations were over. On 30 August 2017, another travel ban was issued to her, due to an investigation relating to her participation and demonstration in a non- authorized march.

#### 3. Burundi

- 12. The cases of Mr. Armel Niyongere, Mr. Dieudonné Bashirahishize, Mr. Vital Nshimirimana, and Mr. Lambert Nigarura were included in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 24 and Annex, paras. 11-15). The four lawyers had contributed to an alternative report submitted to the Committee against Torture for the consideration of the special report of Burundi, and Mr. Niyongere, Mr. Bashirahishize, and Mr. Nigarura had attended the interactive dialogue between Burundi and the Committee on behalf of the civil society organisations they represented... On 29 July 2016, during the second day of the dialogue between the Committee and the Government, the Government suspended its participation at the session and the delegation was absent. The Committee was immediately informed of a letter from the Attorney General of Bujumbura, dated 29 July 2016, requesting that the Bujumbura Bar Association disbar the four lawyers.
- 13. On 16 January 2017, the Bujumbura Court of Appeal disbarred Mr. Niyongere, Mr. Dieudonné Bashirahishize and Mr. 1 Nshimirimana, and suspended Mr. Nigarura for a period of one year and denied him participation in the Conseil du l'Ordre des Avocats for a period of five years. However the Court decision has not been communicated to the victims, thus denying them a right of appeal and without any further recourse or remedy.

The Committee noted in a letter of 27 February 2017 that it considers the verdict of the court as an act of reprisal for the individuals' engagement with the Committee and the United Nations human rights system. At the time of writing no response had been received from the Government.

# 4. China

- 14. The 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, Annex paras. 22-24) referred to the disappearance of Mr. Jiang Tianyong, a prominent human rights lawyer who had met with Mr. Philip Alston, Special Rapporteur on extreme poverty and human rights during his visit to China in August 2016. On 2 December 2016, four special procedures mandate holders raised concerns regarding actions taken against Mr. Jiang, including that his disappearance may have occurred, at least in part, in reprisal for his cooperation with the Special Rapporteur (A/HRC/34/75, CHN 13/2016).
- 15. On 6 September 2017 four special procedures mandate holders called on the Government to immediately release Mr. Jiang, who was on trial for inciting subversion of the State's power and expressed concerns over a lack of fair trial standards. They expressed concern that he had been detained and under surveillance at an unknown location for more than nine months, without access to his family or a lawyer of his own choosing, and that he may have been subjected to torture and ill-treatment. The special procedures mandate holders stated that "Mr. Jiang's 'crime' apparently included communications with foreign entities, which potentially include the United Nations human rights mechanisms, giving interviews to foreign media, and receiving training on the Western constitutional system, all of which have been carried out in the course of his work as a lawyer."
- 16. Mr. Jiang was found guilty of inciting subversion of the State's power on 21 November 2017 by the Changsha Intermediate People's Court and sentenced to two years jail. On 23 November 2017, four special procedures mandate holders condemned the verdict and appealed to the Government to unconditionally release Mr. Jiang, noting that "Mr. Jiang's trial clearly fell short of international standards and his conviction represents an unfair and arbitrary punishment of a human rights lawyer and defender, whose only

OHCHR, "UN experts urge China to release lawyer Jiang Tianyong currently on trial for subversion," 6 September 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Ibid*.

crime was to exercise his rights to free speech and to defend human rights." They had previously expressed concern that his confession may have been coerced by the use of torture. On 23 March 2018, five special procedures mandate holders issued another statement regarding Mr. Jiang's deteriorating health conditions while in detention and called on the authorities to give him urgent medical attention. The Government in its response to the special procedures' communications of 2 December 2016 (CHN 13/2016) and 28 December 2016 (CHN 15/2016) respectively stated that Mr. Jiang had been charged with illegal possession of classified State documents with the intention of illegally transmitting State secrets abroad. It further noted that he has also received long-term funding and support from abroad and has identified himself as a "citizen agent," interfering in several sensitive cases. The Government noted that he fabricated and disseminated rumours online, incited petitioners of the Government and the family members of persons involved in the cases to oppose State authorities, interfered with the administration of justice, and disturbed public order. The Government stated that Mr. Jiang has admitted to committing offences. The Government did not address the allegations of reprisals.

