



General Assembly

Distr.
GENERAL

A/50/713/Corr.1 6 February 1996

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

Fiftieth session Agenda item 39

LAW OF THE SEA

Report of the Secretary-General

CORRIGENDUM

1. Paragraph 98

At the end of the paragraph, before the parentheses, $\underline{\text{insert}}$ the following sentence

Turkey maintained that its national regulations were in full conformity with the IMO rules and regulations, which it considered to be more stringent, and invoked the Basel Convention in respect to the transboundary movement of wastes.

2. Paragraph 99

The second and third sentences should read

The IMO Rules and Recommendations were implemented only recently, on 24 November 1994, so that it is still too early to establish that there have been any major difficulties in their implementation. It may be noted that the changed legal context brought about by the recent amendments to SOLAS Chapter V regarding mandatory ships' routeing and reporting systems and the corresponding amendments to the General Provisions on Ships' Routeing may contribute to the further development of the IMO Rules and Recommendations in the future.

3. Paragraph 100

The paragraph should read

100. Several delegations made statements for the record on various aspects of the question, including those asserting and denying the applicability of the regime of transit passage through the Straits concerned. $\frac{76}{}$

4. Endnote 75, line 1

 $\underline{\text{For}}$ IMO document MSC 65/25, paras. 19.5-19.7 $\underline{\text{read}}$ IMO document MSC 65/25, paras. 19.16 and 19.17.

5. Endnote 76

At the end of the note, \underline{add} the following sentence

Turkey questioned the allegation and the figures cited, and stated that delays were inevitable for safety as stipulated in IMO Rules 1.2 and 1.3 and that such traffic management rules were a necessary precaution to ensure the safety of all maritime traffic and to ensure safe and orderly traffic flow (see IMO document MSC 65/25/Add.2, annex 38).