## 5. Egypt

- 17. It was reported in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General that on 3 May 2017, four special procedures mandate holders expressed concerns about the abduction, detention, torture and ill-treatment of Dr. Ahmed Shawky Abdelsattar Mohamed Amasha (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 39), reportedly in retaliation for his human rights work documenting cases of enforced disappearances for the special procedures (A/HRC/36/25, EGY 5/2017). On 10 March 2017, Dr. Amasha was allegedly abducted by police officers in Cairo, and no information was given about his whereabouts until 1 April 2017. He was charged on 13 April 2017 with "belonging to a banned group" under the Anti-Terrorism Law of Egypt and transferred to the Tora prison of Cairo. It was alleged that following his abduction on 10 March 2017, he was secretly detained at the Central Police station of Abbasiya in the Cairo Governorate and subjected to torture and ill-treatment. On 27 April 2017, his detention was prolonged. There are serious concerns that the acts committed against Dr. Amasha constitute acts of reprisals against him for documenting cases of enforced disappearances for the special procedures (see A/HRC/39/31, para. 39).
- 18. During its eightieth session in November 2017, the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention rendered its opinion that the detention of Mr. Amasha and other individuals was arbitrary, and requested the Government of Egypt to ensure his and others' immediate release and to take steps to remedy their situation, including, by according them an enforceable right to compensation and other reparations. The Working Group also referred the case to the Coordinating Committee of the Special Procedures and the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights (see A/HRC/WGAD/2017/78, paras. 89-93).
- The 2017 report of the Secretary-General addressed allegations of reprisals against civil society members in the form of asset freezes (see A/HRC/32/52/Add.1, para. 662 and A/HRC/36/31, para. 30). Staff members of the Cairo Institute for Human Rights Studies (CIHRS) and members of their families are alleged to have been targeted for their cooperation with United Nations mechanisms and their meetings with United Nations representatives, and are being subject to asset freezes. Mr. Mohamed Zaree, who has been banned from travelling outside Egypt in relation to this legislation (case 173/2011), was interrogated on 24 May 2017 by a judge as part of the ongoing prosecution of the foreign funding case, and has reportedly been accused of submitting information to the United Nations. He had also been accused of intending to harm Egypt through his role in preparing for the universal periodic review in 2014. Reportedly, Mr. Zaree was questioned for allegedly receiving foreign funds for an unregistered entity (CIHRS) and using them for unlawful activities with the intent of harming national security and interests. In May 2017 the judge pressed three charges, including two felonies, and set his bail at 30,000 Egyptian pounds. Mr. Bahey El-Din Hassan and his family have been subject to asset freezes, and most recently to death threats in relation to a memo sent by seven Egyptian civil society

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> OHCHR, "China: UN experts condemn jailing of human rights lawyer Jiang Tianyong," 23 November 2017.

OHCHR, "China: UN experts concerned about health of jailed rights lawyer Jiang Tianyong," 23 March 2018.

organizations to the United Nations Secretary-General regarding the presidential elections. These death threats were reportedly made on television on 21 March 2018 and, prior, on 25 May 2017.

- 20. On 1 June 2017, the High Commissioner for Human Rights addressed legislation adopted on 24 May 2017 (Law 70 of 2017 for Regulating the Work of Associations and Other Institutions Working in the Field of Civil Work),<sup>5</sup> noting that the work of nongovernmental organisations has been severely hampered already through asset freezes, travel bans, smear campaigns and prosecutions, and he expressed serious concern that the new legislation imposes severe restrictions on civil society and impinges on the exercise of the rights to freedom of expression and freedom of association. This was addressed previously on 23 November 2016 by three special procedures (A/HRC/34/75, EGY 14/2016). The Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights sent a letter to the Government on 28 April 2017 where he addressed concerns about some provisions under the then draft law that would undermine civil society's ability to engage freely with the United Nations, including provisions that would require them to seek Government permission before working with international organizations or experts, and that would defer administration of such international engagement to the Government.
- 21. On 31 July the Government provided an update. Regarding the case of Mr. Amasha, the Government noted that the accused is in pre-trial detention based on case number 316 of 2017 on charges of joining a group established contrary to law, calling for demonstrations without authorization, incitement to violence and other charges. He was recommended to undergo medical treatment and to follow up with the medical consultant in the prison's clinic.
- 22. Regarding the case of the Cairo Institute for Human Rights Studies and its staff members, the Government noted that Decision number 325 of 2011 assigned a Judge to investigate the legal violations of foreign funding received by associations and nongovernmental organizations operating in Egypt in violation of the provisions of the Law on Associations and NGOs, including this organization, its founder, Mr. Hassan and its director, Mr. Zaree. The Judge requested that the relevant individuals be prohibited from making use of their real estate as well as movable and liquid assets in accordance with the provisions of Article 208 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to ensure that the court's decision is complied, in case the court decides to impose fines or order compensation for the charges attributed to them, which are punishable by the Penal Code and Law No. 84 of 2002 on associations and civil institutions. The Government noted that the Cairo Institute for Human Rights Studies is not registered with the Ministry of Social Solidarity, and that financial transfers from abroad were received illegally in violation of the provisions of Law No. 84 of 2002, which governs the work of associations and civil institutions. Regarding the allegations that Mr. Hassan and his family were subjected to threats, the Government noted that they could submit a communication to the Public Prosecutor's Office, since such a threat, if it has occurred, is unacceptable in breach of the law.

#### 6. India

23. In the 2017 report of the Secretary-General, intimidation and reprisals against Mr. Khurram Parvez, Chairperson of the Asian Federation Against Involuntary Disappearances and Program Coordinator of the Central Jammu and Kashmir Coalition of Civil Society (JKCCS), were reported in relation to his cooperation with the Human Rights Council, the Working Group on Enforced and Involuntary Disappearances and the universal periodic review. These reprisals took the form of a travel ban and arbitrary arrest and detention, reportedly because Mr. Parvez was fomenting an "anti-India narrative," propagating separatism, and inciting others to violence. Reprisals were apparently taken against him for documenting and sharing information with the United Nations on human rights violations in Jammu and Kashmir, including on behalf of victims. At the time of his preventive detention of 76 days in 2016, he was accused in four criminal cases, which were subsequently dropped by the Jammu and Kashmir High Court who held that he had been

OHCHR, "Repressive new NGO law deeply damaging for human rights in Egypt – Zeid," 1 June 2017.

detained arbitrarily. However, the police have still filed "First Information Reports," before a court in Srinagar for three cases, for which he is awaiting hearings.

24. Mr. Parvez was a source of information collected from June 2016 to April 2018 for an OHCHR report published in June 2018 on the human rights situation in the State of Jammu and Kashmir<sup>6</sup> and has reportedly suffered reprisals for his assistance. Defaming content against the JKCCS and Mr. Parvez is reportedly being circulated by a group that claims to have ISIS affiliation. The group has publicly incited death threats against Mr. Parvez and his family, and used slanderous language against the work of the JKCCS.

## 7. Iran (Islamic Republic of)

- 25. The case of Ms. Raheleh Rahemipor was referred to in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para 37 and Annex, paras. 41-42. On 16 May 2018, four special procedures mandate holders raised concerns over allegations of reprisals in the form of continuous judicial harassment for her efforts in seeking the truth about the fate and whereabouts of her brother and his infant daughter (IRN 9/2018). Ms. Rahemipor is a 65 year-old human rights defender, the sister of Mr. Hossein Rahemipor and the aunt to his infant daughter, whose disappearances have been registered with the Working Group on Enforced and Involuntary Disappearances since June 2016.
- 26. In February 2017, Ms. Rahemipor was sentenced by the Branch 15 of the Revolutionary Court in Tehran to a year in prison "for spreading propaganda against the system." In September 2017, she was arrested while her first case was still pending in the court of appeal. During her interrogation, she was allegedly pressured to withdraw the complaints that stand before the Working Group and in return the prosecution against her, would be stopped, which she refused. She was released on bail after being held in detention for one month. Similar concerns were raised in previous communications sent by the special procedures on 5 August 2016 (IRN 23/2016), on 22 November 2016 (IRN 29/2016), on 26 January 2017 (IRN 3/2017) and on 18 September 2017 (IRN 27/2017). A reference to her case was included in the February 2018 report of the Secretary-General on the situation of human rights in Iran (see A/HRC/37/24, para.47). A response by the Government was received on 27 October 2017 but it did not address the allegation that Ms. Rahemipor is being judicially harassed as a form of reprisal because she registered the disappearance of her brother and niece with the Working Group on Enforced and Involuntary Disappearances.
- 27. On 5 May 2018, it was further reported that Ms. Rahemipor was tried by the Branch 28 of Tehran Revolutionary Court on the same charge as in February 2017, of "spreading propaganda against the system" for informing the Working Group about the cases of her relatives and for participating in peaceful protests with other activists, where she held a poster reading "You killed my brother. What did you do with his child?" During the court session, the judge humiliated and verbally abused her for having communicated with organizations outside Iran including United Nations bodies. The judge said that the authorities made a mistake by releasing her on bail in the first case against her, and that she should have been kept in prison. While she is awaiting the outcome of this new trial, her other case in the appeal court is still pending.

# 8. Iraq

28. In the 2016 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/33/19, para. 24), it was noted that on 13 April 2016, three special procedures mandate holders raised concerns over allegations of reprisals against Mr. Imad Amara and Mr. Faisal al-Tamimi, of Al Wissam Humanitarian Assembly, an NGO that documents cases of enforced disappearances in Iraq and submits them to the United Nations human rights mechanisms (see A/HRC/33/32, IRQ 1/2016). On 6 March 2016, Iraqi military forces stopped and searched Mr. Amara and Mr. Al-Tamimi's car while the two men were on their way to meet families of disappeared persons. Both men were informed that a warrant had been issued for their arrest, before being handcuffed, blindfolded and taken to an unknown location. Mr. Amara and Mr. Al-

OHCHR, Report on the Situation of Human Rights in Kashmir: Developments in the Indian State of Jammu and Kashmir from June 2016 to April 2018, and General Human Rights Concerns in Azad Jammu and Kashmir and Gilgit – Baltistan, 14 June 2018.

Tamimi were severely beaten, insulted and threatened while being interrogated about their work for around two hours, before being released. The special procedures also raised concerns over reports that other employees of Al Wissam had previously been subjected to intimidation and reprisals relating to the submission of cases to the Committee on Enforced Disappearances, and some had left the country out of fear for their security.

29. On 10 April 2018, three special procedures mandate holders raised allegations of death threats and attempted killing against Mr. Al Tamimi and Mr. Al Roumy in what appears to be direct retaliation for their legitimate human rights work against enforced disappearances in Iraq and for urging the State to join the International Criminal Court (IRQ 2/2018). While recognising the independent judicial character of the International Criminal Court, the Court is regarded as a related organization in the United Nations System. The men were threatened after attending a preparation meeting for a conference aimed at calling on Iraq to join the Court, following which three cars stopped next to them and one of the men inside made the following threat "Iyad and Faisal, either your life or the conference." On 5 February 2018, two days after the conference, both individuals were approached by a car near the Sheikh Maruf Square in Baghdad. One of the persons in the car opened fire on them and shot five times. Mr. Al Tamimi was injured and was brought to the Medical City Hospital in Baghdad, where he received medical treatment. On 4 March 2018, both Mr. Al Roumy and Mr. Al Tamimi received threats through Facebook messages. At the time of writing no response has been received.

# 9. Japan

- 30. In the 2016 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/33/19, para. 25), reference was made to an urgent appeal to the Government by three special procedures mandate holders on 30 May 2016 alleging the monitoring and surveillance of Ms. Kazuko Ito, of non-governmental organisation Human Rights Now. Ms Ito had facilitated and organised meetings for Mr. David Kaye, the Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression, with representatives of civil society during his visit to Japan in April 2016 (see A/HRC/34/52/Add. 1, paras. 399 and 400; JPN 4/2016). These allegations stemmed from a magazine that reported information obtained through a leaked memo allegedly produced by Japanese intelligence agency members ordering the surveillance of Ms. Ito's movements ahead of the Special Rapporteur's visit to Japan. They expressed concern that the allegations of surveillance of Ms. Ito could be an act of intimidation and reprisal for her cooperation with the United Nations. Human Rights Now has been cooperating with the United Nations mechanisms since 2013, including the universal periodic review and the Commission on the Status of Women (CSW).
- 31. The 2017 report noted the Government's response of 16 June 2016 that, the allegations were investigated by the Public Security Intelligence Agency and the National Police Agency, who each confirmed that they "had neither received such instructions nor conducted such research activities as were reported by the media" (see A/HRC/36/31, Annex, para. 5).
- In May 2018 it was reported that Ms. Ito and Human Rights Now continue to be targeted due to their cooperation with the United Nations. On 9 March 2018, during a videotaped session of the House of Representatives Committee on Cabinet, a member of the Diet and Liberal Democratic Party addressed government representatives where she characterized Human Rights Now as "(a)n organization that makes use of the United Nations and other [international forums] to spread around the world the fabricated information that the "comfort women" of the Japanese army were sex slaves, and does that with lots of enthusiasm; that's what Human Rights Now is." Human Rights Now had organised a side event on "comfort women" at the Commission on the Status of Women. The Diet member also reportedly requested the Diet to "control NGOs' international forms of speech" in their collaborative activities with the United Nations and said, "it is obvious that there are people trying to use propaganda to discredit Japan," which was reiterated on social media. Human Rights Now sent two letters to the Chairman of the House of Representatives Committee on Cabinet and to the Liberal Democratic Party on 27 March 2018 regarding these allegations. The Government of Japan responded on 15 August 2018 that "it asked both the Liberal Democratic Party and the Secretariat of the House of Representatives about the letters mentioned. The Liberal Democratic Party replied that it

cannot confirm if it received the letter because it has no information on which department of the Party the letter was addressed to. The Secretariat of the House of Representatives replied that the chairman has not responded to the letter from that organization."

# 10. Mexico

- 33. As reported in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 41 and Annex 47-50), on 4 August 2015 the Committee against Torture, in *Ramirez et al. v. Mexico*, found a violation of articles 1, 2 (1), 12-15 and 22 of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT/C/55/D/500/2012 and communication No. 500/2012). On 19 May 2016 and 19 September 2016, the complainants submitted that they had suffered acts of intimidation and harassment by the authorities as a result of the Committee's decision. This allegedly took the form of a campaign aimed at stigmatizing the complainants as criminals and re-victimizing them. On 19 September 2016, the CAT requested protective measures.
- 34. Given the absence of a response by the Government to the allegations of reprisals of 19 September 2016, the Chair of the Committee met with the Permanent Representative of Mexico in Geneva on 14 May 2018 to discuss measures taken by the authorities to implement the Committee's decision. The Chair enquired about the outcomes, if any, of the investigation into the acts of torture, punishment of the perpetrators, and protection of the complainants from reprisals reported to the Committee in September 2016. The Chair further inquired whether all four complainants in the case have been released and if they received the remedies requested by the Committee.
- 35. According to an update received on 25 May 2018, two of the four victims remain in detention and are held in extremely precarious conditions with no regard to their condition as victims of torture and were transferred, without prior notice, to prisons with even harsher conditions. One victim has been diagnosed with a medical condition and is need of treatment, which he has not received. With regard to the two victims that were released, their families continue to face harassment and stigmatization, to the extent that they were forced to change residences.
- 36. During the meeting with the Chair of the Committee Against Torture on 14 May 2018, the Government committed to provide updated information from the national authorities and to submit its response to the Committee on the measures taken to implement the decision on the case by 14 July 2018. The Committee will meet with the Government during the sixty-fifth session of the Committee from 12 November to 7 December 2018.

# 11. Morocco

- 37. In the 2017 report of the Secretary-General concerns were raised about the prolongation of the detention of Mr. Abdul Rahman Alhaj Ali, which the Committee Against Torture deemed likely to be related to the complaint to CAT on his behalf on 22 May 2015 (see A/HRC/36/31 para. 42 and Annex paras. 51-52). On 3 August 2016, the Committee against Torture, in *Abdul Rahman Alhaj Ali v. Morocco*, found that the extradition of Mr. Alhaj Ali would constitute a breach of Article 3 of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT C/58/D/682/2015 and communication No. 682/2015). Mr. Alhaj Ali, a Syrian national registered with the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees and seeking asylum in Morocco, was detained in October 2014 in Morocco on an extradition request by Saudi Arabia for "breach of trust" based on previous business relations in Riyadh. The Committee urged the Government to release him or to try him if charges are brought against him in Morocco, as he had been in extradition detention for almost two years, far in excess of the sixty-day pretrial period provided for in Morocco.
- 38. According to updated information received by the Committee, during his detention in 2017 Mr. Alhaj Ali was twice summoned to the Prosecutor's office, where he was reportedly notified that the extension of his detention resulted from action on behalf before the CAT. On 5 October 2017, the Committee requested the Government to provide further information, within 2 months, on the measures taken to implement the Committee's decision in this case. On 28 November 2017, the Committee decided to request a meeting

with a representative of Morocco during its sixty-third Session, 23 April to 18 May 2018. On 17 May 2018, the Committee's Rapporteur on Reprisals, together with the rapporteur for follow-up to decisions on individual complaints under Art. 22, met with a representative of the Permanent Mission of the Kingdom of Morocco in Geneva to discuss, inter alia, the implementation of the Committee's decision in the present case (CAT/C/62/3 of 20 February 2018 and CAT/C/63/3).

- 39. On 6 June 2018, the Government confirmed that the complainant was released from detention on 16 May 2018, after more than three-and-a-half years of arbitrary detention. He is reported to be awaiting resettlement to the Netherlands where his family resides.
- 40. In the 2016 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/33/19, para. 26), it was reported that on 22 March 2016 three special procedures mandate holders raised concerns with the Moroccan authorities over allegations of reprisals against Ms. El Ghalia Djimi, an employee of the Ministry of Agriculture and Maritime Fishing of Morocco and member of the l'association Sahraouie des victimes des graves violations des droits de l'homme commises par l'état du Maroc, l'ASVDH (A/HRC/33/32, MAR 1/2016). In the 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, Annex para. 6) it was reported that Mr. Michel Forst, the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights defenders, in his report on observations on communications, reiterated concerns that Ms. Djimi did not receive authorization to leave the country to travel to the thirty-first session of the Human Rights Council in Geneva (see A/HRC/34/52/Add.1, para 722). The mandate holder further expressed concern that the case of Ms. El Ghalia Djimi is not isolated, but is rather representative of a larger trend of reprisals, harassment and intimidation of human rights defenders. Information was subsequently received that Ms. El Ghalia Djimi was able to attend the thirty-second session of the Human Rights Council.
- 41. It was further reported that after the participation of Ms. Djimi in a session of the Working Group on Enforced and Involuntary Disappearances on 30 April 2018 in Geneva, she was subject to reprisals in the form of online defamation on a Moroccan website "Sahrawikileaks.com." It was also reported that Ms. Mina Baali, also a member of the association, participated in the Human Rights Council at the June 2017 session, and believes because of this, she has become subject to reprisals at her place of employment.

## 12. Myanmar

- 42. The case of Mr. Khaing Myo Htun (also known as Mr. Khine Myo Htun), a human rights defender who had reported on forced labour cases in Rakhine State, was addressed by four special procedures mandate holders (A/HRC/34/75, MMR 2/2016, MMR 7/2017) and included in the September 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 43 and Annex, paras. 53-55). On 8 September 2017 the Government responded to the special procedures communication (MMR 7/2017) pertaining to the charges related to defamation and incitement. It is alleged that the arrest and detention of Mr. Khaing Myo Htun was linked to his cooperation with Ms. Yanghee Lee, the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights in Myanmar, with whom he met during her visit in June 2016 shortly before his detention. The charges against him relate to a written statement issued on 24 April 2016 by the Arakan Liberation Party, of which Mr. Khaing Myo Htun is a member, claiming that the Myanmar Army had engaged in severe human rights violations, including forced labour, forced land relocation, hostage taking, and arbitrary beatings and ill-treatment of combatants.
- 43. The ILO Governing Body noted in its report of 7 February 2018 that it remained deeply concerned that on 12 October 2017, Mr. Khaing Myo Htun, was convicted of defamation and incitement under section 505 of the Penal Code and sentenced to 18 months in jail, following eight months in detention during his trial. Ms. Lee, in her March 2018 report to the Human Rights Council, noted that he was convicted of disturbing public tranquillity and incitement under Sections 505(b) and (c) in October 2017 for allegations he made about forced labour the Myanmar security forces. Subsequently, after 19 months Mr. Htun was released on 22 February 2018 (see A/HRC/37/70, para. 15).

#### 13. Pakistan

- 44. On 26 July 2017, four special procedures mandate holders sent an urgent appeal to the Government alleging threats and acts of intimidation against Mr. Adil Ghaffar, a lawyer and human rights defender who has brought to the attention of the United Nations human rights mechanisms, cases of extrajudicial killings, torture, and enforced disappearances allegedly committed by State agents against members of the political party and movement Muttahida Quami Movement, including persons belonging to the Mohajirs community (PAK 5/2017).
- 45. According to the information received, Mr. Ghaffar's home has been monitored and he has received direct death threats from the twitter account @PakRangersFreePress. This account reportedly belongs to Pakistani Paramilitary Rangers, who have been accused of of serious human rights violations against MQM workers and ethnic Mohajirs.
- 46. The first threat received was on 1 July 2017, from a tweet referring to Mr. Ghaffar as a "traitor of Pakistan." It stated that if someone needs his residential address and details about his family they should contact the sender. On 14 July 2017, Mr. Ghaffar received another message from the social media account @PakRangersFreePress, which asked "what punishment is fit for the traitor." The special procedures expressed serious concern that these reported threats and acts of intimidation appear to be reprisals against Mr. Ghaffar at least in part due to his engagement with the United Nations human rights mechanisms. At the time of writing there had been no response to the urgent appeal.

#### 14. Rwanda

- 47. In the 2017 report of the Secretary-General it was noted that two special procedures mandate holders sent an urgent appeal to the Government (RWA 1/2017) on 18 January 2017, in response to allegations of kidnapping and intense daily interrogation against journalist and human rights defender Mr. Robert Mugabe (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 48 and Annex, paras. 62 and 65) for his cooperation with the universal periodic review and the Human Rights Council (A/HRC/35/44).
- 48. On 30 May 2018, Mr. David Kaye, Special Rapporteur on the promotion and protection of the right to freedom of opinion and expression, wrote to the Government in response to allegations that while Mr. Mugabe was travelling to Geneva to attend the 2017 United Nations Internet Governance Forum on 15 December 2017, he was stopped by the authorities at the airport in Kigali and barred from leaving the country (RWA 1/2018). He was reportedly detained and questioned for several hours on accusations of "working against the state, treason, and spreading rumours to undermine the state..." based upon his critical reporting published online. The police searched his bags and phone and questioned him about his activities related to the Human Rights Council. He was released after four hours of questioning to return home. On 16 December 2017 he was reportedly summoned again to the police, and criminal investigations from January 2017 were reopened against him, which could lead to formal charges. If convicted for treason, Mr. Mugabe could face 25 years in prison. At the time of writing the Government had not responded to the communications by the special procedures of 18 January 2017 and 30 May 2018.

# 15. Saudi Arabia

49. It was reported that on 16 September 2017, Mr. Issa Al-Hamid, of the Saudi Civil and Political Rights Association (ACPRA) was arrested, following previous sentences imposed by the Saudi authorities during a crackdown on human rights defenders. The 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 49 and Annex, paras. 66-67) noted that three special procedures mandate holders took action on the case of Mr. Al-Hamid, who was sentenced to 11 years in prison followed by an 11-year travel ban and a fine of 100,000 Riyals (SAU 8/2016). He was originally sentenced to nine years by the Specialized Criminal Court for having, inter alia "communicated with international organizations in order to harm the image of the State," a charge that according to special procedures appear to also constitute acts of reprisal for cooperation with the United Nations. His sentence was increased by two years on 1 December 2016 by the Court of Appeal.

50. On 13 December 2016, three special procedures mandate holders raised concerns over allegations of reprisals against Mr. Al-Hamid for cooperating with the United Nations (A/HRC/35/44, SAU 8/2016). In its reply dated 13 February 2017, the Government did not address the case of Mr. Al-Hamid, as a response concerning his case had been provided already in relation to a previous communication (SAU 4/2016) in which the Government informed that he was sentenced by a lower court to nine years of imprisonment and a ban to travel outside the Kingdom for a similar period. The Government did not address the allegations relating to reprisals.

#### 16. Thailand

- 51. The 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 57 and Annex, paras. 78-79) noted that, in June 2016, grant recipients of the United Nations Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture, Ms. Porpen Khongkachonkiet and Mr. Somchai Homla-or of Cross-Cultural Foundation and Ms. Anchana Heemmina of Duay Jai Group, were subject to a legal complaint filed by the Royal Thai Army operating in the Southern Border Provinces for publishing a report in February 2016 entitled "Fifty-four cases of torture and ill-treatment in the Deep South documented in 2014-2015," funded in part by the Voluntary Fund. They were consequently accused of publicizing false information on torture and ill-treatment committed by military officials. The spokesperson of the Royal Thai Army in the region issued a public statement on 11 February 2016 accusing the organization of bias and of using outdated information to seek funding.
- 52. It is reported that the Internal Security Operations Command (ISOC) Region 4 filed a lawsuit against them for defamation and publication of false information on the internet. On 1 July 2017, plainclothes men believed to be military personnel visited Ms. Hemmina and warned her not to post comments about human rights violations on social media. Further to advocacy efforts related to the allegations, in November 2017, the ISOC 4 withdrew the defamation charges against Ms. Porpen Khongkachonkiet, Mr. Somchai Homla-or, and Ms. Anchana Heemmina. The charges were dropped by the Pattani Provincial Prosecutor.
- 53. On 13 September 2017 four special procedures mandate holders noted that Ms. Angkhana Neelapaijit, Ms. Porpen Khongkachonkiet and Ms. Anchana Heemmina, among others, were also reportedly subject to an online smear campaign, accusing them of bias and misinformation, and associating their human rights advocacy with the promotion of insurgency and separatist movements (THA 6/2017). Ms. Angkhana Neelapaijit is a Commissioner of the National Human Rights Commission of Thailand. Beginning in October 2016, the online blog "Conditions in South Thailand" regularly published content discrediting the work of Ms. Khongkachonkiet and Ms. Heemmina. Death threats were also posted online against Ms. Khongkachonkiet.
- 54. It was reported that on 14 February 2018, a case was opened against Mr. Ismaael Teh, founder of the Patani Human Rights Organization Network and a field officer since 2013 for an investigation funded by the United Nations Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 57 and Annex, paras. 78-79). Mr. Teh is responsible for helping document 82 cases of allegations of torture that were submitted by civil society representatives to the Committee Against Torture. Military officials of the Internal Security Operations Command Region 4 (ISOC Region 4) via the Pattani Provincial Police reportedly accused Mr. Teh of defamation following a television interview in which he recounted his own personal experience of torture while in military custody in Pattani province, in 2006. In October 2016, the Supreme Administrative Court had ruled that Mr. Teh had been physically abused in military custody based on medical records, and ordered the Thai Royal Army to award him compensation. Mr. Teh's interview was broadcast on a Thai PBS program on 5 February 2018, after which he was accused of defaming the 4th Army Region and ISOC Region 4.

# 17. United Arab Emirates

55. Mr. Ahmed Mansoor, advisor to the Gulf Centre for Human Rights and Human Rights Watch's Middle East and North Africa Division, was mentioned in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 60 and Annex, paras. 84-85) and was the

subject of actions by seven special procedures mandate holders (ARE 1/2017; ARE 7/2017). Mr. Mansoor is alleged to have suffered intimidation and reprisal for his collaboration with the Human Rights Council, the special procedures, the universal periodic review and the treaty bodies. Mr. Mansoor has experienced physical assaults, death threats, government surveillance, and since 2011, has been subject to a travel ban to prevent him engaging in person with United Nations human rights mechanisms. According to reports, he was detained on 20 March 2017 when security agents searched his home in Ajman, confiscated laptops and other equipment, and arrested him without a warrant. He was placed in custody in Al Wathba prison in ill-health and has been subject to ill-treatment and possibly torture. On 29 May 2018 Mr. Mansoor was sentenced to ten years prison before the State Security Chamber of the Federal Supreme Court, fined one million Dirhams (USD \$272,294.00), and ordered to be put under surveillance for three years upon his release. The Government responded in writing to the allegations transmitted by the special procedures in April 2017, but did not address the allegations of reprisals.

- 56. On 4 October 2017, two special procedures mandate holders raised concern about the treatment of Mr. Mohamad Ismat Mohamad Shaker Az as retaliation of an opinion issued by the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention on his case (ARE 6/2017). Mr. Shaker Az was transferred to solitary confinement on 2 July 2017 for two months, beyond the 15-day limit recommended in international standards, allegedly as a measure of retaliation after the issuance of Opinion No. 21/2017 in which the Working Group found Mr. Shaker Az's detention arbitrary. Furthermore, Mr. Shaker Az was told that the prosecutor would ask for an increased penalty, from 15 years to which he was sentenced in 2014, to life imprisonment. The Government responded in writing to the allegations transmitted by the special procedures in October 2017, citing its view that Mr. Shaker Az was not subjected to arbitrary detention, torture or solitary confinement.
- 57. Mr. Osama Al-Najjar was alleged to have been subject to reprisals after meeting with the Ms. Gabriela Knaul, Special Rapporteur on the independence of judges and lawyers during her visit to the United Arab Emirates in 2014. His case has been raised by five special procedures mandate holders (ARE 2/2015) and in previous reports of the Secretary-General in 2014, 2015 and 2016. According to information received, Mr. Al-Najjar, who was arrested, tortured and held incommunicado in March 2014, was then transferred to Al Wathba prison, to be released on 17 March 2017, following the completion of his three-year sentence. However, in March 2017 the Federal Supreme Court reportedly refused to release him and, requested by the Public Prosecution, transferred him to a counselling center (Munasaha) based on Article 40 of Federal Law No. (7) of 2014 on Combating Terrorism Offences. On 1 June 2017 the court extended his placement in this center by six months and on 13 December 2017 it was again renewed for another six months.
- 58. On 6 July 2018 the Government provided follow up information on multiple cases, noting that Mr. Al-Najjar is currently going therapy and treatment at a counselling centre called a Munasaha Centre which "consists of psychological, social and religious sessions to uproot terrorist and extremist ideologies" based on "concern that he might commit a terrorist offence after leaving the prison" and a "threat to public security." The Government stated that Mr. Shaker Az is "currently serving his sentence of imprisonment at the Al Wathba penal institution, where he receives appropriate health care, and is permitted to communicate with his family in accordance with the regulations and procedures applicable to penal and correctional institutions." Mr. Mansoor "was tried, convicted and sentenced to ten years' imprisonment" and is serving his sentence at the Al Sadr penal institution with the right to an appeal. The Government did not address the allegations of reprisals.

#### 18. Uzbekistan

59. The case of Ms. Elena Urlaeva, of the Human Rights Alliance of Uzbekistan, an independent labour monitor who documents the practice of forced labour in the cotton industry, was included in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General (see A/HRC/36/31, para. 61 Annex, para. 86-87). On 1 March 2017, Ms. Urlaeva was arrested in Tashkent and taken to a police station, the day before she was due to meet representatives of the ILO and the World Bank on 2 March 2017. She had filed a complaint with the International Finance

Corporation's Compliance Advisor Ombudsman (CAO) regarding World Bank investments. At the police station, Ms. Urlaeva was insulted and mocked by police officers who told her that she needed psychiatric treatment. She was then forcibly transferred to a psychiatric facility in Tashkent. On 24 March 2017, Ms. Urlaeva was released after 24 days of psychiatric detention.

- 60. On 5 April 2017 four special procedures mandate holders raised concerns about these incidents, which they noted appear to be related to her cooperation with international organizations (UZB 1/2017). On 28 April 2017 the Government responded, stating that according to a 2006 ruling of the Miabad Interregional Civil Court in Tashkent, Ms. Urlaeva suffers from mental illness and is legally incompetent. On 27 October 2017 the Government further responded to the mention of this case in the 2017 report of the Secretary-General, reiterating that no illegal actions by law enforcement were taken against Ms. Urlaeva, and that the Government maintains a cooperative relationship with the ILO.
- 61. It has subsequently been alleged that police and other authorities in several regions arbitrarily detained, interfered with and obstructed the work of both Ms. Urlaeva and Ms. Malohat Eshonkulova, an independent journalist and human rights activist who also signed the complaint to the Ombudsman, because they openly monitor work based upon forced labor. On 12 September 2017, police in Yaipan, a district of the Fergana region, detained Ms. Urlaeva at the police station where they confiscated her notebook, three mobile phones, camera, and a recording device. When Ms. Eshonkulova came to the station to demand Ms. Urlaeva's release, she was also detained. Both were released several hours later. Police in Buka detained Ms. Urlaeva again on 6 October 2017. On 15 October 2017, police in Pastdargam district, in the Samarkand region, detained Ms. Urlaeva and Ms. Eshonkulova for six hours. They were taken to the police station where they were interrogated and had their belongings confiscated, including notebooks, mobile phones, and camera flash card. In November 2017, is also alleged that police raided Ms. Eshonkulova's home and confiscated several of her belongings in a nearly 11-hour search for her computer and cell phone.

## 19. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)

62. The 2017 report of the Secretary-General contains information about alleged acts of intimidation and reprisals against Mr. Henrique Capriles, former governor of Miranda state (see A/HRC/36/31, paras. 29 and . 88). In a press briefing on 19 May 2017, the spokesperson of the High Commissioner for Human Rights referred to the case of Mr. Henrique Capriles, who was scheduled to meet the High Commissioner in New York, on the same day, but was prevented from leaving Venezuela to do so. On 19 January 2018, the Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights wrote to the Government about allegations of further reprisals against Mr. Capriles, expressing concern that subsequent attempts by him to renew his travel documents to participate in international events have been thwarted. On 11 April 2018 it was reported that Mr. Capriles was issued a passport to travel abroad to visit a sick relative.